Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: Columbia

Judicial District #: 26

Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 26 and its class of county: **3%** *Note: If the difference is >/= 10% no proposed eliminations or justification is required.*

Summary of Proposed Actions26-2-01Reestablish26-3-01Reestablish26-3-02Reestablish26-3-03Reestablish

Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: No Night Court: No

Public Comment

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: Yes Comments Received: No

- This is a dual county judicial district.
- Three of the four MDJs have terms that expire on 1/1/18.
- Interstate 80 runs through the entire judicial district.

	Magisterial Distr	rict Reestablishment 2012			
County Name: Colum	ıbia				
Judicial District #: 26					
xisting and Proposed	Magisterial District	ts			
Magisterial District #:	26-2-01				
Proposed Action:	Reestablish				
Average Annual Caseload:	6,533	Average Annual Workload: 51,313			
6 Difference in Caseload magisterial district/judicial d	39.00 district):	% Difference in Workload 52.00 (magisterial district/judicial district):			
% Difference in Caseload magisterial district/class of the second	50.00 county):	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.			
High workload due to Bloom	sburg University and I-80); this district is also located in the county seat.			
Magisterial District Judge	& Office Information:				
Russell L. Lawton		Birthdate: 12-08-68			
700 Sawmill Road, Suite 102		Mandatory Retirement: 2038			
Bloomsburg, PA 17815		Term Expires: 1-3-16			
xisting Geography:		Proposed Geography:			
BLOOMSBURG 1ST WARD; BU BLOOMSBURG TOWN DIST 03 FOWN DIST 03 ED 02; BLOOM MONTOUR TWP Voting Distri	3 ED 01; BLOOMSBURG //SBURG 4TH WARD;	BLOOMSBURG TOWN DIST 03 ED 01; BLOOMSBU TOWN DIST 03 ED 02; BLOOMSBURG 4TH WARD	JRG ;		
Office within district:	Yes				
Residence within district:	Yes				
Police Departments: Bloomsburg Police Dept., Bloomsburg University Police Dept., Montour Township Police Department, Scott Township Police Dept.					
Major Highways:	I-80, Route 11				

_ - _ - _ - _ - _ -

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 26-3-01

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	3,015	Average Annual Workload:	22,330
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-35.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-34.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-9.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires rec support to maintain current configuration.	lignment or

This district has the smallest workload but is anticiapted to change because it is prime Marcellus Shale territory where exploration has begun. The municipalities within the district also have small police departments, so realigning this district may compromise public safety. This MDJ office is also tapped to handle recusals when needed.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Douglas D. Brewer	Birthdate:	9-25-67
673 North State Street P.O. Box 180	Mandatory Retirement:	2037
Millville, PA 17846	Term Expires:	12-31-17
Existing Geography:	Proposed Geography:	

BENTON TWP Voting District; BENTON Voting District; FISHING CREEK TOWNSHIP Voting District; GREENWOOD TWP Voting District; HEMLOCK TOWNSHIP Voting District; JACKSON TWP Voting District; MADISON TWP Voting District; MILLVILLE Voting District; MT. PLEASANT TWP Voting District; PINE TWP Voting District; ORANGE TWP Voting District; ORANGEVILLE Voting District; STILLWATER Voting District; SUGARLOAF TWP Voting District BENTON TWP Voting District; BENTON Voting District; FISHING CREEK TOWNSHIP Voting District; GREENWOOD TWP Voting District; HEMLOCK TOWNSHIP Voting District; JACKSON TWP Voting District; MADISON TWP Voting District; MILLVILLE Voting District; MT. PLEASANT TWP Voting District; PINE TWP Voting District; ORANGE TWP Voting District; ORANGEVILLE Voting District; STILLWATER Voting District; SUGARLOAF TWP Voting District

Office within district:	Yes
Residence within district:	Yes
Police Departments:	Benton Police Dept., Greenwood Township Police Dept., Orangeville Police Dept., Madison Township, Millville Police Dept., Hemlock Police Dept.
Major Highways:	US Route 11

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 26-3-02

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	4,499	Average Annual Workload:	40,468
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-4.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	20.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	38.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires real support to maintain current configuration.	lignment or

