

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
WESTERN DISTRICT**

ROBERT C. WILLIAMS,	:	No. 147 WAL 2009
	:	
Petitioner	:	
	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the
v.	:	Order of the Superior Court
	:	
GEICO GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES	:	
INSURANCE COMPANY,	:	
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	
GEICO GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES	:	
INSURANCE COMPANY,	:	
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
ROBERT C. WILLIAMS,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2009, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, rephrased for clarity, is:

Whether, under the MVFRL and our decision in Burstein v. Prudential Property & Cas. Ins. Co., 809 A.2d 204 (Pa. 2002), the “regular-use” exclusion to underinsured motorist coverage in an automobile insurance policy is valid where the insured is a police officer, who has sustained bodily injury in the course of performing his duties while driving a police vehicle, for which vehicle he could not have obtained underinsured motorist coverage.