Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: Allegheny

Judicial District #: 5

Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 5 and its class of county:

0 %

- 1. The Fifth Judicial District has realized a 26% reduction in judicial officers over the last nine years. Effective January 2012, two districts were eliminated. The county has a current total of 46 magisterial district judges.
- 2. Not in favor of eliminating or reconfiguring courts that are within appropriate range and not expected to change in the near future. Geographic constraints, court and MDJ residence location inhibit some potential elliminations or realignments.

Summary of	Proposed Actions
05-2-01	Reestablish
05-2-02	Reestablish
05-2-03	Reestablish
05-2-04	Reestablish
05-2-05	Reestablish
05-2-06	Reestablish
05-2-07	Reestablish
05-2-08	Reestablish
05-2-10	Reestablish
05-2-11	Reestablish
05-2-12	Reestablish
05-2-13	Reestablish
05-2-14	Reestablish
05-2-15	Reestablish
05-2-16	Reestablish
05-2-17	Reestablish
05-2-18	Reestablish
05-2-19	Reestablish

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: Allegheny

Judicial District #: 5

Juaiciai Dist	rict #: 5
05-2-20	Reestablish
05-2-21	Reestablish
05-2-22	Reestablish
05-2-23	Reestablish
05-2-25	Reestablish
05-2-26	Reestablish
05-2-27	Reestablish
05-2-28	Reestablish
05-2-31	Reestablish
05-2-32	Reestablish
05-2-35	Reestablish
05-2-36	Reestablish
05-2-38	Reestablish
05-2-40	Reestablish
05-2-42	Reestablish
05-2-43	Realign
05-2-47	Reestablish
05-3-02	Reestablish
05-3-03	Reestablish
05-3-04	Reestablish
05-3-05	Reestablish
05-3-06	Realign
05-3-09	Reestablish
05-3-10	Reestablish
05-3-12	Reestablish
05-3-13	Realign
05-3-14	Reestablish
05-3-17	Reestablish

Judicial District Summary Sheet

County Name: **Allegheny**

Judicial District #:

Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: Yes Night Court: Yes

District court 05-0-04 (arraignment court) and 05-0-03 (Pittsburgh Municipal Court) are managed by a full time administrator.

05-0-04 serves as the 24/7 court for the judicial district. Judges with some or all municipalities located within the City of Pittsburgh sit in this court using a schedule based on caseload of each office (schedule is not rotated equally). There are two sessions daily; and conduct preliminary arraignments, issue search and arrest warrants, accept criminal complaints, set and accept bail and emergency PFA petitions. This court also hears continuances and waivers for matters arising in the City of Pittsburgh when the Pittsburgh Municipal Court needs assistance. All MDJs are in rotation for weekend, and holiday duty. This court has four support personnel Monday through Friday and fourteen support personnel assisting in evening, weekend and holiday shifts.

05-0-03 - Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC): assumes all misdemeanor and felony offenses, including private criminal complaints arisining from with the corporate limits of the City of Pittsburgh, including parking citations (excluding Pittsburgh Parking Authority) and non-traffic summary offenses. By local rule, this is also the designated central site for filing and conducting preliminary hearings for all Act 22 complaints arising in Allegheny County, as well as all homicide cases county-wide. PMC is covered by 13 MDJs on a rotating basis, Monday through Friday, for two sessions daily. Including the administrator, 31 employees are assigned to PMC Monday through Friday.

The president judge is committed to using the AOPC workload measures to schedule MDJs in PMC and Arraingment Court upon approval of the plan.

Public Comment

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: Yes Comments Received: Yes

Special Court Judges Association of Allegheny County: Supports plan proposed and feels strongly that no additional reductions in judicial officers be proposed.

- **General Comments** 1. Allegheny is the only second class county and does not have a point of comparison to other counties within the Commonwealth.
 - 2. Comprised of rural and urban areas. There are 130 municipalities, 44 public school districts and 109 municipal police departments.
 - 3. Magisterial districs have low-income areas where a decreasing population yields an increase in casefilings. Public transportation county-wide has also been reduced.

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

County Name: Allegheny

Judicial District #: 05

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 05-2-01

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 9,675 Average Annual Workload: 63,336

% Difference in Caseload 72.00 % Difference in Workload 17.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This MDJ is also involved in community programs including educational programs at schools on topics such as mock trials, attendance and anti-bullying.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Tara L. Smith Birthdate: 3-9-64

660 Lincoln Avenue, Second Floor Mandatory Retirement: 2034

Pittsburgh, PA 15202 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

OHIO TWP DIST 03; EMSWORTH DIST 01; EMSWORTH DIST 02; KILBUCK TWP Voting District; AVALON WD 01; AVALON WD 02 DIST 01; AVALON WD 02 DIST 02; AVALON WD 03 DIST 01; OHIO TWP DIST 01; AVALON WD 03 DIST 02; OHIO TWP DIST 02; AVALON WD 03 DIST 03; BELLEVUE WD 01 DIST 01; BELLEVUE WD 01 DIST 02; BELLEVUE WD 02 DIST 01; BELLEVUE WD 02 DIST 02; BELLEVUE WD 03 DIST 02; BELLEVUE WD 03 DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 01; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 01; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 01; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 01; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 01; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 03; BEN AVON DIST 03; BEN AVON DIST 04; BEN AVON DIST 04; BEN AVON DIST 04; BEN AVON DIST 05; BEN AVON DIST 05; BEN AVON DIST 05; BEN AVON DIST 06; BEN AVON DIST 06;

Proposed Geography:

OHIO TWP DIST 03; EMSWORTH DIST 01; EMSWORTH DIST 02; KILBUCK TWP Voting District; AVALON WD 01; AVALON WD 02 DIST 01; AVALON WD 02 DIST 02; AVALON WD 03 DIST 01; OHIO TWP DIST 01; AVALON WD 03 DIST 02; OHIO TWP DIST 02; AVALON WD 03 DIST 03; BELLEVUE WD 01 DIST 01; BELLEVUE WD 01 DIST 02; BELLEVUE WD 02 DIST 01; BELLEVUE WD 02 DIST 02; BELLEVUE WD 03 DIST 02; BELLEVUE WD 03 DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 01; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 01; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 01; BEN AVON DIST 02; BEN AVON DIST 03; BEN AVON DIST 03; BEN AVON DIST 04; BEN AVON DIST 04; BEN AVON DIST 05; BEN AVON DIST 05;

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Ohio Township, Bellevue Borough, Avalon Borough

Major Highways: I-79, I-279, Route 65

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts	

Magisterial District #: 05-2-02

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	7,145	Average Annual Workload:	65,778
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	27.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	22.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):		Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.	

Because Ross Township surrounds West View Borough, there is no other municipality that is contiguous. Both are declining in population. 75% of filings are attributed to Ross Township, which, if split, would cause a burden to police and school district. West View Borough is too small to justify its own district.

By administrative order, this court presides over cases filed by the Insurance Fraud Section of the Office of Attorney General, Allegheny County and the Auto Theft Prosecution Unit and Insurance Fraud Prosecution Unit of the District Attorney, Allegheny County.

The MDJ also serves as Chairman of the PCCD Constable Education Training Board and other court related committeees.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Richard G. Opiela	Birthdate:	10-4-64
439 Perry Highway	Mandatory Retirement:	2034
Pittsburgh, PA 15229	Term Expires:	1-3-16

Existing Geography:

ROSS TWP WD 01 DIST 01; ROSS TWP WD 01 DIST 02;	ROSS TWP WD 01 DIST 01; ROSS TWP WD 01 DIST 02;
ROSS TWP WD 01 DIST 03; ROSS TWP WD 01 DIST 04;	ROSS TWP WD 01 DIST 03; ROSS TWP WD 01 DIST 04;
ROSS TWP WD 02 DIST 01; ROSS TWP WD 02 DIST 02;	ROSS TWP WD 02 DIST 01; ROSS TWP WD 02 DIST 02;
ROSS TWP WD 02 DIST 03; ROSS TWP WD 03 DIST 01;	ROSS TWP WD 02 DIST 03; ROSS TWP WD 03 DIST 01;
ROSS TWP WD 03 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 03 DIST 03;	ROSS TWP WD 03 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 03 DIST 03;
ROSS TWP WD 03 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 04 DIST 01;	ROSS TWP WD 03 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 04 DIST 01;
ROSS TWP WD 04 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 04 DIST 03;	ROSS TWP WD 04 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 04 DIST 03;
ROSS TWP WD 04 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 05 DIST 01;	ROSS TWP WD 04 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 05 DIST 01;
ROSS TWP WD 05 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 05 DIST 03;	ROSS TWP WD 05 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 05 DIST 03;
ROSS TWP WD 05 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 06 DIST 01;	ROSS TWP WD 05 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 06 DIST 01;
ROSS TWP WD 06 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 06 DIST 03;	ROSS TWP WD 06 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 06 DIST 03;
ROSS TWP WD 06 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 07 DIST 01;	ROSS TWP WD 06 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 07 DIST 01;
ROSS TWP WD 07 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 07 DIST 03;	ROSS TWP WD 07 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 07 DIST 03;
ROSS TWP WD 07 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 08 DIST 01;	ROSS TWP WD 07 DIST 04; ROSS TWP WD 08 DIST 01;
ROSS TWP WD 08 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 08 DIST 03;	ROSS TWP WD 08 DIST 02; ROSS TWP WD 08 DIST 03;
ROSS TWP WD 09 DIST 01; ROSS TWP WD 09 DIST 02;	ROSS TWP WD 09 DIST 01; ROSS TWP WD 09 DIST 02;

Proposed Geography:

ROSS TWP WD 09 DIST 03; WEST VIEW DIST 01; WEST VIEW DIST 02; WEST VIEW DIST 03; WEST VIEW DIST 06; WEST VIEW DIST 04; WEST VIEW DIST 05; WEST VIEW DIST 07

ROSS TWP WD 09 DIST 03; WEST VIEW DIST 01; WEST VIEW DIST 02; WEST VIEW DIST 03; WEST VIEW DIST 06; WEST VIEW DIST 04; WEST VIEW DIST 05; WEST VIEW DIST 07

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Ross Township, West View Borough

Major Highways: I-279, Route 19, Business 19, Babcock Boulevard, Thompson Run Road

Magisterial District #: 05-2-03

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,725 Average Annual Workload: 56,025

% Difference in Caseload 20.00 % Difference in Workload 4.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This court was realigned in 2006.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Robert P. Dzvonick Birthdate: 5-30-52

1007 Mount Royal Blvd. Mandatory Retirement: 2022

Pittsburgh, PA 15223 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

RESERVE TWP WD 01; RESERVE TWP WD 02; RESERVE TWP WD 03; RESERVE TWP WD 04; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 05: SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 01: SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 04: SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 01: SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 02: SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 07 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 07 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 07 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 07 DIST 04; ETNA WD 01; ETNA WD 02; ETNA WD 03; MILLVALE DIST 01; MILLVALE DIST 03; MILLVALE DIST 04; MILLVALE DIST 05; MILLVALE DIST 02

