

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: **Indiana**

Judicial District #: **40**

Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 40 and its class of county: **34%**

Note: If the difference is $\geq 10\%$ no proposed eliminations or justification is required.

Summary of Proposed Actions

40-2-01 Reestablish

40-3-01 Reestablish

40-3-02 Reestablish

40-3-03 Reestablish

Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: **No** Night Court: **No**

Public Comment

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: **Yes** Comments Received: **No**

This judicial district has the highest workload average and the fifth highest caseload of of all twenty-four sixth class counties.

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

County Name: Indiana

Judicial District #: 40

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 40-2-01

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	4,708	Average Annual Workload:	57,975
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	8.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	43.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	44.00	<i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i>	

Most cases impacting workload originate in Indiana Borough, are minor and resolved quickly.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Guy B. Haberl

829 Water Street

Indiana, PA 15701

Birthdate: 3-27-53

Mandatory Retirement: 2023

Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

INDIANA VTD 01; INDIANA VTD 02 ED 01; INDIANA VTD 02 ED 02; INDIANA VTD 03 ED 01; INDIANA VTD 03 ED 02; INDIANA VTD 03 ED 04; INDIANA VTD 04; WHITE TWP VTD 04; WHITE TWP VTD 06
White TWP VTD 05

Proposed Geography:

INDIANA VTD 01; INDIANA VTD 02 ED 01; INDIANA VTD 02 ED 02; INDIANA VTD 03 ED 01; INDIANA VTD 03 ED 02; INDIANA VTD 03 ED 04; INDIANA VTD 04; WHITE TWP VTD 04; WHITE TWP VTD 06
White TWP VTD 05

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Indiana Borough PD, IUP Campus Police, PA State Police Indiana, Indiana County Sheriff's Office, Indiana County Detectives

Major Highways: Route 954, Business Routes 422 and 119

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 40-3-01

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	3,150	Average Annual Workload:	31,425
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-28.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-23.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-3.00	<i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i>	

This district is geographically the largest in the county and the workload is relatively equitable. The county jail and state prison are located in this district and can present some serious criminal cases. Marcellus Shale may also impact case filings in this district.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

George M. Thachik	Birthdate:	11-3-50
1450 Franklin Street	Mandatory Retirement:	2020
Clymer, PA 15728	Term Expires:	12-31-17

Existing Geography:

BANKS TWP VTD 01; CANOE TWP Voting District; CHERRY TREE Voting District; CHERRYHILL TWP VTD 01; CHERRYHILL TWP VTD 02; CLYMER VTD 01; CREEKSIDE Voting District; EAST MAHONING TWP Voting District; ERNEST Voting District; GLEN CAMPBELL Voting District; GRANT TWP Voting District; GREEN TWP VTD 01; GREEN TWP VTD 02; GREEN TWP VTD 03; MARION CENTER Voting District; MONTGOMERY TWP Voting District; NORTH MAHONING TWP Voting District; PINE TWP VTD 01; PINE TWP VTD 02; PINE TWP VTD 03; PLUMVILLE Voting District; RAYNE TWP Voting District; SMICKSBURG Voting District; SOUTH MAHONING TWP VTD 01; WASHINGTON TWP Voting District; WEST MAHONING TWP Voting District; WHITE TWP VTD 01

Proposed Geography:

BANKS TWP VTD 01; CANOE TWP Voting District; CHERRY TREE Voting District; CHERRYHILL TWP VTD 01; CHERRYHILL TWP VTD 02; CLYMER VTD 01; CREEKSIDE Voting District; EAST MAHONING TWP Voting District; ERNEST Voting District; GLEN CAMPBELL Voting District; GRANT TWP Voting District; GREEN TWP VTD 01; GREEN TWP VTD 02; GREEN TWP VTD 03; MARION CENTER Voting District; MONTGOMERY TWP Voting District; NORTH MAHONING TWP Voting District; PINE TWP VTD 01; PINE TWP VTD 02; PINE TWP VTD 03; PLUMVILLE Voting District; RAYNE TWP Voting District; SMICKSBURG Voting District; SOUTH MAHONING TWP VTD 01; WASHINGTON TWP Voting District; WEST MAHONING TWP Voting District; WHITE TWP VTD 01

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Clymer Police Dept., Cherry Tree Police Dept., PA State Police

Major Highways: State Routes 422, 954, 85, 119, 110, 403, 286, 210, 240, 580, 553, 56, 259

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 40-3-02

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	4,344	Average Annual Workload:	38,192
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-1.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-6.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	33.00	<i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i>	

