

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: **Chester**

Judicial District #: **15**

Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 15 and its class of county: **34%**

Note: If the difference is $\geq 10\%$ no proposed eliminations or justification is required.

Summary of Proposed Actions

15-1-01 Reestablish

15-1-02 Realign

15-1-03 Realign

15-1-04 Reestablish

15-1-05 Realign

15-2-01 Realign

15-2-03 Reestablish

15-2-05 **Eliminate**

15-2-06 Realign

15-2-07 Reestablish

15-3-01 Realign

15-3-04 Realign

15-3-05 Reestablish

15-3-06 Realign

15-3-07 Realign

15-4-01 Realign

15-4-02 Reestablish

15-4-03 Reestablish

15-4-04 Reestablish

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: **Chester**

Judicial District #: **15**

Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: **No** Night Court: **No**

Public Comment

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: **Yes** Comments Received: **Yes**

- Objection to moving East Pikeland Township from 15-2-01 to 15-3-01. This comment was filed by the MDJ of 15-2-01 and the East Pikeland Chief of Police. 15-2-01 is in a new county designed facility (2005) and in contrast the proposed court's location is at maximum capacity. Safety and security is also better addressed in 15-2-01 because of holding cells, segregation of defendants, and more seating. The location of the current court is also more convenient to police officers and the public in this township.
- 15-1-03, MDJ Hines, also submitted an objection to moving Ward 5 from 15-1-03 to 15-1-05 because it would result in a loss of workload for 15-1-03 and an increase in workload to 15-1-05. It would also adversely affect low-income residents in this area who will no longer be able to walk to the court to conduct business with the court.
- Sadsbury Township Police and the Board of Supervisors opposes the move of Sadsbury Township from 15-3-07 to 15-3-06 because there is no support showing the change will result in a significant workload change for 15-3-07. 15-3-07 is one mile from the township, whereas the realignment will result in a 24 mile round trip drive to the proposed court – affecting scheduling criminal court for police officers, daily pick up of documents by police from the court, safety and security, as well as the increased costs associated with the increased mileage.
- MDJ Association of Chester County supports the plan outlined by Judge Michaels and Chief Franciscus.

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

County Name: Chester

Judicial District #: 15

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-1-01

Proposed Action: Reestablish

| | | | |
|---|--------|---|--------------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 16,060 | Average Annual Workload: | 63,938 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 55.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 33.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 66.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Due to a change in caseload processing, this office has already seen a decrease in parking citations.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Mark A. Bruno

201 West Market Street, Suite 1307 P.O. Box 2746

West Chester, PA 19380

Birthdate: 6-17-63

Mandatory Retirement: 2033

Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

WEST CHESTER VTD 03; WEST CHESTER VTD 05; WEST CHESTER VTD 06; WEST CHESTER VTD 07

Proposed Geography:

WEST CHESTER VTD 03; WEST CHESTER VTD 05; WEST CHESTER VTD 06; WEST CHESTER VTD 07

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: West Chester Borough Police, West Chester University Police Dept.

Major Highways: Route 100, Route 322

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-1-02

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|---|--------|---|---------------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 4,954 | Average Annual Workload: | 26,985 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -47.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -58.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | -10.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

This district will be realigned with 15-4-01 when 15-2-05 is eliminated to make these districts more equitable.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Thomas W. Tartaglio

15 North Devon Blvd.

Devon, PA 19333

Birthdate: 01-28-71

Mandatory Retirement: 2041

Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

EASTTOWN TWP VTD 01; EASTTOWN TWP VTD 02;
EASTTOWN TWP VTD 03; EASTTOWN TWP VTD 04;
EASTTOWN TWP VTD 05; EASTTOWN TWP VTD 06;
EASTTOWN TWP VTD 07; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD EAST
ED 01; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD EAST ED 02; TREDYFFRIN
TWP VTD EAST ED 03; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD EAST ED
04; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD EAST ED 05; TREDYFFRIN
TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 01; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE
ED 05; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 06;
TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD WEST ED 03; TREDYFFRIN TWP
VTD WEST ED 04

Proposed Geography:

EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 01; EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT
02; EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 03; EAST GOSHEN TWP
PCT 04; EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 05; EAST GOSHEN
TWP PCT 06; EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 07; EAST
GOSHEN TWP PCT 08; EASTTOWN TWP VTD 01;
EASTTOWN TWP VTD 02; EASTTOWN TWP VTD 03;
EASTTOWN TWP VTD 04; EASTTOWN TWP VTD 05;
EASTTOWN TWP VTD 06; EASTTOWN TWP VTD 07;
MALVERN Voting District; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD EAST
ED 01; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD EAST ED 02; TREDYFFRIN
TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 01; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD
MIDDLE ED 05; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 06;
TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD WEST ED 03; TREDYFFRIN TWP
VTD WEST ED 04; WILLISTOWN TWP VTD NORTH ED
01; WILLISTOWN TWP VTD NORTH ED 02;
WILLISTOWN TWP VTD NORTH ED 03; WILLISTOWN
TWP VTD NORTH ED 04; WILLISTOWN TWP VTD
NORTH ED 05; WILLISTOWN TWP VTD NORTH ED 06;
WILLISTOWN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 02; WILLISTOWN
TWP VTD SOUTH ED 01; WILLISTOWN TWP VTD
SOUTH ED 03; EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 09

Office within district: Yes

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Tredyffrin Township, Easttown Township

Major Highways: Route 30, Route 202, Route 252

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-1-03

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|---|--------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 5,888 | Average Annual Workload: | 45,526 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -24.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 6.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 8.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Gregory V. Hines | Birthdate: | 01-05-65 |
| 256 East Lincoln Highway | Mandatory Retirement: | 2035 |
| Coatesville, PA 19320 | Term Expires: | 1-3-16 |

Existing Geography:

COATESVILLE WD 02; COATESVILLE WD 04 PCT 01;
COATESVILLE WD 04 PCT 02; COATESVILLE WD 05;
SOUTH COATESVILLE Voting District

Proposed Geography:

COATESVILLE WD 02; COATESVILLE WD 04 PCT 01;
COATESVILLE WD 04 PCT 02; SOUTH COATESVILLE
Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: City of Coatesville, Borough of South Coatesville

Major Highways: Route 30

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-1-04

Proposed Action: Reestablish

| | | | |
|--|--------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 14,502 | Average Annual Workload: | 69,834 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 50.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 39.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 62.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Parking tickets continue to decrease in this district due to a change in processing of the tickets by the borough.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Gwenn S. Knapp | Birthdate: | 7-9-46 |
| 530 East Union Street | Mandatory Retirement: | 2016 |
| West Chester, PA 19382 | Term Expires: | 1-3-16 |

Existing Geography:

WEST CHESTER VTD 01; WEST CHESTER VTD 02; WEST CHESTER VTD 02 ED WEST; WEST CHESTER VTD 04

Proposed Geography:

WEST CHESTER VTD 01; WEST CHESTER VTD 02; WEST CHESTER VTD 02 ED WEST; WEST CHESTER VTD 04

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: West Chester Borough Police, West Chester University Police Dept.

Major Highways: Route 100, Route 322

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-1-05

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|---|--------|---|---------------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 5,283 | Average Annual Workload: | 36,453 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -38.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -17.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | -3.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------|
| Grover E. Koon | Birthdate: | 9-5-47 |
| Highlands Corporate Center 615 Sands Court | Mandatory Retirement: | 2017 |
| Coatesville, PA 19320 | Term Expires: | 1-3-16 |

Existing Geography:

COATESVILLE WD 01; COATESVILLE WD 03; VALLEY TWP PCT NORTH; VALLEY TWP PCT SOUTH

Proposed Geography:

COATESVILLE WD 01; COATESVILLE WD 03; COATESVILLE WD 05; VALLEY TWP PCT NORTH; VALLEY TWP PCT SOUTH

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Office within district: | Yes |
| Residence within district: | Yes |
| Police Departments: | Valley Township, Coatesville City |
| Major Highways: | Route 30, 82, 372, 340 |

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-2-01

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|---|-------|---|--------------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 8,016 | Average Annual Workload: | 54,707 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 9.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 22.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 32.00 | | |

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Theodore P. Michaels , Jr.
347 Bridge Street
Phoenixville, PA 19460

Birthdate: 3-28-57
Mandatory Retirement: 2027
Term Expires: 1-5-14

Existing Geography:

CHARLESTOWN TWP Voting District; EAST PIKELAND TWP PCT 01; EAST PIKELAND TWP PCT 02; EAST PIKELAND TWP PCT 03; PHOENIXVILLE WD MIDDLE PCT 01; PHOENIXVILLE WD MIDDLE PCT 02; PHOENIXVILLE WD MIDDLE PCT 03; PHOENIXVILLE WD EAST PCT 01; PHOENIXVILLE WD EAST PCT 02; PHOENIXVILLE WD EAST PCT 03; PHOENIXVILLE WD NORTH PCT 01; PHOENIXVILLE WD NORTH PCT 02; PHOENIXVILLE WD NORTH PCT 03; PHOENIXVILLE WD WEST PCT 01; PHOENIXVILLE WD WEST PCT 02; PHOENIXVILLE WD WEST PCT 03; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 01; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 02; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 03; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 04; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 05

Proposed Geography:

CHARLESTOWN TWP Voting District; PHOENIXVILLE WD MIDDLE PCT 01; PHOENIXVILLE WD MIDDLE PCT 02; PHOENIXVILLE WD MIDDLE PCT 03; PHOENIXVILLE WD EAST PCT 01; PHOENIXVILLE WD EAST PCT 02; PHOENIXVILLE WD EAST PCT 03; PHOENIXVILLE WD NORTH PCT 01; PHOENIXVILLE WD NORTH PCT 02; PHOENIXVILLE WD NORTH PCT 03; PHOENIXVILLE WD WEST PCT 01; PHOENIXVILLE WD WEST PCT 02; PHOENIXVILLE WD WEST PCT 03; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 01; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 02; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 03; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 04; SCHUYLKILL TWP PCT 05

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: East Pikeland Township, Schuylkill Township, Borough of Phoenixville

Major Highways: Route 23, Route 113, PA Turnpike, Route 29

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-2-03

Proposed Action: Reestablish

| | | | |
|--|--------|--|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 6,104 | Average Annual Workload: | 43,028 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -19.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 1.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 11.00 | | |

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|
| William D. Kraut | Birthdate: | 02-12-47 |
| 601 Westtown Road, Suite 110 P.O. Box 2747 | Mandatory Retirement: | 2017 |
| West Chester, PA 19380 | Term Expires: | 1-5-14 |

Existing Geography:

WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 01; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 02; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD NORTH ED 01; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD NORTH ED 02; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD NORTH ED 03; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD NORTH ED 04; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 01; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 03; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 02; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 04; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 01; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 02; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 03; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 04; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 05; THORNBURY TWP VTD 01; THORNBURY TWP VTD 02

Proposed Geography:

WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 01; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 02; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD NORTH ED 01; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD NORTH ED 02; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD NORTH ED 03; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD NORTH ED 04; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 01; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 03; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 02; WEST GOSHEN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 04; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 01; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 02; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 03; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 04; WESTTOWN TWP PCT 05; THORNBURY TWP VTD 01; THORNBURY TWP VTD 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Westtown-East Goshen Township, West Goshen Township

Major Highways: Route 202, Route 352, Route 3

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-2-05

Proposed Action: Eliminate

| | | | |
|---|--------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 5,917 | Average Annual Workload: | 34,004 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -23.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -25.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 8.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Proposed elimination effective at the commission expiry of January 2014.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Chester F. Darlington | Birthdate: | 5-22-46 |
| 1572 Paoli Pike | Mandatory Retirement: | 2016 |
| West Chester, PA 19380 | Term Expires: | 1-5-14 |

Existing Geography:

EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 01; EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 02;
EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 03; EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 04;
EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 05; EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 06;
EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 07; EAST GOSHEN TWP PCT 08;
EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 03; EAST WHITELAND TWP
PCT 04; EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 05; EAST
WHITELAND TWP PCT 06; MALVERN Voting District;
WILLISTOWN TWP VTD NORTH ED 01; WILLISTOWN
TWP VTD NORTH ED 02; WILLISTOWN TWP VTD NORTH
ED 03; WILLISTOWN TWP VTD NORTH ED 04;
WILLISTOWN TWP VTD NORTH ED 05; WILLISTOWN
TWP VTD NORTH ED 06; WILLISTOWN TWP VTD SOUTH
ED 02; WILLISTOWN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 01;
WILLISTOWN TWP VTD SOUTH ED 03; EAST GOSHEN
TWP PCT 09

Proposed Geography:

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: East Whiteland Township, Borough of Malvern, Westtown-East Goshen Township, Willistown Township

Major Highways: Route 3, 352, 30

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-2-06

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|---|-------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 8,837 | Average Annual Workload: | 56,748 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 18.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 25.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 38.00 | | |

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Rita A. Arnold

231 Boot Road

Downingtown, PA 19335

Birthdate: 6-17-56

Mandatory Retirement: 2026

Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

BIRMINGHAM TWP PCT 01; BIRMINGHAM TWP PCT 02; DOWNINGTOWN VTD EAST ED EAST; DOWNINGTOWN VTD EAST ED WEST; DOWNINGTOWN VTD WEST ED NORTH; DOWNINGTOWN VTD WEST ED SOUTH; EAST BRADFORD TWP VTD NORTH ED 01; EAST BRADFORD TWP VTD NORTH ED 02; EAST BRADFORD TWP VTD SOUTH PCT 01; EAST BRADFORD TWP VTD SOUTH PCT 02; EAST CALN TWP Voting District; E CALN 2 Voting District; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 01; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 02; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 03; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 04; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 05

Proposed Geography:

DOWNINGTOWN VTD EAST ED EAST; DOWNINGTOWN VTD EAST ED WEST; DOWNINGTOWN VTD WEST ED NORTH; DOWNINGTOWN VTD WEST ED SOUTH; EAST BRADFORD TWP VTD NORTH ED 01; EAST BRADFORD TWP VTD NORTH ED 02; EAST BRADFORD TWP VTD SOUTH PCT 01; EAST BRADFORD TWP VTD SOUTH PCT 02; EAST CALN TWP Voting District; E CALN 2 Voting District; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 01; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 02; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 03; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 04; WEST BRADFORD TWP PCT 05

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Birmingham Township, Downingtown Borough, West Chester Borough

Major Highways:

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-2-07

Proposed Action: Reestablish

| | | | |
|---|-------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 7,818 | Average Annual Workload: | 33,095 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 7.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -29.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 30.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Although the workload is less than the county average, it is 7% greater than the 2A class of county average workload, so this district is not proposed for realignment.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Lori Novak Donatelli | Birthdate: | 2-26-60 |
| 75 East Uwchlan Avenue, Suite 110 | Mandatory Retirement: | 2030 |
| Exton, PA 19341 | Term Expires: | 1-3-16 |

Existing Geography:

UPPER UWCHLAN TWP VTD 01; UPPER UWCHLAN TWP VTD 02; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 01; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 04; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 05; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 06; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 02; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 03; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 07; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 08; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 09; WEST PIKELAND TWP Voting District; UPPER UWCHLAN TWP VTD 03

Proposed Geography:

UPPER UWCHLAN TWP VTD 01; UPPER UWCHLAN TWP VTD 02; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 01; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 04; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 05; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 06; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 02; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 03; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 07; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 08; UWCHLAN TWP PCT 09; WEST PIKELAND TWP Voting District; UPPER UWCHLAN TWP VTD 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Upper Uwchlan Township, West Pikeland Township, Uwchlan Township

Major Highways: PA Turnpike, Route 113, Route 100, Route 401

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-3-01

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|---|--------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 5,555 | Average Annual Workload: | 39,566 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -31.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -8.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 2.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

James V. DeAngelo

Birthdate: 1-22-54

1130 Ridge Road

Mandatory Retirement: 2024

Pottstown, PA 19465

Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

EAST COVENTRY TWP PCT 01; EAST COVENTRY TWP PCT 02; EAST NANTMEAL TWP Voting District; EAST VINCENT TWP PCT 01; EAST VINCENT TWP PCT 02; EAST VINCENT TWP PCT 03; EAST VINCENT TWP PCT 04; NORTH COVENTRY TWP VTD EAST; NORTH COVENTRY TWP VTD MIDDLE; NORTH COVENTRY TWP VTD WEST; NORTH COVENTRY TWP VTD WEST ED 02; SOUTH COVENTRY TWP Voting District; SPRING CITY WD 01; SPRING CITY WD 02; SPRING CITY WD 03; SPRING CITY WD 04; WARWICK TWP PCT EAST; WARWICK TWP PCT WEST; WEST VINCENT TWP VTD 01; WEST VINCENT TWP VTD 02

Proposed Geography:

EAST COVENTRY TWP PCT 01; EAST COVENTRY TWP PCT 02; EAST NANTMEAL TWP Voting District; EAST PIKELAND TWP PCT 01; EAST PIKELAND TWP PCT 02; EAST PIKELAND TWP PCT 03; EAST VINCENT TWP PCT 01; EAST VINCENT TWP PCT 02; EAST VINCENT TWP PCT 03; NORTH COVENTRY TWP VTD EAST; NORTH COVENTRY TWP VTD MIDDLE; NORTH COVENTRY TWP VTD WEST; NORTH COVENTRY TWP VTD WEST ED 02; SOUTH COVENTRY TWP Voting District; SPRING CITY WD 01; SPRING CITY WD 02; SPRING CITY WD 03; SPRING CITY WD 04; WARWICK TWP PCT EAST; WARWICK TWP PCT WEST; WEST VINCENT TWP VTD 01; WEST VINCENT TWP VTD 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: East Coventry Township, East Vincent Township, West Vincent Township, North Coventry Township, Borough of Spring City

Major Highways: Route 100, Route 23

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-3-04

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|--|--------|--|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 5,275 | Average Annual Workload: | 36,713 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -38.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -16.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | -3.00 | | |

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Daniel J. Maisano | Birthdate: | 3-9-51 |
| 120 North Broad Street | Mandatory Retirement: | 2021 |
| Kennett Square, PA 19348 | Term Expires: | 12-31-17 |

Existing Geography:

EAST MARLBOROUGH TWP VTD EAST; EAST MARLBOROUGH TWP VTD WEST; E MARLBOROUGH S Voting District; KENNETT TWP PCT 01; KENNETT TWP PCT 02; KENNETT TWP PCT 03; KENNETT SQUARE VTD NORTH; KENNETT SQUARE VTD SOUTH ED 01; KENNETT SQUARE VTD SOUTH ED 02; NEWLIN TWP Voting District; PENNSBURY TWP VTD NORTH; PENNSBURY TWP VTD SOUTH; PENNSBURY TWP VTD NORTH ED 02; PENNSBURY TWP VTD SOUTH ED 02; POCOPSON TWP Voting District; KENNETT TWP PCT 04

Proposed Geography:

BIRMINGHAM TWP PCT 01; BIRMINGHAM TWP PCT 02; EAST MARLBOROUGH TWP VTD EAST; EAST MARLBOROUGH TWP VTD WEST; E MARLBOROUGH S Voting District; KENNETT TWP PCT 01; KENNETT TWP PCT 02; KENNETT TWP PCT 03; KENNETT SQUARE VTD NORTH; KENNETT SQUARE VTD SOUTH ED 01; KENNETT SQUARE VTD SOUTH ED 02; NEWLIN TWP Voting District; PENNSBURY TWP VTD NORTH; PENNSBURY TWP VTD SOUTH; PENNSBURY TWP VTD NORTH ED 02; PENNSBURY TWP VTD SOUTH ED 02; POCOPSON TWP Voting District; KENNETT TWP PCT 04

Office within district: Yes
Residence within district: Yes
Police Departments: Borough of Kennett Square
Major Highways: Route 1, Route 82

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-3-05

Proposed Action: Reestablish

| | | | |
|---|--------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 5,309 | Average Annual Workload: | 44,692 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -37.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 5.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | -2.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Scott A. Massey

305 South Third Street

Oxford, PA 19363

Birthdate: 3-18-74

Mandatory Retirement: 2044

Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

EAST NOTTINGHAM TWP Voting District; ELK TWP Voting District; LOWER OXFORD TWP VTD EAST; LOWER OXFORD TWP VTD WEST; NEW LONDON TWP Voting District; OXFORD PCT EAST; OXFORD PCT WEST; PENN TWP Voting District; UPPER OXFORD TWP Voting District; WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP Voting District

Proposed Geography:

EAST NOTTINGHAM TWP Voting District; ELK TWP Voting District; LOWER OXFORD TWP VTD EAST; LOWER OXFORD TWP VTD WEST; NEW LONDON TWP Voting District; OXFORD PCT EAST; OXFORD PCT WEST; PENN TWP Voting District; UPPER OXFORD TWP Voting District; WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Oxford Borough, Lincoln University, West Nottingham

