

# Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

## Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: **Wayne**

Judicial District #: **22**

### ***Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis***

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 22 and its class of county: **-33.7%**

*Note: If the difference is >= 10% no proposed eliminations or justification is required.*

#### *Summary of Proposed Actions*

22-3-01 Realign

22-3-02 Realign

22-3-03 **Eliminate**

22-3-04 Realign

### ***Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations***

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: Yes      Night Court: No

### ***Public Comment***

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: Yes      Comments Received: No

Pending retirement of MDJ Farrell in 2014, magisterial district 22-3-03 will be eliminated and the remaining districts realigned to balance caseload and workload.

# Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

County Name: **Wayne**

Judicial District #: **22**

## Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

**Magisterial District #: 22-3-01**

**Proposed Action: Realign**

Average Annual Caseload:	3,468	Average Annual Workload:	27,173
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	60.20	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	<b>43.60</b>
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	5.88	<i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i>	

This district has the largest caseload and population and is the only district with a portion of the interstate. It is likely this district will continue to grow in workload.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Bonnie L. Carney	Birthdate:	1-20-57
Hawley Borough Building 94 Main Avenue P.O. Box 350	Mandatory Retirement:	2027
Hawley, PA 18428	Term Expires:	1-3-16

### Existing Geography:

DREHER TWP Voting District; HAWLEY Voting District; LEHIGH TWP Voting District; PALMYRA TWP Voting District; PAUPACK TWP Voting District; SALEM TWP Voting District; STERLING TWP Voting District

### Proposed Geography:

DREHER TWP Voting District; HAWLEY Voting District; LAKE TWP Voting District; LEHIGH TWP Voting District; PALMYRA TWP Voting District; PAUPACK TWP Voting District; SALEM TWP Voting District; STERLING TWP Voting District

**Office within district:** Yes

**Residence within district:** Yes

**Police Departments:** Hawley Borough Police Dept., Lehigh Township Police Dept.

**Major Highways:** US Route 6, I-84, I-380, PA Route 590

## Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

**Magisterial District #: 22-3-02**

**Proposed Action: Realign**

Average Annual Caseload:	3,029	Average Annual Workload:	28,828
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	40.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	<b>52.40</b>
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-7.76	<i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i>	

This district has a population and business base that is large for its small size.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Theodore J. Mikulak	Birthdate:	02-20-58
Wayne County Courthouse 925 Court Street	Mandatory Retirement:	2028
Honesdale, PA 18431	Term Expires:	1-3-16

### Existing Geography:

BERLIN TWP VTD 01; BERLIN TWP VTD 02; BETHANY Voting District; CHERRY RIDGE TWP Voting District; DYBERRY TWP Voting District; HONESDALE VTD 01; HONESDALE VTD 02; HONESDALE VTD 03; HONESDALE VTD 04; HONESDALE VTD 05; TEXAS TWP VTD 01; TEXAS TWP VTD 02; TEXAS TWP VTD 03

### Proposed Geography:

CANAAN TWP Voting District; CHERRY RIDGE TWP Voting District; HONESDALE VTD 01; HONESDALE VTD 02; HONESDALE VTD 03; HONESDALE VTD 04; HONESDALE VTD 05; PROMPTON Voting District; SOUTH CANAAN TWP Voting District; TEXAS TWP VTD 01; TEXAS TWP VTD 02; TEXAS TWP VTD 03; WAYMART Voting District

**Office within district:** Yes

**Residence within district:** Yes

**Police Departments:** Honesdale Borough Police Dept., PA State Police

**Major Highways:** US Route 6, PA Route 191

## Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

**Magisterial District #: 22-3-03**

**Proposed Action: Eliminate**

Average Annual Caseload:	1,429	Average Annual Workload:	11,976
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-33.90	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	<b>-36.70</b>
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-56.20	<i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i>	

This district is proposed for elimination.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Jane E. Farrell	Birthdate:	11-4-53
239 Belmont Street P.O. Box 441	Mandatory Retirement:	2023
Waymart, PA 18472	Term Expires:	12-31-17

### Existing Geography:

CANAAN TWP Voting District; CLINTON TWP VTD 01;  
CLINTON TWP VTD 02; LAKE TWP Voting District;  
PROMPTON Voting District; SOUTH CANAAN TWP  
Voting District; WAYMART Voting District

### Proposed Geography:

**Office within district:** Yes

**Residence within district:** Yes

**Police Departments:** Waymart Borough Police Dept.

**Major Highways:** US Route 6, PA Route 296

## Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

**Magisterial District #: 22-3-04**

**Proposed Action: Realign**

Average Annual Caseload:	731	Average Annual Workload:	7,704
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-66.20	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	<b>-59.30</b>
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-77.60	<i>Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.</i>	

This district encompasses the most square mileage and has the smallest population. Eliminating 22-3-03 and realigning will help bring the workloads of the remaining districts more in balance.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Ronald J. Edwards	Birthdate:	8-21-57
Old Railroad Station P.O. Box 276	Mandatory Retirement:	2027
Lakewood, PA 18439	Term Expires:	1-3-16

### Existing Geography:

BUCKINGHAM TWP Voting District; DAMASCUS TWP VTD 01; DAMASCUS TWP VTD 02; LEBANON TWP Voting District; MANCHESTER TWP Voting District; MT. PLEASANT TWP Voting District; OREGON TWP Voting District; PRESTON TWP Voting District; SCOTT TWP Voting District; STARRUCCA Voting District

