### **Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012**

### **Judicial District Summary Sheet**

County Name: Lackawanna

Judicial District #: 45

### **Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis**

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 45 and its class of county: -17.4 %

No districts are proposed for elimination because the county eliminated 45-1-07 (mandatory retirement) in 2011.

Summary o	of Proposed Actions
45-1-01	Reestablish
45-1-02	Reestablish
45-1-03	Reestablish
45-1-05	Reestablish
45-1-06	Reestablish
45-1-08	Reestablish
45-3-01	Reestablish
45-3-02	Reestablish
45-3-03	Reestablish
45-3-04	Reestablish

### Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: Yes Night Court: Yes

Central court addresses all misdemeanor and felony preliminary hearings. All MDJs serve on a rotating basis. Criminal workload is shared by all MDJs using the central court model.

Lackawanna County also utilizes an on-duty system where and MDJ is available 24/7. MDJs rotate through this procedure as well. The county also uses a community approach to truancy hearings where MDJs go to the schools to deal with truancy issues. MDJs also handle all arraigments at the prison.

### **Public Comment**

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: No Comments Received: No

Did not receive confirmation if posted for public comment or if any comments were received.

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 1 of 2

### **Judicial District Summary Sheet**

County Name: Lackawanna

Judicial District #: 45

**General Comments** 

There will be three mandatory retirements between now and the next census; however, there are no proposed eliminations or realignments in the submitted plan.

On several occasions, the AOPC requested additional information from the Special Courts Administrator to fill gaps in the submitted proposal; however, no response was received. t Benchmarks calculated but not analysis provided for variances outside of the range.

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 2 of 2

### **Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012**

County Name: Lackawanna

Judicial District #: 45

### **Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts**

Magisterial District #: 45-1-01

**Proposed Action:** Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	4,068	Average Annual Workload:	33,333
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-1.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-13.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-25.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignation support to maintain current configuration.	gnment or

<sup>&</sup>quot;This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Theodore J. Giglio

Birthdate: 3-4-54

1 Maxson Drive

Mandatory Retirement: 2024

Old Forge, PA 18518

Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

MOOSIC WD 01; MOOSIC WD 03; MOOSIC WD 04 DIST 01; MOOSIC WD 04 DIST 02; OLD FORGE WD 01; OLD FORGE WD 02; OLD FORGE WD 03; OLD FORGE WD 04; OLD FORGE WD 05; OLD FORGE WD 06 DIST 01; OLD FORGE WD 06 DIST 02; TAYLOR WD 01; TAYLOR WD 03; TAYLOR WD 05; TAYLOR WD 06 DIST 01; TAYLOR WD 06 DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Taylor, Moosic, Old Forge

Major Highways: City Streets

### **Proposed Geography:**

MOOSIC WD 01; MOOSIC WD 03; MOOSIC WD 04 DIST 01; MOOSIC WD 04 DIST 02; OLD FORGE WD 01; OLD FORGE WD 02; OLD FORGE WD 03; OLD FORGE WD 04; OLD FORGE WD 05; OLD FORGE WD 06 DIST 01; OLD FORGE WD 06 DIST 02; TAYLOR WD 01; TAYLOR WD 03; TAYLOR WD 05; TAYLOR WD 06 DIST 01; TAYLOR WD 06 DIST 02

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 1 of 10

Magisterial District #: 45-1-02

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	9,558	Average Annual Workload:	72,633
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	133.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	89.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	76.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realign support to maintain current configuration.	nment or

"This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Alyce M. Farrell
Birthdate: 9-20-67

130 North Washington Ave., First Floor
Mandatory Retirement: 2037

Scranton, PA 18503
Term Expires: 1-3-16

### **Existing Geography:**

SCRANTON WD 09 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 09 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 10 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 10 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 10 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 16 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 17 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 17 DIST 01

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** City of Scranton

Major Highways: City Streets

### **Proposed Geography:**

SCRANTON WD 09 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 09 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 10 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 10 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 10 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 16 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 17 DIST 01

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 2 of 10

Magisterial District #: 45-1-03

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	4,209	Average Annual Workload:	54,105
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	3.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	41.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-22.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realign support to maintain current configuration.	nment or

<sup>&</sup>quot;This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Joanne P. Corbett	Birthdate:	7-5-53

Lackawanna County Courthouse 200 North Washington Mandatory Retirement: 2023

Avenue

Scranton, PA 18503 Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

SCRANTON WD 11 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 12 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 12 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 12 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 19 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 19 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 19 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 19 DIST 04; SCRANTON WD 20 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 20 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 20 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 24 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 24 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 24 DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district:

Police Departments: City of Scranton

Yes

Major Highways: City Streets

### **Proposed Geography:**

SCRANTON WD 11 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 12 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 12 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 12 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 19 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 19 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 19 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 19 DIST 04; SCRANTON WD 20 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 20 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 20 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 24 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 24 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 24 DIST 01;

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 3 of 10

Magisterial District #: 45-1-05

**Proposed Action:** Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	3,557	Average Annual Workload:	45,958
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-13.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	20.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-34.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realig support to maintain current configuration.	nment or

<sup>&</sup>quot;This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Terrence V. Gallagher	Birthdate:	9-28-48
1600 Farr Street	Mandatory Retirement:	2018
Scranton, PA 18504	Term Expires:	12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

SCRANTON	WD	04	DIST	01;	SCRA	NTON	WD	04	DIST	02;
<b>SCRANTON</b>	WD	05	DIST	01;	SCRA	NTON	WD	05	DIST	02;
SCRANTON	WD	06	DIST	01;	SCRA	NTON	WD	14	DIST	01;
SCRANTON	WD	15	DIST	01;	SCRA	NTON	WD	15	DIST	02;
SCRANTON	WD	21	DIST	01;	SCRA	NTON	WD	21	DIST	02;
SCRANTON	WD	21	DIST	03;	SCRA	NTON	WD	21	DIST	04;
<b>SCRANTON</b>	WD	22	DIST	01;	SCRA	NOTON	WD	22	DIST	02

Not Listed: Scranton WD 18

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Scranton

Major Highways: City Streets

### **Proposed Geography:**

SCRANTON	WD 04	DIST 0	ı; SCRAN	N NOTI	/D 04	DIST	02;
SCRANTON	WD 05	DIST 0	1; SCRAN	N NOTI	/D 05	DIST	02;
SCRANTON	WD 06	DIST 0	1; SCRAN	N NOTI	/D 14	DIST	01;
SCRANTON	WD 15	DIST 0	1; SCRAN	N NOTI	/D 15	DIST	02;
SCRANTON	WD 21	DIST 0	1; SCRAN	N NOTI	/D 21	DIST	02;
SCRANTON	WD 21	DIST 0	B; SCRAN	N NOTI	/D 21	DIST	04;
<b>SCRANTON</b>	WD 22	DIST 0	1; SCRAN	N NOTI	/D 22	DIST	02