There is no significant impact. This MDJ office processes the most serious crimes in the district.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Existing Geography:	Proposed Geography:	
Berwick, PA 18603	Term Expires:	12-31-17
339 West Front Street	Mandatory Retirement:	2026
Richard W. Knecht	Birthdate:	05-12-56

BERWICK 1ST WARD; BERWICK WD 02; BERWICK 3RD WARD; BERWICK 4TH WARD; BRIAR CREEK TWP VTD NORTHEAST; BRIAR CREEK TWP VTD WEST; BRIAR CREEK Voting District; NORTH CENTRE TWP Voting District; SOUTH CENTRE TWP Voting District

BERWICK 1ST WARD; BERWICK WD 02; BERWICK 3RD WARD; BERWICK 4TH WARD; BRIAR CREEK TWP VTD NORTHEAST; BRIAR CREEK TWP VTD WEST; BRIAR CREEK Voting District; NORTH CENTRE TWP Voting District; SOUTH CENTRE TWP Voting District

Office within district:	Yes
Residence within district:	Yes
Police Departments:	Berwick Police Dept., South Centre Township Police Dept., Briar Creek Township Police Dept., PA State Police
Major Highways:	US Route 11

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 26-3-03

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	4,753	Average Annual Workload:	20,406
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	1.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-40.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	46.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires rea support to maintain current configuration.	lignment or

There is no impact. Because of the impact of Bloomsburg University on workload and caseload, the workload numbers are skewed upwards and are misleading.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Craig W. Long	Birthdate:	9-5-67
400 Fisher Avenue	Mandatory Retirement:	2037
Catawissa, PA 17820	Term Expires:	12-31-17

Existing Geography:

BEAVER TWP Voting District; CATAWISSA TWP Voting District; CATAWISSA BOROUGH Voting District; CENTRALIA Voting District; CLEVELAND TWP Voting District; CONYNGHAM TOWNSHIP Voting District; FRANKLIN TWP Voting District; LOCUST TWP Voting District; MAIN TWP Voting District; MIFFLIN TWP Voting District; ROARING CREEK TWP Voting District

Proposed Geography:

BEAVER TWP Voting District; CATAWISSA TWP Voting District; CATAWISSA BOROUGH Voting District; CENTRALIA Voting District; CLEVELAND TWP Voting District; CONYNGHAM TOWNSHIP Voting District; FRANKLIN TWP Voting District; LOCUST TWP Voting District; MAIN TWP Voting District; MIFFLIN TWP Voting District; ROARING CREEK TWP Voting District

Office within district:	Yes
Residence within district:	Yes
Police Departments:	Catawissa Borough Police Dept., Conyngham Township Police Dept., Locust Township Police Dept., Main Township Police Dept.
Major Highways:	I-81

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics

Columbia / 26

Jud	licial Distr	ict Avera	ge Caselo					
2011 Class				2012 Filings				
6	4,6	90	6	4,690				
		2011	Class of C	eload Ave	erages			
2011 Class	CR	РС	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
6	251	126	2,087	485	231	43	41	3,263
2012 Class of County Caseload Averages								

2012	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
Class								
6	244	155	2,019	477	228	42	39	3,204

Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

Judicial District Caseload Averages			
Columbia	2011	2012	
Class	6	6	
CR	286	286	
NT	678	678	
PC	135	135	
TR	3,027	3,027	
CV	454	454	
LT	67	67	
MD	44	44	
AVG	4,690	4,690	

Judicial District Workload Averages

Judicial District Workload Averages			
Columbia	2011	2012	
Class	6	6	
CR	10,485	10,485	
NT	7,282	7,282	
РС	1,445	1,445	
TR	6,901	6,901	
CV	5,117	5,117	
LT	968	968	
MD	1,430	1,433	
-15 % Workload	28,585	28,588	
Average Workload	33,630	33,632	
+ 15% Workload	38,674	38,677	

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

26-2-01

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload: Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

26-3-01

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC	
6,533	2011	2012
39	39.31%	39.31%
	100.19%	103.89%
Reported	AOPC	
51,313	2011	2012
52	52.58%	52.57%