### Proposed Geography:

BERLIN TWP VTD 01; BERLIN TWP VTD 02; BETHANY Voting District; BUCKINGHAM TWP Voting District; CLINTON TWP VTD 01; CLINTON TWP VTD 02; DAMASCUS TWP VTD 01; DAMASCUS TWP VTD 02; DYBERRY TWP Voting District; LEBANON TWP Voting District; MANCHESTER TWP Voting District; MT. PLEASANT TWP Voting District; OREGON TWP Voting District; PRESTON TWP Voting District; SCOTT TWP Voting District; STARRUCCA Voting District

**Office within district:** Yes

**Residence within district:** Yes

### Police Departments:

**Major Highways:** PA Routes 191, 670, 247, 371, 370

# Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

## Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

### Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics

**Wayne / 22**

Judicial District Average Caseload			
2011 Class	2011 Filings	2012 Class	2012 Filings
6	2,165	6	2,165

2011 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2011 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
6	251	126	2,087	485	231	43	41	3,263

2012 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2012 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
6	244	155	2,019	477	228	42	39	3,204

#### Notes on Analysis:

**CASELOAD:** The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

**WORKLOAD:** Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

Judicial District Caseload Averages		
Wayne	2011	2012
Class	6	6
CR	173	173
NT	375	375
PC	84	84
TR	1,138	1,138
CV	330	330
LT	40	40
MD	24	24
AVG	2,165	2,165

Judicial District Workload Averages		
Wayne	2011	2012
Class	6	6
CR	6,334	6,334
NT	4,030	4,030
PC	903	903
TR	2,595	2,595
CV	3,725	3,725
LT	584	584
MD	774	782
-15 % Workload	16,103	16,110
Average Workload	18,945	18,953
+ 15% Workload	21,786	21,796

## Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

### 22-3-01 Realign

#### CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
	2011	2012
3,468		

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

60.2	60.22%	60.22%
------	--------	--------

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

5.88	6.27%	8.23%
------	-------	-------

#### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
	2011	2012
27,173		

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

43.6	43.43%	43.37%
------	--------	--------

### 22-3-02 Realign

#### CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
	2011	2012
3,029		

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

40	39.94%	39.94%
----	--------	--------

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

-7.76	-7.18%	-5.47%
-------	--------	--------

#### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
	2011	2012
28,828		

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

52.4	52.17%	52.10%
------	--------	--------

## Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

**22-3-03**

**Eliminate**

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
1,429	2011	2012
-33.9	-33.98%	-33.98%
-56.2	-56.21%	-55.40%

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
11,976	2011	2012
-36.7	-36.78%	-36.81%

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

**22-3-04**

**Realign**

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Reported	AOPC	
731	2011	2012
-66.2	-66.23%	-66.23%
-77.6	-77.60%	-77.19%

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Reported	AOPC	
7,704	2011	2012
-59.3	-59.33%	-59.35%

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:





JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

<b>I. JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER:</b>	22
<b>A.</b> What is the class of county?	6
<b>B.</b> What is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county?	-33%
<b>II. PROPOSED ACTIONS:</b>	
<b>A.</b> List existing magisterial districts: 22-3-01; 22-3-02; 22-3-03; 22-3-04	
<b>B.</b> Does this judicial district have an annual average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county?	YES
1. If the answer to <b>II. B.</b> above is <i>NO</i> , are eliminations proposed?	Choose Yes or No
a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination.	
b) If no eliminations are proposed based on <b>II. B.</b> above, what are the factors for this decision?	
2. If the answer to <b>II. B.</b> above is <i>YES</i> , are eliminations proposed?	YES
a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination. 22-3-03	
<b>C.</b> Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?	NO
1. List magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment.	
<b>D.</b> Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment?	Choose Yes or No
1. List magisterial districts proposed for realignment. 22-3-01; 22-3-02; 22-3-04	

# AOPC

## JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

<b>III. NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>A.</b> Is there a night court operating within the judicial district?	NO
<b>B.</b> Is there a central court operating within the judicial district?	YES
<b>C.</b> Note comments regarding how night, central or other similar court programs impact operations within the judicial district. Central Court is limited to a waiver of preliminary hearing actions; no hearings are held nor dispositions rendered nor monies transacted. Re-alignment will result in weekly coverage rotation change from 4 judge to 3.	
<b>IV. PUBLIC COMMENT</b>	
<b>A.</b> A request for public comment was posted:	YES
<b>B.</b> Comments were received:	NO
<b>C.</b> Comments are attached:	NO
<b>V. ADDITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL:</b>	
<b>VI. DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC:</b>	MARCH 28, 2012
<b>VII. PRESIDENT JUDGE NAME:</b>	Hon. Raymond L. Hamill
_____ Signature	



## MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

**PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.**

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

<b>I.</b>	<b>MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):</b>	22-3-01
<b>II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Average Total Caseload:	3468
<b>B.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	37.57% (3468/2165)
<b>C.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	5.88% (3468/3264)
<b>III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Average Total Workload:	27173
<b>B.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	43.6%
<b>C.</b>	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
<b>D.</b>	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? District has largest caseload and population. Contains only interstates within the county and population and community/business development more probable to increase more so than other districts	
<b>IV. PROPOSED CHANGE:</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
<b>B.</b>	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	01/01/2014
<b>V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Magisterial District Judge Name: Bonnie L. Carney	