Scranton WD 18

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 4 of 10

Magisterial District #: 45-1-06

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	6,138	Average Annual Workload:	61,483
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	50.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	60.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	13.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realign support to maintain current configuration.	nment or

<sup>&</sup>quot;This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Paul J. Ware Birthdate: 8-20-66
2012 West Pine Street Mandatory Retirement: 2036
Dunmore, PA 18512 Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

DUNMORE WD 01 DIST 01; DUNMORE WD 01 DIST 02; DUNMORE WD 01 DIST 03; DUNMORE WD 02 DIST 01; DUNMORE WD 05; DUNMORE WD 06 DIST 01; DUNMORE WD 06 DIST 02; DUNMORE WD 06 DIST 03; DUNMORE WD 06 DIST 04; SCRANTON WD 01 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 01 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 02 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 02 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 02 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 03 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 03 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 03 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 03 DIST 02; DUNMORE WD 03 DIST 02; DUNMORE WD 03 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 23 DIST 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Borough of Dunmore

Major Highways: I-81, City Streets

### **Proposed Geography:**

DUNMORE WD 01 DIST 01; DUNMORE WD 01 DIST 02; DUNMORE WD 01 DIST 03; DUNMORE WD 02 DIST 01; DUNMORE WD 03 DIST 01; DUNMORE WD 05; DUNMORE WD 06 DIST 01; DUNMORE WD 06 DIST 02; DUNMORE WD 06 DIST 03; DUNMORE WD 06 DIST 04; SCRANTON WD 01 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 01 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 02 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 02 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 02 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 03 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 03 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 03 DIST 01; SCRANTON WD 13 DIST 02; DUNMORE WD 03 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 23 DIST 03; SCRANTON WD 23 DIST 02; SCRANTON WD 23 DIST 03

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 5 of 10

Magisterial District #: 45-1-08

**Proposed Action:** Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	3,286	Average Annual Workload:	34,370
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-20.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-10.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-39.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realig support to maintain current configuration.	nment or

<sup>&</sup>quot;This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

John P. Pesota	Birthdate:	9-22-49
1444 East Lackawanna Ave., Suite 221	Mandatory Retirement:	2019
Olyphant, PA 18447	Term Expires:	12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

DICKSON CITY WD 01 DIST 01; DICKSON CITY WD 01 DIST 02; DICKSON CITY WD 02 DIST 01; DICKSON CITY WD 03 DIST 02; DICKSON CITY WD 03 DIST 02; DICKSON CITY WD 03 DIST 04; OLYPHANT WD 01; OLYPHANT WD 03 DIST 01; OLYPHANT WD 04 DIST 01; OLYPHANT WD 04 DIST 02; OLYPHANT WD 02; OLYPHANT WD 03 DIST 02; THROOP WD 01; THROOP WD 03; THROOP WD 04

### **Proposed Geography:**

DICKSON CITY WD 01 DIST 01; DICKSON CITY WD 01
DIST 02; DICKSON CITY WD 02 DIST 01; DICKSON CITY
WD 03 DIST 01; DICKSON CITY WD 03 DIST 02;
DICKSON CITY WD 03 DIST 04; OLYPHANT WD 01;
OLYPHANT WD 03 DIST 01; OLYPHANT WD 04 DIST 01;
OLYPHANT WD 04 DIST 02; OLYPHANT WD 02;
OLYPHANT WD 03 DIST 02; THROOP WD 01; THROOP
WD 02; THROOP WD 03; THROOP WD 04

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district:

Police Departments: Throop, Dickson City, Olyphant

Yes

Major Highways: City Streets

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 6 of 10

Magisterial District #: 45-3-01

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	3,639	Average Annual Workload:	26,140
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-11.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-32.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-33.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realign support to maintain current configuration.	nment or

<sup>&</sup>quot;This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

James A. Gibbons	Birthdate:	7-5-57
104 Shady Lane Road P.O. Box 427	Mandatory Retirement:	2027
Chinchilla, PA 18410	Term Expires:	12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

ABINGTON TWP Voting District; CLARKS GREEN Voting District; CLARKS SUMMIT DIST 01; CLARKS SUMMIT DIST 02; CLARKS SUMMIT DIST 03; CLARKS SUMMIT DIST 04; DALTON Voting District; GLENBURN TWP Voting District; LA PLUME TWP Voting District; NEWTON TWP Voting District; NORTH ABINGTON TWP Voting District; RANSOM TWP DIST 01; RANSOM TWP DIST 02; RANSOM TWP DIST 03; SOUTH ABINGTON TWP DIST 01 WD 02; SOUTH ABINGTON TWP DIST 03 WD 02; SOUTH ABINGTON DIST 03 WD 01; SOUTH ABINGTON TWP DIST 01 WD 01; SOUTH ABINGTON TWP DIST 02; WEST ABINGTON TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district:

Police Departments: Clarks Summit

Major Highways: I-81, PA Turnpike

Yes

### **Proposed Geography:**

ABINGTON TWP Voting District; CLARKS GREEN Voting District; CLARKS SUMMIT DIST 01; CLARKS SUMMIT DIST 02; CLARKS SUMMIT DIST 03; CLARKS SUMMIT DIST 04; DALTON Voting District; GLENBURN TWP Voting District; LA PLUME TWP Voting District; NEWTON TWP Voting District; NORTH ABINGTON TWP Voting District; RANSOM TWP DIST 01; RANSOM TWP DIST 02; RANSOM TWP DIST 03; SOUTH ABINGTON TWP DIST 01 WD 02; SOUTH ABINGTON TWP DIST 03 WD 01; SOUTH ABINGTON TWP DIST 01 WD 01; SOUTH ABINGTON TWP DIST 02; WEST ABINGTON TWP Voting District

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 7 of 10

Magisterial District #: 45-3-02

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	3,474	Average Annual Workload:	25,188
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-15.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-34.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-36.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realign support to maintain current configuration.	nment or

<sup>&</sup>quot;This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

John J. Mercuri Birthdate: 8-27-50

119 North Main Street Mandatory Retirement: 2020

Moscow, PA 18444 Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

CLIFTON TWP Voting District; COVINGTON TWP Voting District; ELMHURST TWP Voting District; JEFFERSON TWP Voting District; THORNHURST TWP Voting District; MADISON TWP Voting District; MOSCOW Voting District; ROARING BROOK TWP Voting District; SPRING BROOK TWP Voting District

### **Proposed Geography:**

CLIFTON TWP Voting District; COVINGTON TWP Voting District; ELMHURST TWP Voting District; JEFFERSON TWP Voting District; MADISON TWP Voting District; MOSCOW Voting District; ROARING BROOK TWP Voting District; SPRING BROOK TWP Voting District

