

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: **Clinton**

Judicial District #: **25**

Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 25 and its class of county: **1%**

The elimination of a district would cause many difficulties because of the county's geography. While most of the county's population and activity is in the southern portion of the county, a judicial presence should also be in the northern tier because although it is isolated, it has its own school, hospital and public housing. Elimination of a district would also increase the time the remaining two judges would need to dedicate to central court.

Summary of Proposed Actions

25-3-01 Realign

25-3-02 Reestablish

25-3-03 Realign

Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: Yes Night Court: No

Public Comment

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: Yes Comments Received: Yes

- Public Hearing held on 1/12/12; presentation to Lock Haven Kiwanis Club; presentation to Criminal Justice Advisory Board on 1/17/12.
- Solicitor for Renovo Community Trade Association, Robert O'Connor, in support of plan.
- One suggestion to add Wayne Township to 25-3-03 because it is also served by Pine Creek Township Police was incorporated into final plan.

General Comments *Geography within Clinton County makes it almost impossible to eliminate and realign with the most benefit and least disruption. Realignment, to the extent possible, is suggested. 25-3-03 will be responsible for Central Court to help balance workload. To minimize inconvenience to those affected by the realignment, a satellite court is proposed on the third floor of the county courthouse. Future impact of Marcellus Shale on the judicial district is also a big consideration in drafting the proposal.*

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

County Name: **Clinton**

Judicial District #: **25**

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 25-3-01

Proposed Action: Realign

Average Annual Caseload:	2,393	Average Annual Workload:	24,872
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-27.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	18.69
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-26.60	<i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i>	

This district will be realigned, moving some municipalities to 25-3-03. To minimize the inconvenience to citizens of the affected municipalities, a satellite office is proposed in the county courthouse.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Joseph L. Sanders , III

217 North Jay Street

Lock Haven, PA 17745

Birthdate: 3-9-62

Mandatory Retirement: 2032

Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

ALLISON TWP Voting District; AVIS Voting District; CASTANEA TWP Voting District; COLEBROOK TWP Voting District; CRAWFORD TWP Voting District; DUNNSTABLE TWP Voting District; FLEMINGTON BOROUGH Voting District; GALLAGHER TWP Voting District; LOCK HAVEN WD 01; LOCK HAVEN WD 02; LOCK HAVEN WD 03; LOCK HAVEN WD 04; LOCK HAVEN WD 05; PINE CREEK TWP VTD 01; PINE CREEK TWP VTD 02; WAYNE TWP Voting District; WOODWARD TWP Voting District

Proposed Geography:

ALLISON TWP Voting District; CASTANEA TWP Voting District; COLEBROOK TWP Voting District; CRAWFORD TWP Voting District; FLEMINGTON BOROUGH Voting District; LOCK HAVEN WD 01; LOCK HAVEN WD 02; LOCK HAVEN WD 03; LOCK HAVEN WD 04; LOCK HAVEN WD 05; WOODWARD TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Lock Haven Police Department, PA State Police, Woodward Township Police Dept.

Major Highways:

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 25-3-02

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	5,405	Average Annual Workload:	25,310
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	64.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	21.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	65.00		

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

John W. Maggs

385 Beech Creek Avenue P.O. Box 174

Mill Hall, PA 17751

Birthdate: 1-9-59

Mandatory Retirement: 2029

Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

BALD EAGLE TWP Voting District; BEECH CREEK TWP Voting District; BEECH CREEK BOROUGH Voting District; GREENE TWP Voting District; LAMAR TWP Voting District; LOGAN TWP Voting District; LOGANTON BOROUGH Voting District; MILL HALL BOROUGH Voting District; PORTER TWP Voting District

Proposed Geography:

BALD EAGLE TWP Voting District; BEECH CREEK TWP Voting District; BEECH CREEK BOROUGH Voting District; GREENE TWP Voting District; LAMAR TWP Voting District; LOGAN TWP Voting District; LOGANTON BOROUGH Voting District; MILL HALL BOROUGH Voting District; PORTER TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: PA State Police, Mill Hall Borough Police Dept., Lamar Township Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Rte. 220, PA Rte. 150, I-80

