

Table 2: 7/1/03 - 12/31/04 Medical Malpractice Jury Verdicts

Judicial District	Defense Verdicts		\$500,000 or Less		More than \$500,000 to \$1 Million		More than \$1 Million to \$5 Million		More than \$5 Million to \$10 Million		Over \$10 Million		TOTAL
	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases
Adams	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
Allegheny	36	73.5	4	8.2	4	8.2	2	4.1	3	6.1	0	0.0	49
Armstrong	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
Beaver	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Bedford	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
Berks	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8
Blair	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
Bradford	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Bucks	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5
Butler	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8
Cambria	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5
Cameron/Elk	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
Carbon	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Centre	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
Chester	13	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	14
Clarion	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
Clearfield	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
Clinton	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Columbia/Montour	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
Crawford	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4
Cumberland	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6
Dauphin	14	87.5	0	0.0	1	6.3	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	16
Delaware	18	90.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	20
Erie	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9
Fayette	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Forest/Warren	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
Franklin/Fulton	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
Greene	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
Huntingdon	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Indiana	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Jefferson	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
Juniata/Perry	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Judicial District	Defense Verdicts		\$500,000 or Less		More than \$500,000 to \$1 Million		More than \$1 Million to \$5 Million		More than \$5 Million to \$10 Million		Over \$10 Million		TOTAL
	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases	%	No. of Cases
Lackawanna	7	87.5	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8
Lancaster	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7
Lawrence	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
Lebanon	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
Lehigh	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	11
Luzerne	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4
Lycoming	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
McKean	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
Mercer	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
Mifflin	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Monroe	5	62.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	8
Montgomery	21	91.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	8.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	23
Northampton	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10
Northumberland	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
Philadelphia	123	68.0	26	14.4	8	4.4	18	9.9	3	1.7	3	1.7	181
Pike	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Potter	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Schuylkill	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
Snyder/Union	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Somerset	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Sullivan/Wyoming	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Susquehanna	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Tioga	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Venango	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
Washington	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4
Wayne	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Westmoreland	8	88.9	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9
York	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
State Total	352	78.4	44	9.8	15	3.3	28	6.2	7	1.6	3	0.7	449
Annualized State Total**	235	78.4	29	9.8	10	3.3	19	6.2	5	1.6	2	0.7	299

**computed by dividing the state total by 1.5, the number of years covered by the survey. Includes rounding adjustment.

Note:

- ▶ Verdict amounts are compensatory damages, but in a few instances may include punitive and delay damages as well as judicial offsets and adjustments. They do not reflect post-trial settlements or actions of an appellate court. They are not a report of actual payouts. Percentages may include rounding.
- ▶ The reduced verdict amount is reported in cases where a plaintiff has been found contributorily negligent.