Not Listed: Lehigh Township - It is on the Order

Lehigh Township - It is on the Order

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Moscow, Jefferson Township, Roaring Brook, Covington Township

*Major Highways:* I-81, I-84

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 8 of 10

Magisterial District #: 45-3-03

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	2,928	Average Annual Workload:	29,901
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-28.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-22.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-46.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realign support to maintain current configuration.	nment or

<sup>&</sup>quot;This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Sean P. McGrawBirthdate:1-20-7038 North Main StreetMandatory Retirement:2040Carbondale, PA 18407Term Expires:1-3-16

### **Existing Geography:**

BENTON TWP Voting District; CARBONDALE WD 01 DIST 01; CARBONDALE WD 01 DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 03 DIST 01; CARBONDALE WD 03 DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 04 DIST 01; CARBONDALE WD 05 DIST 01; CARBONDALE WD 05 DIST 01; CARBONDALE WD 06 DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 06 DIST 01; CARBONDALE WD 06 DIST 02; CARBONDALE TWP VTD NORTHEAST; CARBONDALE TWP VTD NORTHWEST; CARBONDALE TWP VTD SOUTH; FELL TWP DIST 01; FELL TWP DIST 02; FELL TWP DIST 03; FELL TWP DIST 04; GREENFIELD TWP Voting District; SCOTT TWP DIST 01; SCOTT TWP DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 03 DIST 03; VANDLING Voting District

### Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Carbondale

Major Highways: City Streets

### **Proposed Geography:**

BENTON TWP Voting District; CARBONDALE WD 01 DIST 01; CARBONDALE WD 01 DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 03 DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 03 DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 04 DIST 01; CARBONDALE WD 05 DIST 01; CARBONDALE WD 05 DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 06 DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 06 DIST 02; CARBONDALE TWP VTD NORTHEAST; CARBONDALE TWP VTD NORTHWEST; CARBONDALE TWP VTD SOUTH; FELL TWP DIST 01; FELL TWP DIST 02; FELL TWP DIST 03; FELL TWP DIST 04; GREENFIELD TWP Voting District; SCOTT TWP DIST 01; SCOTT TWP DIST 02; CARBONDALE WD 03 DIST 03; VANDLING Voting District

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 9 of 10

Magisterial District #: 45-3-04

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	4,123	Average Annual Workload:	36,950
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	1.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-4.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-24.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realig support to maintain current configuration.	nment or

<sup>&</sup>quot;This MDJ along with all other MDJs maintain a workload especially since they all work and share with each other to maintain equity."

Reported percentages will differ from AOPC because proposal uses average workload for judicial district = 38,386; judicial district caseload = 4095; and class 3 caseload average = 5426.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Laura M. Turlip Murphy Birthdate: 6-8-76

Archbald Borough Building 400 Church Street, Second Mandatory Retirement: 2046

Floor

Archbald, PA 18403 Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

ARCHBALD WD 01 DIST 01; ARCHBALD WD 01 DIST 02; ARCHBALD WD 02 DIST 01; ARCHBALD WD 03; ARCHBALD WD 04 DIST 01; ARCHBALD WD 04 DIST 02; BLAKELY WD 01 DIST 01; BLAKELY WD 02 DIST 01; BLAKELY WD 03 DIST 02; JERMYN WD 01; JERMYN WD 02; JERMYN WD 03; JESSUP WD 01 DIST 01; JESSUP WD 02 DIST 01; JESSUP WD 03 DIST 01; JESSUP WD 03 DIST 01; JESSUP WD 01; MAYFIELD WD 01; MAYFIELD WD 02; MAYFIELD WD 01; SCOTT TWP DIST 01; SCOTT TWP DIST 01

### **Proposed Geography:**

ARCHBALD WD 01 DIST 01; ARCHBALD WD 01 DIST 02; ARCHBALD WD 02 DIST 01; ARCHBALD WD 03; ARCHBALD WD 04 DIST 01; ARCHBALD WD 04 DIST 02; BLAKELY WD 01 DIST 01; BLAKELY WD 02 DIST 01; BLAKELY WD 03 DIST 02; JERMYN WD 01; JERMYN WD 02; JERMYN WD 03; JESSUP WD 01 DIST 01; JESSUP WD 02 DIST 01; JESSUP WD 03 DIST 01; JESSUP WD 03 DIST 01; JESSUP WD 01; MAYFIELD WD 01; MAYFIELD WD 02; MAYFIELD WD 01; SCOTT TWP DIST 01; SCOTT TWP DIST 01

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Archbald, Jermyn, Blakely, Jessup

Major Highways: City Streets

Friday, October 19, 2012 Page 10 of 10

### **Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012**

### **Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis**

### **Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics**

### Lackawanna / 45

Judicial District Average Caseload						
<b>2011</b> Class	2011 Filings	2012 Class	2012 Filings			
3	4,378	3	4,378			

2011 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2011 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
3	409	171	3,480	803	288	194	100	5,446

2012 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2012 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
3	414	196	3,590	796	292	192	98	5,576

### Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

Judicial District Caseload Averages						
Lackawanna	2011	2012				
Class	3	3				
CR	473	473				
NT	635	635				
PC	177	177				
TR	2,551	2,551				
CV	290	290				
LT	198	198				
MD	53	53				
AVG	4,378	4,378				

Judicial District Workload Averages						
Lackawann a	2011	2012				
Class	3	3				
CR	17,386	17,386				
NT	6,816	6,816				
PC	1,905	1,905				
TR	5,817	5,817				
CV	3,269	3,269				
LT	2,867	2,867				
MD	1,732	1,732				
-15 % Workload	33,823	33,823				
Average Workload	39,791	39,791				
+ 15% Workload	45,760	45,760				

### 45-1-01 Reestablish

CAS	CE	$\cap$	1	П
CA.	JEI	LU	A	ט

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

14/			1/1		Λ	
W	U	ĸ	KL	u	А	IJ

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
4,068	2011	2012	
-1	-7.08%	-7.08%	
-25	-25.30%	-27.05%	
Reported	AC	PC	
33,333	2011	2012	
-13	-16.23%	-16.23%	

### 45-1-02

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
9,558	2011	2012	
133	118.33%	118.33%	
76	75.50%	71.40%	
Reported	AC	PC	
72,633	2011	2012	
89	82.53%	82.53%	

### 45-1-03

### Reestablish

CA	ς	F	10	$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$
			-	$\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{arphi}}$

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
4,209	2011	2012	
3	-3.86%	-3.86%	
-22	-22.71%	-24.52%	
Reported	AOPC		
54,105	2011	2012	
41	35.97%	35.97%	

45-1-05

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
3,557	2011	2012	
-13	-18.75%	-18.75%	
-34	-34.69%	-36.21%	

