Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: Forest

Judicial District #: 37

Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 37 and its class of county:

-37 %

This is a dual county judicial district. Forest County has one magisterial district.

Summary of Proposed Actions

37-4-03 Reestablish

Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: Yes Night Court: No

Forest County has a weekly central court schedule.

Public Comment

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: No Comments Received: No

County Name: Forest

Judicial District #: 37

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 37-4-03

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 1,403 Average Annual Workload: 10,673

% Difference in Caseload 0.00 % Difference in Workload 0.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload -36.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

(magisterial district/class of county):

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

George F. Gregory Birthdate: 6-12-46

108 South Forest Street P.O. Box 425 Mandatory Retirement: 2016

Marienville, PA 16239 Term Expires: 1-5-14

Existing Geography:

BARNETT TWP Voting District; GREEN TWP Voting District; HARMONY TWP Voting District; HICKORY TWP Voting District; HOWE TWP Voting District; JENKS TWP Voting District; KINGSLEY TWP Voting District; TIONESTA TWP Voting District; TIONESTA Voting District

Proposed Geography:

BARNETT TWP Voting District; GREEN TWP Voting District; HARMONY TWP Voting District; HICKORY TWP Voting District; HOWE TWP Voting District; JENKS TWP Voting District; KINGSLEY TWP Voting District; TIONESTA TWP Voting District; TIONESTA Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: PA State Police, Forest County Sheriff's Office

Major Highways: Route 66, Route 36, Route 62, Route 899

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics

Forest / 37

Judicial District Average Caseload					
2011 Class	2011 Filings	2012 Class	2012 Filings		
8	1,403	8	1,403		

2011 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2011 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
8	127	28	1,645	230	122	16	44	2,212

		2012 (Class of Co	ounty Case	eload Ave	erages		
2012 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
8	127	28	1,645	230	122	16	44	2,212

Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

Judicial District Caseload Averages				
Forest	2011	2012		
Class	8	8		
CR	111	111		
NT	269	269		
PC	16	16		
TR	919	919		
CV	61	61		
LT	7	7		
MD	20	20		
AVG	1,403	1,403		

Judicial District Workload Averages				
Forest	2011	2012		
Class	8	8		
CR	4,076	4,076		
NT	2,889	2,889		
PC	172	172		
TR	2,095	2,095		
CV	688	688		
LT	101	101		
MD	651	651		
-15 % Workload	9,072	9,072		
Average Workload	10,673	10,673		
+ 15% Workload	12,274	12,274		

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

37-4-03 Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
1,403	2011	2012	
0	0.00%	0.00%	
-36	-36.57%	-36.57%	
Reported	AOPC		
10,673	2011	2012	
0	0.00%	0.00%	

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: Warren

Judicial District #: 37

Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 37 and its class of county:

-40 %

• Possible increase in workload due to Marcellus Shale.

Two of the current districts are within the City of Warren; and given the almost 900 square miles of the county, the proposal considers it important to have one district court outside of Warren. If one district were eliminated, adding staff to existing offices would be problematic because of the county commissioner's reluctance to address facilities.

Summary of Proposed Actions

37-2-01 Realign

37-3-01 Reestablish

37-4-01 Realign

Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: No Night Court: No

- Central Court is held weekly with a schedule rotating coverage by the district judges.
- District judges are active in the Common Pleas problem solving courts initiative.

Public Comment

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: Yes Comments Received: No

County Name: Warren

Judicial District #: 37

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 37-2-01

Proposed Action: Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 2,738 Average Annual Workload: 25,650

% Difference in Caseload 41.00 % Difference in Workload 39.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload -16.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This district is proposed for realignment.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Glenn S. Carlson Birthdate: 10-3-55

333 Hickory Street Mandatory Retirement: 2025

Warren, PA 16365 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

CHERRY GROVE TWP Voting District; PLEASANT TWP Voting District; SHEFFIELD TWP Voting District; WARREN WD CENTRAL; WARREN WD WEST; WARREN WD EAST; WARREN WD SOUTH;

WARREN WD NORTH

Proposed Geography:

CHERRY GROVE TWP Voting District; PLEASANT TWP Voting District; SHEFFIELD TWP Voting District; WARREN WD CENTRAL; WARREN WD WEST; WARREN WD EAST; WARREN WD

SOUTH; WARREN WD NORTH

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: PA State Police, Warren County Sheriff's Office, Sheffield Police Dept., Warren City

Police Dept.