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Susanne V. Steffee	Birthdate:	12-17-71
10 Bryant Street P.O. Box 24	Mandatory Retirement:	2041
Homer City, PA 15748	Term Expires:	1-3-16

Existing Geography:

ARMSTRONG TWP VTD 01; ARMSTRONG TWP VTD 02; BRUSH VALLEY TWP Voting District; BUFFINGTON TWP VTD 01; BUFFINGTON TWP VTD 02; CENTER TWP VTD 01; CENTER TWP VTD 02; CENTER TWP VTD 03; HOMER CITY VTD 01; HOMER CITY VTD 02; SHELOCTA Voting District; WHITE TWP VTD 02; WHITE TWP VTD 03 ED 01; WHITE TWP VTD 03 ED 03; WHITE TWP VTD 03 ED 02

Proposed Geography:

ARMSTRONG TWP VTD 01; ARMSTRONG TWP VTD 02; BRUSH VALLEY TWP Voting District; BUFFINGTON TWP VTD 01; BUFFINGTON TWP VTD 02; CENTER TWP VTD 01; CENTER TWP VTD 02; CENTER TWP VTD 03; HOMER CITY VTD 01; HOMER CITY VTD 02; SHELOCTA Voting District; WHITE TWP VTD 02; WHITE TWP VTD 03 ED 01; WHITE TWP VTD 03 ED 03; WHITE TWP VTD 03 ED 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: PA State Police, Homer City Police Dept.

Major Highways: Routes 119, 422, 286, 56, 259

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 40-3-03

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	5,308	Average Annual Workload:	34,642
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	21.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-15.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	63.00		

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Jennifer J. Rega

12 West Market Street

Blairsville, PA 15717

Birthdate: 8-4-73

Mandatory Retirement: 2043

Term Expires: 1-5-14

Existing Geography:

ARMAGH Voting District; BLACKLICK TWP Voting District; BLAIRSVILLE VTD 01; BLAIRSVILLE VTD 02; BLAIRSVILLE VTD 03; BURRELL TWP VTD 01; BURRELL TWP VTD 02; CONEMAUGH TWP VTD 01; CONEMAUGH TWP VTD 02; CONEMAUGH TWP VTD 03; EAST WHEATFIELD TWP VTD 01; EAST WHEATFIELD TWP VTD 02; SALTSBURG Voting District; WEST WHEATFIELD TWP VTD 01; WEST WHEATFIELD TWP VTD 02; YOUNG TWP VTD 01; YOUNG TWP VTD 02; YOUNG TWP VTD 03

Proposed Geography:

ARMAGH Voting District; BLACKLICK TWP Voting District; BLAIRSVILLE VTD 01; BLAIRSVILLE VTD 02; BLAIRSVILLE VTD 03; BURRELL TWP VTD 01; BURRELL TWP VTD 02; CONEMAUGH TWP VTD 01; CONEMAUGH TWP VTD 02; CONEMAUGH TWP VTD 03; EAST WHEATFIELD TWP VTD 01; EAST WHEATFIELD TWP VTD 02; SALTSBURG Voting District; WEST WHEATFIELD TWP VTD 01; WEST WHEATFIELD TWP VTD 02; YOUNG TWP VTD 01; YOUNG TWP VTD 02; YOUNG TWP VTD 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Blairsville Borough PD, Saltsburg Borough PD, PA State Police Indiana

Major Highways: Routes 22, 119, 286, 217, 259, 56, 403

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics

Indiana / 40

Judicial District Average Caseload			
2011 Class	2011 Filings	2012 Class	2012 Filings
6	4,378	6	4,378

2011 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2011 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
6	251	126	2,087	485	231	43	41	3,263

2012 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2012 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
6	244	155	2,019	477	228	42	39	3,204

Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

Judicial District Caseload Averages		
Indiana	2011	2012
Class	6	6
CR	470	470
NT	852	852
PC	120	120
TR	2,518	2,518
CV	229	229
LT	89	89
MD	100	100
AVG	4,378	4,378

Indiana	2011	2012
Class	6	6
CR	17,275	17,275
NT	9,146	9,146
PC	1,287	1,287
TR	5,741	5,741
CV	2,585	2,585
LT	1,283	1,283
MD	3,241	3,257
-15 % Workload	34,475	34,489
Average Workload	40,559	40,575
+ 15% Workload	46,642	46,661