Reported	Reported AOP	
3,015	2011	2012
-35	-35.71%	-35.71%
-9	-7.61%	-5.91%
Reported	AOPC	
22,330	2011	2012
-34	-33.60%	-33.61%

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

2	6-	3.	-0	2
	-	_		

Reestablish

CASELOAD	Reported	AO	PC
Average Total Annual Caseload:	4,499	2011	2012
Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:	-4	-4.06%	-4.06%
Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:	38	37.86%	40.41%
WORKLOAD	Reported	AO	PC
Average Total Annual Workload:	40,468	2011	2012
Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:	20	20.33%	20.32%

26-3-03	Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC	
4,753	2011	2012
1	1.35%	1.35%
	45.64%	48.34%
Reported	AOPC	
20,406	2011	2012
	-39.32%	-39.33%

AOPC JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

١.	JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER: 26	
	A. Wh at is the class of county? 6	
	 B. Wh at is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county? 	
П.	PROPOSED ACTIONS:	
	 A. List existing magisterial districts: (Columbia) District Court 26-2-01, District Court 26-3-01, District District Court 26-3-03, District Court 26-3-04 (Montour) 	Court 26-3-02,
	B. Does this judicial district have an annual average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county?	
	 If the answer to II. B. above is NO, are eliminations proposed? a)List magisterial districts proposed for elimination. 	
 none b)If no eliminations are proposed based on II. B. above, what are the factors for this decision? Note that the terms of the MDJs expire in several years: Judge Lawton and Judge Shrawder (Montour) expire on 1/3/16 and Judge Knecht, Judge Long, and Judge Brewer expire on 1/1/18. The 26th judicial district is comprised of two counties, Columbia and Montour. A separate sheet is being provided for Montour County and its one MDJ Office. The four Columbia County MDJ offices are extremely busy. The one office facially has a smaller caseload but is busy and serves a large geographical area with many municipalities. However, that district is the heart of the Marcellus Shale deposit, the inherent legal and demographic issues and burdens are simmering and will come to full boil in the near future. Interstate 80 runs throughout the entire Judicial District and generates a great many legal issues, as does Bloomsburg University. The judicial district borders areas where gangs are active and those issues have spilled into our two counties. We have used MDJs within 		dge Lawton and cht, Judge Long, it is comprised of peing provided for a County MDJ aller caseload but nicipalities. sposit, the mering and will ughout the entire does Bloomsburg are active and

Judicial District Summary Worksheet 2011-12

JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

	 our judicial district to cover for one another when there are recusals, without seeking outside help. The 26th Judicial District clearly needs all the existing MDJ offices in order to run efficiently. Eliminating would be more costly, necessitating senior judge coverage, more office staff, and additional cost to the county on several levels. Frankly, eliminating will overburden an already busy and efficient MDJ system. If the answer to II. B. above is YES, are eliminations proposed?
	 C. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment? 1. List magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment. none
	 D. Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment? 1. List magisterial districts proposed for realignment.
III.	NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS
	A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district?
	B. Is there a central court operating within the NO judicial district?
	C. Note comments regarding how night, central or other similar court programs impact operations within the judicial district.
IV.	PUBLIC COMMENT
	A. A request for public comment was posted:YESB. Comments were received:NOC. Comments are attached:NO
v .	Additional Remarks Concerning Proposal:

JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

	The Court has discussed reestablishment issues with the MDJs. the MDJs agree that neither eliminatin nor realignment is appropriate. Eliminating would damage the ability of the courts to process cases and serve the public.		
VI.	DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC:	3/26/2012	
VII.	President Judge Name:	Honorable Thomas A. James, Jr.	
	Signature		

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

١.	Μ	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	26-2-01
١١.	BR	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	Α.	Average Total Caseload:	6,533
	В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	39%
	C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	50%
III.	BR	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	Α.	Average Total Workload:	51,313
	В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	52%
	C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> <i>than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
	D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? This district has a high caseload based largely on set University community and a long stretch of Interst seat, Bloomsburg. However, there is a large staff a efficiently. The district is comprised of only three re very small. the smallest municipality could be real since, at this point, its impact on the caseload is sm	ervice to the Bloomsburg ate 80, as well as the county and the workload is processed municipalities, one of which is igned, but is it not necessary
IV.	PR	OPOSED CHANGE:	
	Α.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	 Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	В.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	