Major Highways: Route 62, Route 6

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 37-3-01

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 2,330 Average Annual Workload: 19,864

% Difference in Caseload 20.00 % Difference in Workload 6.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload -29.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Laura S. Bauer Birthdate: 12-13-64

1585 Market Street Mandatory Retirement: 2034

North Warren, PA 16365 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography: Proposed Geography:

CLARENDON Voting District; CONEWANGO TWP PCT 01;
CONEWANGO TWP PCT 02; ELK TWP Voting District;
FARMINGTON TWP Voting District; GLADE TWP Voting
District; MEAD TWP Voting District; PINE GROVE TWP
Voting District; SUGAR GROVE TWP Voting District;
SUGAR GROVE Voting District

CLARENDON Voting District; CONEWANGO TWP PCT 02; ELK TWP Voting
District; FARMINGTON TWP Voting District; GLADE
TWP Voting District; MEAD TWP Voting District; PINE
GROVE TWP Voting District; SUGAR GROVE TWP
Voting District; SUGAR GROVE Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: PA State Police, Warren County Sheriff's Office, Conewango Township Police Dept.

Major Highways: Route 62, Route 6, Route 59

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 37-4-01

Proposed Action: Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 1,708 Average Annual Workload: 15,336

% Difference in Caseload -13.00 % Difference in Workload -17.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload -48.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This district is proposed for realignment in an effort to increase workload.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Cynthia K. Lindemuth Birthdate: 2-15-50

37 Railroad Street Mandatory Retirement: 2020

Youngsville, PA 16371 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

BEAR LAKE Voting District; BROKENSTRAW TWP Voting District; COLUMBUS TWP Voting District; DEERFIELD TWP Voting District; ELDRED TWP Voting District; FREEHOLD TWP Voting District; LIMESTONE TWP Voting District; PITTSFIELD TWP Voting District; SOUTHWEST TWP Voting District; SPRING CREEK TWP Voting District; TIDIOUTE Voting District; TRIUMPH TWP Voting District; WATSON TWP Voting District; YOUNGSVILLE Voting District

Proposed Geography:

BEAR LAKE Voting District; BROKENSTRAW TWP Voting District; COLUMBUS TWP Voting District; DEERFIELD TWP Voting District; ELDRED TWP Voting District; FREEHOLD TWP Voting District; LIMESTONE TWP Voting District; PITTSFIELD TWP Voting District; SOUTHWEST TWP Voting District; SPRING CREEK TWP Voting District; TIDIOUTE Voting District; TRIUMPH TWP Voting District; WATSON TWP Voting District; YOUNGSVILLE Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: PA State Police, Warren County Sheriff's Office, Youngsville Borough Police Dept.,

Tidioute Borough Police Dept.

Major Highways: Route 62, Route 6, Route 27

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics

Warren / 37

Judicial District Average Caseload				
2011 Class	2011 Filings	2012 Class	2012 Filings	
6	1,950	6	1,950	

2011 Class of County Caseload Averages								
2011 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
6	251	126	2,087	485	231	43	41	3,263

2012 Class of County Caseload Averages									
2012 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total	
6	244	155	2,019	477	228	42	39	3,204	

Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

Judicial District Caseload Averages					
Warren	2011	2012			
Class	6	6			
CR	185	185			
NT	296	296			
PC	162	162			
TR	1,000	1,000			
CV	237	237			
LT	27	27			
MD	43	43			
AVG	1,950	1,950			

Judicial District Workload Averages					
Warren	2011	2012			
Class	6	6			
CR	6,793	6,793			
NT	3,179	3,179			
PC	2,003	1,740			
TR	2,280	2,280			
CV	2,458	2,673			
LT	387	391			
MD	1,386	1,401			
-15 % Workload	15,713	15,688			
Average Workload	18,486	18,457			
+ 15% Workload	21,259	21,225			

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

37-2-01 Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
2,738	2011	2012	
41	40.41%	40.41%	
-16	-16.10%	-14.55%	
Reported	AC	PC	
25,650	2011	2012	
39	38.75%	38.97%	

37-3-01 Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AO	PC
2,330	2011	2012
20	19.49%	19.49%
-29	-28.60%	-27.28%
Reported	AO	PC
Reported 19,864	2011	2012

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

37-4-01 Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC				
1,708	2011	2012			
-13	-12.41%	-12.41%			
	-47.66%	-46.70%			
Reported	AC	PC			
15,336	2011	2012			
-17	-17.04%	-16.91%			



JUDICIAL CHAMBERS COURT OF COMMON PLEAS THIRTY-SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA FOREST COUNTY WARREN COUNTY

MAUREEN A. SKERDA PRESIDENT JUDGE

GREGORY J. HAMMOND JUDGE

PAUL H. MILLIN SENIOR JUDGE

WILLIAM F. MORGAN SENIOR JUDGE

> Mr. Joseph Mittleman Director, Judicial Programs Administrative Office of PA Courts 1515 Market Street, Suite 1414 Philadelphia, PA 19102

Dear Mr. Mittleman:

WARREN COUNTY COURTHOUSE 204 FOURTH AVENUE WARREN, PA 16365 Telephone: (814) 728-3530 Fax: (814) 728-3452

March 22, 2012



I have enclosed the Magisterial District Judge Reestablishment study for your review. I appreciate the opportunity to provide input to the AOPC regarding our rural community.