Major Highways: Route 1, Route 472

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-3-06

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|--|--------|---|---------------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 6,118 | Average Annual Workload: | 34,346 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -19.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -24.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 11.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Michael J. Cabry , III | Birthdate: | 2-8-61 |
| 4824 Horseshoe Pike | Mandatory Retirement: | 2031 |
| Honey Brook, PA 19344 | Term Expires: | 12-31-17 |

Existing Geography:

ELVERSON Voting District; HONEY BROOK Voting District; HONEY BROOK TWP PCT 01; HONEY BROOK TWP PCT 02; WALLACE TWP Voting District; WEST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD EAST; WEST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD WEST; WEST CALN TWP PCT 01; WEST CALN TWP PCT 02; WEST NANTMEAL TWP Voting District

Proposed Geography:

ELVERSON Voting District; HONEY BROOK Voting District; HONEY BROOK TWP PCT 01; HONEY BROOK TWP PCT 02; SADSBUY TWP VTD NORTH; SADSBUY TWP VTD SOUTH; WALLACE TWP Voting District; WEST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD EAST; WEST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD WEST; WEST CALN TWP PCT 01; WEST CALN TWP PCT 02; WEST NANTMEAL TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: West Brandywine Township, Honeybrook Township, West Caln Township, West Nantmeal Township

Major Highways: Route 322, Route 82

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-3-07

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|--|-------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 6,929 | Average Annual Workload: | 43,911 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -5.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 3.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 21.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Nancy A. Gill | Birthdate: | 6-3-54 |
| 315 West First Avenue, Building 3 | Mandatory Retirement: | 2024 |
| Parkesburg, PA 19365 | Term Expires: | 1-5-14 |

Existing Geography:

ATGLEN Voting District; EAST FALLOWFIELD TWP VTD EAST; EAST FALLOWFIELD TWP VTD WEST; HIGHLAND TWP Voting District; LONDONDERRY TWP Voting District; MODENA Voting District; PARKESBURG PCT NORTH; PARKESBURG PCT SOUTH; SADBURY TWP VTD NORTH; SADBURY TWP VTD SOUTH; WEST FALLOWFIELD TWP Voting District; WEST SADBURY TWP Voting District

Proposed Geography:

ATGLEN Voting District; EAST FALLOWFIELD TWP VTD EAST; EAST FALLOWFIELD TWP VTD WEST; HIGHLAND TWP Voting District; LONDONDERRY TWP Voting District; MODENA Voting District; PARKESBURG PCT NORTH; PARKESBURG PCT SOUTH; WEST FALLOWFIELD TWP Voting District; WEST SADBURY TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Borough of Parkesburg, West Sadsbury Police Dept., East Fallowfield Township, west Fallowfield Township, Sadsbury Township

Major Highways: Route 30, Route 10

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-4-01

Proposed Action: Realign

| | | | |
|--|--------|--|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 5,275 | Average Annual Workload: | 22,115 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -38.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -93.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | -3.00 | | |

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Analisa S. Sondergaard
Chesterbrook Shopping Center 500 Chesterbrook Blvd., Suite C6
Wayne, PA 19087

Birthdate: 12-10-69
Mandatory Retirement: 2039
Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 02; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 04; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 03; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD WEST ED 01; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD WEST ED 02; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 07; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD WEST ED 05

Proposed Geography:

EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 03; EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 04; EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 05; EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 06; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD EAST ED 03; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD EAST ED 04; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD EAST ED 05; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 02; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 04; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 03; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD WEST ED 01; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD WEST ED 02; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 07; TREDYFFRIN TWP VTD WEST ED 05

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Tredyffrin Township

Major Highways: Route 202, Route 252, PA Turnpike

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-4-02

Proposed Action: Reestablish

| | | | |
|---|-------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 7,271 | Average Annual Workload: | 44,530 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 0.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 4.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 25.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------|
| Jeffrey J. Valocchi | Birthdate: | 12-4-52 |
| Valley Run Shoppes 47 North Bailey Road | Mandatory Retirement: | 2022 |
| Thorndale, PA 19372 | Term Expires: | 1-3-16 |

Existing Geography:

CALN TWP VTD 01; CALN TWP VTD 02; CALN TWP VTD 03; CALN TWP VTD 04; EAST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD SOUTH; EAST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD NORTH PCT 01; EAST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD NORTH PCT 02

Proposed Geography:

CALN TWP VTD 01; CALN TWP VTD 02; CALN TWP VTD 03; CALN TWP VTD 04; EAST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD SOUTH; EAST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD NORTH PCT 01; EAST BRANDYWINE TWP VTD NORTH PCT 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: East Brandywine Township, Brandywine Regional, Caln Township

Major Highways: Route 30, Route 322

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-4-03

Proposed Action: Reestablish

| | | | |
|---|-------|---|--------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 7,770 | Average Annual Workload: | 44,989 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 6.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 5.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 30.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

John R. Bailey
Whiteland Business Park 748 Springdale Drive, Suite 110
Exton, PA 19341

Birthdate: 7-12-55
Mandatory Retirement: 2025
Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 01; EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 02; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 01; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 02; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 03; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 04; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 05; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 06; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 07

Proposed Geography:

EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 01; EAST WHITELAND TWP PCT 02; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 01; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 02; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 03; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 04; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 05; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 06; WEST WHITELAND TWP PCT 07

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: East Whiteland Township, West Whiteland Township

Major Highways: Route 30, Route 202

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 15-4-04

Proposed Action: Reestablish

| | | | |
|--|--------|---|---------------|
| Average Annual Caseload: | 5,476 | Average Annual Workload: | 35,357 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -33.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -21.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county): | 1.00 | <i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i> | |

Filings increased by 20% over the last year for this magisterial district – so this district will be reestablished.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

| | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Matthew Seavey | Birthdate: | 03-13-70 |
| 3 Moxley Lane P.O. Box 669 | Mandatory Retirement: | 2040 |
| Avondale, PA 19311 | Term Expires: | 1-3-16 |

Existing Geography:

AVONDALE Voting District; FRANKLIN TWP Voting District; LONDON BRITAIN TWP Voting District; LONDON GROVE TWP VTD CHATHAM; LONDON GROVE TWP VTD SOUTH; NEW GARDEN TWP PCT NORTH; NEW GARDEN TWP PCT SOUTH; WEST GROVE PCT 01; WEST GROVE PCT 02; WEST MARLBOROUGH TWP Voting District

Proposed Geography:

AVONDALE Voting District; FRANKLIN TWP Voting District; LONDON BRITAIN TWP Voting District; LONDON GROVE TWP VTD CHATHAM; LONDON GROVE TWP VTD SOUTH; NEW GARDEN TWP PCT NORTH; NEW GARDEN TWP PCT SOUTH; WEST GROVE PCT 01; WEST GROVE PCT 02; WEST MARLBOROUGH TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: New Garden Township, Avondale Borough, West Grove Borough

Major Highways: Route 1, Route 41

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics

Chester / 15

| Judicial District Average Caseload | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| 2011 Class | 2011 Filings | 2012 Class | 2012 Filings |
| 3 | 7,282 | 3 | 7,282 |

| 2011 Class of County Caseload Averages | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 2011 Class | CR | PC | TR | NT | CV | LT | MD | Total |
| 3 | 409 | 171 | 3,480 | 803 | 288 | 194 | 100 | 5,446 |

| 2012 Class of County Caseload Averages | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|----|-------|
| 2012 Class | CR | PC | TR | NT | CV | LT | MD | Total |
| 3 | 414 | 196 | 3,590 | 796 | 292 | 192 | 98 | 5,576 |

Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

| Judicial District Caseload Averages | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Chester | 2011 | 2012 |
| Class | 3 | 3 |
| CR | 354 | 354 |
| NT | 968 | 968 |
| PC | 22 | 22 |
| TR | 5,478 | 5,478 |
| CV | 269 | 269 |
| LT | 151 | 151 |
| MD | 40 | 40 |
| AVG | 7,282 | 7,282 |

| Judicial District Workload Averages | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Chester | 2011 | 2012 |
| Class | 3 | 3 |
| CR | 13,005 | 13,005 |
| NT | 10,394 | 10,394 |
| PC | 233 | 233 |
| TR | 12,489 | 12,489 |
| CV | 3,031 | 3,034 |
| LT | 2,193 | 2,186 |
| MD | 1,314 | 1,314 |
| -15 % Workload | 36,261 | 36,257 |
| Average Workload | 42,660 | 42,656 |
| + 15% Workload | 49,059 | 49,054 |

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

15-1-01

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 16,060 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|----|---------|---------|
| 55 | 120.56% | 120.56% |
|----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|---------|---------|
| 66 | 194.89% | 188.00% |
|----|---------|---------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 63,938 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----------|--------|--------|
| 33 | 49.88% | 49.89% |
|-----------|--------|--------|

15-1-02

Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 4,954 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -47 | -31.97% | -31.97% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|-----|--------|---------|
| -10 | -9.04% | -11.16% |
|-----|--------|---------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 26,985 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|------------|---------|---------|
| -58 | -36.74% | -36.74% |
|------------|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

15-1-03 Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 5,888 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -24 | -19.14% | -19.14% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 8 | 8.11% | 5.59% |
|---|-------|-------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 45,526 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 6 | 6.72% | 6.73% |
|---|-------|-------|

15-1-04 Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 14,502 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 50 | 99.16% | 99.16% |
|----|--------|--------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|---------|---------|
| 62 | 166.28% | 160.06% |
|----|---------|---------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 69,834 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 39 | 63.70% | 63.72% |
|----|--------|--------|

15-1-05 Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 5,283 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -38 | -27.45% | -27.45% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| -3 | -2.99% | -5.26% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 36,453 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -17 | -14.55% | -14.54% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

15-2-01 Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 8,016 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|--------|--------|
| 9 | 10.09% | 10.09% |
|---|--------|--------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 32 | 47.19% | 43.75% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 54,707 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 22 | 28.24% | 28.25% |
|----|--------|--------|

15-2-03 Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 6,104 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -19 | -16.17% | -16.17% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|-------|
| 11 | 12.08% | 9.46% |
|----|--------|-------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 43,028 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 1 | 0.86% | 0.87% |
|---|-------|-------|

15-2-05 Eliminate

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 5,917 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -23 | -18.74% | -18.74% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 8 | 8.65% | 6.11% |
|---|-------|-------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 34,004 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -25 | -20.29% | -20.28% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

15-2-06 Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 8,837 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 18 | 21.36% | 21.36% |
|----|--------|--------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 38 | 62.26% | 58.47% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 56,748 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 25 | 33.02% | 33.04% |
|----|--------|--------|