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
45,958	2011	2012	
20	15.50%	15.50%	

### 45-1-06

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
6,138	2011	2012	
50	40.21%	40.21%	
13	12.71%	10.07%	

### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC	
61,483	2011	2012
60	54.51%	54.51%

### 45-1-08

### Reestablish

C	Λ	C	C	1		Λ	
	н		C	L	U	А	u

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

**WORKLOAD** 

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
3,286	2011	2012	
-20	-24.94%	-24.94%	
-39	-39.66%	-41.07%	
Reported	AOPC		
34,370	2011	2012	
-10	-13.62%	-13.62%	

### 45-3-01

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
3,639	2011	2012	
-11	-16.88%	-16.88%	
-33	-33.18%	-34.74%	

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
26,140	2011	2012	
-32	-34.31%	-34.31%	

### 45-3-02

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AO	PC
3,474	2011	2012
-15	-20.65%	-20.65%
-36	-36.21%	-37.70%

### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

-36	-36.21%	-37.70%
Reported	AC	PC
25,188	2011	2012
-34	-36.70%	-36.70%

### 45-3-03

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

W			1/1		1	
VV	U	ĸ	ĸL	.U	А	ப

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AC	PC
2,928	2011	2012
-28	-33.12%	-33.12%
-46	-46.24%	-47.49%
Reported	AC	PC
29,901	2011	2012
-22	-24.86%	-24.86%

### 45-3-04

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC				
4,123	2011	2012			
1	-5.82%	-5.82%			
-24	-24.29%	-26.06%			
Reported	AC	PC			
36,950	2011	2012			

-7.14%

-7.14%



### OFFICE OF THE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

RONALD C. MACKAY

Court Administrator

JEFFREY J. McLANE Chief Deputy Court Administrator 45<sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT LACKAWANNA COUNTY COURTHOUSE 200 NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18503 570-963-6773 FAX 570-963-6477

March 30, 2012

JAMES A. DOHER

JAMES A. DOHERTY, Jr. Deputy Court Administrator for Special Courts

CLAIRE CZAYKOWSKI

Family Court Administrator

Sent Via E-Mail and U.S. First Class Mail

Joseph Mittleman, Esquire
Director Judicial Programs
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts
1515 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19101

RE:

Reestablishment Plan – Lackawanna County, 2012

Dear Attorney Mittleman:

On behalf of the Court Administrator's office, I am hereby submitting Lackawanna County's 2012 Reestablishment Plan for the Magisterial District Judges.

It is the recommendation of the Lackawanna County Court that no eliminations of any Magisterial District Court take place at this time. Lackawanna County has eliminated three Magisterial District Courts in the last 20 years with the most recent elimination occurring in April of 2011. We had two Magisterial District Judges who retired. We eliminated one of the offices in April of 2011. We anticipate that there will be three mandatory retirements prior to the next census and we can revisit the issue of the elimination of any more Magisterial District Courts at that time, and we request that we can revisit the issue of elimination of any Magisterial District Courts at that time.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me or the Court Administrator Ronald Mackay.

Very truly yours,

James A. Doherty, Jr.

Deputy Court Administrator for Special Courts

JAD/amb Enclosure

CC: (w/enc.)

Thomas J. Munley, President Judge – Lackawanna County
Ronald C. Mackay, Court Administrator - Lackawanna County
Jeffrey J. McLane, Chief Deputy Court Administrator – Lackawanna County
Amy Kehner, Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts – via e-mail

# AOPC MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT PLAN LACKAWANNA COUNTY MARCH 2012

# SUMMARY OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE REESTABLISHMENT PLAN

The Court of Common Pleas of Lackawanna County hereby submits its Magisterial District Reestablishment Plan pursuant to instructions of the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts.

Lackawanna County has eliminated three District Courts over the last 20 years. Most recently, Magisterial District Court No. 45-1-07 was eliminated as a result of the retirement of Magisterial District Judge for MDJ No. 45-1-07 and MDJ No. 45-1-06. These two District Courts were merged into MDJ No. 45-1-06. As a result, Lackawanna County has only ten Magisterial District Courts to service the entire county. The Magisterial District Courts are not only responsible for the filings and work load within their own Courts, but each Magisterial District Judge shares equally in servicing Lackawanna County Central Court, Lackawanna County Central Booking, Lackawanna County Magisterial On-Duty System, and all arraignments at the Lackawanna County Prison.

The Magisterial District Judges all reside within the geographic boundary lines of their District Court. They have all been elected by residents within their District.

It is the recommendation of Lackawanna County that there be no elimination of Magisterial District Courts at this time. The County has eliminated ten percent (10%) of its District Courts when it eliminated MDJ No. 45-1-07 in April of 2011. It is not anticipated that there will be any retirements until 2018. All of the Magisterial District Judges within Lackawanna County are well trained and properly schooled in the duties, responsibilities, and functions of a Magisterial District Judge. They are highly respected individuals and all have sound reputations for fairness, thoroughness, patience, and due process.

It is respectfully requested that this particular plan be accepted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court.

### I. BACKGROUND OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGES

There are presently ten (10) Magisterial District Judges serving Lackawanna County. Nine (9) of the ten (10) Magisterial District Judges are either lawyers or law school trained. The one Magisterial District Judge who is not law school trained has been a Magisterial District Judge since 1987 and he has an outstanding reputation as a fair and effective Magisterial District Judge. He serves on many State Committees that address issues within the Magisterial District Judges system. We do not expect a retirement until 2018. In general terms, we have three and one-half (31/2) Magisterial District Judges providing services to the residents of the City of Scranton. In the past, we had five (5) Magisterial District Judges covering the City of Scranton. In the six (6) boroughs and townships making up the Mid Valley area of Lackawanna County we have two (2) Magisterial District Judges. In the past, we had three (3) that covered that territory. We have one (1) Magisterial District Judge covering the City of Carbondale. We have one (1) Magisterial District Judge covering the North Pocono, Madison area of the County. We have one (1) Magisterial District Judge covering the entire Clarks Summit, Clarks Green, Abington, North Abington, Newton, and Ransom areas. We have one (1) Magisterial District Judge covering the merged areas of the Borough of Dunmore and six (6) Wards of the City of Scranton.

I have attached hereto and marked as Table 8 is a listing of all of the Magisterial District Judges by District Number, Full Name of the Judge, Term Expiration, Retirement Year, Birth Date, and Election Year.

### (A). CENTRAL COURT

Lackawanna County maintains a Central Court system which addresses all criminal misdemeanor and felony preliminary hearings. Central Court is located on the ground level of the main courthouse with easy access to all relevant Court offices. It is located in Downtown Scranton and is easily accessible. All of the Magisterial District Judges service Central Court on a rotating basis.