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

40-2-01

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
4,708	2011	2012

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

8	7.54%	7.54%
---	-------	-------

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

44	44.26%	46.93%
----	--------	--------

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
57,975	2011	2012

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

43	42.94%	42.88%
-----------	--------	--------

40-3-01

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
3,150	2011	2012

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

-28	-28.04%	-28.04%
-----	---------	---------

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

-3	-3.48%	-1.69%
----	--------	--------

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
31,425	2011	2012

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

-23	-22.52%	-22.55%
------------	---------	---------

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

40-3-02

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
4,344	2011	2012
-1	-0.77%	-0.77%
33	33.11%	35.57%

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
38,192	2011	2012
-6	-5.84%	-5.87%

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

40-3-03

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
5,308	2011	2012
21	21.25%	21.25%
63	62.65%	65.66%

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
34,642	2011	2012
-15	-14.59%	-14.62%

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

March 12, 2012

Mr. Joe Mittleman
Director, Judicial Programs
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts
1515 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19101

Dear Mr. Mittleman,

Please find enclosed the Magisterial District Reestablishment Plan for the 40th Judicial District. As explained in the plan, we are not proposing any changes to the current districts. Of all the twenty-four Sixth Class Counties, Indiana County has the highest average workload and the fifth highest average caseload. A request for public comment was posted in the local newspaper, *The Indiana Gazette*; the request and the plan were also posted on the county website. No comments were received.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Christy K. Donofrio, Esq.
District Court Administrator, Indiana County

c: President Judge William J. Martin



JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I. JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER:	40
A. What is the class of county?	Wh 6
B. What is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county?	Wh 34%
II. PROPOSED ACTIONS:	
A. List existing magisterial districts: 40-2-01; 40-3-01; 40-3-02; 40-3-03	
B. Does this judicial district have an annual average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county? 1. If the answer to II. B. above is <i>NO</i> , are eliminations proposed? a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination. b) If no eliminations are proposed based on II. B. above, what are the factors for this decision? 2. If the answer to II. B. above is <i>YES</i> , are eliminations proposed? a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination.	YES Choose Yes or No NO
C. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment? 1. List magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment. 40-2-01; 40-3-01; 40-3-02; 40-3-03	YES
D. Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment? 1. List magisterial districts proposed for realignment.	NO



JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

III. NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS	
A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district?	NO
B. Is there a central court operating within the judicial district?	NO
C. Note comments regarding how night, central or other similar court programs impact operations within the judicial district.	
IV. PUBLIC COMMENT	
A. A request for public comment was posted:	YES
B. Comments were received:	NO
C. Comments are attached:	NO
V. ADDITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL:	
The 40 th Judicial District has the highest average workload out of all of the 24 Sixth Class Counties in Pennsylvania (1st out of 24). The 40 th Judicial District has the 5 th highest average caseload of all the 6 th class counties in Pennsylvania (5 th out of 24).	
VI. DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC:	
VII. PRESIDENT JUDGE NAME:	William J. Martin, President Judge
_____ Signature	



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	40-2-01
II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD		
A.	Average Total Caseload:	4708
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	+8%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	+44%
III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
A.	Average Total Workload:	57,975
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	+43%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? Most of the cases that impact the workload data in this district result from Indiana Borough and many are minor cases that the magisterial district judge is able to resolve expeditiously. To ask that the residents of Indiana Borough travel to another magisterial district would not provide sufficient access to the courts.	
IV. PROPOSED CHANGE:		
A.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
B.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	immediately



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Guy Haberl	
B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1-1-18
C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	3-27-2023
D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	829 Water St. Indiana 15701
E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	Indiana Borough PD, IUP Campus Police, PSP Indiana, Indiana County Sheriff's Office, Indiana County Detectives
H. List any major highways within this magisterial district:	Route 954, Business Routes 422 and 119.
VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	
Indiana Borough, White Twp. Districts 4, 5 and 6.	
VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	
Same as above.	
VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	
<p>Judge Haberl's average caseload is 44% higher than the 6th class county average.</p> <p>Judge Haberl's average workload is 131% higher than the 6th class county average.</p>	
PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.	