Magisterial District Reestablishment Worksheet 2011-12

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Russell Lawto	on
	B. Term Expiration (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>):	1/3/2016
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>):	12/8/2038
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	700 Sawmill Road Bloomsburg 17815
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judg within the boundaries of the magisterial distribution	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this Bloomsburg Police Department, Bloomsburg L Montour Township Police Department, Scott T	Jniversity Police Department,
	 H. List any major highways within this magisteria Interstate Route 80, Route 11 	l district:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALIT Town Of Bloomsburg, Township Of Montour, T	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPAL	LITIES:
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Bloomsburg University is located in District 26- 2010-11 of 10,091 (full/part-time) students. A summary caseload is related to Bloomsburg Un District. Interstate 80 is located through the di Bloomsburg, the county seat, is located in the includes a significant number of summary offe	pproximatley 60% of the total niversity being located in this strict adding to the caseload. district. The volume is high and
PLEA	SE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DA	ATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I. N	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	26-3-01
II. E	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A	A. Average Total Caseload:	3,015
E	3. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-35%
C	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	-9%
III. E	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A	A. Average Total Workload:	22,330
E	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-34%
C	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
C	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict? This district has the smallest caseload in the county change due to this district is comprised of municipal of the Marcellus Shale territory. Exploration has be municipalities already. Following the example of conjudicial system will be straining to keep up with the the very near future. In addition, the municipalities two person police forces, leaving the communities have to leave for lengthy periods of time. This MD, recusals in the other districts. Having this MDJ office the functioning of the MDJ system in this Judicial D	for now. This is about to alities which are at the heart egun in several of the punties such as Tioga, the influx of additional work in in this district have one or unprotected when the police office is often used for ce is crucial and essential to

Magisterial District Reestablishment Worksheet 2011-12

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

	Α.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	 Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	Β.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	
v .	M	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	Α.	Magisterial District Judge Name: Douglas Brewer	
	Β.	Term Expiration (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>):	1/2/2018
	C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	9/25/2037
	D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	673 State Street Millville 17846
	Ε.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G.	List any police departments located within this mag Benton Police Department, Greenwood Township Orangeville Police Department, MadisonTownship, Hemlock Police Department	Police Department,
	Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial distr U.S. Route 11	rict:
VI.	Lis	T EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Benton Borough, Sillwater Borough, Millville Boroug Sugarloaf Township, Benton Township, Pine Townsl Orange Township, Mt.Pleasant Township, Madison Township, Fishingcreek Township, Jackson Townshi	nip, Greenwood Township, Township, Hemlock
VII.	Lis	T PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	
VIII.	AD	DITIONAL COMMENTS: This MDJ ofice is crucial and necessary to our judicia municipalities. The average caseload is presently a this size of MDJ office. However, in light of the num and particularly in light of the caseload that will be	little below the average for of municipalities served

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

Shale, it is absolutely necessary that this MDJ office be maintained as is.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

١.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	26-3-02
١١.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	4,499
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-4%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	38%
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	40,468
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	20%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
	 D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district? There is no significant impact. This MDJ office generations crimes in the judicial district. It is a very but County. This office cannot absorb more work. 	erally processes the most
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	 Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (<i>m</i> /d/yyyy):	
ν.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Richard Knecht	
·		

Magisterial District Reestablishment Worksheet 2011-12

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

	B. Term Expiration (<i>m</i> /d/yyyy):	1/3/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m</i> /d/yyyy):	5/12/2026
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	339 West Front Street Berwick 18603
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this Berwick Police Department, Sourth Centre Tow Creek Township Police Department, Pennseylva	nship Police Department, Briar
	H. List any major highways within this magisterialU.S. Route 11	district:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITI Berwick Borough, Briar Creek Borough, Briar Cr Township, South Centre Township	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALI	TIES:
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: This is a very busy office, traditionally handling (factually) in the judicial district.	the most serious cases
PLEAS	SE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DA	TA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