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

<b>B.</b>	Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	01/03/2016
<b>C.</b>	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	01/20/2027
<b>D.</b>	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	94 Main Ave. PO Box 350 Hawley, Pa. 18431
<b>E.</b>	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES (Hawley Boro)
<b>F.</b>	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES (Paupack Twp.)
<b>G.</b>	List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	Hawley Borough PD; Lehigh Twp. PD
<b>H.</b>	List any major highways within this magisterial district:	US Rt.6; I 84 (9 miles); I380 (300 yds); Pa.Rt. 590
<b>VI.</b>	<b>LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:</b>	Lehigh, Palmyra, Paupack, Sterling, Salem, Dreher Twps. Hawley Borough
<b>VII.</b>	<b>LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:</b>	Lehigh, Dreher, Sterling, Salem, Lake, Paupack, Palmyra Twps., Hawley Borough
<b>VIII.</b>	<b>ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:</b>	
<b>PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.</b>		



## MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

**PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.**

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

<b>I.</b>	<b>MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):</b>	22-3-02
<b>II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Average Total Caseload:	3029
<b>B.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	40% Above (3029/2165)
<b>C.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	7.76% Below (3029/3264)
<b>III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Average Total Workload:	28828
<b>B.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	52.4% Above (28828/18920)
<b>C.</b>	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
<b>D.</b>	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? Has significant population & business base in a relatively small area. Major highways and police departments also located in district resulting in the greater workloads.	
<b>IV. PROPOSED CHANGE:</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
<b>B.</b>	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	01/01/2014
<b>V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Magisterial District Judge Name: Theodore J. Mikluak	



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

<b>B.</b> Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	01/03/2016
<b>C.</b> Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	02/20/2028
<b>D.</b> Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	925 Court Street Honesdale, Pa. 18431
<b>E.</b> Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	<b>Yes</b> (Honesdale Boro)
<b>F.</b> Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES (Honesdale Boro)
<b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	Honesdale Boro. PD; Pa. State Police (Cherry Ridge Twp.)
<b>H.</b> List any major highways within this magisterial district:	US Rt. 6; PA.Rt. 191
<b>VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:</b>	Texas, Cherry Ridge, Berlin, Dyberry Twps./Honesdale Borough/Bethany Borough
<b>VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:</b>	Texas, Cherry Ridge, Canaan, South Canaan Twps./ Honesdale, Waymart and Prompton Boroughs.
<b>VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:</b>	
<b>PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.</b>	



## MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

**PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.**

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

<b>I.</b>	<b>MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):</b>	22-3-03
<b>II. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Average Total Caseload:	1429
<b>B.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	33.9% Below (1429/2165)
<b>C.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	56.2% Below (1429/3264)
<b>III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Average Total Workload:	11976
<b>B.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	36.7% Below (11976/18920)
<b>C.</b>	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
<b>D.</b>	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? Small population/business base. Combined filings of 22-3-03 & 22-3-04 still fall far below with district 22-3-01 or 22-3-02	
<b>IV. PROPOSED CHANGE:</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input type="checkbox"/> Realign <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
<b>B.</b>	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	01/01/2014
<b>V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:</b>		
<b>A.</b>	Magisterial District Judge Name: Jane E. Farrell	



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

<b>B.</b> Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	01/02/2018
<b>C.</b> Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	11/4/2023
<b>D.</b> Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	239 Belmont Street Waymart, Pa. 18428
<b>E.</b> Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	Yes (Waymart Borough)
<b>F.</b> Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES (Clinton Twp.)
<b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	Waymart Borough PD
<b>H.</b> List any major highways within this magisterial district:	US Rt. 6; PA Rt. 296
<b>VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:</b>	Clinton, Canaan, South Canaan, Lake Twps./Waymart Borough/Prompton Borough
<b>VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:</b>	District to be eliminated.
<b>VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:</b>	
<b>PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.</b>	





## MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

**PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.**

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

<b>I.</b>	<b>MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):</b>	22-3-04
<b>II.</b>	<b>BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD</b>	
<b>A.</b>	Average Total Caseload:	731
<b>B.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	66.2% Below (731/2165)
<b>C.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	77.6% Below (731/3264)
<b>III.</b>	<b>BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD</b>	
<b>A.</b>	Average Total Workload:	7704
<b>B.</b>	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	59.3% (7704/18920)
<b>C.</b>	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater than or less than</i> the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
<b>D.</b>	If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? Population bases are located in southern parts of county. 22-3-04 has smallest population base but largest in square mileage coverage.	
<b>IV.</b>	<b>PROPOSED CHANGE:</b>	
<b>A.</b>	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Realign <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate
<b>B.</b>	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	01/01/2014
<b>V.</b>	<b>MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:</b>	
<b>A.</b>	Magisterial District Judge Name: Ronald J. Edwards	



MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT WORKSHEET

<b>B.</b> Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	01/03/2016
<b>C.</b> Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	08/21/2027
<b>D.</b> Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Old R.R. Station, PO Box 276 Lakewood, Pa. 18439
<b>E.</b> Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES (Preston Twp.)
<b>F.</b> Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES (Damascus Twp.)
<b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	N/A
<b>H.</b> List any major highways within this magisterial district:	PA Rts. 191, 670, 247, 371, 370
<b>VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:</b>	Manchester, Buckingham, Preston, Mount Pleasant, Lebanon, Damascus, Scott, Oregon Twps. /Starrucca Borough
<b>VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:</b>	Manchester, Buckingham, Preston, Mount Pleasant, Lebanon, Damascus, Scott, Oregon, Clinton, Dyberry, Berlin Twps./Starrucca and Bethany boroughs.
<b>VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:</b>	Will become one of the largest magisterial districts within 6 <sup>th</sup> class counties in square mileage however population base and total filings will be more equitable with other two districts.
<b>PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.</b>	