15-2-07 Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 7,818 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 7 | 7.37% | 7.37% |
|---|-------|-------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 30 | 43.55% | 40.20% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 33,095 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -29 | -22.42% | -22.41% |
|-----|---------|---------|

15-3-01 Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 5,555 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -31 | -23.71% | -23.71% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|--------|
| 2 | 2.00% | -0.38% |
|---|-------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 39,566 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| -8 | -7.25% | -7.24% |
|----|--------|--------|

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

15-3-04 Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 5,275 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -38 | -27.56% | -27.56% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| -3 | -3.14% | -5.41% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 36,713 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -16 | -13.94% | -13.93% |
|-----|---------|---------|

15-3-05 Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 5,309 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -37 | -27.09% | -27.09% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| -2 | -2.52% | -4.80% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 44,692 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 5 | 4.76% | 4.77% |
|---|-------|-------|

15-3-06 Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 6,118 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -19 | -15.98% | -15.98% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|-------|
| 11 | 12.34% | 9.71% |
|----|--------|-------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 34,346 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -24 | -19.49% | -19.48% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

15-3-07

Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 6,929 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| -5 | -4.84% | -4.84% |
|----|--------|--------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 21 | 27.23% | 24.25% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 43,911 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 3 | 2.93% | 2.94% |
|---|-------|-------|

15-4-01

Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 5,275 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -38 | -27.56% | -27.56% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| -3 | -3.14% | -5.41% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 22,115 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -93 | -48.16% | -48.15% |
|-----|---------|---------|

15-4-02

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 7,271 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|--------|--------|
| 0 | -0.15% | -0.15% |
|---|--------|--------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 25 | 33.51% | 30.39% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 44,530 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 4 | 4.38% | 4.39% |
|---|-------|-------|

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

15-4-03

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 7,770 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 6 | 6.71% | 6.71% |
|---|-------|-------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|----|--------|--------|
| 30 | 42.67% | 39.34% |
|----|--------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 44,989 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 5 | 5.46% | 5.47% |
|---|-------|-------|

15-4-04

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 5,476 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -33 | -24.80% | -24.80% |
|-----|---------|---------|

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| | | |
|---|-------|--------|
| 1 | 0.55% | -1.80% |
|---|-------|--------|

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

| Reported | AOPC | |
|----------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| 35,357 | | |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| -21 | -17.12% | -17.11% |
|-----|---------|---------|



CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

15TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT

REESTABLISHMENT PLAN

2012

**Proposed Reestablishment Plan for the Magisterial Districts of the
Fifteenth Judicial District
Chester County Court of Common Pleas
Chester County, Pennsylvania**

As required pursuant to both constitutional and statutory provisions, reestablishment is a process by which the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania reviews the boundaries of the magisterial districts within each judicial district, except the First Judicial District-Philadelphia County. This review is undertaken in each year following the official reporting of the decennial census figures. The review is based upon reported population figures, case types and case filings, case weights, geographic positioning of the magisterial districts and related issues. As indicated by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC), "this comprehensive analysis helps ensure that the configuration of each magisterial district is appropriate to serve its residents over the next decade."

In an effort to educate the public about this process and offer the opportunity for public input to the proposal, copies of this document are available in the Court Administration Office (Suite 4100), 201 West Market Street, West Chester, PA. A copy is also available at the Chester County Public Library in Exton, PA and the Henrietta Hankin Library 215 Windgate Drive, Chester Springs, PA. The document may be viewed on Chester County's Website <http://www.chesco.org/chesco/site/default.asp> under the County News/Info Section.

All comment to the proposed plan must be in writing. Comments specific to the proposal will be included with the final draft proposal that is forwarded to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for approval. All comments must be addressed as follows:

Court Administration
c/o Reestablishment Proposal Comments
Chester County Justice Center
201 W. Market Street, Suite 4100
P.O. Box 2748
West Chester, PA 19380

Comments may also be faxed to 610-344-6127.

Comments will be accepted until March 6, 2012.

Summary of Proposed Changes within the 15th Judicial District of Chester County

There are currently 19 Magisterial Districts within the 15th Judicial District of Chester County.

The following changes in the boundaries of the stated magisterial districts are being proposed to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania:

1. Magisterial District 15-2-05 as currently established is comprised of the following areas; East Goshen Township, East Whiteland Ward 3, Ward 4, Ward 5, Ward 6, Malvern Borough and Willistown Township will be eliminated.
2. Magisterial District 15-1-02 as currently established is comprised of the following areas; Easttown Township, Tredyffrin Township Vtd East 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, Vtd Middle 01, 05, 06, Vtd West 03 and 04 will exclude Tredyffrin Township Vtd East 03, 04, 05, and will include East Goshen Township, Willistown Township and Malvern Borough.
3. Magisterial District 15-1-03 as currently established is comprised of the following areas; Coatesville City Voting Ward 2, 4 and 5 and South Coatesville Borough, will exclude Coatesville Voting Ward 5.
4. Magisterial District 15-1-05 as currently established is comprised of the following areas; Valley Township, Coatesville City Voting Ward 1 and 3, will include Coatesville City Voting Ward 5.
5. Magisterial District 15-2-01 as currently established is comprised of the following areas; Charlestown Township, East Pikeland Township, Phoenixville Borough and Schuylkill Township, will exclude East Pikeland Township.
6. Magisterial District 15-2-06 as currently established is comprised of the following areas; Downingtown Borough, East Bradford Township, East Caln Township West Bradford Township and Birmingham Township, will exclude Birmingham Township.
7. Magisterial District 15-3-01 as currently established is comprised of East Coventry Township, East Nantmeal Township, East Vincent Township, North Coventry Township, South Coventry Township, Spring City Borough, Warwick Township and West Vincent Township, will include East Pikeland.
8. Magisterial District 15-3-04 as currently established is comprised of the following areas; East Marlborough Township, Kennett Square

Borough, Kennett Township, Newlin Township, Pennsbury Township and Pocopson Township will include Birmingham Township.

9. Magisterial District 15-3-06 as currently established is comprised of Elverson Borough, Honey Brook Borough, Honey Brook Township, Wallace Township, West Brandywine Township, West Caln Township and West Nantmeal Township, will include Sadsbury Township.
10. Magisterial District 15-3-07 as currently established is comprised of Atglen Borough, East Fallowfield Township, Highland Township, Londonderry Township, Modena Borough, Parkesburg Borough, Sadsbury Township, West Fallowfield Township and West Sadsbury Township, it would exclude Sadsbury Township.
11. Magisterial District 15-4-01 as currently established is comprised of Township of Tredyffrin Vtd Middle 02, 03, 04, 07 and Vtd West 01, 02 and 05, it would include Township of Tredyffrin Vtd East 03, 04, 05 and East Whiteland Township Vtd 03, 04, 05 and 06.

Any other magisterial district not specifically mentioned above will be reestablished as currently established.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA



COUNTY OF CHESTER

Malvern Borough
Willistown Township
East Goshen Township
East Whiteland Township
Wards 3, 4, 5 & 6

January 30, 2012

Chester F. Darlington
District Judge
Magisterial District 15-2-05
1572 Paoli Pike
West Chester, PA 19380-6123

OFFICE:

TEL: 610-430-1966
FAX: 610-430-8641

The Honorable James P. MacElree, II,
President Judge
201 West Market Street, Suite 7211
P.O. Box 2746
West Chester, PA 19380-0989

Dear Judge MacElree:

This is to confirm my meeting with Chester County Court Administrator Patricia Norwood-Foden after the 2011 General Election to discuss the 2010 redistricting and Chief Justice Castille's cost saving mandate to the President Judges to reduce 10% of the District Courts Statewide and its impact on Chester County.

Chester County is, and remains, one of the fastest growing Counties. An argument could surely be made to redistrict, but keep all of our District Courts when compared to neighboring Counties such as Delaware County.

Although I am eligible and capable of seeking re-election and serve until mandatory retirement age, I informed Trisha that I would not do so. I felt it would give the County flexibility and would be in the best interest of all for me to retire when my commission expires in Jan. of 2014.

I will have had the honor and privilege to have served 24 years (4 terms). After looking at the big picture and analyzing the situation, it made sense not to seek reelection in 2013.

Eliminating District Court 15-2-05 as it is now will save the State and the County money, while meeting the Chief Justice's directive. I support the plan being submitted and recommend it be accepted. I do not believe it will impact on the present quality of District Court services offered by Chester County.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Chester F. Darlington".

Chester F. Darlington
Magisterial District Judge 15-2-05

CC: Patricia Norwood-Foden, Court Administrator



JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|------------|--|------------------|
| I. | JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER: | 15 |
| | A. What is the class of county? | 3 |
| | B. What is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county? | 34% |
| II. | PROPOSED ACTIONS: | |
| | A. List existing magisterial districts: | |
| | 15-1-01 | |
| | 15-1-02 | |
| | 15-1-03 | |
| | 15-1-04 | |
| | 15-1-05 | |
| | 15-2-01 | |
| | 15-2-03 | |
| | 15-2-05 | |
| | 15-2-06 | |
| | 15-2-07 | |
| | 15-3-01 | |
| | 15-3-04 | |
| | 15-3-05 | |
| | 15-3-06 | |
| | 15-3-07 | |
| | 15-4-01 | |
| | 15-4-02 | |
| | 15-4-03 | |
| | 15-4-04 | |
| | B. Does this judicial district have an annual average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county? | YES |
| | 1. If the answer to II. B. above is <i>NO</i> , are | Choose Yes or No |



JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

eliminations proposed?

- a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination.
- b) If no eliminations are proposed based on II. B. above, what are the factors for this decision?