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 25-3-03

Proposed Action: *Realign*

Average Annual Caseload:	2,060	Average Annual Workload:	12,384
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-37.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-40.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-37.00	<i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i>	

Municipalities removed from 25-3-01 will be added to this district to increase workload.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Frank P. Mills

130 Third Street

Renovo, PA 17764

Birthdate: 1-30-67

Mandatory Retirement: 2037

Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

CHAPMAN TWP Voting District; EAST KEATING TWP Voting District; GRUGAN TWP Voting District; LEIDY TWP Voting District; NOYES TWP Voting District; RENOVO BOROUGH Voting District; SOUTH RENOVO BOROUGH Voting District; WEST KEATING TWP Voting District

Proposed Geography:

AVIS Voting District; CHAPMAN TWP Voting District; DUNNSTABLE TWP Voting District; EAST KEATING TWP Voting District; GALLAGHER TWP Voting District; GRUGAN TWP Voting District; LEIDY TWP Voting District; NOYES TWP Voting District; PINE CREEK TWP VTD 01; PINE CREEK TWP VTD 02; RENOVO BOROUGH Voting District; SOUTH RENOVO BOROUGH Voting District; WAYNE TWP Voting District; WEST KEATING TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Renovo Police Dept., PA State Police, Pine Creek Township Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Rte. 120

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics

Clinton / 25

Judicial District Average Caseload			
2011 Class	2011 Filings	2012 Class	2012 Filings
6	3,283	6	3,283

2011 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2011 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
6	251	126	2,087	485	231	43	41	3,263

2012 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2012 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
6	244	155	2,019	477	228	42	39	3,204

Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

Judicial District Caseload Averages		
Clinton	2011	2012
Class	6	6
CR	180	180
NT	398	398
PC	21	21
TR	2,427	2,427
CV	141	141
LT	57	57
MD	58	58
AVG	3,283	3,283

Judicial District Workload Averages		
Clinton	2011	2012
Class	6	6
CR	6,622	6,622
NT	4,274	4,274
PC	227	227
TR	5,535	5,535
CV	1,590	1,590
LT	821	821
MD	1,885	1,889
-15 % Workload	17,810	17,814
Average Workload	20,954	20,957
+ 15% Workload	24,097	24,101

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

25-3-01

Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
	2011	2012
2,393		

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

-27	-27.10%	-27.10%
-----	---------	---------

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

-26.6	-26.67%	-25.32%
-------	---------	---------

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
	2011	2012
24,872		

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

18.69	18.70%	18.68%
--------------	--------	--------

25-3-02

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
	2011	2012
5,405		

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

64	64.66%	64.66%
----	--------	--------

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

65	65.62%	68.68%
----	--------	--------

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
	2011	2012
25,310		

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

21	20.79%	20.77%
-----------	--------	--------

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

25-3-03

Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
2,060	2011	2012

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

-37	-37.24%	-37.24%
-----	---------	---------

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

-37	-36.88%	-35.71%
-----	---------	---------

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
12,384	2011	2012

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

-40	-40.90%	-40.91%
-----	---------	---------

2012 MAGISTERIAL REORGANIZATION

The existing configuration of Magisterial Districts within Clinton County has remained unchanged for over two decades. Initially, the disparity in workload was not significant because MDJ's were paid, in part, on the basis of population. At the time of the last Magisterial District Re-Alignment, then President Judge Saxton acknowledged the disparity in caseload and workload with respect to Magisterial District 25-3-03. In an attempt to mitigate this disparity, then Magisterial District Judge Dwyer was assigned responsibility for Central Court. Magisterial District Judge Mills continues to fulfill this responsibility. When MDJ Mills and his staff are in Central Court in Lock Haven, the Renovo Office remains closed. Judge Craig P. Miller, who is currently in charge of the Magisterial District Judges, has also relied heavily on MDJ Mills in the event of recusals or vacation. While the disparity remains, we believe our efforts have reduced any problem. Judge Miller and I are satisfied there are no "hard feelings" on the part of MDJ Sanders or MDJ Maggs.