Wayne County, Pennsylvania 22<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District

---

# **2012 Magisterial District Reestablishment Proposal**

---

March 2, 2012

Submitted by:  
Hon. Raymond L. Hamill,  
President Judge

Linus H. Myers  
Court Administrator

## **2012 Pennsylvania Supreme Court directive to Courts of Common Pleas regarding Realignment of Magisterial Districts.**

Following each decade census, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court requires Courts of Common Pleas to examine population and filing trends in magisterial district courts contained within the judicial district. The purpose is to analyze filing trends and determine whether realignment of districts is appropriate based upon the comparison of case filings among the districts. The goal is to insure the districts are equitable in handling the workload.

The 2002 Wayne County analysis resulted in no realignment of districts, although the workloads among the four districts were not equitable. At that time, the Court of Common Pleas indicated to the Supreme Court that the population bases; size of the coverage areas; lack of interstate highways and mass transportation made access to the Courts a priority. Also at that time, a variable to be considered was transportation and safety issues, especially among counties where travel time and weather conditions imposed difficulties in reaching a magisterial district justice office. Again, at that time, it was the recommendation of the Supreme Court that persons need not travel more than one-half hour within a judicial district to reach a MDJ Court. Such was not the case then and although there was considerable disparity in the case filings among the districts, the Supreme Court allowed no changes to the existing configuration of four magisterial districts based upon transportation and safety issues.

The 2012 directive carries significant changes to previous recommendations and instructions as described below:

- Chief Justice goal is reducing number of MDJ's statewide by 10%.
- Does **not** mean that each judicial district must reduce by 10 % or that any elimination must occur immediately
- PJ's are being asked to assess whether it is feasible to eliminate any of the MDJ's in county
- Strong preference is to eliminate through attrition.
- Comparisons should be made of average caseloads of the offices within the county **and** for our class of county and judicial districts within the class of county.
- If the average caseload of the MDJ's is not more than 10% above the average caseload for your class of county (6<sup>th</sup> class) and you are not recommending the elimination of districts, you must provide an explanation why no districts are being proposed for elimination.
- No MDJ should have a total **workload** which is 15% higher or lower than the workload of any other district in the judicial district. If so, explanation must be provided.
- OTHER REQUIREMENTS
  - MDJ court must be located within the MDJ boundaries
  - All portions of MDJ must be contiguous
  - No district can be eliminated during term of incumbent MDJ
  - Boundaries cannot be redrawn in such a way that would move an incumbent MDJ residence into another district.
  - Voting districts cannot be split
  - Any planned development in county such as mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in case filings of the district should be discussed.
  - Note any special programs in your county that will entail effort by MDJ's, such as truancy programs or drug, veteran or MH diversion programs
  - Unlike previous conditions, there is no hard and fast rule that no one within a district should have to travel more than one half hour to reach the MDJ office. Public convenience and safety are factors that should be considered and weighed along with all others.

## Discussion and Analysis

The following information and statistics were obtained via compilation of statistics by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) and the Wayne County Planning Department. An analysis of the data supplied by the AOPC combined with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court indicates that **Wayne County's magisterial district courts should be realigned in order to become more equitable.**

Magisterial District Judge Jane E. Farrell (Dist. 22-3-03) is eligible for retirement in 2014 and if she pursues retirement the plan will allow for re-districting without the elimination of any other MDJ position and the elimination of this one district will occur via attrition.

### Current Jurisdiction and elected status of magisterial district judges.

District #	Judge	Municipalities	Elected	Commission Expires
22-3-01	Bonnie L. Carney	Hawley Borough; Dreher, Lehigh, Palmyra, Paupack, Salem, Sterling Twps.	2009	12/31/2015
22-3-02	Theodore Mikulak	Honesdale & Bethany Boroughs; Berlin, Cherry Ridge, Dyberry, Texas Twps.	2009	12/31/2015
22-3-03	Jane E. Farrell	Waymart & Prompton Boroughs; Canaan, Clinton, Lake, South Canaan Twps.	2012	12/31/2017
22-3-04	Ronald J. Edwards	Starrucca Borough, Buckingham, Damascus, Lebanon, Manchester, Mt. Pleasant, Oregon, Preston, Scott Twps.	2009	12/31/2015

Judges Carney, Mikulak and Edwards current commissions expire 12/31/2015. All three are expected to seek re-election. Judge Farrell was re-elected to a six year term beginning 2012 however is eligible for retirement in 2014.

### 2012 Demographics

Wayne County is a 6<sup>th</sup> class county comprised of 729.2 square miles with a 2012 population of approximately 52,822 persons. Wayne County is largely rural with population centers located in the boroughs of Honesdale, Hawley, Waymart and townships of southern Wayne. There are approximately nine (9) miles of Interstate Rt. 84 (Sterling & Salem Twps.) and approximately a quarter mile of Interstate 380 (Lehigh Twp.) in southern Wayne. There is no county wide mass transportation system aside from limited Area Agency on Aging buses. Remainder of county is service by two lane secondary roads.