The number of criminal cases will be equally shared by all Magisterial District Judges, and as such, the workload is shared by all Magisterial District Judges. This sharing of all of the criminal cases clearly supports our recommendation of maintaining the status quo.

### (B). ON-DUTY SYSTEM

Lackawanna County maintains an On-Duty System in which a Magisterial District Judge is available on a 24 hour, 7 day per week basis. The Magisterial District Judge rotates on this On-Duty System. The On-Duty System allows the police and the community to have available to them a Magisterial District Judge to handle any legal issues concerning warrants, arraignments, bail, or any other matter that would require their involvement.

### (C). TRUANCY

Each of the Magisterial District Judges are responsible for handling the truancy hearings for the School Districts for all elementary, middle, and senior schools located within their District. These truancy hearings are normally held at the school and there is a community approach to resolving them outside of actual filings or formal adjudications. We believe that our Magisterial District Judges do an outstanding job in handling the truancy problems and issues within Lackawanna County.

### (D). ARRAIGNMENTS AT PRISONS

The Magisterial District Judges are also responsible for handling all arraignments at prisons. This is a safety factor that has been implemented in the last two years. The Magisterial District Judge goes to the prison and handles the arraignments at the prison.

### (E). RETIREMENTS

There will be three mandatory retirements of Magisterial District Judges before the next census. As such, reevaluation can be ongoing with reconsideration given to eliminating one or more offices at the time of the mandatory retirement. These mandatory retirements will take place prior to the next census.

### II. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Pursuant to instructions of the AOPC, Lackawanna County has identified seven (7) tables consistent with the analysis requested by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court.

<u>Table 1</u> – addresses the individual case load of the Magisterial District Court in establishing an average case load.

<u>Table 2</u> – addresses the differences between the averages for Lackawanna County in each of the ten (10) District Courts.

<u>Table 3</u> – addresses the comparison between the Magisterial District Courts to other third class counties in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

<u>Table 4</u> – addresses again averages of Lackawanna County compared to the other third class counties.

<u>Table 5</u> – addresses the case weight to each of the types of case filings and then comparing it by and between all of the District Courts in Lackawanna County.

<u>Table 6</u> – addresses the average workload of the Magisterial District Judges.

<u>Table 7</u> – addresses the average workload within each individual District Court and comparing it to each other and establishing a variance.

<u>Table 8</u> – is a listing of the Magisterial District Judges by District Court and providing other personal information.

Lackawanna County has also submitted a worksheet for each of the Magisterial Districts based on the information provided by Tables 1-8.

### Table 1

Judicial District 3 Lackawanna County	CR	NT	PC	TR	cv	LT	MD	AVG Caseload
AVERAGE	474	698	177	2,551	355	139	104	4,498
MDJ-45-1-01	335	345	124	2,621	395	139	109	4,068
MDJ-45-1-02	783	1,727	71	6,417	287	121	152	9,558
MDJ-45-1-03	716	906	41	1,767	260	344	175	4,209
MDJ-45-1-05	590	837	81	1,292	429	223	105	3,557
MDJ-45-1-06	810	849	214	3,337	616	243	69	6,138
MDJ-45-1-07	ELIMINATED	- APRIL, 20:	11					
MDJ-45-1-08	403	418	292	1,702	289	62	120	3,286
MDJ-45-3-01	262	328	103	2,537	310	34	65	3,639
MDJ-45-3-02	242	293	118	2,418	278	46	79	3,474
MDJ-45-3-03	208	672	508	1,126	227	90	97	2,928
MDJ-45-3-04	387	602	221	2,297	459	90	67	4,123

Table 2

Judicial District 3 Lackawanna County	AVG Caseload	Variance
AVERAGE	4,095	
MDJ-45-1-01	4,068	-1%
MDJ-45-1-02	9,558	133%
MDJ-45-1-03	4,209	3%
MDJ-45-1-05	3,557	-13%
MDJ-45-1-06	6,138	50%
MDJ-45-1-07	ELIMINATED -	APRIL, 2011
MDJ-45-1-08	3,286	-20%
MDJ-45-3-01	3,639	-11%
MDJ-45-3-02	3,474	-15%
MDJ-45-3-03	2,928	-28%
MDJ-45-3-04	4,123	1%

Table 3

Judicial District 3	AVG	Berks	Chester	Dauphin	Erie	Lancaster	Lehigh	Luzurne	Northampton	Westmoreland	York
Lackawanna County	Caseload	6,701	7,132	6,824	3,224	2,562	6,592	4,239	4,845	4,406	5,783
MDJ-45-1-01	4,068	-39%	-43%	-40%	79%	-27%	-38%	-4%	-16%	%8-	-30%
MDJ-45-1-02	9,558	43%	34%	40%	196%	72%	45%	125%	%16	117%	92%
MDJ-45-1-03	4,209	-37%	-41%	-38%	31%	-24%	-36%	-1%	-13%	-4%	-27%
MDJ-45-1-05	3,557	-47%	-20%	-48%	10%	-36%	-46%	-16%	-27%	-19%	-38%
MDJ-45-1-06	6,138	%8-	-14%	-10%	%06	10%	%2-	45%	27%	39%	%9
MDJ-45-1-07	ELIMINA	ELIMIN ATED - APRIL, 2011	11								
MDJ-45-1-08	3,286	-51%	-54%	-52%	2%	-41%	-50%	-22%	-32%	-25%	-43%
MDJ-45-3-01	3,639	-46%	-49%	-47%	13%	-35%	-45%	-14%	-25%	-17%	-37%
MDJ-45-3-02	3,474	-48%	-51%	-49%	8%	-38%	-47%	-18%	-28%	-21%	-40%
MDJ-45-3-03	2,928	%95-	-59%	-57%	%6-	-47%	%95-	-31%	-40%	-34%	-49%
MDJ-45-3-04	4,123	-38%	-42%	-40%	28%	-26%	-37%	-3%	-15%	%9-	%bC-

Table 4

Judicial District 3 Lackawanna County	AVG Caseload	Variance
Class 3	5,426	-
MDJ-45-1-01	4,068	-25%
MDJ-45-1-02	9,558	76%
MDJ-45-1-03	4,209	-22%
MDJ-45-1-05	3,557	-34%
MDJ-45-1-06	6,138	13%
MDJ-45-1-07	ELIMINATED -	APRIL, 2011
MDJ-45-1-08	3,286	-39%
MDJ-45-3-01	3,639	-33%
MDJ-45-3-02	3,474	-36%
MDJ-45-3-03	2,928	-46%
MDJ-45-3-04	4,123	-24%

Table 5

Docket Type	Case Weight
CR	36.72
NT (incl PC)	10.74
TR	2.28
CV	11.28
LT	14.48
MD	32.57