The average number of filings in Sixth Class Counties is 3,263; the average number of filings in Clinton County is 3,283. The average work load in Sixth Class Counties is 24,894; the average work load in Clinton County is 20,954. The elimination of one MDJ in Clinton County would cause many difficulties because of the geographical nature of the County. While a significant portion of the population resides in the southern half of the County, we believe it essential to maintain MDJ presence in the northern half of the County, which, as noted in Footnote 1, is quite isolated, but has its own high school, a hospital, and a large public housing project. Of equal importance is the reality that eliminating one MDJ would cause the remaining two MDJ's to be on call fifty percent of the time and would make scheduling for vacations,

illness, and continuing education difficult. Finally, all three MDJ's were re-elected in November, 2011, and may serve their entire six-year term.

The configuration of MD-25-3-02 was designed so all municipalities through which Interstate 80 runs are located within the same District. The current MD-25-3-02 office is located within several miles of the Pennsylvania State Police Barracks and is easily accessible from Interstate 80 at the Mackeyville, Lamar, and Milesburg exits. Moreover, with the exception of Mill Hall Borough and Lamar Township, the other municipalities in MD-25-3-02 must rely on the Pennsylvania State Police because they do not have their own municipal police force. Finally, Central Mountain High School and Central Mountain Middle School lie within MD-25-3-02 which results in an increase in workload for MDJ Maggs who has devoted much time to developing Teen Court and truancy diversion programs for the benefit of those students and their parents who come before him. For these reasons, we believe it appropriate to add municipalities from MD-25-3-01 to MD-25-3-03, rather than from MD-25-3-02.

Public notice of the re-alignment was given in both local papers on two separate occasions. That notice advised the public of a public hearing to be held on Thursday, January 12, 2012, at 7:00 p.m., in the large Courtroom of the Clinton County Courthouse. Coverage for the proposal was given by both newspapers as regular news items, both prior to and following the public hearing. I also made a presentation with respect to the proposal at the Lock Haven Kiwanis Club in late December which was covered by the Lock Haven Express, and the proposal was presented for discussion to the Criminal Justice Advisory Board on January 27, 2012.

The public hearing was attended by approximately twelve individuals, all of whom were from the Renovo area and generally represented the Renovo Community Trade Association. A

MICHAEL WILLIAMSON
PRESIDENT JUDGE

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
25TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF PENNSYLVANIA
COURTHOUSE
LOCK HAVEN, PA. 17745

letter from the Trade Association dated January 12, 2012, was presented at the hearing and is attached as Exhibit "A." No public objections have been raised to the proposal. The only suggestion provided following the initial notice was that Wayne Township be added to MD-25-3-03 because Wayne Township is also serviced by the Pine Creek Township Police. We have, therefore, added Wayne Township as suggested.

The elephant in the room is the future of the Marcellus Shale industry in Clinton County. While current exploration is primarily on state-owned land, virtually all of the anticipated new drilling will be in municipalities located within MD-25-3-03 as currently configured and as proposed. Any substantial increase in drilling will, based on the experience of Bradford and Tioga Counties, result in a significant increase in "extracurricular" off-site activity. The increased workload arising from such activity will, in our opinion, equalize the workload of our three Magisterial District Judges within the next several years. We would, therefore, respectfully request the approval of our Re-Alignment Plan notwithstanding its failure to conform to the statistical requirements.

After much consideration, we propose that the Borough of Avis, Pine Creek Township, Gallagher Township, Dunnstable Township, and Wayne Township be moved from MD-25-3-01 to MD-25-3-03. Because Avis and Pine Creek (by far the largest of the five municipalities) are more than thirty miles and forty-five minutes from Renovo (the main office of MD-25-3-03)¹, we

¹If one examines a map, it appears that Renovo is accessible from Avis Borough and Pine Creek Township over the Coudersport Pike (Routes 664 and 44). While physically possible in the summer if one is willing to run the gauntlet of vehicles serving the gas industry, such a trip is frequently impossible in the winter when the Hyner Mountain Road becomes impassable. The only safe and reliable access to Renovo is through Lock Haven and up Route 120.

propose that the existing Central Court Office in the Courthouse in Lock Haven become a satellite office of MD-25-3-03. All criminal and civil filings arising in the “southeastern municipalities,” other than emergencies, would be done through the Central Court Office and transmitted by fax or hand delivered to Renovo or entered directly into the MDJ computer system by the Central Court Administrator. Hearings required for litigation arising in the “southeastern municipalities” would be heard on Tuesday afternoons and all day Thursday or Friday. Because the Central Court Office is staffed full-time currently, the Renovo Office would be able to remain open on the Thursday or Friday that MDJ-25-3-03 is in Central Court or hearing non-Central Court cases.