Proposed Geography:

RESERVE TWP WD 01; RESERVE TWP WD 02; RESERVE TWP WD 03; RESERVE TWP WD 04; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 01 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 02 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 03 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 04 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 01: SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 02: SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 05 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 04; SHALER TWP WD 06 DIST 05; SHALER TWP WD 07 DIST 01; SHALER TWP WD 07 DIST 02; SHALER TWP WD 07 DIST 03; SHALER TWP WD 07 DIST 04; ETNA WD 01; ETNA WD 02; ETNA WD 03; MILLVALE DIST 01; MILLVALE DIST 03; MILLVALE DIST 04; MILLVALE DIST 05; MILLVALE DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Etna Borough, Shaler Township, Millvale Borough, Reserve Township

Major Highways: I-376, Route 8, Route 28, Route 22, Mt. Royal Boulevard, Saxonburg Boulevard, Middle

Road, Kittanning Street, Butler Street, Babcock Boulevard, Evergreen Avenue, Grant

Avenue, Mt. Troy Road

Magisterial District #: 05-2-04

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5.859 Average Annual Workload: 43,693

% Difference in Caseload 4.00 % Difference in Workload -19.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload

support to maintain current configuration. (magisterial district/class of county):

This district serves the entire Fox Chapel School District. Any effort to realign would move the workload range of the affected districts outside of the appropriate range. This Judge also conducts monthly truancy proceedings at the high school, quarterly DARE presentations and participates in the annual Allegheny County Camp Cadet Program.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Birthdate: Elissa M. Lang 10-4-52

1205 Main Street Mandatory Retirement: 2022

Sharpsburg, PA 15215 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

BLAWNOX DIST 01; SHARPSBURG DIST 01; SHARPSBURG DIST 02; SHARPSBURG DIST 03; FOX CHAPEL DIST 01; FOX CHAPEL DIST 02; FOX CHAPEL DIST 03; FOX CHAPEL DIST 04; FOX CHAPEL DIST 05; INDIANA TWP DIST 01; INDIANA TWP DIST 02; INDIANA TWP DIST 03; INDIANA TWP DIST 04; INDIANA TWP DIST 05; ASPINWALL DIST 01; ASPINWALL DIST 02; ASPINWALL DIST 04; O HARA TWP WD 01 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 01 DIST 02; O HARA TWP WD 02 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 02 DIST 02; O HARA TWP WD 03 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 03 DIST 02; O HARA TWP WD 04 DIST 02; O HARA TWP WD 04 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 05 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 05 DIST 02

Yes

Proposed Geography:

BLAWNOX DIST 01; SHARPSBURG DIST 01; SHARPSBURG DIST 02; SHARPSBURG DIST 03; FOX CHAPEL DIST 01; FOX CHAPEL DIST 02; FOX CHAPEL DIST 03; FOX CHAPEL DIST 04; FOX CHAPEL DIST 05; INDIANA TWP DIST 01; INDIANA TWP DIST 02; INDIANA TWP DIST 03; INDIANA TWP DIST 04; INDIANA TWP DIST 05; ASPINWALL DIST 01; ASPINWALL DIST 02; ASPINWALL DIST 04; O HARA TWP WD 01 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 01 DIST 02; O HARA TWP WD 02 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 02 DIST 02; O HARA TWP WD 03 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 03 DIST 02; O HARA TWP WD 04 DIST 02; O HARA TWP WD 04 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 05 DIST 01; O HARA TWP WD 05 DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district:

Aspinwall Borough, Blawnox Borough, Indiana Township, Sharpsburg Borough, Fox **Police Departments:**

Chapel Borough, O'Hara Township, PA State Police - Gibsonia and Kittanning Barracks

Route 28, PA Turnpike, Main Street, Fox Chapel Road, Kittanning Pike, Dorseyville **Major Highways:**

Existing and Proposed I	Magisterial Districts
	Road, Middle Road, Saxonburg Boulevard

Magisterial District #: 05-2-05

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 4,314 Average Annual Workload: 41,807

% Difference in Caseload -23.00 % Difference in Workload -23.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This court was realigned effective 1/2/12. This district also includes seven subsidized housing complextes and the court is centrally located to those dependent on public transportation.

Although Marcellus Shale drilling is not active, 57 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for Fawn Township.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Carolyn S. Bengel Birthdate: 5-31-66

1010 Broadview Boulevard Mandatory Retirement: 2036

Brackenridge, PA 15014 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

BRACKENRIDGE WD 01; BRACKENRIDGE WD 02;
BRACKENRIDGE WD 03; TARENTUM WD 01 DIST 01;
TARENTUM WD 01 DIST 02; TARENTUM WD 02;
TARENTUM WD 03 DIST 01; TARENTUM WD 03 DIST 02;
EAST DEER TWP WD 01; EAST DEER TWP WD 02; FAWN
TWP VTD 01; FAWN TWP VTD 02; HARRISON TWP WD
01 DIST 03; HARRISON TWP WD 01 DIST 01; HARRISON
TWP WD 01 DIST 02; HARRISON TWP WD 02 DIST 01;
HARRISON TWP WD 02 DIST 02; HARRISON TWP WD 03
DIST 01; HARRISON TWP WD 03 DIST 02; HARRISON
TWP WD 04 DIST 01; HARRISON TWP WD 04 DIST 02;
HARRISON TWP WD 05 DIST 01; HARRISON TWP WD 05
DIST 02

Proposed Geography:

BRACKENRIDGE WD 01; BRACKENRIDGE WD 02;
BRACKENRIDGE WD 03; TARENTUM WD 01 DIST 01;
TARENTUM WD 01 DIST 02; TARENTUM WD 02;
TARENTUM WD 03 DIST 01; TARENTUM WD 03 DIST 02; EAST DEER TWP WD 01; EAST DEER TWP WD 02;
FAWN TWP VTD 01; FAWN TWP VTD 02; HARRISON TWP WD 01 DIST 03; HARRISON TWP WD 01 DIST 01;
HARRISON TWP WD 01 DIST 02; HARRISON TWP WD 02
DIST 01; HARRISON TWP WD 02 DIST 02; HARRISON TWP WD 03 DIST 02;
HARRISON TWP WD 04 DIST 01; HARRISON TWP WD 04
DIST 02; HARRISON TWP WD 05 DIST 01; HARRISON TWP WD 05
TWP WD 05 DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Brackenridge Borough, Harrison Township, Fawn Towsnhip, Tarentum Borough, East

Deer Township

Major Highways: Route 28

Magisterial District #: 05-2-06

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,538 Average Annual Workload: 74,304

% Difference in Caseload -1.00 % Difference in Workload 38.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This court was realigned in 2006; and again effective 1/2/12.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Leonard J. HRomyak Birthdate: 4-27-46

85 Universal Road Mandatory Retirement: 2016

Pittsburgh, PA 15235 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

VERONA DIST 01; VERONA DIST 02; VERONA DIST 03; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 01; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 02; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 03; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 04; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 05; WILKINS TWP WD 02 DIST 01; WILKINS TWP WD 02 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 02 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 02 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 02 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 02 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 04: PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 05: PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 06;

Proposed Geography:

VERONA DIST 01; VERONA DIST 02; VERONA DIST 03; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 01; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 02; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 03; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 04; WILKINS TWP WD 01 DIST 05; WILKINS TWP WD 02 DIST 01; WILKINS TWP WD 02 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 01 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 02 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 02 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 02 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 02 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 04: PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 05: PENN HILLS TWP WD 03 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 04 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 05 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 06 DIST 06;

PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 09 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 09 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 09 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 09 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 09 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 09 DIST 05

PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 07 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 02; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 04; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 05; PENN HILLS TWP WD 08 DIST 06; PENN HILLS TWP WD 09 DIST 01; PENN HILLS TWP WD 09 DIST 03; PENN HILLS TWP WD 09 DIST 05

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Penn Hills, Verona Borough, Wilkins Township

Major Highways: I-376, Route 22, Route 791, Route 380, Route 130, Frankstown Road, Beulah Road,

Universal Road, Verona Road, Allegheny River Boulevard, Larimer Ave., Greensburg

Pike, Churchill Road

Magisterial District #: 05-2-07

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,263 Average Annual Workload: 57,346

% Difference in Caseload -6.00 % Difference in Workload 6.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Jeffrey L. Herbst Birthdate: 12-1-54

Parkway Building, Suite 1500 339 Old Haymaker Road Mandatory Retirement: 2024

Monroeville, PA 15146 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

MONROEVILLE WD 05 DIST 04; MONROEVILLE WD 01 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 01 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 01 DIST 03; MONROEVILLE WD 01 DIST 04; MONROEVILLE WD 02 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 02 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 02 DIST 03; MONROEVILLE WD 03 DIST 01: MONROEVILLE WD 03 DIST 02: MONROEVILLE WD 03 DIST 03: MONROEVILLE WD 03 DIST 04: MONROEVILLE WD 04 DIST 01: MONROEVILLE WD 04 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 04 DIST 03; MONROEVILLE WD 05 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 05 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 05 DIST 03; MONROEVILLE WD 06 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 06 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 06 DIST 03: MONROEVILLE WD 06 DIST 04; MONROEVILLE WD 07 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 07 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 07 DIST 03; PITCAIRN DIST 01; PITCAIRN DIST 02; PITCAIRN DIST 03

Proposed Geography:

MONROEVILLE WD 05 DIST 04; MONROEVILLE WD 01 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 01 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 01 DIST 03; MONROEVILLE WD 01 DIST 04; MONROEVILLE WD 02 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 02 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 02 DIST 03; MONROEVILLE WD 03 DIST 01: MONROEVILLE WD 03 DIST 02: MONROEVILLE WD 03 DIST 03: MONROEVILLE WD 03 DIST 04: MONROEVILLE WD 04 DIST 01: MONROEVILLE WD 04 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 04 DIST 03; MONROEVILLE WD 05 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 05 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 05 DIST 03; MONROEVILLE WD 06 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 06 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 06 DIST 03: MONROEVILLE WD 06 DIST 04; MONROEVILLE WD 07 DIST 01; MONROEVILLE WD 07 DIST 02; MONROEVILLE WD 07 DIST 03; PITCAIRN DIST 01; PITCAIRN DIST 02; PITCAIRN DIST 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Monroeville Borough, Pitcairn Borough

Major Highways: PA Turnpike, I-376, Route 48, Route 130, Route 993, Route 22

Magisterial District #: 05-2-08

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,763 Average Annual Workload: 43,681

% Difference in Caseload 3.00 % Difference in Workload -19.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This court was recently realigned, effective 1/2/12.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Thomas P. Caulfield Birthdate: 10-06-66