### Table 6

Judicial District 3 Lackawanna County	CR	NT	TR	cv	LT	MD	AVG Caseload
AVERAGE	17,391	9,398	5,817	4,004	2,016	3,381	42,006
MDJ-45-1-01	12,301	5,037	5,976	4,456	2,013	3,550	33,333
MDJ-45-1-02	28,752	19,311	14,631	3,237	1,752	4,951	72,633
MDJ-45-1-03	26,292	10,171	4,029	2,933	4,981	5,700	54,105
MDJ-45-1-05	21,665	9,859	2,946	4,839	3,229	3,420	45,958
MDJ-45-1-06	29,743	11,417	7,608	6,948	3,519	2,247	61,483
MDJ-45-1-07	ELIMINATE	D - APRIL, 2	011				
MDJ-45-1-08	14,798	7,625	3,881	3,260	898	3,908	34,370
MDJ-45-3-01	9,621	4,629	5,784	3,497	492	2,117	26,140
MDJ-45-3-02	8,886	4,414	5,513	3,136	666	2,573	25,188
MDJ-45-3-03	7,638	12,673	2,567	2,561	1,303	3,159	29,901
MDJ-45-3-04	14,211	8,839	5,237	5,178	1,303	2,182	36,950

Table 7

Judicial District 3 Lackawanna County	AVG Caseload	Variance
AVERAGE	38,386	-
MDJ-45-1-01	33,333	-13%
MDJ-45-1-02	72,633	89%
MDJ-45-1-03	54,105	41%
MDJ-45-1-05	45,958	20%
MDJ-45-1-06	61,483	60%
MDJ-45-1-07	ELIMINATED -	APRIL, 2011
MDJ-45-1-08	34,370	-10%
MDJ-45-3-01	26,140	-32%
MDJ-45-3-02	25,188	-34%
MDJ-45-3-03	29,901	-22%
MDJ-45-3-04	36,950	-4%

# AOPC

# 2011-2012 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT

# LACKAWANNA COUNTY – MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGES' LIST

# Table 8

COUNTY	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	NAME	NAME	NAME	TERM	MANDATORY RETIREMENT YEAR	BIRTH DATE	ELECTION YEAR
Lackawanna	45-1-06	Paul	J.	Ware	01-01-18	2036	08-20-66	2011
Lackawanna	45-1-02	Alyce	Δ.	Farrell	01-03-16	2037	09-20-67	1997
Lackawanna	45-1-05	Terrence	<b>&lt;</b> .	Gallagher	01-01-18	2018		1993
Lackawanna	45-3-01	James	A.	Gibbons	01-01-18	2027	07-05-57 2005	2005
Lackawanna	45-1-01	Theodore	J,	Giglio	01-01-18	2024	03-04-54	1987
Lackawanna	45-3-03	Sean	P.	McGraw	01-03-16	2040	01-20-70 1997	1997
Lackawanna	45-3-02	John	J.	Mercuri	01-01-18	2020	08-27-50 1981	1981
Lackawanna	45-1-08	John	P.	Pesota	01-01-18	2019	09-22-49	1999
Lackawanna	45-1-03	Joanne	Price	Corbett	01-01-18	2023	07-05-53	2011
Lackawanna	45-3-04	Laura	N.	Turlip- Murphy	01-01-18	2046	06-08-76 2005	2005

### III. CONCLUSION

It is the recommendation that no District Courts be eliminated at this time in Lackawanna County. As stated, Lackawanna County has eliminated three District Courts in the last twenty years with the latest one being in April of 2011 by the elimination of MDJ No. 45-1-07. We believe that we have a sufficient number of Courts to service the needs of all of the people within Lackawanna County with a geographic and case load balance. It is our recommendation that in 2018 when there will be a retirement, we can revisit the issue of whether or not there is a need to eliminate and/or merge District Courts.



# MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-1-06
II.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 6,138
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial % SEE TABLE 2 district's average total caseload:
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of % SEE TABLE 3 county's average total caseload:
ill.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD
	A. Average Total Workload: 34,370
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:
*	<ul> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:</li> </ul>
BALAN TO MA	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A NCE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER AINTAIN EQUITY.
IV.	Proposed Change:
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish  Realign  Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS
٧.	Magisterial District Information:
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: PAUL J. WARE
,	<b>B.</b> Term Expiration( <i>m</i> / <i>d</i> / <i>yyyy</i> ): 01–01–18

# AUPL

### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

VIII.	Add	DITIONAL COMMENTS:  WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES.	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  NONE		
VI.	LIST	EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPAL BOROUGH OF DUNMORE	ITIES:
	Н.	List any major highways within this magisteri CITY STREETS, INTERSTATE 81	ial district:
	G.	List any police departments located within the BOROUGH OF DUNMORE	nis magisterial district:
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:		
	E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	Choose Yes or No
	D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	2012 WEST PINE STREET DUNMORE, PA 18512
	C,	Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy):	08-20-2036



## MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

1.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-1-03
H.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 4,209
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of % SEE TABLE 3 county's average total caseload:
in.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD
	A. Average Total Workload: 54,105
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:
4	<ul> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:</li> </ul>
BALAI TO M	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A NCE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER AINTAIN EQUITY.
IV.	Proposed Change:
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish  Realign  Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS
v.	Magisterial District Information:
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: JOANNE PRICE CORBETT
	B. Term Expiration( $m/d/yyyy$ ): 01–01–18

# AUPL

### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

	C.	Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy):	07-05-2023
	D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	200 North Washington Ave. P.O. Box 67, Scranton, PA 18503
	E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	Choose Yes or No
	F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	Choose Yes or No
	G.	List any police departments located within this m	nagisterial district:
	Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial di CITY STREETS	strict:
VI.	LIST	EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES CITY OF SCRANTON	
VII.	List	PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE NONE	ES:
VIII.	ADD	DITIONAL COMMENTS:  WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES.	



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-1-02
II.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 9,558
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:
	<ul> <li>C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of % SEE TABLE 3 county's average total caseload:</li> </ul>
iII.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD
_	A. Average Total Workload: 72,633
	<ul> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:</li> </ul>
÷	<ul> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:</li> </ul>
BALAI TO M	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A NCE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER AINTAIN EQUITY.
IV.	Proposed Change:
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish  Realign  Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:
	Apple 1
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: ALYCE M. FARRELL

### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

	C.	Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy):	09-20-2037
	D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	130 NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE SCRANTON, PA 18503
	E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	Choose Ves or No
	F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judg within the boundaries of the magisterial distri	Chanco Vac or No
	G.	List any police departments located within thi CITY OF SCRANTON	s magisterial district:
	Н.	List any major highways within this magisteria CITY STREETS	ll district:
VI.	List	EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALIT SCRANTON	TIES:
VII.	LIST	PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPAL NONE	ITIES:
VIII.	Add	DITIONAL COMMENTS: WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES.	