2. If the answer to II. B. above is YES, are eliminations proposed? YES

- a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination.
15-2-05

C. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment? YES

1. List magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment.

- 15-1-01
- 15-1-04
- 15-2-03
- 15-2-07
- 15-3-05
- 15-4-02
- 15-4-03
- 15-4-04

D. Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment? YES

1. List magisterial districts proposed for realignment.

- 15-1-02
- 15-1-03
- 15-1-05
- 15-2-01
- 15-2-06
- 15-3-01
- 15-3-04
- 15-3-06
- 15-3-07
- 15-4-01

III. NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS


AOPC

JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

| | |
|--|-----------------------|
| A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district? | NO |
| B. Is there a central court operating within the judicial district? | NO |
| C. Note comments regarding how night, central or other similar court programs impact operations within the judicial district. | |
| IV. PUBLIC COMMENT | |
| A. A request for public comment was posted: | YES |
| B. Comments were received: | YES |
| C. Comments are attached: | YES |
| V. ADDITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL: | |
| | |
| VI. DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC: | 03/19/2012 |
| VII. PRESIDENT JUDGE NAME: | James P. MacElree, II |
| See Next Page for Scan of Page with Signature | |
| _____ Signature | |

AOPC

JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|-----------------------|
| A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district? | NO |
| B. Is there a central court operating within the judicial district? | NO |
| C. Note comments regarding how night, central or other similar court programs impact operations within the judicial district. | |
| IV. PUBLIC COMMENT | |
| A. A request for public comment was posted: | YES |
| B. Comments were received: | YES |
| C. Comments are attached: | YES |
| V. ADDITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL: | |
| | |
| VI. DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC: | 03/19/2012 |
| VII. PRESIDENT JUDGE NAME: | James P. MacElree, II |
|  Signature | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-1-01 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 16,060 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | 55% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 66% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 63,938 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 33% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? Please see VIII. | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Mark A. Bruno | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2018 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|---|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>): | 12/31/2033 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 201 W. Market Street West Chester 19380 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | West Chester Borough Police West Chester University PD |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 100 Route 322 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | West Chester Ward 3 West Chester Ward 5 West Chester Ward 6 West Chester Ward 7 |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | West Chester Ward 3 West Chester Ward 5 West Chester Ward 6 West Chester Ward 7 |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | Filings and workload for this MDJ office are anticipated to decrease substantially in calendar year 2012. The office has already seen a vast reduction in the number of parking tickets filed by the borough due to a change in the processing of the tickets by the borough. |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-1-02 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 4,954 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -47% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | -10% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 26,985 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | -58% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? Please see VIII. | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2013 |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Thomas W. Tartaglio | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/3/2016 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|--|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>): | 12/31/2041 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 15 N. Devon Boulevard, Suite A Devon 19333 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | Tredyffrin Township Easttown Township |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 30 Route 202 Route 252 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Easttown Township Tredyffrin Township Vtd East 01 Vtd East 02 Vtd East 03 Vtd East 04 Vtd East 05 Vtd Middle 01 Vtd Middle 05 Vtd Middle 06 Vtd West 03 Vtd West 04 |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | East Goshen Township Willistown Township Malvern Borough Easttown Township Tredyffrin Township Vtd East 01 Vtd East 02 Vtd Middle 01 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

Vtd Middle 05
Vtd Middle 06
Vtd West 03
Vtd West 04

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

15-1-02 and 15-4-01 are being realigned with 15-2-05 being eliminated. The realignment of 15-1-02 and 15-4-01 encompassing the townships and boroughs of 15-2-05 will result in a better equity of filings and workload within the two magisterial districts and within the county.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-1-03 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 5,888 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -24% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 8% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 45,526 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 6% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | NO |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2013 |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Gregory Hines | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/3/2016 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|---|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>): | 12/31/2035 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 256 E. Lincoln Highway Coatesville 19320 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | City of Coatesville Borough of South Coatesville |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 30 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Coatesville City Voting Ward 2 Voting Ward 4 Voting Ward 5 South Coatesville Borough |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Coatesville City Voting Ward 2 Voting Ward 4 South Coatesville Borough |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | The intent of realigning 15-1-05 and 15-1-03 is to equalize the filings and workload between the two magisterial districts. |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-1-04 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 14,502 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | 50% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 62% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 69,834 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 39% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See VIII. | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Gwenn S. Knapp | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/3/2016 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|---|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2016 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 530 E. Union Street, Suite A West Chester 19382 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | West Chester Borough Police West Chester University PD |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 100 Route 322 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | West Chester Ward 1 West Chester Ward 2 West Chester Ward 4 |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | West Chester Ward 1 West Chester Ward 2 West Chester Ward 4 |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | Filings and workload for this MDJ office are anticipated to decrease substantially in calendar year 2012. The office has already seen a vast reduction in the number of parking tickets filed by the borough due to a change in the processing of the tickets by the borough. |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|-------------|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-1-05 |
| II. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 5,283 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -38% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | -3% |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 36,453 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | -17% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See VIII. | |
| IV. | PROPOSED CHANGE: | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2013 |
| V. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Grover E. Koon | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/3/2016 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| C. | Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2017 |
| D. | Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 615 Sands Court Coatesville 19320 |
| E. | Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. | Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. | List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | Valley Township Coatesville City |
| H. | List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 30 Route 82 Route 372 Route 340 |
| VI. | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Valley Township Coatesville City Voting Ward 1 Voting Ward 3 |
| VII. | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Valley Township Coatesville City Voting Ward 1 Voting Ward 3 Voting Ward 5 |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | The intent of realigning 15-1-05 and 15-1-03 is to equalize the filings and workload between the two magisterial districts. |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-2-01 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 8,016 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | 9% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 32% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 54,707 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 22% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See VIII. | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2013 |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Theodore Michaels | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/5/2014 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|---|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2027 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 347 Bridge Street Phoenixville 19460 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | East Pikeland Township Schuylkill Township Borough of Phoenixville |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 23 Route 113 PA Turnpike Route 29 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Charlestown Township East Pikeland Township Phoenixville Borough Schuylkill Township |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Charlestown Township Phoenixville Borough Schuylkill Township |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | Realigning 15-2-01 and 15-3-01 to balance workload and caseload averages. |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-2-03 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 6,104 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -19% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 11% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 43,028 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 1% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | NO |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: William D. Kraut | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/5/2014 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|---|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2017 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 601 Westtown Road, Suite 110 West Chester 19380 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | Westtown-East Goshen Township West Goshen Township |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 202 Route 352 Route 3 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Thornbury Township West Goshen Township Westtown Township |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Thornbury Township West Goshen Township Westtown Township |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|-------------|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-2-05 |
| II. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 5,917 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -23% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 8% |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 34,004 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | -25% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See VIII. | |
| IV. | PROPOSED CHANGE: | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2013 |
| V. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Chester F Darlington | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/5/2014 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| C. | Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2016 |
| D. | Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 1572 Paoli Pike West Chester 19380 |
| E. | Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. | Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. | List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | East Whiteland Township Borough of Malvern Westtown-East Goshen Township Willistown Township |
| H. | List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 3 Route 352 Route 30 |
| VI. | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | East Goshen Township Malvern Borough Willistown Township East Whiteland Township Voting Ward 3 Voting Ward 4 Voting Ward 5 Voting Ward 6 |
| VII. | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | 15-1-02 and 15-4-01 are being realigned with 15-2-05 being eliminated. The realignment of 15-1-02 and 15-4-01 encompassing the townships and boroughs of 15-2-05 will result in a better equity of filings and workload within these two magisterial districts and within the county. |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|-------------|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-2-06 |
| II. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 8,837 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | 18% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 38% |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 56,748 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 25% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See VIII. | |
| IV. | PROPOSED CHANGE: | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2013 |
| V. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Rita A Arnold | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2018 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|---|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>): | 12/31/2026 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 231 Boot Road Downingtown 19335 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | Birmingham Township Downingtown Borough West Chester Borough |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 322 Route 100 Route 30 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Downingtown Borough East Bradford Township East Caln Township West Bradford Township Birmingham Township |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Downingtown Borough East Bradford Township East Caln Township West Bradford Township |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | Realignment of 15-2-06 and 15-3-04 should create more of an equity of workload and filings for these two magisterial districts. |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-2-07 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 7,818 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | 7% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 30% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 33,095 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | -29% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? While workload within this magisterial district is 30% less than the average workload the case filings of this magisterial district are 7% greater than the county average, this resulted in the decision being made to reestablish this magisterial district. | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Lori Donatelli | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/3/2016 |
| C. | Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2030 |
| D. | Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 75 E Uwchlan Avenue, Suite 110 Exton 19341 |
| E. | Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. | Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. | List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Upper Uwchlan Township West Pikeland Township Uwchlan Township | |
| H. | List any major highways within this magisterial district: PA Turnpike Route 113 Route 100 Route 401 | |
| VI. | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: West Pikeland Township Upper Uwchlan Township Uwchlan Township | |
| VII. | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: West Pikeland Township Upper Uwchlan Township Uwchlan Township | |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-3-01 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 5,555 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -31% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 2% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 39,566 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | -8% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | NO |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2013 |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: James V DeAngelo | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2018 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|--|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2024 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 1130 Ridge Road Pottstown 19465 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | East Coventry Township East Vincent Township West Vincent Township North Coventry Township Borough of Spring City |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 100 Route 23 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | East Coventry Township East Nantmeal Township East Vincent Township North Coventry Township South Coventry Township Spring City Borough Warwick Township West Vincent Township |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | East Coventry Township East Nantmeal Township East Vincent Township North Coventry Township South Coventry Township Spring City Borough Warwick Township West Vincent Township East Pikeland |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

Realigning 15-2-01 and 15-3-01 to balance workload and caseload averages.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-3-04 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 5,275 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -38% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | -3% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 36,713 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | -16% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See VIII. | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2013 |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Daniel J. Maisano | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2018 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| C. | Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2021 |
| D. | Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 120 N. Broad Street Kennett Square 19348 |
| E. | Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. | Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. | List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | Borough of Kennett Square |
| H. | List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 1 Route 82 |
| VI. | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> East Marlborough Township Kennett Square Borough Kennett Township Newlin Township Pennsbury Township Pocopson Township |
| VII. | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> East Marlborough Township Kennett Square Borough Kennett Township Newlin Township Pennsbury Township Pocopson Township Birmingham Township |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | Realignment of 15-2-06 and 15-3-04 should create more of an equity of workload and filings for these two magisterial districts. |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-3-05 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 5,309 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -37% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | -2% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 44,692 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 5% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | NO |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Scott A. Massey | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2018 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|--|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2044 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 305 S. Third Street Oxford 19363 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | Oxford Borough Lincoln University West Nottingham |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 1 Route 472 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | East Nottingham Township Lower Oxford Township New London Township Elk Township Oxford Borough Penn Township Upper Oxford Township West Nottingham Township |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | East Nottingham Township Lower Oxford Township New London Township Elk Township Oxford Borough Penn Township Upper Oxford Township West Nottingham Township |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-3-06 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 6,118 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -19% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 11% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 34,346 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | -24% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See VIII. | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2013 |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Michael J. Cabry | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2018 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|--|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2031 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 4824 Horseshoe Pike Honey Brook 19344 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | West Brandywine Township Honeybrook Township West Caln Township West Nantmeal Township |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 322 Route 82 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Elverson Borough Honey Brook Borough Honey Brook Township Wallace Township West Brandywine Township West Caln Township West Nantmeal Township |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Elverson Borough Honey Brook Borough Honey Brook Township Wallace Township West Brandywine Township West Caln Township West Nantmeal Township Sadsbury Township |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | The realignment of 15-3-06 and 15-3-07 will equalize workload and filings between the two magisterial districts. |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-3-07 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 6,929 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -5% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 21% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 43,911 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 3% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | NO |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2013 |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Nancy A. Gill | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/5/2014 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|--|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2024 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 315 First Avenue, Bldg. 3 Parkesburg 19365 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | Borough of Parkesburg West Sadsbury PD East Fallowfield Township West Fallowfield Township Sadsbury Township |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 30 Route 10 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Atglen Borough East Fallowfield Township Highland Township Londonderry Township Modena Borough Parkesburg Borough Sadsbury Township West Fallowfield Township West Sadsbury Township |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Atglen Borough East Fallowfield Township Highland Township Londonderry Township Modena Borough Parkesburg Borough West Fallowfield Township West Sadsbury Township |