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	40-3-01
II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD		
A.	Average Total Caseload:	3150
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-28%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	-3%
III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
A.	Average Total Workload:	31,425
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-23%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
D.	<p>If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district?</p> <p>This District is geographically the largest in the county and the workload is relatively equitable. If the District was expanded, the citizens of the District would not be provided adequate access to the courts because of the distance that would have to travel to the Court. The county jail and a state prison are located within this District. These facilities frequently are the source of serious criminal cases that are presented to this District Court. Additionally, this District is rural in nature and will be the site of future gas well drilling which will have a significant impact on the Court and increase the workload.</p>	
IV. PROPOSED CHANGE:		
A.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

	<input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
B.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): immediately
V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
A.	Magisterial District Judge Name: George M. Thachik
B.	Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): 1-1-18
C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): 11-3-2020
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): 1450 Franklin St Clymer 15728
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: YES
G.	List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Clymer Police Dept., Cherry Tree Police Dept., PSP
H.	List any major highways within this magisterial district: State Routes 422, 954, 85, 119, 110, 403, 286, 210, 240, 580, 553, 56, 259.
VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	
	The Boroughs of Clymer, Cherry Tree, Creekside, Ernest, Glen Campbell, Marion Center, Plumville and Smicksburg. The Townships of Banks, Canoe, Cherryhill, East Mahoning, Grant, Green, Montgomery, North Mahoning, Pine, Rayne, South Mahoning, Washington, West Mahoning and Ward 1 of White Twp.
VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	
	Same as above.
VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	
	Judge Thachik's workload and caseload are only 3% below the average 6 th class county.
PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.	



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	40-3-02
II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD		
A.	Average Total Caseload:	4344
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-1%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	+33%
III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
A.	Average Total Workload:	38,192
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-6%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district?	
IV. PROPOSED CHANGE:		
A.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
B.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	immediately
V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
A.	Magisterial District Judge Name: Susanne Steffee	
B.	Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1-3-16



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

C. Mandatory Retirement Date (<i>m/d/yyyy</i>):	12-17-2041
D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	P.O. Box 24 Homer City 15748
E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	PSP, Homer City Police Dept.
H. List any major highways within this magisterial district:	Routes 119, 422, 286, 56, 259.
VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	Homer City Borough, Shelocta Borough, Townships of Brush Valley, Buffington, Armstrong, Center, and White Twp. Wards 2, 3-1, and 3-2.
VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	Same as above.
VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	Judge Steffee's average caseload is 33% higher than the average 6 th class county. Judge Steffee's average workload is 52% higher than the average 6 th class county.
PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.	



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	40-3-03
II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD		
A.	Average Total Caseload:	5308
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	+21%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	+63%
III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
A.	Average Total Workload:	34,642
B.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-15%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district?	
IV. PROPOSED CHANGE:		
A.	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
B.	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	immediately
V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
A.	Magisterial District Judge Name: Jennifer J. Rega	
B.	Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1-5-14



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	8-4-2043
D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	12 W. Market St. Blairsville 15717
E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	Blairsville Borough PD, Saltsburg Borough PD, PSP Indiana
H. List any major highways within this magisterial district:	Routes 22, 119, 286, 217, 259, 56 and 403.
VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	Armaugh Borough, Black Lick Twp., Blairsville Borough, Burrell Twp., Conemaugh Twp., East Wheatfield Twp., Saltsburg Borough, West Wheatfield Twp., and Young Twp.
VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	Same as above.
VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	Judge Rega's average caseload is 63% higher than the 6 th class county average. Judge Rega's average workload is 38% higher than the 6 th class county average.
PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.	

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT

Pursuant to the Pennsylvania Constitution, a reestablishment of magisterial districts is to occur every ten years in the year following the Federal census. Each magisterial district within Indiana County has been analyzed and evaluated with respect to population, caseload, and workload measures. As a result of this analysis a recommendation is planned to be submitted to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court that all of the magisterial districts shall be reestablished as they currently exist in Indiana County. Of all of the sixth class counties in Pennsylvania, Indiana County's Magisterial District Judges have the highest average workload and the fifth highest average caseload. More information regarding the plan may be found by clicking on the link below.

Public comment on the proposed reestablishment will be received by the Court Administrator's Office. The comments should be received by March 7, 2012. Comments should be mailed to Indiana County Court Administrator's Office at 825 Philadelphia St. 3rd Floor, Indiana, PA 15701 or may be faxed to 724-463-2532.

MDJ: 40th Dist. AVG.			MDJ: 6th Class County AVG.		
AVG			AVG		
Judicial Dist. 40	Workload	Variance		Workload	Variance
6th Class County Average		40,559		6th Class County Average	
				25,084	
MDJ 40-02-01	57,975	43%	MDJ 40-02-01	57,975	+ 131%
MDJ 40-03-01	31,425	- 23%	MDJ 40-03-01	31,425	+ 25%
MDJ 40-03-02	38,192	- 6%	MDJ 40-03-02	38,192	+ 52%
MDJ 40-03-03	34,642	- 15%	MDJ 40-03-03	34,642	+ 38%