In preparation for this study, I met with the Magisterial District Judges on two occasions and also with attorneys who routinely practice before the Magisterial District Judges. On March 1, 2012, the Warren Times Observer published the proposed realignment and included the notice for public comment. To date, I have received no comment. In the event there is a response, I will send the comments to your attention.

Thank you for providing the worksheets and a format to creatively address concerns within our Judicial District. I recognize the importance of the study to the Commonwealth and feel it was beneficial to review trends that will address issues in the future. In the event you would like to further discuss our reestablishment plan, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,

The Honorable Maureen A. Skerda

President Judge

Warren and Forest Counties

MAS/mnl

FOREST COUNTY
37th Judicial District

2012 Realignment



JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

	JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER:	37
	A. Wh at is the class of county?	8
	B. Wh at is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county?	-37%
II.	PROPOSED ACTIONS:	
	A. List existing magisterial districts: 37-4-03, 37-4-93	
	B. Does this judicial district have an annual average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county?	NO
	 If the answer to II. B. above is NO, are eliminations proposed? a) List magisterial districts proposed for eliminations 	NO tion.
	 b)If no eliminations are proposed based on II. for this decision? Forest County Magisterial District has one N therefore cannot be eliminated. 	
	2. If the answer to II. B. above is YES, are eliminations proposed?a) List magisterial districts proposed for eliminations.	Choose Yes or No
	C. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?	NO
	1. List magisterial districts proposed for reestab	lishment.
	D. Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment?	NO



JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

III.	NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS				
	A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district?	NO			
	B. Is there a central court operating within the judicial district?	YES			
	C. Note comments regarding how night, central or of impact operations within the judicial district. Forest County has a weekly central court schedule.	ELICINA STATE STATE			
IV.	PUBLIC COMMENT				
	A. A request for public comment was posted:	NO			
	B. Comments were received:	NO			
	C. Comments are attached:	NO			
v.	Additional Remarks Concerning Proposal:				
	No changes are proposed for Forest County.				
VI.	DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC:				
VII.	PRESIDENT JUDGE NAME: Honorable Maureen A. Skerda				



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

1.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(####):	37-4-03
11.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. AverageTotal Caseload:	1,403
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district'saverage total caseload and your judicial district'saverage total caseload:	0%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of county'saverage total caseload:	-36%
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	10,673
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	0%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	quity within your judicial
IV.	Proposed Change:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date(m/d/yyyy):	
٧.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name:George Gregory	
	B. Term Expiration(<i>m</i> / <i>d</i> / <i>yyyy</i>):	1/5/2014



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2023
		108 S. Forest St., Po Box 425
		Marienville, Pa 16239 And
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	526 Elm St. Tionesta, Pa
		16353
		37-4-03 and 37-4-93
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this n	nagisterial district:
	Pennsylvania State Police, Forest Co. Sheriff's Of	fice
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial d	listrict:
	Route 66, Route 36, Route 62 and Route 899	
VI. LIS	T EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE	S:
	esta Borough, Barnett, Green, Harmony, Howe, Hi esta Townships	ckory, Jenks, Kingsley, And
	T PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALIT nange Is Proposed.	IES:
	DDITIONAL COMMENTS:	.4.00.000.
	t County is an 8 th class county with one Magisteria	al District Judge.

Forest County Filings/Caseload

Forest County	CR	NT	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	AVG CASELOAD
Average	111	269	16	919	61	7	20	1403
MDJ 37-4-03	111	269	16	919	61	7	20	1403

^{*37-4-02} Office closed and the totals for that office were incorporated into the totals for Forest county with 37-4-03 and 37-4-93

Forest County Workload


Forest County	CR	NT	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	AVG Workload
	4057	2884	177	2095	687	106	641	10647
MDJ 37-4-03	4057	2884	177	2095	687	106	641	10647

Docket Type	Case Weight
CR	38
NT (includes PC)	29
TR	20
CV	6
LT	1
MD	6

Population Density		2000	2010 Ch	nange	%Change	
Forest Co)					
37-4-03	Barnett Twp	349	361	12	3%	
37-4-93	Green Twp	397	522	125	31%	
	Harmony Twp	511	666	155	30%	
	Hickory Twp	525	558	33	6%	
	Howe Twp	417	405	-12	-3%	
	Jenks Twp	1261	3629	2368	188%	
	Kingsley Twp	261	363	102	28%	
	Tionesta Twp	610	729	119	17%	
	Tionesta Boro	615	483	-132	<u>-28%</u>	
	Total Forest Co.	4946	7716	2770	56%	

Forest County Population Density using 2010 Population Figures

	Population	Square Miles	Formula	Population Density
District 37-4-03	7,716	537	(7,716/537.2)	14.37



37-4-03 Marienville Office 37-4-93 Tionesta Satellite Office (open part-time)

Tionesta Borough Barnett Township Green Township Harmony Township Hickory Township Howe Township Jenks Township Kingsley Township Tionesta Township