2065 Ardmore Blvd. Mandatory Retirement: 2036

Pittsburgh, PA 15221 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

SWISSVALE DIST 01; SWISSVALE DIST 02; SWISSVALE DIST 03; SWISSVALE DIST 04; SWISSVALE DIST 05; SWISSVALE DIST 06; SWISSVALE DIST 07; SWISSVALE DIST 08; SWISSVALE DIST 09; SWISSVALE DIST 10; SWISSVALE DIST 11; BRADDOCK HILLS DIST 01; BRADDOCK HILLS DIST 02; CHALFANT Voting District; CHURCHILL DIST 01; CHURCHILL DIST 02; CHURCHILL DIST 03; CHURCHILL DIST 04; FOREST HILLS DIST 01; FOREST HILLS DIST 02; FOREST HILLS DIST 03; FOREST HILLS DIST 04; FOREST HILLS DIST 05; FOREST HILLS DIST 06; FOREST HILLS DIST 07; FOREST HILLS DIST 08

Proposed Geography:

SWISSVALE DIST 01; SWISSVALE DIST 02; SWISSVALE DIST 03; SWISSVALE DIST 04; SWISSVALE DIST 05; SWISSVALE DIST 06; SWISSVALE DIST 07; SWISSVALE DIST 08; SWISSVALE DIST 09; SWISSVALE DIST 10; SWISSVALE DIST 11; BRADDOCK HILLS DIST 01; BRADDOCK HILLS DIST 02; CHALFANT Voting District; CHURCHILL DIST 01; CHURCHILL DIST 02; CHURCHILL DIST 03; CHURCHILL DIST 04; FOREST HILLS DIST 01; FOREST HILLS DIST 03; FOREST HILLS DIST 04; FOREST HILLS DIST 05; FOREST HILLS DIST 06; FOREST HILLS DIST 07; FOREST HILLS DIST 08

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Churchill Borough, Forest Hills Borough, Braddock Hills Borough, Swissvale Borough

Major Highways: I-376, Route 22, Rotue 30

Magisterial District #: 05-2-10

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,954 Average Annual Workload: 57,573

% Difference in Caseload 6.00 % Difference in Workload 7.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This court was realigned, effective 1/2/12.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Kim M. Hoots Birthdate: 04-13-61

Penn West Building 907 West Street, Third Floor Mandatory Retirement: 2031

Wilkinsburg, PA 15221 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 01; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 02; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 03; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 04; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 05; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 06; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 01; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 03; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 04; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 05; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 02; WILKINSBURG WD 03 DIST 01; WILKINSBURG WD 03 DIST 02; WILKINSBURG WD 03 DIST 04; WILKINSBURG WD 03 DIST 04; WILKINSBURG WD 03 DIST 04; WILKINSBURG WD 03 DIST 05; EDGEWOOD DIST 01; EDGEWOOD DIST 02; EDGEWOOD DIST 03

Proposed Geography:

WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 01; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 02; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 03; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 04; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 05; WILKINSBURG WD 01 DIST 06; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 01; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 03; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 04; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 05; WILKINSBURG WD 02 DIST 05; WILKINSBURG WD 03 DIST 01; WILKINSBURG WD 03 DIST 02; WILKINSBURG WD 03 DIST 04; EDGEWOOD DIST 01; EDGEWOOD DIST 02; EDGEWOOD DIST 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Wilkinsburg Borough, Edgewood Borough

Major Highways: Route 8

Magisterial District #: 05-2-11

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6.993 Average Annual Workload: 60.090

% Difference in Caseload 25.00 % Difference in Workload 11.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload support to maintain current configuration.

(magisterial district/class of county):

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Robert L. Barner Birthdate: 10-16-48

371 Lincoln Highway Mandatory Retirement: 2018

North Versailles, PA 15137 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

TRAFFORD DIST 01; WALL Voting District; WILMERDING DIST 01; WILMERDING DIST 02; EAST MCKEESPORT DIST 01; EAST MCKEESPORT DIST 02; EAST MCKEESPORT DIST 03; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 01 DIST 01; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 01 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 02 DIST 01: NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 02 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 03 DIST 01: NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 03 DIST 02: NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 04 DIST 01; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 04 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 05 DIST 01; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 05 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 06 DIST 01; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 06 DIST 02; NORTH **VERSAILLES TWP WD 07**

Proposed Geography:

TRAFFORD DIST 01; WALL Voting District; WILMERDING DIST 01; WILMERDING DIST 02; EAST MCKEESPORT DIST 01; EAST MCKEESPORT DIST 02; EAST MCKEESPORT DIST 03; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 01 DIST 01; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 01 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 02 DIST 01: NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 02 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 03 DIST 01: NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 03 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 04 DIST 01; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 04 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 05 DIST 01; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 05 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 06 DIST 01; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 06 DIST 02; NORTH VERSAILLES TWP WD 07

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: East McKeesport Borough, North Versailles Township, Trafford Borough

Major Highways: Route 30, Route 148, Route 48

Magisterial District #: 05-2-12

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 7,234 Average Annual Workload: 47,702

% Difference in Caseload 29.00 % Difference in Workload -12.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This district was realigned effective 1/2/12.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

William K. Wagner Birthdate: 12-6-52

Lower Level 8105 Perry Highway Mandatory Retirement: 2022

Pittsburgh, PA 15237 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

BRADFORD WOODS Voting District; PINE TWP DIST 06; MARSHALL TWP DIST 05; MARSHALL TWP DIST 06; PINE TWP DIST 04; PINE TWP DIST 07; PINE TWP DIST 05; PINE TWP DIST 08; MARSHALL TWP DIST 04; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 01 DIST 04; MARSHALL TWP DIST 01; MARSHALL TWP DIST 02; MARSHALL TWP DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 01 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 01 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 01 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 02 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 02 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 02 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 03 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 03 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 03 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 04 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 04 DIST 02: MC CANDLESS TWP WD 04 DIST 03: MC CANDLESS TWP WD 05 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 05 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 05 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 06 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 06 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 06 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 07 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 07 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 07 DIST 03; PINE TWP DIST 01; PINE TWP DIST 02; PINE TWP DIST 03

Proposed Geography:

BRADFORD WOODS Voting District; PINE TWP DIST 06; MARSHALL TWP DIST 05; MARSHALL TWP DIST 06; PINE TWP DIST 04; PINE TWP DIST 07; PINE TWP DIST 05; PINE TWP DIST 08; MARSHALL TWP DIST 04; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 01 DIST 04; MARSHALL TWP DIST 01; MARSHALL TWP DIST 02; MARSHALL TWP DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 01 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 01 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 01 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 02 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 02 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 02 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 03 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 03 DIST 02: MC CANDLESS TWP WD 03 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 04 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 04 DIST 02: MC CANDLESS TWP WD 04 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 05 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 05 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 05 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 06 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 06 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 06 DIST 03; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 07 DIST 01; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 07 DIST 02; MC CANDLESS TWP WD 07 DIST 03; PINE TWP DIST 01; PINE TWP DIST 02; PINE TWP DIST 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Northern Regional Police Dept., Bradford Woods Borough, Marshall Township, Pine

Township, McCandless Township

Major Highways: PA Turnpike, I-79, I-279, Route 19, Route 910, McKnight Road

Magisterial District #: 05-2-13

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,935 Average Annual Workload: 80,806

% Difference in Caseload 24.00 % Difference in Workload 50.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

It is anticipated caseload and workload will continue its decline trend, consistent with a decline in population.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Eugene F. Riazzi Birthdate: 7-13-56

687 O'Neil Blvd. Mandatory Retirement: 2026

McKeesport, PA 15132 Term Expires: 1-5-14

Existing Geography:

MC KEESPORT WD 01; MC KEESPORT WD 02; MC KEESPORT WD 03 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 03 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 04; MC KEESPORT WD 05; MC KEESPORT WD 06 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 06 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 03; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 04; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 05; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 06; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 07; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 03; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 04; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 05; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 06: MC KEESPORT WD 09 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 09 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 09 DIST 03: MC KEESPORT WD 10 DIST 01: MC KEESPORT WD 10 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 11 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 11 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 11 DIST 03; MC KEESPORT WD 12 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 12 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 12 DIST 03

Proposed Geography:

MC KEESPORT WD 01; MC KEESPORT WD 02; MC KEESPORT WD 03 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 03 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 04; MC KEESPORT WD 05; MC KEESPORT WD 06 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 06 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 03; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 04; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 05; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 06; MC KEESPORT WD 07 DIST 07; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 03; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 04; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 05; MC KEESPORT WD 08 DIST 06; MC KEESPORT WD 09 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 09 DIST 02: MC KEESPORT WD 09 DIST 03: MC KEESPORT WD 10 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 10 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 11 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 11 DIST 02; MC KEESPORT WD 11 DIST 03; MC KEESPORT WD 12 DIST 01; MC KEESPORT WD 12 DIST 02; MC **KEESPORT WD 12 DIST 03**

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: City of McKeesport

Major Highways: Route 148, Route 48, Eden Park Boulevard

Magisterial District #: 05-2-14

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 4,954 Average Annual Workload: 62,424

% Difference in Caseload -12.00 % Difference in Workload 16.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This district was realigned effective 1/2/12.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Richard D. Olasz , Jr. Birthdate: 9-12-63

1800 Homeville Road Mandatory Retirement: 2033

West Mifflin, PA 15122 Term Expires: 1-5-14

Existing Geography:

RANKIN DIST 01; RANKIN DIST 02; RANKIN DIST 03; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 01; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 02; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 03; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 04; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 05; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 06; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 07; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 08; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 09; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 10; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 11; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 12; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 13; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 14; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 15; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 16; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 17; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 18; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 19; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 20; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 21; WHITAKER DIST 01; WHITAKER DIST 02; DRAVOSBURG DIST 01; DRAVOSBURG DIST 03; DRAVOSBURG DIST 02

Proposed Geography:

RANKIN DIST 01; RANKIN DIST 02; RANKIN DIST 03; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 01; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 02; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 03; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 04; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 05; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 06; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 07; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 08; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 09; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 10; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 11; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 12; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 13; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 14; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 15; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 16; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 17; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 18; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 19; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 20; WEST MIFFLIN DIST 21; WHITAKER DIST 01; WHITAKER DIST 02; DRAVOSBURG DIST 01; DRAVOSBURG DIST 03; DRAVOSBURG DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: West Mifflin Borough, Whitaker Borough, City of McKeesport (covering for Dravosburg

Borough), Rankin Borough

Major Highways: Route 885, Route 837, Lebanon Church Road

Magisterial District #: 05-2-15

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,514 Average Annual Workload: 63,308

% Difference in Caseload 16.00 % Difference in Workload 17.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

All three boroughs comprise the Steel Valley Scholl District; and all have seen a decline in population. This is a difficult court to realign because of its surrounding districts (geography and high caseload). This district aslos includes the second largest shopping mall in Allegheny County.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Thomas R. Torkowsky Birthdate: 12-27-60

510 East Eighth Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2030

Munhall, PA 15120 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

WEST HOMESTEAD DIST 01; WEST HOMESTEAD DIST 03; WEST HOMESTEAD DIST 02; HOMESTEAD WD 01 DIST 01; HOMESTEAD WD 01 DIST 02; HOMESTEAD WD 02 DIST 01; HOMESTEAD WD 02 DIST 02; HOMESTEAD WD 03 DIST 01; HOMESTEAD WD 03 DIST 02; MUNHALL DIST 01; MUNHALL DIST 02; MUNHALL DIST 03; MUNHALL DIST 04; MUNHALL DIST 05; MUNHALL DIST 06; MUNHALL DIST 07; MUNHALL DIST 08; MUNHALL DIST 09; MUNHALL DIST 10; MUNHALL DIST 11; MUNHALL DIST 12

Proposed Geography:

WEST HOMESTEAD DIST 01; WEST HOMESTEAD DIST 03; WEST HOMESTEAD DIST 02; HOMESTEAD WD 01 DIST 01; HOMESTEAD WD 01 DIST 02; HOMESTEAD WD 02 DIST 01; HOMESTEAD WD 02 DIST 02; HOMESTEAD WD 03 DIST 01; HOMESTEAD WD 03 DIST 02; MUNHALL DIST 01; MUNHALL DIST 02; MUNHALL DIST 03; MUNHALL DIST 04; MUNHALL DIST 05; MUNHALL DIST 06; MUNHALL DIST 07; MUNHALL DIST 08; MUNHALL DIST 09; MUNHALL DIST 10; MUNHALL DIST 11; MUNHALL DIST 12

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Homestead Borough, Munhall Borough, West Homestead Borough

Major Highways: Route 837

Magisterial District #: 05-2-16

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	4,969	Average Annual Workload:	42,138
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-11.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-22.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):		Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.	