### Statistical Analysis

The Supreme Court directive provides for this Court to view comparisons in average total caseloads by a district against the judicial district's average caseload for all MDJ's and again, against 6<sup>th</sup> class county averages. **The average MDJ caseload for Wayne County is 2,165/year.** The average total caseload for 6<sup>th</sup> class counties is **3,264/year.**

The following chart indicates coverage of each district by township/ square mileage coverage/district population/population change since 2000/ caseloads/comparisons of averages between district and county average and district and 6<sup>th</sup> class county averages.

Dist.	Sq. Mile*	Pop.2012	Pop. Change % since 2000	Caseload*	6 <sup>th</sup> Class County Average	Judicial District's Ave. Caseload
1	129.0 (17.7%)	15,392 (31%)	+14.1%	3468	3264 (6.2% above)	2165 (60.2% above)
2	101.2 (13.9%)	13,169 (26.5%)	+ .7%	3029	3264 (7.76% below)	2165 (40% above)
3	117.2 (16.1%)	11,597 (23.3%)	+10.9% *	1429	3264 (56.22% below)	2165 (33.9% below)
4	381.8 (52.3%)	9,617 (19.3%)	-6.1%	731	3264 (77.6% below)	2165 (66.2% below)

- Caseload =average annual caseload from 2005-2010
- District No. 3 is home to both the State Correctional Institute at Waymart and the United States Penitentiary at Canaan. The populations of those correctional facilities were not included in this analysis or the population computations above . While the total est. population is 52,822, this includes the prisons populations of app. 3000 inmates. Discounting those persons the est. population of the county is 49775.
- Among 6<sup>th</sup> class counties, Wayne County ranks 17<sup>th</sup> out of 24 in total square mileage. The average coverage area for a 6<sup>th</sup> class county MDJ is 209.83 sq. miles. Wayne County MDJ coverage area averages 182.3

**WORKLOAD ANALYSIS-Differs from actual number of filings. The measure relates to the relative amount of work involved in the disposition of the case.**

6 <sup>th</sup> Class County Ave.	County (Jud. Dist.) Ave.	Dist.1	Dist.2	Dist. 3	Dist. 4
25, 084	18,945	27,173	28,828	11,976	7704

Districts 1 & 2 exceed the 6<sup>th</sup> class county average as well as the Wayne County Judicial Districts’ average.

**ANALYSIS:**

The size of the county and positioning of population centers create the obvious disparity in caseload among the four magisterial districts. Districts 1 & 2 contain 57% of the county population yet only 31.6 % of the area. Conversely, Districts 3 & 4 cover more than twice the area of 1 &2 yet only has 43% of the population. The caseloads are simply commensurate with the population centers. District 1, based in Hawley and servicing the Lake Wallenpaupack area and southern Wayne townships, has seen a 14.1% growth in population since 2000 and District 4 has seen a 6.1% decrease in population yet Dist. 4 services an area greater than the combined areas of the other three districts ( 381.8 sq.miles vs. 347.4). The growth in population in Wayne County has occurred substantially in the southern part of the county encompassing, primarily District 1 and a part of District 3.

In past evaluations, the geography of Wayne County and the lack of mass transit or interstates and the concern for convenience and safety of the public has played an important part in determining placement of districts. To travel from the southernmost part of Wayne County to its most northern can take up to two hours, in good weather.

The most obvious answer to the elimination of and MDJ position would be to simply combined Districts 3&4. Even by combing the districts, the total expected caseloads would still fall short, considerably, of the other two districts. However it would also create a district totaling 68% of the county area and create significant travel, safety and convenience issues considering access to justice for the users of the system. No matter how townships are realigned among the districts the issue of access will remain. A more equitable solution would be the elimination of one district and the incorporation of those districts municipalities into a re-alignment of the three remaining districts to seek a more equitable distribution of workloads.

### **Inequities in present configuration:**

1. Districts 1 and 2 far out surpass other districts in population and case filings.
2. District 4, smallest in population is largest in area, roughly three times the size of each other district.
3. District 1 and 2 are on a relative par with state class size averages in case filing while District 3 and 4 fall far below state class size averages as well as the judicial district averages in filings.
4. Despite the geographical/transportation concerns of the past, which via instructions is to be weighed less in this analysis as opposed to previous, 16 other 6<sup>th</sup> class counties are larger in size and several larger area counties are served by three districts.

### **EXPECTATIONS OF GROWTH/DEVELOPMENT:**

Growth in southern Wayne continues to be most probable due to the proximity of Lake Wallenpaupack, a long time vacation area, and related developments, businesses and highways that have come into existence in the area. Additionally a planned industrial park is underway in Sterling Township (again Dist. 22-3-01) and expectations are continued expansion of businesses and services will develop resulting in increase filings for that district.

Growth in the Honesdale area, serviced by District 22-3-02 has been stagnate over the past decade as this area saw less than 1% growth in population since 2000. The district is home to a large residential population and the primary shopping areas (Wal-Mart/K-Mart/Home Depot) servicing the county, again which results in significant more filings than Districts 3 and 4. The town of Honesdale has the largest municipal police force and the state police barracks are also located within the district which results in the largest number of criminal filings and greater workloads.

District 22-3-03, based in Waymart Borough, saw a 10% increase in population, primarily due to growth in its southern most township, Lake Township, which again borders to Lake Wallenpaupack area. A state prison has operated in this district since 1989 (SCI Waymart) and a federal penitentiary opened in 2005 (USP Canaan) which has added to the population however no significant related business or service growth. Neither has there been a resulting significant increase filing.