JUDICIAL CHAMBERS COURT OF COMMON PLEAS THIRTY-SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA FOREST COUNTY WARREN COUNTY

MAUREEN A. SKERDA PRESIDENT JUDGE

GREGORY J. HAMMOND JUDGE

PAUL H. MILLIN SENIOR JUDGE

WILLIAM F. MORGAN SENIOR JUDGE

> Mr. Joseph Mittleman Director, Judicial Programs Administrative Office of PA Courts 1515 Market Street, Suite 1414 Philadelphia, PA 19102

Dear Mr. Mittleman:

WARREN COUNTY COURTHOUSE 204 FOURTH AVENUE WARREN, PA 16365 Telephone: (814) 728-3530 Fax: (814) 728-3452

March 22, 2012



I have enclosed the Magisterial District Judge Reestablishment study for your review. I appreciate the opportunity to provide input to the AOPC regarding our rural community.

In preparation for this study, I met with the Magisterial District Judges on two occasions and also with attorneys who routinely practice before the Magisterial District Judges. On March 1, 2012, the Warren Times Observer published the proposed realignment and included the notice for public comment. To date, I have received no comment. In the event there is a response, I will send the comments to your attention.

Thank you for providing the worksheets and a format to creatively address concerns within our Judicial District. I recognize the importance of the study to the Commonwealth and feel it was beneficial to review trends that will address issues in the future. In the event you would like to further discuss our reestablishment plan, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,

The Honorable Maureen A. Skerda

President Judge

Warren and Forest Counties

MAS/mnl

WARREN COUNTY 37th Judicial District

2012 Realignment



JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

	JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER:	37
	A. Wh at is the class of county?	6
	B. Wh at is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county?	-40%
II.	Proposed Actions:	
	A. List existing magisterial districts: 37-2-01, 37-3-01, 37-4-01	
	B. Does this judicial district have an annual average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county?	NO
	 If the answer to II. B. above is NO, are eliminations proposed? a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination No magisterial districts are proposed for elimination. 	
	b)If no eliminations are proposed based on II. B. for this decision?Please refer to the attached comments.	above, what are the factors
	2. If the answer to II. B. above is YES, are eliminations proposed?	NO
	 a) List magisterial districts proposed for eliminatio No magisterial districts are proposed for elimination 	
	C. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?	NO
	1. List magisterial districts proposed for reestablish	nment.
	D. Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment?	YES
	 List magisterial districts proposed for realignme 37-2-01 and 37-4-01 	nt.



III.	NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS						
	A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district?						
	B. Is there a central court operating within the judicial district?						
	C. Note comments regarding how night, central or other similar court programs impact operations within the judicial district. There are weekly central court sessions which the Magisterial District Judges share on a rotating basis.						
IV.	PUBLIC COMMENT						
	A. A request for public comment was posted: B. Comments were received: C. Comments are attached: NO						
v.	Additional Remarks Concerning Proposal:						
	Additional remarks are attached hereto. The proposed realignment would shift Pleasant Township from Magisterial District 37-2-01 to Magisterial District 37-4-01 in an effort to obtain caseload equity throughout the district.						
VI.	DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC:						
VII.	PRESIDENT JUDGE NAME: Honorable Maureen A. Skerda						
	Mawreen allerda						



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

l.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####):	37-2-01					
11.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD						
	A. AverageTotal Caseload:	2,738					
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	al 41%					
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district average totalcaseload and applicable class of county'saverage total caseload:	's -16%					
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOA	AD					
	A. Average Total Workload:	25,650					
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district average total workload and the judicial district average total workload:						
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES					
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload district? nagisterial district has the largest caseload of the thread representation.						
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:						
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate					
	B. What is the proposed effective date(m/d/yyyy)	: 1/1/2013					
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:						
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name:Glenn Carlson						



В.	Term Expiration(m/d/yyyy):	1/2/2018		
C.	Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2026		
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	333 Hickory St. Warren, PA 16365		
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES		
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES		
G.	List any police departments located within this ma Pennsylvania State Police, Warren Co. Sheriff's Of Warren City Police Dept.			
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis Route 62 and Route 6	strict:		
City	ST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES OF Warren, Pleasant Township, Sheffield Township, aship			
	ST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE Of Warren, Sheffield Township, Cherry Grove Towns	707		
The p	DDITIONAL COMMENTS: proposed realignment would shift Pleasant Townshi ict 37-2-01 to Magisterial District 37-4-01 to achieve ner comments are attached hereto.			