١.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): 26-3-03
١١.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD
	A. Average Total Caseload:4,753
	 B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's 1% average total caseload:
	 C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD
	A. Average Total Workload: 20,406
	 B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's -40% average total workload:
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:
	 D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? There is no impact. This office could possibly absorb Montour Township. but it has a small staff and is not necessary now. In reality, this is a busy office. It serves 10 municipalities (plus Centralia). This office handles all cases in the south side of the county, below the Susquehanna River. The differential of the workload is skewed by the significant numbers generated by the MDJ office servicing Bloomsburg University and a large portion of Interstate 80.
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:
	 A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply. Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>):

Magisterial District Reestablishment Worksheet 2011-12

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

۷.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Craig Long	
	B. Term Expiration (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>):	1/3/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>):	9/5/2037
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	400 Fisher Ave. Catawissa 17820
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district	YES :
	G. List any police departments located within this r Catawissa Borough Police Department, Conyngh Locust Township Police Department, Main Towns	am Township Police Department,
	 H. List any major highways within this magisterial of Interstate 81 	district:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE Catawissa Borough, Centralia Borough, Conyngh Catawissa Township, Franklin Township, Clevelar Roaring Creek Township, Beaver Township, Miff	am Township, Main Township, nd Township, Locust Township,
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALIT	TES:
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: As noted above, in reality, this is a busy office. If Centralia). This office handles all cases in the so the Susquehanna River. The differential of the w significant numbers generated by the MDJ office University and a large portion of Interstate 80	uth side of ther county, below vorkload is skewed by the
PLEA	SE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA	A FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT 2011-2012 6TH CLASS COUNTY-COLUMBIA/MONTOUR

26TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	CR	NT	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	AVG CASELOAD
TABLE 1								18760
MDJ-26-2-01 Lawton	458	1452	g	1 3812	603	76	41	6533
MDJ-26-3-01 Stackhouse	216	331	13	7 2036	196	40	59	3015
MDJ-26-3-02 Knecht	333	598	28	8 2143	912	137	48	4459
MDJ-26-3-03 Long	135	331	2	4 4117	104	15	27	4753
MDJ-26-3-04 Shrawder	257	331	10	7 3381	345	63	137	4621
26TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	AVG CASELOAD		VARIANCE					
TABLE 2								
AVERAGE	4690							
MDJ-26-2-01 Lawton	6533	1843	39%					
MDJ-26-3-01 Stackhouse	3015	1675	-35%					
MDJ-26-3-02 Knecht	4499	191	-4%					
MDJ-26-3-03 Long	4753	63	1%					
	4624							
MDJ-26-3-04 Shrawder	4621							

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT 2011-2012 6TH CLASS COUNTY-COLUMBIA-MONTOUR

TABLE 3					
JUDICIAL DISTRICT	AVG	ADAMS	BEDFORD	INDIANA	SOMERSET
	CASELOAD	4685	4795	4378	4238
MDJ-26-2-01	6533	35.00%	36%	49%	54%
MDJ-26-3-01	3015	-36%	-37%	-31%	-29%
MDJ-26-3-02	4459	-4%	-6%	3%	6%
MDJ-26-3-03	4753	1.40%	-0.90%	8%	12%
AVERAGE	4690				
TABLE 4					
	AVG				
	CASELOAD	VARIANCE			
JUDICIAL DISTRICT	4690				
ADAMS	4685	0%			
BEDFORD	4795	2%			
INDIANA	4378	-6%			
SOMERSET	4238	-9%			

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT 2011-2012 6TH CLASS COUNTY-COLUMBIA/MONTOUR

TABLE 5								
DOCKET TYPE	CASE WEIGHT							
CR	9.18							
NT (INCLUDES PC)	2.68							
TR	1.75							
CV	2.81							
LT	3.61							
MD	8.17							
TABLE 6								
JUDICIAL DISTRICT	CR	NT	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	AVG WORKLOAD
AVERAGE	10485	7282	1445	6901	5117	968	1430	33630
MDJ-26-2-01	16818	15596	974	8690	6796	1093	1346	51313
MDJ-26-3-01	7944	3555	1468	4642	2207	582	1932	22330
MDJ-26-3-02	12222	6421	3088	4886	10291	1986	1574	40468
MDJ-26-3-03	4957	3557	252	9386	1173	212	869	20406
MDJ-26-3-04	9431	3557	1144	7708	3895	907	4473	31115