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

ı.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-1-08
II.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 3,286
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial % SEE TABLE 2 district's average total caseload:
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of % SEE TABLE 3 county'saverage total caseload:
iII.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD
	A. Average Total Workload: 34,370
	<ul> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:</li> </ul>
3	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater choose Yes or No than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:
BALA TO M	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A NCE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER AINTAIN EQUITY.
IV.	Proposed Change:
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish  Realign  Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS
٧.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: JOHN P. PESOTA
	<b>B.</b> Term Expiration( <i>m</i> / <i>d</i> / <i>yyyy</i> ): 01–01–18

#### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

	C. Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy):	09-22-2019
	<b>D.</b> Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	1444 EAST LACKAWANNA AVENUE DICKSON CITY, PA 18519
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	Choose Yes or No
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district ju within the boundaries of the magisterial dis	Choose Ves or No
	G. List any police departments located within t THROOP, DICKSON CITY, OLYPHANT	this magisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magister CITY STREETS	rial district:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPA THROOP, DICKSON CITY, OLYPHANT	LITIES:
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIP	ALITIES:
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	
	WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES.	



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

l.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-1-05
11.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 3,557
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial % SEE TABLE 2 district's average total caseload:
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of % SEE TABLE 3 county's average total caseload:
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD
X t	A. Average Total Workload: 45,958
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:
T	<ul> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:</li> </ul>
BALAN TO MA	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A NCE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER AINTAIN EQUITY.
IV.	Proposed Change:
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish  Realign  Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS
٧.	Magisterial District Information:
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: TERRENCE V. GALLAGHER
	B. Term Expiration( $m/d/yyyy$ ): 01–01–18

#### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

	C. Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy): 09-28-2018	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): 1600 FARR ST SCRANTON, PA	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	es or No
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	es or No
	G. List any police departments located within this magisterial dis	trict:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: CITY STREETS	
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: SCRANTON	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: NONE	
VIII.	Additional Comments:  WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES.	



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

1.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-1-01		
II.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload		
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 4,095		
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial % SEE TABLE 2 district's average total caseload:		
	<ul> <li>C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of  % SEE TABLE 3 county's average total caseload:</li> </ul>		
in.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Workload		
	A. Average Total Workload: 33,333		
	<ul> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:</li> </ul>		
4	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:		
BALA TO M	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A NCE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER AINTAIN EQUITY.		
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish Realign Eliminate		
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS		
٧.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: THEODORE J. GIGLIO		
	<b>B.</b> Term Expiration( <i>m</i> / <i>d</i> / <i>yyyy</i> ): 01–01–18		

#### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

	C. Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy):	03-04-2024
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	R. 107 SOUTH MAIN ST. OLD FORGE, PA 18518
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	Choose Yes or No
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	Choose Yes or No
	G. List any police departments located within this ma TAYLOR, MOOSIC, OLD FORGE	gisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dist CITY STREETS	rict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: TAYLOR, MOOSIC, OLD FORGE	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES NONE	
VIII.	Additional Comments:	
	WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES.	

p



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

ı.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-3-02
n.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 3,474
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial % SEE TABLE 2 district's average total caseload:
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of % SEE TABLE 3 county's average total caseload:
in.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD
	A. Average Total Workload: 25,188
	<ul> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:</li> </ul>
BALA CO M	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A NCE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER AINTAIN EQUITY.
٧.	Proposed Change:
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS
٧.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: JOHN J. MERCURI
-	B. Term Expiration( $m/d/yyyy$ ): 01-01-18

#### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

C. Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy): 08-27-2020 119 NORTH MAIN STREET D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): MOSCOW, PA 18444 E. Is the office within the boundaries of the Choose Yes or No magisterial district: F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge Choose(Yes)or No within the boundaries of the magisterial district: G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: MOSCOW, JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP, ROARING BROOK, COVINGTON TOWNSHIP H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: INTERSTATE 81, INTERSTATE 84 VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: BOROUGH OF MOSCOW, TOWNSHIPS OF JEFFERSON, ROARING BROOK, ELMHURST, MADISON, SPRINGBROOK, COVINGTON, CLIFTON, AND THORNHURST LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: VII. NONE VIII. **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:** WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES. PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

p-



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

1.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-3-01		
п.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload		
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 3,639		
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:		
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of % SEE TABLE 3 county's average total caseload:		
m.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
	A. Average Total Workload: 26,140		
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:		
4	<ul> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:</li> </ul>		
BALAI TO M	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A NCE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER AINTAIN EQUITY.		
IV.	Proposed Change:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish  Realign  Eliminate		
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS		
٧.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: JAMES A. GIBBONS		
-	<b>B.</b> Term Expiration( <i>m</i> / <i>d</i> / <i>yyyy</i> ): 01–01–18		

#### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

C. Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy): 07-05-27 104 SHADY LANE ROAD **D.** Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): CHINCHILLA, PA 18410 E. Is the office within the boundaries of the Choose Yes or No magisterial district: F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge Choose Yes or No within the boundaries of the magisterial district: G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: CLARKS SUMMIT H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: INTERSTATE 81, PENNSYLVANIA TURNPIKE VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: BOROUGHS OF DALTON, CLARKS SUMMIT: TOWNSHIPS OF LAPLUME, NORTH ABINGTON, WEST ABINGTON, GLENBURN, ABINGTON, SOUTH ABINGTON, NEWTON, AND RANSOM VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: NONE VIII. **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:** WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES. PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

p



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

L.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-3-04
II.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 4,123
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of % SEE TABLE 3 county's average total caseload:
in.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD
	A. Average Total Workload: 36,950
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:
7	<ul> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:</li> </ul>
BALAN TO MA	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A WEE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER AINTAIN EQUITY.
IV.	Proposed Change:
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS
٧.	Magisterial District Information:
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: LAURA TURLIP-MURPHY
•	B. Term Expiration( $m/d/yyyy$ ): 01–01–18

#### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

C. Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy): 06-08-2046 400 CHURCH ST., 2ND FLOOR D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): ARCHBALD, PA 18403 E. Is the office within the boundaries of the Choose Yes or No magisterial district: F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge Choose Yes or No within the boundaries of the magisterial district: G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: ARCHBALD, JERMYN BLAKELY, JESSUP H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: CITY STREETS VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: BOROUGHS OF ARCHBALD, JERMYN, MAYFIELD, BLAKELY, JESSUP; TOWNSHIP OF SCOTT LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: VII. NONE VIII. **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:** WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES. PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