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

l.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(#####):	37-3-01					
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD						
	A. AverageTotal Caseload:	2,330					
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	20%					
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of county'saverage total caseload:	-29%					
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD						
	A. Average Total Workload:	19,864					
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	6%					
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	NO					
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	equity within your judicia					
IV.	Proposed Change:						
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate					
	B. What is the proposed effective date(m/d/yyyy):						
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:						
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name:Laura S. Bauer						
	B. Term Expiration(m/d/yyyy):	1/2/2018					



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2034				
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	1585 Market St. Warren, PA 16365				
E.	. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:					
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES				
G.	List any police departments located within this ma Pennsylvania State Police, Warren Co. Sheriff's Off Police Dept.					
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis Route 62, Route 6 and Route 59	trict:				
Clare	ndon Borough, Sugar Grove Borough, Elk, Farmington And Sugar Grove Townships					
1011	TET PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Name Is Proposed For This Magisterial District.	s:				
	DDITIONAL COMMENTS: ional comments are attached hereto.					
PLEASE SA	AVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA F	OR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT				



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

1.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER(####):	37-4-01						
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD							
	A. AverageTotal Caseload:	1,708						
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district'saverage total caseload and your judicial district'saverage total caseload:	-13%						
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average totalcaseload and applicable class of county'saverage total caseload:	-48%						
III.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Workload							
	A. Average Total Workload:	15,336						
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-17%						
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES						
This n	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload education district? nagisterial district has the lowest caseload of the 3 district.							
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:							
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	☐ Reestablish ☐ Realign ☐ Eliminate						
	B. What is the proposed effective date(m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013						
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:							
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name:Cynthia K. Lindem	uth						
	B. Term Expiration(m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016						



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date(m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2020
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	37 Railroad Street Youngsville, PA 16371
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this man Pennsylvania State Police, Warren Co. Sheriff's Of Dept., Tidioute Borough Police Dept.	
H.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis Route 62, Route 6 and Route 27	strict:
Bear Deer	TEXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Lake, Tidioute and Youngsville Boroughs; Brokenstifield, Eldred, Freehold, Limestone, Pittsfield, Springoph, And Watson Townships	raw, Columbus,
Bear I Deerf	ST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE Lake, Tidioute And Youngsville Boroughs; Brokenst Field, Eldred, Freehold, Limestone, Pittsfield, Pleasan Inwest, Triumph, And Watson Townships	raw, Columbus,
The p Distri	DDITIONAL COMMENTS: proposed realignment would shift Pleasant Townshi act 37-2-01 to this Magisterial District 37-4-01 to ach aer comments are attached hereto.	
PLEASE SA	AVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA	FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRIC

Warren County has weekly Central Court sessions where a Magisterial District Judge sits at the Courthouse in a specially designated courtroom located in the lower level of the Courthouse. The MDJs share this responsibility on a rotating basis. Recently, the Drug Task Force cooperatively managed by the Attorney General's office and the Warren County District Attorney's office efficiently processed approximately 40 drug cases in addition to the regular court schedule within a two week period. Again, Central Court schedules were adjusted to twice weekly while the MDJs presided at preliminary hearings. While this taxes the individual MDJ offices, with three MDJs there was an active effort to address community needs other than criminal matters at the same time the larger criminal caseload was being addressed.

The MDJs have also been active in several of the Court of Common Pleas initiatives involving problem solving courts. They actively participate with our high school students in a Youth Court for juvenile offenders. This court has been running since 2005. Warren County was one of the first rural counties to implement this program and is pleased at the demonstrated success we have had with this program. Recently, an initiative was proposed at the Dependency Roundtable to utilize an MDJ to develop weekly accountability for truant children as well as parents of truant children or parents with drug and alcohol issues who have no criminal charges. MDJs have also been utilized for Treatment Court, a problem solving court where parties with drug and alcohol issues, mental health issues, and veterans who have criminal charges (who have failed previous efforts at rehabilitation) agree to be seen weekly by the court. While the treatment court is primarily the responsibility of the Court of Common Pleas, there are inevitably scheduling conflicts that require the assistance of a MDJ. Warren County is unique in its approach to problem solving courts choosing to remain flexible while coordinating services with existing resources through the Intermediate Punishment grants and local dollars for mental health and substance abuse. Thus, utilizing MDJs to assist with the cases is necessary and of importance to our rural community.

We also analyzed the significance of the impact of Marcellus and Utica development in the future. While there is no measurable data at this time in Warren County, there is an increase in title work that may indicate a trend for the future.

As Warren County is 889 square miles, it is important to have one MDJ office located outside of the city of Warren. Given the proximity of the State Police Barracks, Youngsville remains a good central location. When the Court examined staffing in all three MDJ offices, the Court recognized that all three offices have two full time staff. In order to reduce the number of MDJs and run efficiently, the Court observed that most offices had three full time staff per office site. Evaluating the two current facilities utilized by the MDJs in Warren and North Warren, neither office could house a third staff member or be reconfigured in a way to house a third staff member. The Warren County Commissioners have not supported even minor renovations to the offices, i.e., carpet and paint, so it is unlikely they will support locating new facilities and new facility renovations.