AOPC

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

The realignment of 15-3-06 and 15-3-07 will equalize workload and filings between the two magisterial districts.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-4-01 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 5,275 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -38% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | -3% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 22,115 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | -93% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See VIII. | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2013 |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Analisa Sondergaard | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2018 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|---|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2039 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | Chesterbrook Village Shpg Cntr, 500 Chesterbrook Blvd Wayne 19087 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | Tredyffrin Township |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 202 Route 252 Pennsylvania Turnpike |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Township Of Tredyffrin Vtd Middle 02 Vtd Middle 03 Vtd Middle 04 Vtd Middle 07 Vtd West 01 Vtd West 02 Vtd West 05 |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Township Of Tredyffrin Vtd East 03 Vtd East 04 Vtd East 05 Vtd Middle 02 Vtd Middle 03 Vtd Middle 04 Vtd Middle 07 Vtd West 01 Vtd West 02 Vtd West 05 East Whiteland Township Vtd 03 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

Vtd 04
Vtd 05
Vtd 06

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

15-1-02 and 15-4-01 are being realigned with 15-2-05 being eliminated. The realignment of 15-1-02 and 15-4-01 encompassing the townships and boroughs of 15-2-05 will result in a better equity of filings and workload within the two magisterial districts and within the county.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-4-02 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 7,271 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | 0% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 25% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 44,530 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 4% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | NO |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Jeffrey Valocchi | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/3/2016 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|--|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>): | 12/31/2022 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 47 N. Bailey Road Thorndale 19372 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | East Brandywine Township Brandywine Regional Caln Township |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 30 Route 322 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Caln Township East Brandywine Township |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Caln Township East Brandywine Township |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-4-03 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 7,770 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | 6% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 30% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 44,989 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | 5% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | NO |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: John R. Bailey | |
| B. | Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/1/2018 |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|--|
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2025 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 748 Springdale Drive, Suite 110 Exton 19341 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | East Whiteland Township West Whiteland Township |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 30 Route 202 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | West Whiteland Township East Whiteland Township Vtd 01 Vtd 02 |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | West Whiteland Township East Whiteland Township Vtd 01 Vtd 02 |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| I. | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): | 15-4-04 |
| II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Caseload: | 5,476 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: | -33% |
| C. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: | 1% |
| III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD | | |
| A. | Average Total Workload: | 35,357 |
| B. | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: | -21% |
| C. | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district: | YES |
| D. | If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? Filings for 15-4-04 for the first 11 months of 2011 have increased by 20% over the total filings for 2010 and 2009. Due to this increase the recommendation is to reestablish 15-4-04. | |
| IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: | | |
| A. | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate |
| B. | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): | |
| V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: | | |
| A. | Magisterial District Judge Name: Matthew Seavey | |



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

| | |
|---|---|
| B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): | 1/3/2016 |
| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): | 12/31/2040 |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): | 3 Moxley Lane Avondale 19311 |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | YES |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: | New Garden Township Avondale Borough West Grove Borough |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: | Route 1 Route 41 |
| VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Avondale Borough Franklin Township London Britain Township London Grove Township New Garden Township West Grove Borough West Marlborough Township |
| VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: | Avondale Borough Franklin Township London Britain Township London Grove Township New Garden Township West Grove Borough West Marlborough Township |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | |
| PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT. | |

Tables 1 and 2

Chester County Average Case Caseload Compared to Each Magisterial District Office

| Chester | MD | CR | NT | PC | TR | Civil | Landlord/ Tenant | Avg Caseload | Variance |
|-------------|----|-----|-------|----|--------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Avg | 40 | 354 | 968 | 22 | 5,478 | 269 | 151 | 7,282 | |
| MDJ-15-1-01 | 27 | 338 | 1,428 | 2 | 13,995 | 160 | 110 | 16,060 | 55% |
| MDJ-15-1-02 | 26 | 241 | 418 | 2 | 3,965 | 199 | 103 | 4,954 | -47% |
| MDJ-15-1-03 | 36 | 387 | 1,668 | 28 | 3,453 | 161 | 156 | 5,888 | -24% |
| MDJ-15-1-04 | 92 | 411 | 2,090 | 2 | 11,700 | 125 | 83 | 14,502 | 50% |
| MDJ-15-1-05 | 41 | 294 | 1,093 | 15 | 3,497 | 167 | 176 | 5,283 | -38% |
| MDJ-15-2-01 | 31 | 469 | 1,439 | 15 | 5,367 | 450 | 246 | 8,016 | 9% |
| MDJ-15-2-03 | 55 | 358 | 1,047 | 51 | 4,027 | 336 | 232 | 6,104 | -19% |
| MDJ-15-2-05 | 72 | 283 | 455 | 30 | 4,605 | 395 | 78 | 5,917 | -23% |
| MDJ-15-2-06 | 36 | 604 | 947 | 49 | 6,588 | 378 | 236 | 8,837 | 18% |
| MDJ-15-2-07 | 18 | 214 | 485 | 13 | 6,775 | 211 | 102 | 7,818 | 7% |
| MDJ-15-3-01 | 26 | 367 | 952 | 7 | 3,679 | 322 | 202 | 5,555 | -31% |
| MDJ-15-3-04 | 61 | 327 | 978 | 34 | 3,554 | 281 | 40 | 5,275 | -38% |
| MDJ-15-3-05 | 36 | 417 | 1,585 | 10 | 2,886 | 289 | 86 | 5,309 | -37% |
| MDJ-15-3-06 | 23 | 241 | 681 | 20 | 4,638 | 257 | 260 | 6,118 | -19% |
| MDJ-15-3-07 | 46 | 347 | 1,134 | 81 | 4,873 | 308 | 139 | 6,929 | -5% |
| MDJ-15-4-01 | 10 | 184 | 177 | 3 | 4,708 | 132 | 61 | 5,275 | -38% |
| MDJ-15-4-02 | 58 | 335 | 784 | 32 | 5,309 | 451 | 304 | 7,271 | 0% |
| MDJ-15-4-03 | 25 | 492 | 575 | 13 | 6,224 | 239 | 202 | 7,770 | 6% |
| MDJ-15-4-04 | 48 | 421 | 456 | 9 | 4,233 | 245 | 64 | 5,476 | -33% |

Table 3

| | Avg Caseload | Variance |
|-------------|-----------------|----------|
| Chester | 7,282 | |
| 3rd Class | 5,440 | 34% |
| MDJ-15-1-01 | 16,060 | 66% |
| MDJ-15-1-02 | 4,954 | -10% |
| MDJ-15-1-03 | 5,888 | 8% |
| MDJ-15-1-04 | 14,502 | 62% |
| MDJ-15-1-05 | 5,283 | -3% |
| MDJ-15-2-01 | 8,016 | 32% |
| MDJ-15-2-03 | 6,104 | 11% |
| MDJ-15-2-05 | 5,917 | 8% |
| MDJ-15-2-06 | 8,837 | 38% |
| MDJ-15-2-07 | 7,818 | 30% |
| MDJ-15-3-01 | 5,555 | 2% |
| MDJ-15-3-04 | 5,275 | -3% |
| MDJ-15-3-05 | 5,309 | -2% |
| MDJ-15-3-06 | 6,118 | 11% |
| MDJ-15-3-07 | 6,929 | 21% |
| MDJ-15-4-01 | 5,275 | -3% |
| MDJ-15-4-02 | 7,271 | 25% |
| MDJ-15-4-03 | 7,770 | 30% |
| MDJ-15-4-04 | 5,476 | 1% |

Table 4 **Chester County Average Caseload Compared to Individual 3rd Class Counties Average Caseload**

| | Avg Caseload | Berks | Dauphin | Erie | Lackawanna | Lancaster | Lehigh | Luzerne | Northampton | Westmoreland | York |
|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|-------|------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| | | 6,701 | 6,824 | 3,224 | 4,378 | 5,562 | 6,592 | 4,239 | 4,845 | 4,406 | 5,783 |
| MDJ-15-1-01 | 16,060 | 58% | 58% | 80% | 73% | 65% | 59% | 74% | 70% | 73% | 64% |
| MDJ-15-1-02 | 4,954 | -35% | -38% | 35% | 12% | -12% | -33% | 14% | 2% | 11% | -17% |
| MDJ-15-1-03 | 5,888 | -14% | -16% | 45% | 26% | 6% | -12% | 28% | 18% | 25% | 2% |
| MDJ-15-1-04 | 14,502 | 54% | 53% | 78% | 70% | 62% | 55% | 71% | 67% | 70% | 60% |
| MDJ-15-1-05 | 5,283 | -27% | -29% | 39% | 17% | -5% | -25% | 20% | 8% | 17% | -9% |
| MDJ-15-2-01 | 8,016 | 16% | 15% | 60% | 45% | 31% | 18% | 47% | 40% | 45% | 28% |
| MDJ-15-2-03 | 6,104 | -10% | -12% | 47% | 28% | 9% | -8% | 31% | 21% | 28% | 5% |
| MDJ-15-2-05 | 5,917 | -13% | -15% | 46% | 26% | 6% | -11% | 28% | 18% | 26% | 2% |
| MDJ-15-2-06 | 8,837 | 24% | 23% | 64% | 50% | 37% | 25% | 52% | 45% | 50% | 35% |
| MDJ-15-2-07 | 7,818 | 14% | 13% | 59% | 44% | 29% | 16% | 46% | 38% | 44% | 26% |
| MDJ-15-3-01 | 5,555 | -21% | -23% | 42% | 21% | 0% | -19% | 24% | 13% | 21% | -4% |
| MDJ-15-3-04 | 5,275 | -27% | -29% | 39% | 17% | -5% | -25% | 20% | 8% | 16% | -10% |
| MDJ-15-3-05 | 5,309 | -26% | -29% | 39% | 18% | -5% | -24% | 20% | 9% | 17% | -9% |
| MDJ-15-3-06 | 6,118 | -10% | -12% | 47% | 28% | 9% | -8% | 31% | 21% | 28% | 5% |
| MDJ-15-3-07 | 6,929 | 3% | 2% | 53% | 37% | 20% | 5% | 39% | 30% | 36% | 17% |
| MDJ-15-4-01 | 5,275 | -27% | -29% | 39% | 17% | -5% | -25% | 20% | 8% | 16% | -10% |
| MDJ-15-4-02 | 7,271 | 8% | 6% | 56% | 40% | 24% | 9% | 42% | 33% | 39% | 20% |
| MDJ-15-4-03 | 7,770 | 14% | 12% | 59% | 44% | 28% | 15% | 45% | 38% | 43% | 26% |
| MDJ-15-4-04 | 5,476 | -22% | -25% | 41% | 20% | -2% | -20% | 23% | 12% | 20% | -6% |