This district contains some muncipalities that are growing; one of which has open tracts of land available for further development. It is includes the Jefferson Regional Medical Center Campus, which recently completed a \$17.5 million expansion.

Although Marcellus Shale has not had an impact on Allegheny County, it should be noted that 169 oil/gas leases have been reported for the Borough of Jefferson Hills.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Pat A. Capolupo	Birthdate:	4-11-44
343 Old Curry Hollow Road	Mandatory Retirement:	2014
Pittsburgh, PA 15236	Term Expires:	1-5-14

Existing Geography:

PLEASANT HILLS DIST 01; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 02;
PLEASANT HILLS DIST 03; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 04;
PLEASANT HILLS DIST 05; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 06;
PLEASANT HILLS DIST 07; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 08;
PLEASANT HILLS DIST 09; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 10;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 01; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 02;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 03; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 04;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 05; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 06;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 07; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 08;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 09; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 10;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 11; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 12;
JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 01; JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 02;
JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 03; JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 04;
JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 06; JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 07;
JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 08; JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 07;

Proposed Geography:

PLEASANT HILLS DIST 01; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 02;
PLEASANT HILLS DIST 03; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 04;
PLEASANT HILLS DIST 05; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 06;
PLEASANT HILLS DIST 07; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 08;
PLEASANT HILLS DIST 09; PLEASANT HILLS DIST 10;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 01; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 02;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 03; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 04;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 05; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 06;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 07; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 08;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 09; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 10;
SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 11; SOUTH PARK TWP DIST 12;
JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 01; JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 02;
JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 03; JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 04;
JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 06; JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 07;
JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 08; JEFFERSON HILLS DIST 07;

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Jefferson Hills Borough, Pleasant Hills Borough, South Park Township

Major Highways: Route 885, Route 88, PA Turnpike, Old Clairton Road, Lebanon Church Road

Magisterial District #: 05-2-17

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/class of county):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Although the workload is below the average range, there are several factors that preclude its elimination or realignment:

- 1. Requests for foreign language interpreters is second only to Pittsburgh Municipal Court extending the length of time a hearing will take.
- 2. Many of the citizens are not proficient at speaking english, also increasing the time required for the proceeding.
- 3. Treaffic filings are also increasing.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

David J. Barton Birthdate: 12-27-59

530 Caste Village Shopping Center Baptist & Grove Mandatory Retirement: 2029

Roads

Pittsburgh, PA 15236 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

WHITEHALL DIST 01; WHITEHALL DIST 02; WHITEHALL DIST 03; WHITEHALL DIST 04; WHITEHALL DIST 05; WHITEHALL DIST 06; WHITEHALL DIST 07; WHITEHALL DIST 08; WHITEHALL DIST 09; WHITEHALL DIST 10; WHITEHALL DIST 11; WHITEHALL DIST 12; WHITEHALL DIST 13; WHITEHALL DIST 14; WHITEHALL DIST 15; WHITEHALL DIST 16; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 01; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 02; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 03; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 04; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 05; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 06; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 07; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 08; BALDWIN TWP DIST 01; BALDWIN TWP DIST 02

Proposed Geography:

WHITEHALL DIST 01; WHITEHALL DIST 02; WHITEHALL DIST 03; WHITEHALL DIST 04; WHITEHALL DIST 05; WHITEHALL DIST 06; WHITEHALL DIST 07; WHITEHALL DIST 08; WHITEHALL DIST 09; WHITEHALL DIST 10; WHITEHALL DIST 11; WHITEHALL DIST 12; WHITEHALL DIST 13; WHITEHALL DIST 14; WHITEHALL DIST 15; WHITEHALL DIST 16; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 01; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 02; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 03; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 04; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 05; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 06; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 07; CASTLE SHANNON DIST 08; BALDWIN TWP DIST 01; BALDWIN TWP DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Baldwin Township, Castle Shannon Borough, Whitehall Borough

Major Highways: Route 51, Route 88

Magisterial District #: 05-2-18

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,154 Average Annual Workload: 56,867

% Difference in Caseload -8.00 % Difference in Workload 5.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

John N. Bova Birthdate: 10-22-48

Wallace Building 41 Macek Drive Mandatory Retirement: 2018

Pittsburgh, PA 15227 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

BRENTWOOD DIST 01; BRENTWOOD DIST 02; BRENTWOOD DIST 03; BRENTWOOD DIST 04; BRENTWOOD DIST 05; BRENTWOOD DIST 06; BRENTWOOD DIST 07; BRENTWOOD DIST 08; BRENTWOOD DIST 09; BRENTWOOD DIST 10; BALDWIN DIST 01; BALDWIN DIST 02; BALDWIN DIST 03; BALDWIN DIST 04; BALDWIN DIST 05; BALDWIN DIST 06; BALDWIN DIST 07; BALDWIN DIST 08; BALDWIN DIST 10; BALDWIN DIST 10; BALDWIN DIST 11; BALDWIN DIST 12; BALDWIN DIST 13; BALDWIN DIST 14; BALDWIN DIST 15; BALDWIN DIST 16; BALDWIN DIST 17; BALDWIN DIST 18

BRENTWOOD DIST 01; BRENTWOOD DIST 02;
BRENTWOOD DIST 03; BRENTWOOD DIST 04;
BRENTWOOD DIST 05; BRENTWOOD DIST 06;
BRENTWOOD DIST 07; BRENTWOOD DIST 08;
BRENTWOOD DIST 09; BRENTWOOD DIST 10; BALDWIN DIST 01; BALDWIN DIST 02; BALDWIN DIST 03;
BALDWIN DIST 04; BALDWIN DIST 05; BALDWIN DIST 06; BALDWIN DIST 07; BALDWIN DIST 08; BALDWIN DIST 10; BALDWIN DIST 10; BALDWIN DIST 11;
BALDWIN DIST 12; BALDWIN DIST 13; BALDWIN DIST 14; BALDWIN DIST 15; BALDWIN DIST 16; BALDWIN DIST 17; BALDWIN DIST 18

Proposed Geography:

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Baldwin Borough, Brentwood Borough

Major Highways: Route 51, Brownsville Road, Streets Run Road

Magisterial District #: 05-2-19

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,961 Average Annual Workload: 55,552

% Difference in Caseload 24.00 % Difference in Workload 3.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Blaise P. Larotonda Birthdate: 5-17-56

Washington Center Building, Suite B103 680 Washington Mandatory Retirement: 2026

Road

Pittsburgh, PA 15228 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

DORMONT DIST 01; DORMONT DIST 03; DORMONT DIST 04; DORMONT DIST 05; DORMONT DIST 06; DORMONT DIST 07; DORMONT DIST 08; DORMONT DIST 09; DORMONT DIST 10; DORMONT DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 01: MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 04: MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 01; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 04; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 08; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 01; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 04; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 08; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 01; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 04; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 01; MT. LEBANON TWP WD

Proposed Geography:

DORMONT DIST 01; DORMONT DIST 03; DORMONT DIST 04; DORMONT DIST 05; DORMONT DIST 06; DORMONT DIST 07; DORMONT DIST 08; DORMONT DIST 09; DORMONT DIST 10; DORMONT DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 01: MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 04: MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 01 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 01; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 04; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 02 DIST 08; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 01; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 04; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 03 DIST 08; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 01; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 02; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 04; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 04 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 01; MT. LEBANON TWP WD

05 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 04; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 08; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 02

05 DIST 03; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 04; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 05; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 06; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 07; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 08; MT. LEBANON TWP WD 05 DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Mt. Lebanon Township, Dormont Borough

Major Highways: Route 19 (Cochran Road, Washington Road, West Liberty Avenue), Scott Road, Castle

Shannon Boulevard, Mt. Lebanon Boulevard, Connor Road, Gilkeson Road, Cedar Boulevard, Bower Hill Road, Potomac Avenue, McNeilly Road, Pioneer Avenue

Magisterial District #: 05-2-20

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,456 Average Annual Workload: 48,070

% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This court was realigned in 2008 and by administrative order since 2011, this court also receives all summary traffic citations for Allegheny County District #2.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Robert C. Wyda Birthdate: 3-26-59

Bethel Park Municipal Building 5100 West Library Mandatory Retirement: 2029

Avenue

Bethel Park, PA 15102 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

BETHEL PARK WD 04 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 05 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 05 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 05 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 06 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 06 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 06 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 07 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 07 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 07 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 08 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 08 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 08 DIST 04; BETHEL PARK WD 08 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 09 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 09 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 09 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 01 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 01 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 01 DIST 03: UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 01 DIST 04; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 02 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 02 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 02 DIST 03; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 02 DIST 04; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 03 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 03 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 03 DIST 03; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 04 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 04 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 04 DIST 03; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 04 DIST 04; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 05 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 05 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 05 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 01 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK

Proposed Geography:

BETHEL PARK WD 04 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 05 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 05 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 05 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 06 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 06 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 06 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 07 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 07 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 07 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 08 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 08 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 08 DIST 04; BETHEL PARK WD 08 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 09 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 09 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 09 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 01 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 01 DIST 02: UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 01 DIST 03: UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 01 DIST 04; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 02 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 02 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 02 DIST 03; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 02 DIST 04; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 03 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 03 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 03 DIST 03; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 04 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 04 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 04 DIST 03; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 04 DIST 04; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 05 DIST 01; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 05 DIST 02; UPPER ST. CLAIR TWP WD 05 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 01 DIST