The Court also analyzed the historical implications of realignment by referring to the last two realignment plans for the court. In 1992, the Honorable Robert L. Wolfe consolidated a number of satellite MDJ offices to address the efficiency of the system. In 2002, the Honorable Paul H. Millin reduced the number of MDJs in Warren County by eliminating the Sheffield office and consolidating the satellite office in Tidioute with the Youngsville office. Ultimately, the Sheffield office closed in 2008 and the consolidation of the Tidioute office took place in 2011 when the Youngsville office was relocated. As the Youngsville office had the smallest weighted case load according to the statewide statistical information, the Court achieved a better distribution of cases by increasing its township responsibilities by adding Pleasant Township to its caseload.

NOTICE Magisterial District Court Realignment

The President Judge, Maureen A. Skerda, of the Thirty-Seventh Judicial District submits for public comment the proposed realignment of the magisterial districts 37-2-01 located at 333 Hickory Street, Warren, PA and 37-4-01 located at 37 Railroad Street, Youngsville, PA in accordance with reestablishment guidelines under Article V, Section 7(b) and Section 10 of the Pennsylvania Guidelines. The proposed change transfers Pleasant Township from magisterial district 37-2-01 to magisterial district 37-4-01 in order to achieve caseload equity. No change is proposed for magisterial district 37-3-01 located at 1585 Market Street, Warren, PA. The proposed effective date for this change will be on the first Monday of January, 2013.

Any comments should be submitted in writing to Linda Critzer, District Court Administrator, 204 Fourth Avenue, Warren, PA 16365 or by email to lcritzer@warren-county.net within thirty days of the publication of this notice.

WARREN COUNTY 2012 REALIGNMENT CURRENT DATA



District 37-2-01

City of Warren Pleasant Township Sheffield Township Cherry Grove Township

District 37-3-01

Clarendon Borough Sugar Grove Borough Conewango Township Elk Township Farmington Township Glade Township Mead Township Pine Grove Township Sugar Grove Township

District 37-4-01

Bear Lake Borough
Tidioute Borough
Youngsville Borough
Brokenstraw Township
Columbus Township
Deerfield Township
Eldred Township
Freehold Township
Limestone Township
Pittsfield Township
Spring Creek Township
Southwest township
Triumph Township
Watson Township

Population Density for Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District		
	2010 Population	Square Miles
	9,710	3.1
	2,444	35.0
	2,121	60.0
	216	46.7
TOTAL	14,491	144.8
	TOTAL	9,710 2,444 2,121 <u>216</u>

Magisterial District 37-3-01			7-3-01
Breakdown of District by Area		2010 Population	Square Miles
Clarendon Borough		450	0.6
Sugar Grove Borough		614	1.0
Conewango Township		3,594	31.7
Elk Township		520	45.0
Farmington Township		1,259	34.3
Glade Township		2,308	36.0
Mead Township		1,386	73.0
Pine Grove Township		2,695	40.2
Sugar Grove Township		1,723	36.0
	TOTAL	14,549	297.8

Magisterial District		37	7-4-01
Breakdown of District by Area		2010 Population	Square Miles
Bear Lake Borough		164	0.7
Tidioute Borough		688	1.2
Youngsville Borough		1,729	1.3
Brokenstraw Township		1,884	39.0
Columbus Township		2,034	41.4
Deerfield Township		339	45.0
Eldred Township		650	38.0
Freehold Township		1,510	36.0
Limestone Township		403	31.5
Pittsfield Township		1,405	57.0
Spring Creek Township		852	45.0
Southwest Township		527	34.0
Triumph Township		316	26.5
Watson Township		274	50.0
	TOTAL	12,775	446.6

Magisterial District				Criminal				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total	Ave. Caseload
MDJ 37-2-01				244	302	219	765	255
MDJ 37-3-01				202	234	239	675	225
MDJ 37-4-01	52	43	38	69	41	75		
nd 37-4-91	96	73	91	83	99	93	853	142

				Non-Traffic			Total	Average Caseload
MDJ 37-2-01				391	367	328	1086	362
MDJ 37-3-01				277	265	279	821	274
MDJ 37-4-01	180	103	78	70	58	263		
and 37-4-91	128	204	230	160	173	167	1814	302

				Private Comp	laint		Total	Ave. Caseload
MDJ 37-2-01				235	109	205	549	183
MDJ 37-3-01				454	272	158	884	295
MDJ 37-4-01	9	23	10	1	3	103		
and 37-4-91	163	126	132	99	155	100	924	154

				Traffic			Total	Ave. Caseload
MDJ 37-2-01				1534	1426	995	3955	1318
MDJ 37-3-01				1462	1318	1209	3989	1330
MDJ 37-4-01	165	233	182	215	142	837		
and 37-4-91	669	671	556	615	647	686	5618	936