Table 5

| Docket Type | Case Weight |
|-------------|-------------|
| CR | 36.72 |
| NT | 10.74 |
| TR | 2.28 |
| CV | 11.28 |
| LT | 14.48 |
| MD | 32.57 |
| PC | 10.75 |

Table 6 **Chester County Average Workload Compared to Each Individual Magisterial District Office**

| Average Chester Count | CR | NT | TR | CV | LT | MD | PC | Sum of Workload | Variance |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----------------|----------|
| Average | 13,005 | 10,394 | 12,489 | 3,031 | 2,193 | 1,314 | 233 | 42,660 | |
| MDJ-15-1-01 | 12,399 | 15,333 | 31,909 | 1,809 | 1,595 | 869 | 25 | 63,938 | 33% |
| MDJ-15-1-02 | 8,843 | 4,493 | 9,039 | 2,243 | 1,496 | 847 | 23 | 26,985 | -58% |
| MDJ-15-1-03 | 14,205 | 17,914 | 7,873 | 1,820 | 2,256 | 1,162 | 296 | 45,526 | 6% |
| MDJ-15-1-04 | 15,098 | 22,443 | 26,676 | 1,408 | 1,197 | 2,996 | 16 | 69,834 | 39% |
| MDJ-15-1-05 | 10,808 | 11,737 | 7,972 | 1,886 | 2,548 | 1,346 | 156 | 36,453 | -17% |
| MDJ-15-2-01 | 17,203 | 15,451 | 12,236 | 5,076 | 3,557 | 1,021 | 163 | 54,707 | 22% |
| MDJ-15-2-03 | 13,146 | 11,239 | 9,181 | 3,786 | 3,352 | 1,780 | 543 | 43,028 | 1% |
| MDJ-15-2-05 | 10,386 | 4,885 | 10,499 | 4,454 | 1,129 | 2,334 | 317 | 34,004 | -25% |
| MDJ-15-2-06 | 22,179 | 10,165 | 15,020 | 4,264 | 3,410 | 1,183 | 527 | 56,748 | 25% |
| MDJ-15-2-07 | 7,852 | 5,212 | 15,447 | 2,376 | 1,477 | 586 | 143 | 33,095 | -29% |
| MDJ-15-3-01 | 13,488 | 10,223 | 8,388 | 3,636 | 2,925 | 836 | 70 | 39,566 | -8% |
| MDJ-15-3-04 | 12,014 | 10,498 | 8,104 | 3,172 | 579 | 1,987 | 360 | 36,713 | -16% |
| MDJ-15-3-05 | 15,294 | 17,025 | 6,579 | 3,260 | 1,245 | 1,183 | 106 | 44,692 | 5% |
| MDJ-15-3-06 | 8,843 | 7,310 | 10,574 | 2,893 | 3,760 | 749 | 217 | 34,346 | -24% |
| MDJ-15-3-07 | 12,754 | 12,176 | 11,111 | 3,478 | 2,013 | 1,509 | 871 | 43,911 | 3% |
| MDJ-15-4-01 | 6,756 | 1,897 | 10,735 | 1,493 | 881 | 326 | 27 | 22,115 | -93% |
| MDJ-15-4-02 | 12,301 | 8,415 | 12,104 | 5,084 | 4,395 | 1,889 | 342 | 44,530 | 4% |
| MDJ-15-4-03 | 18,054 | 6,179 | 14,191 | 2,696 | 2,927 | 803 | 138 | 44,989 | 5% |
| MDJ-15-4-04 | 15,471 | 4,892 | 9,651 | 2,765 | 929 | 1,553 | 95 | 35,357 | -21% |

Table 7 **Chester County Workload Averages Compared to the Workload Average of all 3rd Class Counties**

| Average 3rd Class Counties | CR | NT | TR | CV | LT | MD | PC | Sum of Workload | Variance |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|----------|
| Average | 15,034 | 8,623 | 7,887 | 3,253 | 2,816 | 3,717 | 1,838 | 43,168 | |
| MDJ-15-1-01 | 12,399 | 15,333 | 31,909 | 1,809 | 1,595 | 869 | 25 | 63,938 | 32% |
| MDJ-15-1-02 | 8,843 | 4,493 | 9,039 | 2,243 | 1,496 | 847 | 23 | 26,985 | -60% |
| MDJ-15-1-03 | 14,205 | 17,914 | 7,873 | 1,820 | 2,256 | 1,162 | 296 | 45,526 | 5% |
| MDJ-15-1-04 | 15,098 | 22,443 | 26,676 | 1,408 | 1,197 | 2,996 | 16 | 69,834 | 38% |
| MDJ-15-1-05 | 10,808 | 11,737 | 7,972 | 1,886 | 2,548 | 1,346 | 156 | 36,453 | -18% |
| MDJ-15-2-01 | 17,203 | 15,451 | 12,236 | 5,076 | 3,557 | 1,021 | 163 | 54,707 | 21% |
| MDJ-15-2-03 | 13,146 | 11,239 | 9,181 | 3,786 | 3,352 | 1,780 | 543 | 43,028 | 0% |
| MDJ-15-2-05 | 10,386 | 4,885 | 10,499 | 4,454 | 1,129 | 2,334 | 317 | 34,004 | -27% |
| MDJ-15-2-06 | 22,179 | 10,165 | 15,020 | 4,264 | 3,410 | 1,183 | 527 | 56,748 | 24% |
| MDJ-15-2-07 | 7,852 | 5,212 | 15,447 | 2,376 | 1,477 | 586 | 143 | 33,095 | -30% |
| MDJ-15-3-01 | 13,488 | 10,223 | 8,388 | 3,636 | 2,925 | 836 | 70 | 39,566 | -9% |
| MDJ-15-3-04 | 12,014 | 10,498 | 8,104 | 3,172 | 579 | 1,987 | 360 | 36,713 | -18% |
| MDJ-15-3-05 | 15,294 | 17,025 | 6,579 | 3,260 | 1,245 | 1,183 | 106 | 44,692 | 3% |
| MDJ-15-3-06 | 8,843 | 7,310 | 10,574 | 2,893 | 3,760 | 749 | 217 | 34,346 | -26% |
| MDJ-15-3-07 | 12,754 | 12,176 | 11,111 | 3,478 | 2,013 | 1,509 | 871 | 43,911 | 2% |
| MDJ-15-4-01 | 6,756 | 1,897 | 10,735 | 1,493 | 881 | 326 | 27 | 22,115 | -95% |
| MDJ-15-4-02 | 12,301 | 8,415 | 12,104 | 5,084 | 4,395 | 1,889 | 342 | 44,530 | 3% |
| MDJ-15-4-03 | 18,054 | 6,179 | 14,191 | 2,696 | 2,927 | 803 | 138 | 44,989 | 4% |
| MDJ-15-4-04 | 15,471 | 4,892 | 9,651 | 2,765 | 929 | 1,553 | 95 | 35,357 | -22% |

Proof of Publication of Notice in the Daily Local News

Under Newspaper Advertising Act No. 587, Approved May 16, 1929

State of Pennsylvania
County of Chester {ss:

{No. Term, 2012

Patricia Sigda, Legal Representative of the Daily Local News Company, a corporation, of the County and State of Pennsylvania, being duly sworn, deposes and says that the Daily Local News, a newspaper of general circulation, published in the County of Chester, Pennsylvania, was established and incorporated December 11, 1911, since which date the Daily Local News has been published, and that the printed notice or publication attached hereto is exactly the same as regular editions and issues of the said Daily Local News on the following dates viz:

February 8 A.D. 2012

That he/she is the proper person duly authorized by the Daily Local News Company, a corporation, to verify the foregoing and that affiant is not interested in the subject matter of the aforesaid notice or publication and that the foregoing statements as to time, place and character of publication are true and correct.

Proposed Reestablishment Plan for the Magisterial Districts of the Fifteenth Judicial District Chester County, Pennsylvania.
The process by which the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania reviews the boundaries of the magisterial districts within each judicial district of the First Judicial District Chester County. This review is undertaken in each year following the official reporting of the decennial census figures. The review is based upon reported population figures, case types and case filings, case weights, geographic positioning of the magisterial districts and the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC). It is appropriate to serve its residents over the next decade.

PUBLICATION

affirmed to and subscribed before me this 8

day of February 2012

Notary Public

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA
My Commission Expires:
Beverly J. [Name] Notary Public
East Br [Name] for County
My Commission Expires June 4th, 2013
Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notaries
Christine O'Neil-Bell

In an effort to educate the public about this process and offer the opportunity for public input to the proposal, copies of this document are available in the Court Administration Office (Suite 4100), 201 West Market Street, West Chester, PA. A copy is also available at the Chester County Public Library in Exton, PA and the Henrietta Hankin Library 215 Windgate Drive, Chester Springs, PA. The document may be viewed on Chester County's Website www.chesco.org under the County News/Info Section.

All comment to the proposed plan must be in writing. Comments specific to the proposal will be included with the final draft proposal that is forwarded to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for approval. All comments must be addressed as follows:

Court Administration
c/o Reestablishment Proposal
Comments
Chester County Justice Center
201 W. Market Street
Suite 4100
P.O. Box 2748
West Chester, PA 19380

Comments may also be faxed to 610-344-6127.

Comments will be accepted until March 6, 2012.

Court Administration
Chester County Justice Center
201 W. Market Street, Suite 4100
West Chester, PA 19380

To DAILY LOCAL NEWS COMPANY, Dr.
For publishing the notice or publication attached hereto on the above stated dates\$
Probating same\$
Total\$

Publisher's Receipt for Advertising Costs

Company, a corporation, publishers of the Daily Local News, a newspaper of general circulation, receives receipt of the aforesaid notice and publication costs and certifies that the same has been duly paid.