In analyzing the workloads between the three magisterial districts, we used only the statistics provided by the AOPC for the year 2010 for two reasons. First, the economy in Clinton County has significantly improved in the last several years because of the activity in the Marcellus Shale. Employment has increased and the activities of the gas industry employees have increased. Second, the complement at the Pennsylvania State Police Barracks in Lamar has gradually increased after a significant reduction several years ago. The increased number of troopers patrolling Interstate 80 would suggest the 2010 figures for MD-25-3-02 more accurately reflect his anticipated workload.

Using the figures provided by the AOPC for 2010, as discussed previously, (for some reason Dunnstable Township has no “non-traffic workload” for that year, even though there were six filings), we have added the filing and workload of the five municipalities to be moved to MD-25-3-03 and removed those figures from MD-25-3-01. Those figures changed the grid (AOPC Page 12 of 19) as shown on the attached Exhibit “B” in the manner set forth therein. From a

MICHAEL WILLIAMSON
PRESIDENT JUDGE


COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
25TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF PENNSYLVANIA
COURTHOUSE
LOCK HAVEN, PA 17745

purely criminal prospective, the differential in magisterial districts is significantly reduced. If one were to add the civil and landlord tenant workloads from AOPC Page 3 of 19 and the miscellaneous docket workload from AOPC Page 13 of 19 to the criminal workloads, the workloads between MD-25-3-01 and MD-25-3-02 vary by less than one percent. Moreover, the average workload in MD-25-3-01 and MD-25-3-02 varies from the average workload in Sixth Class Counties generally as shown on Page 3 of 6 of the AOPC statistics by less than one percent.

The above comparison between MD-25-3-01 and MD-25-3-02 did not reflect a reduction in workload with respect to non-criminal matters as a result of the removal of five municipalities from MD-25-3-01. We are advised by the AOPC that civil, landlord tenant, and miscellaneous caseloads are not broken down by municipality. Because much of the rental, commercial, and industrial business is conducted within the City of Lock Haven and its immediate surrounding municipalities which are not being removed from MD-25-03-1, we conclude that the five municipalities being removed would not have a significant volume of non-criminal workload to alter significantly the previous calculations. Nor would the addition of those municipalities to MD-25-03-3 significantly increase the workload. For this reason we intend to continue the practice of having the Magisterial District Judge in MD-25-3-03 be responsible for Central Court as previously discussed. We are satisfied that this additional responsibility, not shared by the other Magisterial District Judges except in the case of illness or vacation, equalizes the workload throughout the three Magisterial Districts in a manner consistent with the general philosophy underlying the Magisterial District Re-Establishment Guidelines. For the reasons set forth herein, therefore, we respectfully request that Clinton County retain its three Magisterial District

Judges and that the municipalities of Avis Borough, Pine Creek Township, Dunnstable Township, Gallagher Township, and Wayne Township, all of which are contiguous with each other, be removed from MD-25-3-01 and added to MD-25-3-03.

Respectfully submitted,



J. Michael Williamson, President Judge

Dated: ay 31, 2012

MICHAEL WILLIAMSON
PRESIDENT JUDGE
—
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
25TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF PENNSYLVANIA
COURTHOUSE
LOCK HAVEN, PA 17745

Law Offices of

Robert D. O'Connor, Jr.

334 East Water Street
Lock Haven, Pennsylvania 17745

(Ph) 570-748-9666
(Fax) 570-748-9665

January 12, 2012

The Honorable J. Michael Williamson
President Judge
Court of Common Pleas of Clinton County
Clinton County Courthouse
230 East Water Street
Lock Haven, Pennsylvania 17745


RE: REALIGNMENT OF CLINTON COUNTY MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Dear Judge Williamson:

In my capacity as Solicitor for the Renovo Community Trade Association, I am forwarding this letter to you with respect to your recent announcement pertaining to the realignment of Magisterial Districts in Clinton County, Pennsylvania. It is the Association's understanding that your proposals are oriented toward the preservation of all three (3) District Magistrate offices, contrary to the rampant rumors that your intent is to eliminate the District Magistrate office in Western Clinton County.