WD 01 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 01 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 02 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 02 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 02 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 03 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 03 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 03 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 04 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 04 DIST 02

01; BETHEL PARK WD 01 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 01 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 02 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 02 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 02 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 03 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 03 DIST 02; BETHEL PARK WD 03 DIST 03; BETHEL PARK WD 04 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 04 DIST 01; BETHEL PARK WD 04

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Bethel Park Borough, Upper St. Clair Township

Major Highways: Route 88, Route 19

Magisterial District #: 05-2-21

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,542 Average Annual Workload: 47,850

% Difference in Caseload 17.00 % Difference in Workload -11.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Population has grown in two of the three municipalities; and almost 200 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Maureen McGraw-Desmet Birthdate: 8-28-61

295 Millers Run Road Mandatory Retirement: 2031

Bridgeville, PA 15017 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 01; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 02; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 03; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 04; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 05; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 06; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 10; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 11; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 07; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 12; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 09; BRIDGEVILLE DIST 01; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 08; BRIDGEVILLE DIST 02; BRIDGEVILLE DIST 03; BRIDGEVILLE DIST 04; COLLIER TWP WD 01; COLLIER TWP WD 02; COLLIER TWP WD 04

Proposed Geography:

SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 01; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 02; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 03; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 04; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 05; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 06; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 10; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 11; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 07; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 12; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 09; BRIDGEVILLE DIST 01; SOUTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 08; BRIDGEVILLE DIST 02; BRIDGEVILLE DIST 03; BRIDGEVILLE DIST 04; COLLIER TWP WD 01; COLLIER TWP WD 02; COLLIER TWP WD 03: COLLIER TWP WD 04

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Bridgeville Borough, collier Township, South Fayette Township

Major Highways: 1-79

Magisterial District #: 05-2-22

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 3.881 Average Annual Workload: 38,183

% Difference in Caseload -31.00 % Difference in Workload -29.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload

support to maintain current configuration. (magisterial district/class of county):

This is a stable, suburban population. It borders the city of Pittsburgh and other suburban courts that have workloads within the appropriate range. To realing this court would alter the workloads outside of the range.

This court, by administrative order, is desinated to preside over cases filed by the Insurance Fraud Section of the Office of Atty. General - Allegheny County; and the Auto Theft Prosecution and Insruance Fraud Prosecutions Units of the District Attorney - Allegheny County.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Gary M. Zyra Birthdate: 12-1-53

Scott Township Municipal Building 301 Lindsay Road Mandatory Retirement: 2023

Carnegie, PA 15106 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

SCOTT TWP WD 01 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 01 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 02 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 02 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 03 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 03 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 04 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 04 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 05 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 05 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 06 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 06 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 07 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 07 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 08 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 08 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 09 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 09 DIST 02; GREEN TREE DIST 01; GREEN TREE DIST 02; GREEN TREE DIST 03; GREEN TREE DIST 04; HEIDELBERG DIST 01

Proposed Geography:

SCOTT TWP WD 01 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 01 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 02 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 02 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 03 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 03 DIST 02: SCOTT TWP WD 04 DIST 01: SCOTT TWP WD 04 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 05 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 05 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 06 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 06 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 07 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 07 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 08 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 08 DIST 02; SCOTT TWP WD 09 DIST 01; SCOTT TWP WD 09 DIST 02; GREEN TREE DIST 01; GREEN TREE DIST 02; GREEN TREE DIST 03; GREEN TREE DIST 04; HEIDELBERG DIST 01

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Greentree Borough, Scott Township, Heidelberg Borough **Police Departments:**

Major Highways: I-376, Route 121, Route 50, Vanadium Road, Bower Hill Road, McMonagle Road,

Existing and Proposed I	Magisterial Districts
	Painters Run Road

Magisterial District #: 05-2-23

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5.453 Average Annual Workload: 46,343

% Difference in Caseload -3.00% Difference in Workload -14.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload support to maintain current configuration.

(magisterial district/class of county):

This court is also designated for filings by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue for violations arising in Allegheny County of the tax code as it pertains to sales tax.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Dennis R. Joyce Birthdate: 7-3-49

136 Bradford Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2019

Pittsburgh, PA 15205 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

Proposed Geography:

ROSSLYN FARMS Voting District; THORNBURG VTD 01; ROSSLYN FARMS Voting District; THORNBURG VTD 01; CARNEGIE WD 01 DIST 01; CARNEGIE WD 01 DIST 02; CARNEGIE WD 01 DIST 01; CARNEGIE WD 01 DIST 02; CARNEGIE WD 01 DIST 03; CARNEGIE WD 01 DIST 04; CARNEGIE WD 01 DIST 03; CARNEGIE WD 01 DIST 04; CARNEGIE WD 02 DIST 01; CARNEGIE WD 02 DIST 02; CARNEGIE WD 02 DIST 01; CARNEGIE WD 02 DIST 02; CARNEGIE WD 02 DIST 03; CARNEGIE WD 02 DIST 04; CARNEGIE WD 02 DIST 03; CARNEGIE WD 02 DIST 04; CRAFTON WD 01 DIST 01; CRAFTON WD 01 DIST 02; CRAFTON WD 01 DIST 01; CRAFTON WD 01 DIST 02; CRAFTON WD 02 DIST 01; CRAFTON WD 02 DIST 02; CRAFTON WD 02 DIST 01; CRAFTON WD 02 DIST 02; CRAFTON WD 03 DIST 01; CRAFTON WD 03 DIST 02; CRAFTON WD 03 DIST 01; CRAFTON WD 03 DIST 02; INGRAM DIST 01; INGRAM DIST 02; INGRAM DIST 03; INGRAM DIST 01; INGRAM DIST 02; INGRAM DIST 03; PENNSBURY VILLAGE Voting District PENNSBURY VILLAGE Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Carnegie Borough (also covers Pennsbury Village Borough), Crafton Borough (also **Police Departments:**

covers Thornburg), Ingram Borough, Rosslyn Farms Borough

I-376, Route 500, Route 60, (the Port Authority Busway West traverses four of the six **Major Highways:**

boroughs in this district court)

Magisterial District #: 05-2-25

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6.487 Average Annual Workload: 65.605 % Difference in Caseload 16.00 % Difference in Workload 22.00

(magisterial district/judicial district):

(magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload support to maintain current configuration. (magisterial district/class of county):

Civil and private complaints have declined from 2005 to 2010. Population has increased in some, decreased in other municipalities. The district court borders the Ohio River; Neville Township is an island on the river and the rest of the district is surrounded by North Fayette Township, Findlay and Robinson Township. Realigning the district would cause workloads to fall out of balance/within range.

This court was realigned in 2005.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Mary P. Murray Birthdate: 7-6-70 Mandatory Retirement: 923 Fifth Avenue, First Floor 2040 Coraopolis, PA 15108 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

CORAOPOLIS WD 01 DIST 01; CORAOPOLIS WD 01 DIST 02; CORAOPOLIS WD 02 DIST 01; CORAOPOLIS WD 03 DIST 01; CORAOPOLIS WD 03 DIST 02; CORAOPOLIS WD 04 DIST 01: CORAOPOLIS WD 04 DIST 02: CRESCENT TWP WD 01; CRESCENT TWP WD 02; MOON TWP DIST 01; MOON TWP DIST 02; MOON TWP DIST 03; MOON TWP DIST 04; MOON TWP DIST 05; MOON TWP DIST 06; MOON TWP DIST 07; MOON TWP DIST 08; MOON TWP DIST 09; MOON TWP DIST 11; MOON TWP DIST 10; MOON TWP DIST 12; MOON TWP DIST 13; NEVILLE TWP WD 01; NEVILLE TWP WD 02; NEVILLE TWP WD 03

Proposed Geography:

CORAOPOLIS WD 01 DIST 01; CORAOPOLIS WD 01 DIST 02; CORAOPOLIS WD 02 DIST 01; CORAOPOLIS WD 03 DIST 01; CORAOPOLIS WD 03 DIST 02; CORAOPOLIS WD 04 DIST 01: CORAOPOLIS WD 04 DIST 02: CRESCENT TWP WD 01; CRESCENT TWP WD 02; MOON TWP DIST 01; MOON TWP DIST 02; MOON TWP DIST 03; MOON TWP DIST 04; MOON TWP DIST 05; MOON TWP DIST 06; MOON TWP DIST 07; MOON TWP DIST 08; MOON TWP DIST 09; MOON TWP DIST 11; MOON TWP DIST 10; MOON TWP DIST 12; MOON TWP DIST 13; NEVILLE TWP WD 01; NEVILLE TWP WD 02; NEVILLE TWP WD 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Coraopolis Borough, Crescent Township, Moon Township, Ohio Township (for Neville **Police Departments:**

Township)

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts Major Highways: Route 376 (Business), I-79, Route 51

Magisterial District #: 05-2-26

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 2,706 Average Annual Workload: 27,039

% Difference in Caseload -50.00 % Difference in Workload -50.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This court is in the southeast section of the county and is bordered by Westmoreland and Washington

Counties. There is limited public transportation. Population has declined.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Beth S. Mills Birthdate: 2-9-65

2611 Hayden Boulevard, Suite #3 Route 51 North Mandatory Retirement: 2035

Elizabeth, PA 15037 Term Expires: 1-5-14

Existing Geography:

WEST ELIZABETH Voting District; ELIZABETH TWP WD 01 DIST 01; ELIZABETH TWP WD 01 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 03 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 03 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 04 DIST 01; ELIZABETH TWP WD 04 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 05; ELIZABETH TWP WD 06; ELIZABETH TWP WD 07; ELIZABETH TWP WD 08 DIST 01; ELIZABETH TWP WD 08 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 09; ELIZABETH TWP WD 08 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 09; ELIZABETH TWP WD 05; FORWARD TWP DIST 01; FORWARD TWP DIST 02; FORWARD TWP DIST 03; FORWARD TWP DIST 04

Proposed Geography:

WEST ELIZABETH Voting District; ELIZABETH TWP WD 01 DIST 01; ELIZABETH TWP WD 01 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 03 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 03 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 04 DIST 01; ELIZABETH TWP WD 04 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 05; ELIZABETH TWP WD 06; ELIZABETH TWP WD 07; ELIZABETH TWP WD 08 DIST 01; ELIZABETH TWP WD 08 DIST 02; ELIZABETH TWP WD 09; ELIZABETH TWP WD 09; ELIZABETH TWP WD 09; FORWARD TWP DIST 01; FORWARD TWP DIST 02; FORWARD TWP DIST 03; FORWARD TWP DIST 04

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Elizabeth Borough, West Elizabeth Borough, Elizabeth Township, Forward Township

Major Highways: Route 48, Route 51, Route 136, Route 837

Magisterial District #: 05-2-27

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 4,171 Average Annual Workload: 29,735

% Difference in Caseload -26.00 % Difference in Workload -45.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This is a city of Pittsburgh court and is part of the rotation for arraignment and municipal court.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Eugene N. Ricciardi Birthdate: 2-10-54

Maul Building, Suite 300 1700 East Carson Street Mandatory Retirement: 2024

Pittsburgh, PA 15203 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 08: PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 09: PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 11: PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 12: PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 19; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 05: PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 06: PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 17 **DIST 08**

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 08: PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 09: PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 11: PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 12: PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 04 DIST 19; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 05: PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 06: PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 16 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 17 DIST 08

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways: I-376, Carson Street, South 10th Street, South 18th Street, Becks Run Road, New

Arlington Avenue, Fifth and Forbes Avenues, Bates Street, Boulevard of Allies, Centre

Avenue, Hot Metal Bridge, Birmingham Bridge, 10th Street Bridge

Magisterial District #: 05-2-28

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 3,280 Average Annual Workload: 33,981

% Difference in Caseload -42.00 % Difference in Workload -37.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This is a city court and is part of municipal and arraignment court.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Oscar J. Petite, Jr. Birthdate: 3-30-54

1030 Fifth Avenue, First Floor Mandatory Retirement: 2024

Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Term Expires: 1-5-14

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 01 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 01 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 02 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 02 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 18

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 01 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 01 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 02 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 02 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 03 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 05 DIST 18

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways: I-376, I-579, Bigelow Boulevard

Magisterial District #: 05-2-31

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:	2,383	Average Annual Workload:	30,517
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-58.00	% Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):	-43.00
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):	-58.00	Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realig support to maintain current configuration.	inment or

This is a city court. The MDJ also presides at PMC and Arraignment Court.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Ronald N. Costa , Sr.