				Civil			Total	Ave. Caseload
MDJ 37-2-01	4			580	517	511	1608	536
MDJ 37-3-01				149	137	135	421	140
MDJ 37-4-01	28	26	30	42	27	84		
nd 37-4-91	72	75	65	61	71	57	638	106

				Landlord/Ter	nant		Total	Ave. Caseload
MDJ 37-2-01				47	50	69	166	55
MDJ 37-3-01				14	12	20	46	15
MDJ 37-4-01	3	4	7	2	6	20		
and 37-4-91	22	8	5	20	13	12	122	20

	Misc. Docket			Ave. Caseload	
MDJ 37-2-01	32	18	36	86	29
MDJ 37-3-01	55	45	53	153	51
MDJ 37-4-01	36	30	33		
and 37-4-91	12	16	17	144	48

Caseload Average - ALL

ouddioud rivorus	10 /16							
MDJ Office	CR	NT	PC	TR	Civil	LT	MD	Total
MDJ 37-2-01	255	362	183	1318	536	55	29	2738
MDJ 37-3-01	225	274	295	1330	140	15	51	2330
MDJ 37-4-01	142	302	154	936	106	20	48	1708

Warren County Filings/Caseload

Warren County	CR	NT	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	AVG CASELOAD
Average	207	313	211	1195	261	30	43	2259
MDJ 37-2-01	255	362	183	1318	536	55	29	2738
MDJ 37-3-01	225	274	295	1330	140	15	51	2330
MDJ 37-4-01	142	302	154	936	106	20	48	1708

Warren County	Averge Caseload	Variance
Average	2259	
MDJ 37-2-01	2781	24%
MDJ 37-3-01	2275	1%
MDJ 37-4-01	1710	-25%

These totals were calculating using 2008, 2009, 2010 caseload averages.

Warren County Workload

Warren County	CR	NT	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	AVG Workload
Total	23257	10020	5697	7426	8915	1376	4159	
MDJ 37-2-01	9364	3891	1608	3006	6046	801	934	25650
MDJ 37-3-01	8262	2939	3165	2032	1583	222	1661	19864
MDJ 37-4-01	5631	3190	924	2388	1286	353	1564	15336
Average Workload	7752	3340	1899	2475	2972	459	1386	20283

Docket Type	Case Weight
CR	38
NT (includes PC)	26
TR	12
CV	15
LT	2
MD	7

Workload		
Average	20,283	Variance
37-2-01	25,650	27%
37-3-01	19,864	-2%
37-4-01	15,336	-25%

2012 Warren County Reestablishment

MDJ Office	Average Caseload	Average Workload	2000 Population	2010 Population	Sq. Miles
37-2-01	2,738	25,650	15,361	14,491	144.8
37-3-01	2,330	19,864	15,452	14,549	297.8
37-4-01	1,708	15,336	13,050	12,775	446.6
Statewide Averages For 6 th Class Co.	3,263	25,084			
Warren Co.Average	1,950	18,486			

Workload range for Warren Co. Min. 15,713 Max. 21,259

Analysis of 2011-12 Reestablishment


Caseload for Warren County

	Average Caseload	% difference for District	% difference for class county
37-2-01	2738	41% higher than average of 1950	16% lower than average of 3263
37-3-01	2330	20% higher than average of 1950	29% lower than average of 3263
37-4-01	1708	13% lower than average of 1950	48% lower than average of 3263

Workload for Warren County

	Average Workload	% difference for District	% difference for class county
37-2-01	25,650	39% higher than 18,486	3% higher than
		27% higher than 20,283	average of 25,084
37-3-01	19,864	6% higher than 18,486	21% lower than
		2% higher than 20,283	average of 25,084
37-4-01	15,336	17% lower than 18,486	39% lower than
		25% lower than 20,283	average of 25,084

WARREN COUNTY 2012 REALIGNMENT WITH PROPOSED CHANGES AS OF 1/1/2013



District 37-2-01

City of Warren Sheffield Township Cherry Grove Township

District 37-3-01

Clarendon Borough Sugar Grove Borough Conewango Township Elk Township Farmington Township Glade Township Mead Township Pine Grove Township Sugar Grove Township

District 37-4-01

Bear Lake Borough
Tidioute Borough
Youngsville Borough
Brokenstraw Township
Columbus Township
Deerfield Township
Eldred Township
Freehold Township
Limestone Township
Pittsfield Township
Pleasant Township
Spring Creek Township
Southwest township
Triumph Township
Watson Township

Popi	ulation	Density	
with	realign	ment beg.	2013

2000 2010 Change % Change

	~	
ren	(0	

37-2-01 (Carlson)

City of Warren				
Warren WD Central	1337	1340	3	0.22%
Warren WD West	1667	1645	-22	-1%
Warren WD East	2631	2530	-101	-4%
Warren WD S. East	2667	2367	-300	-11%
Warren WD South	687	618	-69	-10%
Warren WD North	1270	1210	-60	-5%
Sheffield Twp.	2346	2121	-225	-10%
Cherry Grove Twp.	228	216	-12	-5.50%
Total 37-2-01	12833	12047	-870	-6%