As such, the Association, representing the interests of the residents of Western Clinton County, would like to formally announce its position of support for your efforts toward maintaining the geographical location of the there (3) District Magistrate offices. The Minor Judiciary has been a fixture in Western Clinton County for many decades. Preserving this office will continue the service in representation of the Western Clinton County population. Please continue your meritorious efforts toward this end.

Yours very truly,



Robert D. O'Connor, Jr.

RDO/rah

C: Renovo Community Trade Association

EXHIBIT "A"

As President Judge, I am charged with the responsibility for re-establishing the Magisterial Districts within Clinton County. This re-establishment is required every ten years based upon the Decennial United States Census Bureau Population Statistics and Population Density Calculations. To assist in this project, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts has established certain guidelines and provided each President Judge with statistics for their county. The primary goal is to attempt to equalize, as nearly as possible, the workload of each Magisterial District Judge.

After careful consideration, I intend to propose to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania that Avis Borough, Pine Creek Township, Gallagher Township, and Dunnstable Township be removed from Magisterial District 25-3-01 (Lock Haven - Judge Sanders) and added to Magisterial District 25-3-03 (Renovo - Judge Mills) effective upon approval by the Supreme Court, presumably in the spring of 2012.

To minimize inconvenience to the citizens of the affected municipalities, the Central Court Office on the Third Floor of the Clinton County Courthouse will be used as a satellite office for Magisterial District 25-3-03. A citizen desiring to file a complaint, pay fines and costs, or otherwise become involved in the minor judiciary system would initially report to the Central Court Office. Hearings involving cases arising in the "southeastern municipalities" would be held at the Central Court Office.

A public hearing to consider this proposal will be held on Thursday, January 12, 2012, at 7:00 p.m., in the Large Courtroom, Clinton County Courthouse, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania. All members of the public are invited to appear and offer comments at said public hearing or to submit comments in writing to the undersigned.

J. Michael Williamson, President Judge
Clinton County Courthouse
230 East Water Street
Lock Haven, PA 17745

Lock Haven Express: Please publish December 28 and January 4. Submit bill and proof of publication to Judge Williamson.

Renovo Record: Please publish December 29 and January 5. Submit bill and proof of publication to Judge Williamson.

Sent
12/23/11

AOPC

2011-12 Magisterial District Reestablishment
CR, NT, PC and TR

workload

Avg Filings	Avg Workload							
	CR	NT	PC	TR	CR	NT	PC	TR
MDJ-25-3-01	340	602	8	2,510	12,466	6,465	90	5,722
MDJ-25-3-02	158	404	50	4,535	5,796	4,339	541	10,341
MDJ-25-3-03	44	188	5	237	1,603	2,017	50	541

$247 \times 8 = 1145$
 $158 \times 50 = 4535$
 $137 \times 5 = 685$
 1612
 $9050 + 6089 + 90 = 2587$
 $5796 + 4339 + 541 = 10341$
 $6019 + 2393 + 50 = 3676$
 $17,816$
 $21,017$
 $11,138$

TOTAL

24,743

21,017

4,121

17,816

21,017

11,138

CR LT CIVIL misc

#1 $17,816 + 1665 + 2720 + 2671 = 24872$

#2 $21,017 + 497 + 1679 + 2117 = 25310$

#3 $11,138 + 299 + 372 + 575 = 12384$

~~11,138 + 299 + 372 + 575 = 12,384~~

~~62,566 + 3 = 20,858~~

~~62,566 + 3 = 20,858~~

$1956 + 115 + 241 + 81 = 2393$

#1 $5147 + 34 + 149 + 75 = 5405$

#2 $1988 + 21 + 33 + 18 = 2060$

#3 ~~10,978 + 20 = 11,138~~

CRTRNTPC AVG

$9858 + 3 = 3286$

work

Submit "B"