Birthdate: 3-21-53

6808 Greenwood Avenue

Mandatory Retirement: 2023

Pittsburgh, PA 15206

Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 11: PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 12: PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 19; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 13;

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 08 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 11: PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 12: PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 10 DIST 19; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 13;

PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 18

PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 11 DIST 18

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Route 28, Route 8, Butler Street **Major Highways:**

Magisterial District #: 05-2-32

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 2.681 Average Annual Workload: 30.455

% Difference in Caseload -52.00% Difference in Workload -44.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload support to maintain current configuration.

(magisterial district/class of county):

This court was realigned effective 1/2/12. This district includes the largest borough in the county, the second largest in the state and includes five miles of the turnpike.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Linda I. Zucco Birthdate: 7-22-48

Mandatory Retirement: 10101 Saltsburg Road P.O. Box 14248 2018

Plum, PA 15239 12-31-17 Term Expires:

Existing Geography:

PLUM DIST 01; PLUM DIST 02; PLUM DIST 03; PLUM DIST 04; PLUM DIST 05; PLUM DIST 06; PLUM DIST 07; PLUM DIST 08; PLUM DIST 09; PLUM DIST 10; PLUM DIST 11; PLUM DIST 12; PLUM DIST 13; PLUM DIST 14; PLUM DIST 15; PLUM DIST 16; PLUM DIST 17; PLUM DIST 18; PLUM DIST 19; PLUM DIST 20; PLUM DIST 21; OAKMONT DIST 01; OAKMONT DIST 02; OAKMONT DIST 03; OAKMONT DIST 04; OAKMONT DIST 05; OAKMONT **DIST 07**

Proposed Geography:

PLUM DIST 01; PLUM DIST 02; PLUM DIST 03; PLUM DIST 04; PLUM DIST 05; PLUM DIST 06; PLUM DIST 07; PLUM DIST 08; PLUM DIST 09; PLUM DIST 10; PLUM DIST 11; PLUM DIST 12; PLUM DIST 13; PLUM DIST 14; PLUM DIST 15; PLUM DIST 16; PLUM DIST 17; PLUM DIST 18; PLUM DIST 19; PLUM DIST 20; PLUM DIST 21; OAKMONT DIST 01; OAKMONT DIST 02; OAKMONT DIST 03; OAKMONT DIST 04; OAKMONT DIST 05; **OAKMONT DIST 07**

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Plum Borough, Oakmont Borough **Police Departments:**

Major Highways: Route 286 (Golden Mile Highway), Route 909, Route 380

Magisterial District #: 05-2-35

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 2.192 Average Annual Workload: 19.885

% Difference in Caseload -61.00 % Difference in Workload -63.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload

support to maintain current configuration. (magisterial district/class of county):

This court is located in the city of Pittsburgh and is part of the rotation for arraignment and municipal court.

This court was proposed for elimination in 2011, but the Court requested that the proposal be revised and the court remain.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63.514. The workload range is 53.987-73.041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Hugh F. McGough Birthdate: 1-5-56

5850 1/2 Forward Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2026

Pittsburgh, PA 15217 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 19; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 20; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 21: PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 22: PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 23; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 24; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 25; PITTSBURGH WD 14

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 07 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 19; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 20; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 21: PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 22: PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 23; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 24; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 25; PITTSBURGH WD 14

DIST 26; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 27; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 28; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 29; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 30; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 31; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 32; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 33; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 34; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 35; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 36; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 37; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 38; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 39; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 40; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 41

DIST 26; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 27; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 28; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 29; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 30; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 31; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 32; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 33; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 34; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 35; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 36; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 37; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 38; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 39; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 40; PITTSBURGH WD 14 DIST 41

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways: I-376, Fifth Avenue, Forbes Avenue, Penn Avenue, Braddock Avenue

Magisterial District #: 05-2-36

(magisterial district/class of county):

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 801 Average Annual Workload: 14,104 % Difference in Caseload -86.00 % Difference in Workload -74.00 (magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district): Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload support to maintain current configuration.

This is a city district and is part of the rotation for municipal and arraignment court.

In 2011 this court was proposed for elimination and the municipalities were to be realigned. The Court asked the president judge to rescind the proposal.

By administrative order, the district presides over cases filed by the Insurance Fraud Section of the Office of Attorney General, Allegheny County; and the Insurance Fraud Section and the Auto Theft Prosecution Unit of the Office of the District Attorney, Allegheny County.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

James J. Hanley, Jr. Birthdate: 3-4-54 Lower Level Rear 4371 Murray Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2024 Pittsburgh, PA 15217 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 01: PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 02: PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 19

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 31 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 15 DIST 19

Yes Office within district:

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways: I-376

Magisterial District #: 05-2-38

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 1,838 Average Annual Workload: 24,592

% Difference in Caseload -67.00 % Difference in Workload -54.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This district is located in the city of Pittsburgh and is part of the rotation for municipal and arraignment court.

By administrative order, the district presides over cases filed by the Insurance Fraud Section of the Office of Attorney General, Allegheny County; and tall cases arising from the Station Square shops.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

James A. Motznik Birthdate: 9-25-63

736 Brookline Blvd. Mandatory Retirement: 2033

Pittsburgh, PA 15226 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 19; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 20; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 21; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 22; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 23; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 24; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 25; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 26; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 27; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 28; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 29; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 30; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 31; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 32; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 33; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 34; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 35: PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 36: PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 37; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 19; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 20; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 21; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 22; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 23; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 24; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 25; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 26; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 27; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 28; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 29; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 30; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 31; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 32; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 33; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 34; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 35: PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 36: PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST 37; PITTSBURGH WD 19 DIST

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways: Route 51, Route 19

Magisterial District #: 05-2-40

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 20,132 Average Annual Workload: 20,132

% Difference in Caseload -66.00 % Difference in Workload -63.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This district is located in the city of Pittsburgh and is part of the rotation for municipal and arraignment court.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Derwin D. Rushing Birthdate: 09-12-54

421 East Ohio Street Mandatory Retirement: 2024

Pittsburgh, PA 15212 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 21 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 21 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 21 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 21 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 22 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 22 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 22 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 22 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 23 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 23 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 23 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 24 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 24 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 24 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 07

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 21 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 21 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 21 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 21 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 22 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 22 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 22 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 22 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 23 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 23 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 24 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 24 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 24 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 24 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 24 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 25 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 25 D

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways: I-279, Route 65, Route 28, East Street, North Avenue

Magisterial District #: 05-2-42

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 2,546 Average Annual Workload: 31,964

% Difference in Caseload -55.00 % Difference in Workload -41.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This district is located in the city of Pittsburgh and is part of the rotation for municipal and arraignment court.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Robert P. Ravenstahl , Jr. Birthdate: 7-1-54

3880 Perrysville Avenue, First Floor Mandatory Retirement: 2024

Pittsburgh, PA 15214 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 07: PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 08: PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 13

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 26 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 07: PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 08: PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 27 DIST 13

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways: Route 65, Route 19, Route 1279

existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts	

Magisterial District #: 05-2-43

Proposed Action: Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 5,585 Average Annual Workload: 43,319

% Difference in Caseload 0.00 % Difference in Workload -20.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Carla M. Swearingen Birthdate: 2-9-66

5624 Steubenville Pike Mandatory Retirement: 2036

McKees Rocks, PA 15136 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 11; ROBINSON TWP DIST 01; ROBINSON TWP DIST 02; ROBINSON TWP DIST 03; ROBINSON TWP DIST 04; ROBINSON TWP DIST 05; ROBINSON TWP DIST 06; ROBINSON TWP DIST 07; ROBINSON TWP DIST 08; ROBINSON TWP DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 09;

Proposed Geography:

ROBINSON TWP DIST 01; ROBINSON TWP DIST 02; ROBINSON TWP DIST 03; ROBINSON TWP DIST 04; ROBINSON TWP DIST 05; ROBINSON TWP DIST 06; ROBINSON TWP DIST 07; ROBINSON TWP DIST 08; ROBINSON TWP DIST 09; KENNEDY TWP DIST 01; KENNEDY TWP DIST 02; KENNEDY TWP DIST 03; KENNEDY TWP DIST 04; KENNEDY TWP DIST 05; KENNEDY TWP DIST 06; KENNEDY TWP DIST 07

Office within district: Yes

PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 10

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Robinson Township

Major Highways: I-79, I-376, Route 22, Route 30, Route 60

Magisterial District #: 05-2-47

Reestablish **Proposed Action:**

Average Annual Caseload: 6.150 Average Annual Workload: 69.448

% Difference in Caseload 10.00 % Difference in Workload 29.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload

support to maintain current configuration. (magisterial district/class of county):

This court was realigned effective 1/2/12. It is also the repository for matters pending or closed from Chalfont Borough for disposition and maintenance. Population in this district has decreased; such that the district should move within the appropriate workload range.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Scott H. Schricker Birthdate: 3-20-61