District 22-3-04, based in Lakewood, is the most rural district, most number of townships served but smallest in population and filings. Six of the nine municipalities contained in the district saw populations decrease since 2000. Area remains very rural with difficult secondary roads to travel in winter weather. The district is the largest with 381 square mileages to cover which, of the 24 6<sup>th</sup> class counties, is 3<sup>rd</sup> in size of its coverage. To handle the size of the district, Judge Edwards maintains a primary office in Preston Twp. and a satellite office in Damascus Twp. It is not expected to see additional population growth or industrial development with the exception of the issue below.

District 1 (southern Wayne) saw an increase in population between 2000 and 2012 of 14.1% while District 4 (northern Wayne) saw a overall decline in population of 6.1%. Industrial development and residential growth has been primarily in the southern municipalities of the county. The only interstate highways contain in the county are again located in the southern District 1 area. While District 3 has since the growth of prison populations having both a state and federal institutions within its boundaries, their presence has not resulted in significant filings/workload for the district. District 4 is the most rural and agriculturally based area, with no interstates or population centers of significant numbers. The impact of oil and gas drilling will no doubt create additional filings, but it is doubtful to the extent of justifying the presence of a district with such disparate numbers. District 2 saw little or no population growth over the past decade but remains the center of commerce and significant residential center.

## **IMPACT OF MARCELLUS SHALE/GAS & OIL INDUSTRY**

District 4, which services all of the northern tier of Wayne County, contains significant areas of Marcellus Shale deposits which oil and gas companies have explored and begun wells, yet full implementation of drilling practices have been stayed pending receipt of recommendations from the Delaware River Basin Committee (DRBC). As of this writing (late January 2012) decisions to commence additional drilling and exploration are pending on the release of recommendations from the DRBC, which originally had issued recommendations in November 2011 but are in the process of revising those recommendations. There is currently no deadline for the release of those recommendations.

A total of nine (9) wells have been drilled and another eleven (11) wells have been permitted. In the event, the gas & oil industry gets the full go ahead we would expect to see the similar impact on summary offenses and traffic citations that have affected other counties in the northern tier of Pennsylvania. Whether this will have a significant impact will depend on the scope of the industries activities. Considering District 4 is the smallest in terms of total filings (roughly 700/yr) the impact of additional traffic and non traffic filings will certainly increase (based upon experiences of counties such as Bradford & Tioga) however it is doubtful the rise will come close to the 6<sup>th</sup> class county average of 3264 filings or event the Wayne County judicial district average of 2165.

### **Supreme Court Requirements:**

*The Supreme Court directives provide:*

***If the average caseload is not more than 10% above the average caseload for a 6<sup>th</sup> class county (3264) and we are not recommending the elimination of any district we must provide an explanation why no districts are being proposed for elimination.***

Districts 3 and 4 fall far below the 6<sup>th</sup> class average of 3264. District 3 average being 1429 and District 4 being 731. Only District 1 is above 6<sup>th</sup> class average at 3468 and District 3 is less than the 10% threshold at 3029. **Based on this category, elimination and/or re-districting of a district(s) is warranted.**

***No MDJ should have a total workload 15% high or lower than the workload of any other district in the judicial district. (Note: The Supreme Court developed a measure called workload which measures not just the volume of filings within a magisterial district but the relative amount of work involved in the disposition of the case by a judge-See attached Worksheets).***

Average total workload for magisterial district in Wayne County is 18920. The totals for individual districts are: District 1-27,173; District 2-28,828; District 3-11,976; District 4-7704. Districts 1 & 2 are comparable with a 14.4% difference however Districts 3 & 4 are again not comparable and are far below the 15% threshold with the other districts. Again, **based upon this category, elimination and/or re-districting is warranted.**

***Unlike previous re-establishment guidelines, there is no hard and fast rule that no one within a district should have to travel more than one-half hour to reach the MDJ Office.***

The major consideration in the 2002 evaluation was consideration of the distances traveled by persons to reach an MDJ office. Due to the concerns of travel, safety and convenience district offices were maintain to accommodate these needs, despite the disparity in filings and populations. Since this concern should be weighed less by the Supreme Court directives, **again elimination or re-districting is warranted.**



## REALIGNMENT PROPOSAL

**Re-alignment of the current four magisterial districts into three districts for more equitable population base and workload distribution.** Dividing the townships and boroughs of current district 22-3-03 at the time of the possible retirement of MDJ Farrell (2014) provides for a more evenhanded distribution of the workload. This workload however would not totally meet the proposed 15% deviation as required by the Supreme Court directive. The population bases and growth of population have been located in the southern most part of the county (22-3-01-Hawley) . A large residential, business base with the largest municipal police force exists in the south central portion (22-3-02-Honesdale area). The largest portion of the county, in area but smallest in population, rests with the northern portion of the county. In order to comply with the majority of directives any re-alignment would not meet the recommended workload guidelines. The proposed re-alignment would be as follow:

### Current Alignment

District #	Judge	Municipalities
22-3-01	Bonnie L. Carney	Hawley Borough; Dreher, Lehigh, Palmyra, Paupack, Salem, Sterling Twps.
22-3-02	Theodore Mikulak	Honesdale & Bethany Boroughs; Berlin, Cherry Ridge, Dyberry, Texas Twps.
22-3-03	Jane E. Farrell	Waymart & Prompton Boroughs; Canaan, Clinton, Lake, South Canaan Twps.
22-3-04	Ronald J. Edwards	Starrucca Borough, Buckingham, Damascus, Lebanon, Manchester, Mt. Pleasant, Oregon, Preston, Scott Twps.