9/11/2013

JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER:	25
	A. Wh at is the class of county?	6
	B. Wh at is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county?	1%
II.	PROPOSED ACTIONS:	
	A. List existing magisterial districts: MD 25-3-01, MD 25-3-02, MD 25-3-03	
	B. Does this judicial district have an annual average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county?	NO
	1. If the answer to II. B. above is <i>NO</i> , are eliminations proposed?	NO
	a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination.	
	b) If no eliminations are proposed based on II. B. above, what are the factors for this decision? See attached report.	
	2. If the answer to II. B. above is <i>YES</i> , are eliminations proposed?	Choose Yes or No
	a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination.	
	C. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?	YES
	1. List magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment. MD 25-3-02	
	D. Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment?	YES
	1. List magisterial districts proposed for realignment. MD 25-3-01, MD 25-3-03	

JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

III. NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS	
A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district?	NO
B. Is there a central court operating within the judicial district?	YES
C. Note comments regarding how night, central or other similar court programs impact operations within the judicial district. See attached report.	
IV. PUBLIC COMMENT	
A. A request for public comment was posted:	YES
B. Comments were received:	YES
C. Comments are attached:	YES
V. ADDITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL:	
VI. DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC:	1-31-12
VII. PRESIDENT JUDGE NAME:	J. Michael Williamson

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	25-3-01
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A.	Average Total Caseload:	2,393
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	73% -27.10%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	73% -26.67% -25.32%
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A.	Average Total Workload:	24,872
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	119% 18.70% 18.68%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See attached report.	
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
A.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
B.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	7/1/2012
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
A.	Magisterial District Judge Name: Joseph L. Sanders	
B.	Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2032
D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	East Main Street Lock Haven, PA 17745
E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	Lock Haven Police Department, Pennsylvania State Police, Woodward Township Police Department
H. List any major highways within this magisterial district:	U.S. 220, PA 120, PA 150
VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	✓
Allison Township, Avis Borough, Castanea Township, Colebrook Township, Crawford Township, Dunnstable Township, Flemington Borough, Gallagher Township, City Of Lock Haven, Pine Creek Township, Wayne Township, Woodward Township	
VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	✓
Allison Township, Castanea Township, Colebrook Township, Crawford Township, Flemington Borough, City Of Lock Haven, Woodward Township	
VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	
PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.	

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	25-3-02
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A.	Average Total Caseload:	5,405
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	164% 64.66%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	165% 65.62% 68.68%
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A.	Average Total Workload:	25,310
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	121% 20.79% 20.77%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See attached report.	
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
A.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
B.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	7/1/2012
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
A.	Magisterial District Judge Name: John W. Maggs	
B.	Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2029
D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	385 Beech Creek Avenue Mill Hall, PA 17751
E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	Pennsylvania State Police, Mill Hall Borough Police Department, Lamar Township Police Department
H. List any major highways within this magisterial district:	PA Route 220, PA Route 150, Interstate 80
VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	
	Bald Eagle Township, Beech Creek Borough, Beech Creek Township, Greene Township, Lamar Township, Logan Township, Loganton Borough, Mill Hall Borough, Porter Township ✓
VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	
	Bald Eagle Township, Beech Creek Borough, Beech Creek Township, Greene Township, Lamar Township, Logan Township, Loganton Borough, Mill Hall Borough, Porter Township ✓
VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	
PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.	

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	25-3-03
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A.	Average Total Caseload:	2,060
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	63% -37.24% -37.24%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	63% -36.88% -35.71%
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A.	Average Total Workload:	12,384
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	59%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? See attached report.	
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
A.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
B.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	7/1/2012
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
A.	Magisterial District Judge Name: Frank P. Mills	
B.	Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2037
D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	130 Third Street
	Renovo, PA 17764
E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	Renovo Police Department, Pennsylvania State Police, Pine Creek Township Police Department
H. List any major highways within this magisterial district:	PA Route 120
VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	Chapman Township, East Keating Township, West Keating Township, Leidy Township, Noyes Township, Grugan Township, Renovo Borough, South Renovo Borough ✓
VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	Chapman Township, East Keating Township, West Keating Township, Leidy Township, Noyes Township, Grugan Township, Renovo Borough, South Renovo Borough, Avis Borough, Pine Creek Township, Wayne Township, Gallagher Township, Dunnstable Township ✓
VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	
PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.	