37-3-01 (Bauer)

Total 37-3-01	15452	14549	-903	-5.84%
Sugar Grove Twp.	<u>1870</u>	<u>1723</u>	<u>-147</u>	-7.9%
Pine Grove Twp.	2712	2695	-17	-0.6%
Mead Twp	1555	1386	-169	-11%
Glade Twp.	2319	2308	-11	-0.5%
Farmington Twp.	1353	1259	-94	-7%
Elk Twp	551	520	-31	-6%
Conewango Twp.	3915	3594	-321	-9%
Sugar Grove Boro	613	614	1	0.2%
Clarendon Boro	564	450	-114	-20%

37-4-01 (1 · · ·demuth)

Total 37-4-01	15578	15219	-359	-2.30%
Watson Twp	322	274	<u>-48</u>	<u>-18%</u>
Triumph Twp	286	316	30	9%
Southwest Twp	561	527	-34	-6%
Spring Creek Twp	872	852	-20	-2%
Pleasant Twp	2528	2444	-84	-3%
Pittsfield Twp	1519	1405	-114	-8%
Limestone Twp	418	403	-15	-4%
Freehold Twp	1402	1510	108	7%
Eldred Twp	709	650	-59	-9%
Deefield Twp	333	339	6	2%
Columbus Twp.	1741	2034	293	14%
Brokenstraw Twp.	2068	1884	-184	-10%
Youngsville Boro	1834	1729	-105	-6%
Tidioute Boro	792	688	-104	-13%
Bear Lake Boro	193	164	-29	-15%

Total Warren County

-2048

-4.7%

41815

Warren County Population Density using 2010 Population Figures

	Population	Square Miles	Formula	Population Density
District 37-2-01	12,047	109.76	(12,047/109.76)	109.76
District 37-3-01	14,549	297.81	(14,549/297.81)	48.86
District 37-4-01	15,219	481.53	(15,219/481.53)	31.61

43863

Population Density with Proposed Realignment

Magisterial District		37	7-2-01
Breakdown of District by Area		2010 Population	Square Miles
City of Warren		9,710	3.1
Cherry Grove Township		216	46.7
Sheffield Township		2,121	60.0
	TOTAL	12,047	109.8
Magisterial District		37-3-01	
Breakdown of District by Area		2010 Population	Square Miles
Clarendon Borough		450	0.6
Sugar Grove Borough		614	1.0
Conewango Township		3,594	31.7
Elk Township		520	45.0
Farmington Township		1,259	34.3
Glade Township		2,308	36.0
Mead Township		1,386	73.0
Pinegrove Township		2,695	40.2
Sugar Grove Township		1,723	36.0
Sugar Grove Township	TOTAL	14549	297.8
Magisterial District		37-	4-01
Breakdown of District by Area		2010 Population	Square Miles
Bear Lake Borough		164	0.7
Tidioute Borough		688	1.2
Youngsville Borough		1,729	1.3
Brokenstraw Township		1,884	39.0
Columbus Township		2,034	41.4
Deerfield Township		339	45.0
Eldred Township		650	38.0
Freehold Township		1,510	36.0
Limestone Township		403	31.5
Pittsfield Township		1,405	57.0
Pleasant Township		2,444	35.0
Southwest Township		527	34.0
Spring Creek Township		852	45.0
Triumph Township		316	26.5
Watson Township		274	50.0
	TOTAL	15219	481.6

		Ave. for 3 years 200	8-2010
37-2-01		Criminal/NT/PC/TR	New Alighment
City of Warren		1754	1754
Cherry Grove Twp.		14	14
Sheffield Twp.		160	160
Pleasant Twp.		105	
Civil/LT/Misc.		620	570
	Total	2653	2498
37-3-01			
Clarendon Boro		63	63
Sugar Grove Boro		58	58
Conewango Twp.		978	978
Elk Twp.		26	26
Farmington Twp.		99	99
Glade Twp.		354	354
Mead Twp.		300	300
Pine Grove Twp.		108	108
Sugar Grove Twp.		136	136
Civil/LT/Misc		206	206
	Total	2328	2328
37-4-01			
Bear Lake Borough		3	3
Tidioute Borough		52	52
Youngsville Borough		351	351
Brokenstraw Twp.		254	254
Columbus Twp.		222	222
Deerfield Twp.		25	25
Eldred Twp.		25	25
Freehold Twp.		46	46
Limestone Twp.		27	27
Pittsfield Twp.		249	249
Spring Creek Twp.		42	42
Southwest Twp.		66	66
Triumph Twp.		30	30
Watson Twp.		28	28
Civil/LT/Misc.		<u>170</u>	220
Pleasant Twp.			<u>105</u>
	Total	1590	1745

Total

^{*}Civil/LT/Misc cannot be broken down by municipality