### PROPOSED RE-ALIGNMENT

District #	Judge	Municipalities
22-3-01	Bonnie L. Carney	Hawley Borough; Dreher, Lehigh, Palmyra, Paupack, Salem, Sterling <b>Lake</b> Twps.
22-3-02	Theodore Mikulak	Honesdale, <b>Waymart &amp; Prompton Boroughs</b> & Cherry Ridge, Texas, <b>Canaan and So. Canaan Twps.</b>
22-3-03	Ronald J. Edwards	Starrucca Borough, Buckingham, Damascus, Lebanon, Manchester, Mt. Pleasant, Oregon, Preston, Scott Twps. <b>Dyberry, Bethany Borough, Clinton, Berlin</b>

District 22-3-03 would be completely eliminated and Districts 1, 2, and 4 would be re-aligned. All townships within a district would be contiguous; no incumbent Judge would be re-districted from their current district; population base would become more equitable and while 22-3-02 (Honesdale) would immediately become the district with greatest workload, the expected growth in business in District 22-3-01 and potentially 22-3-04 over the years would balance the workload. There will be continued disparity in workload with 22-3-04 however the re-alignment would see a doubling of its workload and an increase in 100 square miles in its coverage area. While lagging behind in filings, District 4 would service a far greater number of municipalities.

### Existing Statistics

	<b>22-3-01</b>	<b>22-3-02</b>	<b>22-3-03</b>	<b>22-3-04</b>
Average filings	3468	3029	1429	731
Average Workload	25,600	28,108	11,491	7622
Population Base	15,392	13,169	11,597	9,617
Area Coverage	129 Sq.mi.	101.2 Sq.mi.	117.2 Sq.Mi.	381.8 Sq.Mi





**Population Changes per Municipality/Magisterial District between 2000-2010:**

	Census: April 1, 2010	Census: April 1, 2000	2000 to 2010		Muni Sq. Mi.	Change/ Sq. Mi.	
	2,010	2,000	Number	Percent			
<b>Dist. 22-3-01</b>							
Paupack	3,828	2,959	869	29.4%	28.10	30.9	Overall 14.1% Pop. Increase
Lehigh	1,881	1,639	242	14.8%	11.80	20.5	
Salem	4,271	3,664	607	16.6%	30.50	19.9	
Palmyra	1,339	1,127	212	18.8%	15.70	13.5	
Dreher	1,412	1,280	132	10.3%	14.90	8.9	
Sterling	1,450	1,251	199	15.9%	27.40	7.3	
Hawley	1,211	1,303	-92	-7.1%	0.60	-153.3	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>15,392</b>	<b>13,223</b>		<b>14.1%</b>	<b>129.00</b>		
<b>DIST. 22-3-02</b>							
Bethany	246	292	-46	-15.8%	0.50	-92.0	Overall 6.1% Pop. Decrease
Honesdale	4,480	4,874	-394	-8.1%	3.90	-101.0	
Berlin	2,578	2,188	390	17.8%	38.60	10.1	
Dyberry	1,401	1,353	48	3.5%	22.20	2.2	
Cherry Ridge	1,895	1,817	78	4.3%	21.50	3.6	
Texas	2,569	2,501	68	2.7%	14.50	4.7	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>13,169</b>	<b>13,025</b>		<b>0.7%</b>	<b>101.20</b>		
<b>DIST. 22-3-03</b>							
Canaan	916	677	239	35.3%	18.00	13.3	Excludes SCI Waymart & USP Canaan populations
Lake	5,269	4,361	908	20.8%	28.30	32.1	
Prompton	250	243	7	2.9%	1.60	4.4	
South Canaan	1,768	1,666	102	6.1%	27.70	3.7	
Clinton	2,053	1,926	127	6.6%	38.50	3.3	
Waymart	1,341	1,429	-88	-6.2%	3.10	-28.4	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>11,597</b>	<b>10,302</b>		<b>10.9%</b>	<b>117.20</b>		
<b>DIST 22-3-04</b>							
Oregon	781	745	36	4.8%	17.50	2.1	Overall 6.1% Pop. Decrease
Lebanon	684	645	39	6.0%	37.30	1.0	
Mount Pleasant	1,357	1,345	12	0.9%	56.40	0.2	
Damascus	3,659	3,662	-3	-0.1%	79.00	0.0	
Manchester	836	888	-52	-5.9%	44.70	-1.2	
Scott	593	669	-76	-11.4%	44.30	-1.7	
Preston	1,014	1,107	-93	-8.4%	50.70	-1.8	
Buckingham	520	656	-136	-20.7%	44.40	-3.1	
Starrucca	173	216	-43	-19.9%	7.50	-5.7	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>9,617</b>	<b>9,933</b>		<b>-6.1%</b>	<b>381.80</b>		

Chart of existing districts comparing population/coverage area/ **average annual filings** between 2005-2010, criminal, non-traffic, private complaints, traffic, civil and landlord/tenant.