Company, Publishers of the DAILY LOCAL NEWS, a newspaper of General Circulation.

**Public Comment to Proposed Realignment of East Pikeland Township
from Magisterial District Court 15-2-01 to 15-3-01**

To Court Administration Reestablishment Committee:

We, Magisterial District Judge Theodore Michaels and East Pikeland Chief of Police James Franciscus, wish to commend all those involved in the tremendous effort to establish a comprehensive Reestablishment Plan for Chester County Magisterial District Courts. However, with respect, we wish to strenuously object to the realignment proposal to move East Pikeland Township from its current jurisdiction in 15-2-01 to 15-3-01.

While a strict interpretation of caseload statistics may seem to initially support such a realignment, there are three very important reasons that outweigh that logic.

First, Magisterial District Court 15-2-01 was relocated to a brand new, state of the art, county designed facility in early 2005 at substantial increased cost in tax payer dollars. In comparison, the 15-3-01 Court is operating out of the oldest facility in Chester County since 1970 and was remodeled to its now maximum possible size. The new 15-2-01 Court is vastly superior in square footage for current and potential future growth. 15-2-01 can accommodate existing and future needs as new equipment, staff and caseload increase. 15-3-01 is at maximum capacity now and cannot accommodate future growth. Public seating both inside and outside the courtroom in 15-2-01 is superior in both raw square footage and the ability to isolate alleged victims from the very same people they are in court to confront. Additional public safety and security concerns can be better met at 15-2-01 because brand new spacious holding cells exist to routinely handle a much larger volume of incarcerated individuals and at the same time better segregate male, female and adult charged juvenile prisoners. Safety and security issues are also better met at 15-2-01 because all access to incarcerated individuals is better designed from original ingress and egress through a secure access, privately secured access to and from the courtroom and no unsecured access of the general public to the incarcerated individuals. Further, the huge volume of paperwork and records that is routinely generated and stored at all courts is not at full capacity and more easily accessible at 15-2-01. In short, it simply makes sense that the 15-2-01 facility handle larger caseloads because it was *designed* to do so, while the 15-3-01 is at maximum capacity with its current caseload.

Second, Magisterial District Court 15-2-01 has a larger staff (5 full time and 1 very experienced and efficient part time clerks) than 15-3-01 (4 full time clerks). No existing staff member at 15-2-01 presently wishes to transfer to 15-3-01 and even if one would, the previous problem of where to locate an additional work station at 15-3-01 exists. The facility at 15-2-01 could accommodate an additional work station easily. The single most important factor in handling caseload volume is the court staff. The vast majority of cases processed every year do not involve actual hearings before a Judge. It is the quantity and quality of the court's staff that largely determines how efficiently a caseload is managed.

Third, and most importantly, the safety of property and person of East Pikeland residents will be gravely compromised by the proposed realignment. As in real estate so it is in response time, the

three most important factors are location, location and location. The ability of a police officer to respond quickly to a call for help is the best way for that help to save property and lives. One need only look at the most recent headline to see that an East Pikeland officer was able to respond and put out a fire before the fire department arrived, thus saving property and possibly lives. All across Pennsylvania, in response to ever tightening budgets, police departments look for ways to save taxpayers costs. East Pikeland Township Police Department, like the vast majority of township, borough and state police departments, have adopted the routine procedure to collaborate with their respective Magisterial District Court to schedule as many traffic and non-traffic hearings as possible for their officers while they are on active duty and patrol. This saves money that would otherwise be paid out of an overtime budget to pay the officer to come in on a day off. When a criminal act is reported, the hearings are interrupted so the on duty police officer can respond. The East Pikeland Police Station is approximately 2 miles from 15-2-01 and 10 miles from 15-3-01. In almost every material geographical respect, the response time of an East Pikeland officer will be substantially less if the proposed realignment is abandoned. Adherence to strict numerical caseload statistics must take a back seat to the safety and well being of every resident of East Pikeland Township.

For all of these reasons, we implore the Committee to reconsider its proposed realignment of East Pikeland Township and leave it where it has been and served its residents so well for these many years, in Magisterial District Court 15-2-01.

Respectfully submitted;



Theodore Michaels
Magisterial District Judge 15-2-01



James Franciscus
East Pikeland Township Chief of Police

**Proposed Reestablishment Plan for the Magisterial Districts of the
Fifteenth Judicial District
Chester County Court of Common Pleas, Chester County, Pennsylvania**

Public Comment Submitted by:

Magisterial District Judge Gregory V. Hines, 15-1-03

I would like to thank all those involved in the Reestablishment Plan for Chester County Magisterial District Courts. The Chester County reestablishment draft plan calls for the transfer of Ward 5(120 Precinct) from 15-1-03 to 15-1-05. I believe that this will result in an inequity between the Courts and proposed that Ward 5(120 Precinct) remain within the boundaries of 15-1-03.

Based on the 2010 reestablishment information from AOPC, the current populations for the affected districts are:

15-1-05: 12,725 residents

15-1-03: 8,472 residents

The transfer of Ward 5(120 Precinct) from 15-1-03 to 15-1-05 would increase the population disparity between the two districts:

15-1-05: 14,563 residents

15-1-03: 6,634 residents

Based on the 2010 reestablishment information from AOPC, the total filings for the affected districts show a difference of 630 filings for Court 15-1-03:

15-1-05: 5,280 filings

15-1-03: 5,910 filings

However, the recently provided statistics for 2011 show a difference of 316 filings for Court 15-1-05:


15-1-05: 5,676 filings

15-1-03: 5,360 filings

Based on the current filing trends, it appears that a transfer of Ward 5(120 Precinct) from 15-1-03 to 15-1-05 would result in an increase in workload for 15-1-05 and a reduction in workload for 15-1-03. Furthermore, this area Ward 5(120 Precinct) is predominately a low income area. Having to travel to 15-1-05 would be considered a hardship to many residents in this area. Many people in this area walk to the court to handle their business.

The proposed redistricting change would inconvenience the citizens of Ward 5(120 Precinct) as they would be required to travel a greater distance to the Court and similar to the citizens of the Borough of Modena, they would now be required to travel past two Courts, 15-1-03 and 15-4-02, to reach their "home" Court. The proposed solution not only plans for the future but also provides for the County's goal of equity.

Respectfully Submitted,



Gregory V. Hines

Magisterial District Judge 15-1-03



Sadsbury Township Police

CHESTER COUNTY

BOX 418, SADSBURYVILLE, PA 19369

RADIO ROOM 610-857-5541

OFFICE 610-857-9536

MORRIS J. GROCE III
CHIEF OF POLICE

EMERGENCY
DIAL
911

Court Administration
c/o Reestablishment Proposal Comments
Chester County Justice Center
PO Box 2748
West Chester, Pa. 19382

2/20/12

The Sadsbury Township Police Department and Sadsbury Township Board of Supervisors are in receipt of the Chester County, Pennsylvania 15th Judicial District, Magisterial District Reestablishment Plan 2012. We have reviewed the draft of your recommended changes in District Court assignment for Sadsbury Township changing our District Court from 15-3-07, Parkesburg, to District Court 15-3-06, Honeybrook.

In the document, there are no facts provided that would indicate this change of court for Sadsbury Township will in fact provide any significant change in the volume of work assigned to Parkesburg Court 15-3-07. Our Uniform Crime Reports (UCR's) do not indicate a high volume of criminal activity in our municipality which requires adjudication through the court system.

The Sadsbury Township Police department patrols the 30 miles of state and local roadways and provides law enforcement services to the 3500 residents of the township. Our department consists of 2 fulltime officers and 5 part time officers. We provide patrol coverage 16 hours each day with one officer on duty per shift.

Since the beginning of the district justice system, we have been served by District Court 15-3-07 in Parkesburg. This court is located less that 1.0 mile from Sadsbury Township. The proposed change to District Court 15-3-06 Honeybrook is 24 miles round trip.

One of our daily patrol requirements is the pick up and delivery of police department documents from the District Court. The proposed court change will cause Sadsbury Township Police to drive approximately 5000 additional miles per year back and forth to the new court location, increase our gasoline usage, increase wear and tear on the vehicles and increase the exposure of our officers to additional safety issues in driving the extra 24 miles per trip to the court.


With only one officer on duty, our officer can now fulfill the necessity of stopping in court to pick up and drop off documents while remaining on-duty status while meeting this obligation. With the court location change, this officer will be required to go off-duty for a minimum of 1 hour for each round trip to court, thereby depriving our residents of police protection during that period of time. It will also place an additional burden on the Pennsylvania State Police to respond during the times our officer is off-duty, out of the township, for court.

Criminal court days require an officer(s) to be in court at 9:00 am for the trial list since hearings are not scheduled at a specific time. This court change will now cause Sadsbury Police officer(s) to drive 12 miles, only to find out that the defendant does not show or the case will be continued at the last minute for some reason until a future date.

The suggested District Court location change is an unfunded mandate creating not only increased costs and inefficiency for Sadsbury Township, but in addition a significant disregard to our residents that eventually will end up bearing the increased costs of operation for the police department, as well as the lack of police protection during the times our officer is out of the township for court at a distant location.

The change also deprives our township residents from being able to conduct any court related business they may need, or paying a fine, without driving 12 miles to another location.

The Sadsbury Township Board of Supervisors and the Sadsbury Township Police Department respectfully request your reconsideration in this matter and not change our District Court from 15-3-07 Parkesburg.



Stephanie Silvermail
Board of Supervisors
Chairman



Morris J. Groce, III
Chief of Police

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGES ASSOCIATION OF CHESTER COUNTY

Court Administration
c/o Reestablishment Proposal Comments
Chester County Justice Center
201 W. Market Street, Suite 4100
P.O. Box 2748
West Chester, PA 19380

VIA FAX: 610-344-6127

To Court Administrative Reestablishment Committee:

We, the below listed Judges of the Magisterial District Judges Association of Chester County individually agree with and support the recommended changes, to the reestablishment plan, contained in the public comment letter presented by Judge Theodore Michaels and Chief James Franciscus. We believe the proposed change to the publicly presented reestablishment plan will lend itself to a more efficient delivery of Judicial services and would better serve the citizens of East Pikeland, the Phoenixville area 15-2-0, the Bucktown area 15-3-01 and the citizens of Chester County as a whole.

Judge Mark Bruno, Judge Theodore Michaels, Judge William D. Kraut, Judge Daniel J. Maisano, Judge Scott A. Massey, Judge Michael J. Cabry, III, Judge Jeffrey J. Valocchi, and Judge John R. Bailey.

Respectfully Submitted,

Judge Michael J. Cabry, III
Redistricting Committee Chair