TABLE 7		
JUDICIAL DISTRICT	AVERAGE WORKLOAD	VARIANCE
AVERAGE	33630	
MDJ-26-2-01	51313	52%
MDJ-26-3-01	22330	-34%
MDJ-26-3-02	40468	20%
MDJ-26-3-03	20406	-40%

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: Montour

Judicial District #: 26

Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 26 and its class of county: **48%** *Note: If the difference is >/= 10% no proposed eliminations or justification is required.*

Summary of Proposed Actions

26-3-04 Reestablish

Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: No Night Court: No

Public Comment

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: Yes Comments Received: No

Montour is part of a two county judicial district; there is only one magisterial district to serve Montour County. I-80 runs through this judicial district; and it is also home to Geisinger Medical Center.

Magist	erial Distric	t Reestablishment 2012		
County Name: Montour				
Judicial District #: 26				
Existing and Proposed Magiste	rial Districts			
Magisterial District #: 26-3-0	4			
Proposed Action: Reesto	ablish			
Average Annual Caseload:	4,621	Average Annual Workload:		31,115
% Difference in Caseload -1.00 % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):				
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	48.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range rea support to maintain current configuration		gnment or
Magisterial District Judge & Office I	nformation:			
Marvin K. Shrawder		Birthdate:	9-29-6	2
30 Woodbine Lane, Suite 1		Mandatory Retirement:	Mandatory Retirement: 2032	
Danville, PA 17821	Term Expires: 1-3-16			
Existing Geography:		Proposed Geography:		
ANTHONY TWP Voting District; COOPER District; DANVILLE VTD 01; DANVILLE VT	-	ANTHONY TWP Voting Distric District; DANVILLE VTD 01; D/		-

District; DANVILLE VTD 01; DANVILLE VTD 02; DANVILLE VTD 03; DANVILLE VTD 04; DERRY TWP Voting District; LIBERTY TWP Voting District; LIMESTONE TWP Voting District; MAHONING TWP DIST 01; MAHONING TWP DIST 02; MAYBERRY TWP Voting District; VALLEY TWP Voting District; WASHINGTONVILLE Voting District; WEST HEMLOCK TWP Voting District ANTHONY TWP Voting District; COOPER TWP Voting District; DANVILLE VTD 01; DANVILLE VTD 02; DANVILLE VTD 03; DANVILLE VTD 04; DERRY TWP Voting District; LIBERTY TWP Voting District; LIMESTONE TWP Voting District; MAHONING TWP DIST 01; MAHONING TWP DIST 02; MAYBERRY TWP Voting District; VALLEY TWP Voting District; WASHINGTONVILLE Voting District; WEST HEMLOCK TWP Voting District

Office within district:	Yes
Residence within district:	Yes
Police Departments:	Danville Borough Police Dept., Mahoning Township Police Dept.
Major Highways:	I-80, Route 11

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics

Montour / 26

Juc	dicial Dist	rict Avera	ge Caselo	ad				
2011 Class			2012 Class	2012 Filings				
8	4,6	520	8	4,620				
		2011 (Class of C	ounty Cas	eload Ave	erages		
2011 Class	CR	РС	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
8	127	28	1,645	230	122	16	44	2,212
		2012 C	lass of Co	ounty Case	eload Ave	rages		

2012 Class	CR	РС	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
8	127	28	1,645	230	122	16	44	2,212

Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

Judicial District Caseload Averages				
Montour	2011	2012		
Class	8	8		
CR	257	257		
NT	331	331		
РС	107	107		
TR	3,381	3,381		
CV	345	345		
LT	63	63		
MD	137	137		
AVG	4,620	4,620		