1



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

1.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####): 45-3-03
II.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload
	A. AverageTotal Caseload: 2,928
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial % SEE TABLE 2 district's average total caseload:
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of % SEE TABLE 3 county's average total caseload:
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD
	A. Average Total Workload: 29,901
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's % SEE TABLE 6 average total workload:
*	<ul> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:</li> </ul>
BALAN TO MA	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? THIS MDJ ALONG WITH ALL OF OUR OTHER MDJs MAINTAIN A CE WORKLOAD ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY ALL WORK AND SHARE WITH EACH OTHER INTAIN EQUITY.
IV.	Proposed Change:
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  X Reestablish  Realign  Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ : MAINTAIN PRESENT STATUS
٧.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: SEAN P. McGRAW
	<b>B.</b> Term Expiration( <i>m</i> / <i>d</i> / <i>yyyy</i> ): 01–03–16

#### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

C. Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy): 01-20-2040 33 SOUTH MAIN STREET D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): CARBONDALE, PA 18407 E. Is the office within the boundaries of the Choose Yes or No magisterial district: F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge Choose Yes or No within the boundaries of the magisterial district: G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: CARBONDALE H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: CITY STREETS LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: VI. CITY OF CARBONDALE; BOROUGH OF VANDLING; TOWNSHIPS OF FELL, GREENFIELD, AND CARBONDALE VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: NONE VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: WE ARE REQUESTING NO CHANGES.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

#### Kehner, Amy

From:

Kehner, Amy

Sent:

Monday, June 04, 2012 2:50 PM

To:

Doherty, Jr., James MacKay, Ronald C.

Cc: Subject:

2012 Reestablishment Plan

Attachments:

DohertyLtr\_060412.pdf

Mr. Doherty:

Please see the attached letter regarding the plan submitted for Lackawanna County. Please submit revisions to the plan by June 22, 2012. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thank you!

Amy

#### Amy J. Kehner

Judicial Programs Administrator Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts 601 Commonwealth Avenue Harrisburg, PA 17106

P: 717-231-3300 x4014

F: 717-231-9570



Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF PENNSYLVANIA COURTS
Pennsylvania Judicial Center
P.O. Box 61260
Harrisburg, PA 17106-1260
(717) 231-3300

June 4, 2012

www.pacourts.us

Mr. James A. Doherty, Jr.
Deputy Court Administrator for Special Courts
45<sup>th</sup> Judicial District
Lackawanna County Courthouse
200 N. Washington Avenue
Scranton, PA 18503

RE: Reestablishment Plan 2012

Dear Mr. Doherty:

We are in receipt of the reestablishment proposal submitted on behalf of Lackawanna County. By email on April 4, 2012 I requested a copy of the Judicial District Summary Worksheet which was not included in the documents submitted. To date, I have not received a response.

As part of the reestablishment process, each plan is reviewed to ensure compliance with the requirements outlined in the *AOPC's Reestablishment Guidelines* dated October 2011, prior to submitting each proposal to the Supreme Court for action. Please review the comments provided and re-submit an updated Judicial District Worksheet and corresponding Magisterial District Worksheets no later than June 22, 2012.

#### CASEFILINGS

Using statistics provided by AOPC, this judicial district has an average of 4,378 filings for each magisterial district court. The calculations provided in the submitted plan indicate an average caseload of 4,095 annual filings. Using either set of statistics, the judicial district is, at a minimum, 20% below the class average.

The proposal provides the following tables:

- Tables 1 & 2: Show average caseload for each magisterial district and the variance between the judicial district average and each magisterial district.
- Tables 3 & 4: Compare each magisterial district within Lackawanna County to the average caseload for each judicial district within the third class county; and the average county class average compared to each magisterial district.

Tables 1-4 do not compare the average caseload of the judicial district to the average for a 3<sup>rd</sup> class county; which is required by the guidelines and serves as benchmark to determine whether or not a judicial district should eliminate a magisterial district. Further, if elimination is not proposed, a rationale must be provided. The benchmark requires the average caseload of the judicial district to be at least ten percent above the class of county average. The following relevant factors were provided to support this judicial district's decision not to eliminate:

- 1. Lackawanna County eliminated a court in April 2011.
- 2. 10 magisterial district courts currently serve the county and share the duties of central court, on-call and prison arraignments.

While a court was eliminated in April 2011, the caseload statistics indicate that average caseload is still below the class of county average. Please address the *specific issues* precluding the county from considering elimination of a magisterial district. For example, the impact of Marcellus Shale drilling, increase in population, development of a new retail center, increase in truancy hearings, etc.

#### RETIREMENT

The guidelines require each judicial district to review mandatory retirements and upcoming term expirations. Table 8 in the proposal provides the breakdown of term expirations and mandatory retirements. There are two magisterial district judge terms that expire in 2016; and two mandatory retirements prior to 2020. However, the proposal does not address why these magisterial districts are not considered for elimination, or realignment; but instead notes that these districts may be reevaluated on an ongoing basis prior to the next census. The reestablishment process takes place once every ten years; and apart from unusual and compelling circumstances that may require an amended plan; the Court should not be asked to review amended plans due to ongoing reevaluation within the judicial district.

#### WORKLOAD

Tables 6 & 7 provide comparisons of the average annual workload for each magisterial district compared to the average for each judicial district. AOPC calculations suggest that total average workload units should fall between 33,823 and 45,760. Eight of ten courts fall outside of this targeted workload range. While it may be true that the courts share the workload it does not provide a convincing analysis that all ten courts should remain as they currently exist. While workload for actions that occur during central court may be shared (and in theory, equitable) among all ten courts, civil, landlord-tenant, traffic, non-traffic and miscellaneous docket filings are not part of central court. By reviewing caseload and workload for each magisterial district,

realignment options should be considered; and, if realignment is not pursued, a full analysis for each court should be provided to support the decision.

Court Number	Average Workload	Variance
MDJ-45-1-01	33,333	-21%
MDJ-45-1-02	72,633	73%
MDJ-45-1-03	54,105	29%
MDJ-45-1-05	45,958	9%
MDJ-45-1-06	61,483	46%
MDJ-45-1-08	34,370	-18%
MDJ-45-3-01	26,140	-38%
MDJ-45-3-02	25,188	-40%
MDJ-45-3-03	29,901	-29%
MDJ-45-3-04	36,950	-12%
Average	42,006	-15%
Max	48,307	73%
Min	35,705	-40%

#### PROPOSAL STRUCTURE

The submitted proposal provides several tables used to complete the required judicial and magisterial district worksheets. However, the magisterial district worksheets routinely refer the reviewer to see a specific table. The worksheet should provide a summary for each magisterial district and not refer to calculations and justifications provided elsewhere in the proposal. When making the requested revisions to the proposal, please remove references to "see Table \*" and insert the actual data.

If you have any questions, or would like to discuss your proposal in more detail, please contact me at 717-231-3300, extension 4014.

Sincerely,

Amy J. Kehner

Judicial Programs Administrator