100 Penn Plaza Shopping Center Penn Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2031

Turtle Creek, PA 15145 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

BRADDOCK WD 01; BRADDOCK WD 02; BRADDOCK WD 03; TURTLE CREEK WD 01 DIST 01; TURTLE CREEK WD 01 DIST 02; TURTLE CREEK WD 02 DIST 01; TURTLE CREEK WD 02 DIST 02; TURTLE CREEK WD 03 DIST 01; TURTLE CREEK WD 03 DIST 02; DUQUESNE WD 01 DIST 01; DUQUESNE WD 01 DIST 02; DUQUESNE WD 01 DIST 03; DUQUESNE WD 01 DIST 04; DUQUESNE WD 02 DIST 01; DUQUESNE WD 02 DIST 02; DUQUESNE WD 02 DIST 03; DUQUESNE WD 03 DIST 01; DUQUESNE WD 03 DIST 02; DUQUESNE WD 03 DIST 03; EAST PITTSBURGH WD 01; EAST PITTSBURGH WD 02; EAST PITTSBURGH WD 03: NORTH BRADDOCK WD 01 DIST 01: NORTH BRADDOCK WD 01 DIST 02; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 01 DIST 03; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 02 DIST 01; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 02 DIST 02; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 03 DIST 01; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 03 DIST 02

Proposed Geography:

BRADDOCK WD 01; BRADDOCK WD 02; BRADDOCK WD 03; TURTLE CREEK WD 01 DIST 01; TURTLE CREEK WD 01 DIST 02; TURTLE CREEK WD 02 DIST 01; TURTLE CREEK WD 02 DIST 02; TURTLE CREEK WD 03 DIST 01; TURTLE CREEK WD 03 DIST 02; DUQUESNE WD 01 DIST 01; DUQUESNE WD 01 DIST 02; DUQUESNE WD 01 DIST 03; DUQUESNE WD 01 DIST 04; DUQUESNE WD 02 DIST 01; DUQUESNE WD 02 DIST 02; DUQUESNE WD 02 DIST 03; DUQUESNE WD 03 DIST 01; DUQUESNE WD 03 DIST 02; DUQUESNE WD 03 DIST 03; EAST PITTSBURGH WD 01; EAST PITTSBURGH WD 02: EAST PITTSBURGH WD 03: NORTH BRADDOCK WD 01 DIST 01; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 01 DIST 02; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 01 DIST 03; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 02 DIST 01; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 02 DIST 02; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 03 DIST 01; NORTH BRADDOCK WD 03 DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: City of Duquesne, Braddock Borough, Turtle Creek Borough, East Pittsburgh Borough,

North Braddock Borough

Major Highways: Route 30, Route 130, Route 837

Magisterial District #: 05-3-02

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,587 Average Annual Workload: 22,494

% Difference in Caseload 0.00 % Difference in Workload -58.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This court was realigned effective 1/2/12.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Robert L. Ford Birthdate: 10-9-58

190 Ohio River Blvd. PO Box 153 P.O. Box 153 Mandatory Retirement: 2028

Leetsdale, PA 15056 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

SEWICKLEY WD 01; SEWICKLEY WD 02 DIST 01; SEWICKLEY WD 02 DIST 02; SEWICKLEY WD 03; SEWICKLEY HEIGHTS Voting District; SEWICKLEY HILLS Voting District; EDGEWORTH DIST 01; EDGEWORTH DIST 02; FRANKLIN PARK WD 01 DIST 01; FRANKLIN PARK WD 01 DIST 02; FRANKLIN PARK WD 01 DIST 03; FRANKLIN PARK WD 02 DIST 01; FRANKLIN PARK WD 02 DIST 02; FRANKLIN PARK WD 03 DIST 01; FRANKLIN PARK WD 03 DIST 02; FRANKLIN PARK WD 03 DIST 03; GLENFIELD Voting District; HAYSVILLE Voting District; LEET TWP DIST 01; LEET TWP DIST 02; LEETSDALE DIST 01; ALEPPO TWP Voting District; OSBORNE Voting District; BELL ACRES Voting District

Proposed Geography:

SEWICKLEY WD 01; SEWICKLEY WD 02 DIST 01; SEWICKLEY WD 02 DIST 02; SEWICKLEY WD 03; SEWICKLEY HEIGHTS Voting District; SEWICKLEY HILLS Voting District; EDGEWORTH DIST 01; EDGEWORTH DIST 02; FRANKLIN PARK WD 01 DIST 01; FRANKLIN PARK WD 01 DIST 03; FRANKLIN PARK WD 01 DIST 03; FRANKLIN PARK WD 02 DIST 01; FRANKLIN PARK WD 02 DIST 02; FRANKLIN PARK WD 03 DIST 01; FRANKLIN PARK WD 03 DIST 03; GLENFIELD Voting District; HAYSVILLE Voting District; LEET TWP DIST 01; LEET TWP DIST 02; LEETSDALE DIST 01; ALEPPO TWP Voting District; OSBORNE Voting District; BELL ACRES Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Ohio Township (for Aleppo Township and Sewickley Hills Borough), Bell Acres Borough,

Edgeworth Borough, PA State Police (for Glenfield and Haysville Boroughs), Leet Township, Leetsdale Borough, Sewickley Borough (also covers Osborne), Sewickley

Heights, Franklin Park Borough

Major Highways: I-79, Route 65, Big Sewickley Creek Road

Magisterial District #: 05-3-03

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,470 Average Annual Workload: 43,310

% Difference in Caseload -3.00 % Difference in Workload -20.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This court was realigned in 2006 and again effective 1/2/12.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

David J. Sosovicka Birthdate: 6-19-59

721 Gulf Lab Road Mandatory Retirement: 2029

Cheswick, PA 15024 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

SPRINGDALE DIST 01; SPRINGDALE DIST 02; SPRINGDALE DIST 01; SPRINGDALE DIST 02;

SPRINGDALE DIST 03; SPRINGDALE TWP Voting District; SPRINGDALE DIST 03; SPRINGDALE TWP Voting District; SPRINGDALE DIST 04; CHESWICK DIST 01; CHESWICK DIST 02; FRAZER TWP DIST 01; HARMAR TWP DIST 01; DIST 02; FRAZER TWP DIST 01; HARMAR TWP DIST 01;

Proposed Geography:

HARMAR TWP DIST 02; HARMAR TWP DIST 03

HARMAR TWP DIST 02; HARMAR TWP DIST 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Cheswick Borough, Harmar Township, Springdale Borough, Springdale Township,

Frazer Township

Major Highways: PA Turnpike, Route 28

Magisterial District #: 05-3-04

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 3,953 Average Annual Workload: 34,700

% Difference in Caseload -30.00 % Difference in Workload -36.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This court was realigned effective 1/2/12.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Suzanne R. Blaschak Birthdate: 6-26-51

2060 Saxonburg Blvd. Mandatory Retirement: 2021

Gibsonia, PA 15044 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography: Proposed Geography:

RICHLAND TWP DIST 01; RICHLAND TWP DIST 02; RICHLAND TWP DIST 01; RICHLAND TWP DIST 02; RICHLAND TWP DIST 03; RICHLAND TWP DIST 04; RICHLAND TWP DIST 03; RICHLAND TWP DIST 04; RICHLAND TWP DIST 05; RICHLAND TWP DIST 06; RICHLAND TWP DIST 05; RICHLAND TWP DIST 06; RICHLAND TWP DIST 07; RICHLAND TWP DIST 08; WEST RICHLAND TWP DIST 07; RICHLAND TWP DIST 08; WEST DEER TWP DIST 01; WEST DEER TWP DIST 02; WEST DEER TWP DIST 01; WEST DEER TWP DIST 02; WEST DEER TWP DIST 03; WEST DEER TWP DIST 04; WEST DEER TWP DIST 03; WEST DEER TWP DIST 04; WEST DEER TWP DIST 05; WEST DEER TWP DIST 06; WEST DEER TWP DIST 05; WEST DEER TWP DIST 06; WEST DEER TWP DIST 07; WEST DEER TWP DIST 08; DEER TWP DIST 07; WEST DEER TWP DIST 08; HAMPTON TWP DIST 01; HAMPTON TWP DIST 02; HAMPTON TWP DIST 01; HAMPTON TWP DIST 02; HAMPTON TWP DIST 03; HAMPTON TWP DIST 04; HAMPTON TWP DIST 03; HAMPTON TWP DIST 04; HAMPTON TWP DIST 05; HAMPTON TWP DIST 06; HAMPTON TWP DIST 05; HAMPTON TWP DIST 06; HAMPTON TWP DIST 07; HAMPTON TWP DIST 08; HAMPTON TWP DIST 07; HAMPTON TWP DIST 08; HAMPTON TWP DIST 09; HAMPTON TWP DIST 10; HAMPTON TWP DIST 09; HAMPTON TWP DIST 10; HAMPTON TWP DIST 12: HAMPTON TWP DIST 11: HAMPTON TWP DIST 12: HAMPTON TWP DIST 11: **HAMPTON TWP DIST 13 HAMPTON TWP DIST 13**

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Hampton Township, Richland Township, West Deer Township

Major Highways: PA Turnpike, Route 8, Route 910, Middle Road, Saxonburg Boulevard, Little Deer Creek

Valley Road, Bakerstown-Culmerville Road, Tarentum-Culmerville Road (foregoing

represent major highways as per realignment of 1/2/12)

xisting and Proposed Magisterial Districts	

Magisterial District #: 05-3-05

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 1,708 Average Annual Workload: 17,522

% Difference in Caseload -70.00 % Difference in Workload -68.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This court was realigned in 2005. Its municipalities have lost population. Realignment or elimination is not feasible due to geographic limitations, cohesiveness of current configuration, or because changes would not result in a noticeable increase to workload.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Thomas G. Miller , Jr. Birthdate: 12-9-56

Rainbow Village Shopping Center 1985 Lincoln Way Mandatory Retirement: 2026

White Oak, PA 15131 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography: Proposed Geography:

SOUTH VERSAILLES TWP Voting District; VERSAILLES
DIST 01; VERSAILLES DIST 02; WHITE OAK DIST 01;
WHITE OAK DIST 02; WHITE OAK DIST 03; WHITE OAK
DIST 04; WHITE OAK DIST 05; WHITE OAK DIST 06;
WHITE OAK DIST 07; LINCOLN Voting District
WHITE OAK DIST 07

SOUTH VERSAILLES TWP Voting District; VERSAILLES DIST 01; VERSAILLES DIST 02; WHITE OAK DIST 01; WHITE OAK DIST 02; WHITE OAK DIST 03; WHITE OAK DIST 04; WHITE OAK DIST 05; WHITE OAK DIST 06; WHITE OAK DIST 07; LINCOLN Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Versailles Borough, White Oak Borough, South Versailles Borough, Lincoln Borough

Major Highways: Route 48, Route 148, Lincoln Way

Magisterial District #: 05-3-06

Proposed Action: Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 6,039 Average Annual Workload: 75,454

% Difference in Caseload 8.00 % Difference in Workload 40.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Mary Ann Cercone Birthdate: 8-20-57