Judicial District Workload Averages

Judicial District Workload Averages					
Montour	2011	2012			
Class	8	8			
CR	9,431	9,431			
NT	3,557	3,557			
РС	1,144	1,144			
TR	7,708	7,708			
CV	3,895	3,895			
LT	907	907			
MD	4,473	4,462			
-15 % Workload	26,448	26,438			
Average Workload	31,115	31,104			
+ 15% Workload	35,782	35,769			

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

26-3-04

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AC	РС	
4,621	2011	2012	
-1	0.02%	0.02%	
48	108.92%	108.92%	
Reported	AC	OPC .	
31,115	2011	2012	
	0.00%	0.04%	

AOPC JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

	JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER:	26
	A. Wh at is the class of county?	8
	B. Wh at is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county?	48%
н.	PROPOSED ACTIONS:	
	 A. List existing magisterial districts: (Columbia) District Court 26-2-01, District Court 26-3- District Court 26-3-03, District Court 26-3-04 (Montor 	
	B. Does this judicial district have an annual average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county?	YES
	 If the answer to II. B. above is NO, are eliminations proposed? a)List magisterial districts proposed for elimination. none 	NO
	 b)If no eliminations are proposed based on II. B. alfor this decision? The 26 Judicial District is comprised of two coun A separate sheet is being privided for Columbia offices. This is the only MDJ office in the county Besides, it is a busy office. Interstate 80 runs th Montour County is situs of the hugely busy Geis complex. 	nties, Columbia and Montour. county and its four MDJ and cannot be eliminated. rough the county and
	2. If the answer to II. B. above is YES, are eliminations proposed?a)List magisterial districts proposed for elimination.	Choose Yes or No

JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

	 List magisterial districts proposed for reestablishme none 	ent.
	D. Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment?	NO
	1. List magisterial districts proposed for realignment.	
III.	NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS	
	A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district?	NO
	B. Is there a central court operating within the judicial district?	NO
	C. Note comments regarding how night, central or other impact operations within the judicial district.	similar court programs
IV.	PUBLIC COMMENT	
IV.	PUBLIC COMMENT A. A request for public comment was posted: B. Comments were received: C. Comments are attached:	YES NO NO
IV.	A. A request for public comment was posted:B. Comments were received:	NO
	 A. A request for public comment was posted: B. Comments were received: C. Comments are attached: 	NO NO DJs in bouth counties. the ropriate. Eliminatin would
	 A. A request for public comment was posted: B. Comments were received: C. Comments are attached: ADDITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL: the Court has discussed reestablishment issues with the M MDJs agree that neither eliminatin nor realignment is approximation.	NO NO DJs in bouth counties. the ropriate. Eliminatin would
V.	 A. A request for public comment was posted: B. Comments were received: C. Comments are attached: ADDITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL: the Court has discussed reestablishment issues with the M MDJs agree that neither eliminatin nor realignment is appr damage the ability of the courts to processs cases and server 	NO NO DJs in bouth counties. the ropriate. Eliminatin would re the public.

Judicial District Summary Worksheet 2011-12

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

Ι.	M	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	26-3-04
н.	Br	EAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	Α.	Average Total Caseload:	4,621
	В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-1%
	C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	48%
III.	Br	EAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	Α.	Average Total Workload:	31,115
	В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	%
	C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> <i>than or less than</i> any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
	D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district? This is the only MDJ office in Montuor County. The	
IV.	Pr	OPOSED CHANGE:	
	Α.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	В.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	
۷.	M	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	Α.	Magisterial District Judge Name: Marvin K. Shrawd	er
	В.	Term Expiration (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>):	1/3/2016

Magisterial District Reestablishment Worksheet 2011-12

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m</i> /d/yyyy):	9/29/2032			
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	30 Woodbine Lane Danville 17821			
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES			
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES			
	G. List any police departments located within this may Danville Borough Police Department, Mahoning To	-			
	 H. List any major highways within this magisterial dist Interstate Route 80, Route 11 	rict:			
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Anthony Township, Cooper Township, Derry Towns Liberty Township, Limestone Township, West Hem Township, Mahoning Township, Valley Township, V	lock Township, Mayberry			
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	:			
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: This is the only MDJ Office in Montour County. It is serving the county seat, Danville, and the Geisinger stretch of Interstate 80.				
PLEAS	PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.				