22-3-01	2010 Pop	Sq. Mile	CR	NT	PC	Traffic	CV*	L/T*	
Paupack	<b>3,828</b>	28.10	27.6	259.2	1.6	145.8	68.6	6	508.8
Lehigh	1,881	11.80	26.8	73.6	1.2	127.5	68.6	6	303.7
Salem	<b>4,271</b>	30.50	65.6	112.3	7	<b>391.8</b>	68.6	6	651.3
Palmyra	1,339	15.70	20.3	29.2	6.5	227.6	68.6	6	358.2
Dreher	1,412	14.90	23.8	36.8	5.3	80.6	68.6	6	221.1
Sterling	1,450	27.40	16.3	23.3	0.5	<b>724.6</b>	68.6	6	839.3
Hawley	1,211	0.60	34.6	90.6	9.8	317.3	68.6	6	526.9
	15,392	129.00	<b>215</b>	<b>625</b>	<b>31.9</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>3409.3</b>

22-3-02	2010 Pop	Sq. Mile	CR	NT	PC	Traffic	CV*	L/T*	
Berlin	2,578	38.60	29	33.8	3.5	156.2	83.5	14	320
Texas	2,569	14.50	82.3	108	55.5	216.3	83.5	14.3	559.9
Cherry Ridge	1,895	21.50	17.8	24.6	5.5	89.6	83.5	14.3	235.3
Dyberry	1,401	22.20	6.5	23.3	0.6	39.6	83.5	14.3	167.8
Bethany	246	0.50	1.2	0.83	0.33	14.3	83.5	14.3	114.46
Honesdale	<b>4,480</b>	3.90	<b>158.6</b>	216	155	<b>972</b>	83.5	14.3	1599.4
	13,169	101.20	<b>295.4</b>	<b>406.5</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>1488</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>85.5</b>	<b>2996.86</b>

22-3-03	2010 Pop	Sq. Mile	CR	NT	PC	Traffic	CV*	L/T*	
Canaan	<b>3,963</b>	18.00	18.3	21.8	1.5	216.5	38	3.3	
Lake	<b>5,269</b>	28.30	31	100.2	2.3	226	38	3.3	
Prompton	250	1.60	2.8	4.8	0.2	8.3	38	3.3	
South Canaan	1,768	27.70	9.6	35.5	19.2	73.8	38	3.3	
Clinton	2,053	38.50	12.8	38.5	1.5	33	38	3.3	
Waymart	1,341	3.10	23	31.2	14.5	228.8	38	3.3	
	14,644	117.20	<b>97.5</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>39.2</b>	<b>786.4</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>19.8</b>	<b>1402.9</b>

22-3-04	2010 Pop	Sq. Mile	CR	NT	PC	Traffic	CV*	L/T*	
Oregon	781	17.50	2.8	7.2	0	12.8	12.4	1.4	
Lebanon	684	37.30	4.8	43.5	3.5	14.5	12.4	1.4	
Mount Pleasant	1,357	56.40	11.3	47	2.2	39.3	12.4	1.4	
Damascus	<b>3,659</b>	79.00	20.5	51.3	14.6	120.3	12.4	1.4	
Manchester	836	44.70	6.6	13.5	0	11.3	12.4	1.4	
Scott	593	44.30	2.5	11.5	0.7	6.5	12.4	1.4	
Preston	1,014	50.70	6.3	22.5	22	29.3	12.4	1.4	
Buckingham	520	44.40	5.8	22	0.2	16.2	12.4	1.4	
Starrucca	173	7.50	0.3	2.5	0	1	12.4	1.4	
	9,617	381.80	<b>60.9</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>43.2</b>	<b>251.2</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>12.6</b>	<b>700.5</b>

**EXISTING WORKLOAD AVERAGES BY  
TWP/District- 2005-2010**

<b>22-3-01</b>	<b>Cr.</b>	<b>Traf.</b>	<b>Non-Traf</b>	<b>Civil</b>	<b>PC</b>	<b>LT</b>	
Hawley Boro	1285	725	974	773	107	86	3950
Dreher	894	185	396	773	57	86	2391
Lehigh	1022	291	802	773	12	86	2986
Palmyra	857	519	313	773	72	86	2620
Paupack	765	333	2789	773	18	86	4764
Salem	2448	894	1210	773	77	86	5488
Sterling	612	1652	253	773	25	86	3401

**25600**

**22-3-02**

Bethany Boro	49	33	9	942	4	208	1245
Honesdale Boro	5894	2218	2338	942	1670	208	13270
Berlin	1090	357	363	942	38	208	2998
Cherry Ridge	661	174	265	942	62	208	2312
Dyberry	245	92	252	942	7	208	1746
Texas	3097	493	1199	942	598	208	6537

**28108**

**22-3-03**

Waymart Boro	881	523	363	429	156	49	2401
Prompton Boro	110	19	54	429	2	49	663
Canaan	735	493	236	429	16	49	1958
Clinton	508	78	444	429	16	49	1524
Lake	1236	517	1079	429	25	49	3335
South Canaan	367	169	390	429	206	49	1610

**11491**

**22-3-04**

Starrucca Boro	37	2	29	141	0	21	230
Buckingham	220	37	236	141	2	21	657
Damascus	795	275	551	141	157	21	1940
Lebanon	620	34	471	141	38	21	1325
Manchester	245	26	145	141	0	21	578
Mt. Pleasant	356	90	505	141	23	21	1136
Oregon	110	30	79	141	0	21	381
Preston	251	73	251	141	236	21	973
Scott	95	15	123	141	7	21	402

**7622**