104 Linden Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2027

McKees Rocks, PA 15136 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

STOWE TWP WD 01; STOWE TWP WD 02 DIST 01; STOWE TWP WD 02 DIST 02; STOWE TWP WD 03; STOWE TWP WD 04 DIST 02; STOWE TWP WD 04 DIST 02; STOWE TWP WD 05; STOWE TWP WD 06; STOWE TWP WD 07; STOWE TWP WD 08; STOWE TWP WD 09; KENNEDY TWP DIST 01; KENNEDY TWP DIST 02; KENNEDY TWP DIST 03; KENNEDY TWP DIST 04; KENNEDY TWP DIST 05; KENNEDY TWP DIST 06; KENNEDY TWP DIST 07; MC KEES ROCKS WD 01 DIST 01; MC KEES ROCKS WD 01 DIST 02; MC KEES ROCKS WD 02 DIST 01; MC KEES ROCKS WD 03 DIST 02; MC KEES ROCKS WD 03 DIST 02; MC KEES ROCKS WD 03 DIST 03

Proposed Geography:

STOWE TWP WD 01; STOWE TWP WD 02 DIST 01; STOWE TWP WD 02 DIST 02; STOWE TWP WD 03; STOWE TWP WD 04 DIST 02; STOWE TWP WD 04 DIST 02; STOWE TWP WD 05; STOWE TWP WD 06; STOWE TWP WD 07; STOWE TWP WD 08; STOWE TWP WD 09; MC KEES ROCKS WD 01 DIST 01; MC KEES ROCKS WD 01 DIST 02; MC KEES ROCKS WD 02 DIST 01; MC KEES ROCKS WD 02 DIST 02; MC KEES ROCKS WD 03 DIST 01; MC KEES ROCKS WD 03 DIST 01; MC KEES ROCKS WD 03 DIST 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: McKees Rocks Borough, Stowe Township, Kennedy Township

Major Highways: I-79, Route 51, Chartiers Avenu, McCoy Road, Broadway Avenue, Forrest Grove Road,

McKees Rocks Road, Coroapolis Road, Island Avenue, Lorish Road

Magisterial District #: 05-3-09

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,758 Average Annual Workload: 64,350

% Difference in Caseload 3.00 % Difference in Workload 19.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Population has declined; and non traffic filings dropped noticeably in 2008 and have remained at that level.

This court was realigned in 2005.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Armand A. Martin Birthdate: 01-17-74

One Allegheny Square, Suite 1 Mandatory Retirement: 2044

Glassport, PA 15045 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

PORT VUE DIST 01; PORT VUE DIST 04; PORT VUE DIST 02; PORT VUE DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 01 DIST 01; CLAIRTON WD 01 DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 01 DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 02 DIST 02; CLAIRTON WD 02 DIST 02; CLAIRTON WD 03 DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 03 DIST 01; CLAIRTON WD 03 DIST 02; CLAIRTON WD 03 DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 04 DIST 01; CLAIRTON WD 04 DIST 02; CLAIRTON WD 04 DIST 02; CLAIRTON WD 04 DIST 03; GLASSPORT DIST 01; GLASSPORT DIST 04; GLASSPORT DIST 05; GLASSPORT DIST 06; LIBERTY DIST 01; LIBERTY DIST 02

Proposed Geography:

PORT VUE DIST 01; PORT VUE DIST 04; PORT VUE DIST 02; PORT VUE DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 01 DIST 01; CLAIRTON WD 01 DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 01 DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 02 DIST 02; CLAIRTON WD 02 DIST 02; CLAIRTON WD 03 DIST 01; CLAIRTON WD 03 DIST 01; CLAIRTON WD 03 DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 03 DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 04 DIST 03; CLAIRTON WD 04 DIST 02; CLAIRTON WD 04 DIST 02; CLAIRTON WD 04 DIST 03; GLASSPORT DIST 01; GLASSPORT DIST 04; GLASSPORT DIST 05; GLASSPORT DIST 06; LIBERTY DIST 01; LIBERTY DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: City of Clairton, Glassport Borough, Liberty Borough, Port Vue Borough

Major Highways: Route 885, Route 837

Magisterial District #: 05-3-10

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 616 Average Annual Workload: 7,422

% Difference in Caseload -89.00 % Difference in Workload -86.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This district is located in the city of Pittsburgh and is part of the rotation for municipal and arraignment court.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Anthony M. Ceoffe Birthdate: 07-26-61

4211 Butler Street Mandatory Retirement: 2031

Pittsburgh, PA 15201 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 09

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 06 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 09 DIST 09

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways: Butler Street, Penn Avenue, Liberty Avenue

Magisterial District #: 05-3-12

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 1,990 Average Annual Workload: 28,419

% Difference in Caseload -65.00 % Difference in Workload -47.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

This district is located in the city of Pittsburgh and is part of the rotation for municipal and arraignment court.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Kevin E. CooperBirthdate:3-8-55

566 Brushton Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2025

Pittsburgh, PA 15208 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 08: PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 09: PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 19

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 12 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 08: PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 09: PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 13 DIST 19

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts
Police Departments:
Major Highways:

Magisterial District #: 05-3-13

Proposed Action: Realian

Average Annual Caseload: 1,372 Average Annual Workload: 14,519

% Difference in Caseload -76.00 % Difference in Workload -73.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload support to maintain current configuration.

(magisterial district/class of county):

This district is located in the city of Pittsburgh and is part of the rotation for municipal and arraignment court. However, this court will also assume Ward 28.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Randy C. Martini Birthdate: 2-24-54

Mandatory Retirement: 22 Wabash Street, Suite 101 2024

Pittsburgh, PA 15220 12-31-17 Term Expires:

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 18

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 13; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 14; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 15; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 16; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 17; PITTSBURGH WD 20 DIST 18; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 03: PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 04: PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 28 DIST 10

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways: I-376, Route 51, West Carson Street, Banksville Road, Corliss Street, Chartiers Avenue,

Steuben Street, South Main Street

Magisterial District #: 05-3-14

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 4,104 Average Annual Workload: 45,514

% Difference in Caseload -28.00 % Difference in Workload -16.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This district is located in the city of Pittsburgh and is part of the rotation for municipal and arraignment court. This court also includes Mt. Oliver Borough.

This court was realigned in 2006.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Richard G. King Birthdate: 3-24-53

2213 Brownsville Road Mandatory Retirement: 2023

Pittsburgh, PA 15210 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 08; MT. OLIVER DIST 01; MT. OLIVER DIST 02; MT. OLIVER DIST 03; MT. OLIVER DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 11

Proposed Geography:

PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 11; PITTSBURGH WD 29 DIST 12; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 30 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 32 DIST 08; MT. OLIVER DIST 01; MT. OLIVER DIST 02; MT. OLIVER DIST 03; MT. OLIVER DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 01; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 02; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 03; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 04; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 05; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 06; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 07; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 08; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 09; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 10; PITTSBURGH WD 18 DIST 11

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Mt. Oliver Borough

Major Highways: Route 51, Brownsville Road, Arlington Avenue, East Warrington Avenue, Becks Run

Road, Port Authority South Buswat, the "T" platform on Carson Street.

Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts

Magisterial District #: 05-3-17

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 7,466 Average Annual Workload: 45,016
% Difference in Caseload 33.00 % Difference in Workload -17.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

The difference between this district and the average workload benchmark is not significant enough to warrant realignment.

Since 2011, this court also receives summary traffic citations initiated by Allegheny County police officers assigned to District #1.

Judicial District Workload used for proposal = 63,514. The workload range is 53,987-73,041. (Exhibit B of proposal)

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Anthony W. Saveikis Birthdate: 1-27-67
8052 Steubenville Pike Mandatory Retirement: 2037

Oakdale, PA 15071 Term Expires: 1-5-14

Existing Geography:

FINDLAY TWP DIST 01; FINDLAY TWP DIST 02; FINDLAY TWP DIST 03; MC DONALD DIST 05; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 01; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 02; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 04; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 04; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 05; OAKDALE DIST 01; OAKDALE DIST 02

Proposed Geography:

FINDLAY TWP DIST 01; FINDLAY TWP DIST 02; FINDLAY TWP DIST 03; MC DONALD DIST 05; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 01; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 02; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 04; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 04; NORTH FAYETTE TWP DIST 05; OAKDALE DIST 01; OAKDALE DIST 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Findlay Township, McDonald Borough, North Fayette Township, Oakdale Borough

Major Highways: I-376, Route 22, Route 30

Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012

Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis

Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics

Allegheny / 5

Judici	al District Av	erage Case	load
2011 Class	2011 Filings	2012 Class	2012 Filings
2	8,947	2	6,196

		2011 (Class of Co	ounty Cas	eload Ave	erages		
2011 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
2	623	222	3,149	952	2,153	1,623	223	8,947

		2012	Class of Co	ounty Cas	eload Ave	erages		
2012 Class	CR	PC	TR	NT	CV	LT	MD	Total
2	696	234	3,356	1,013	381	293	223	6,196

Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

Judicial District Caseload Averages					
Allegheny	2011	2012			
Class	2	2			
CR	623	696			
NT	952	1,013			
PC	222	234			
TR	3,149	3,356			
CV	2,153	381			
LT	1,623	293			
MD	223	223			
AVG	8,947	6,196			

Judicial District Workload Averages				
Allegheny	2011	2012		
Class	2	2		
CR	22,884	25,557		
NT	10,229	10,875		
PC	2,389	2,518		
TR	7,180	7,651		
CV	4,109	4,298		
LT	3,973	4,243		
MD	7,273	7,273		
-15 % Workload	49,332	53,052		
Average Workload	58,037	62,414		
+ 15% Workload	66,743	71,776		

05-2-01 Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
9,675	2011	2012	
72	8.14%	56.15%	
	8.14%	56.15%	
Reported	AOPC		
63,336	2011	2012	
17	9.13%	1.48%	

05-2-02

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
7,145	2011	2012	
27	-20.14%	15.32%	
	-20.14%	15.32%	
Reported	AOPC		
65,778	2011	2012	
22	13.34%	5.39%	

05-2-03

Reestablish

C	A (CE	11	7	
L	41.	ЭE	LL	JH	W

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
6,725	2011	2012	
20	-24.83%	8.54%	
	-24.83%	8.54%	
Reported	AC	PC	
56,025	2011	2012	
4	-3.47%	-10.24%	

05-2-04

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
5,859	2011	2012	
4	-34.51%	-5.44%	
	-34.51%	-5.44%	
Reported	AOPC		

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC			
43,693	2011	2012		
-19	-24.72%	-30.00%		

05-2-05

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
4,314	2011	2012	
-23	-51.78%	-30.37%	
	-51.78%	-30.37%	
Reported	AOPC		

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
41,807	2011	2012	
-23	-27.97%	-33.02%	

05-2-06

Reestablish

CA	C	E			Λ	
	IJ	L	L	U	Н	u

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
5,538	2011	2012	
-1	-38.10%	-10.62%	
	-38.10%	-10.62%	
Reported	AC	PC	
74,304	2011	2012	
38	28.03%	19.05%	

05-2-07

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
5,263	2011	2012	
-6	-41.17%	-15.06%	
	-41.17%	-15.06%	

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
57,346	2011 2012		
6	-1.19%	-8.12%	

AOPC

2012

-6.99%

05-2-08

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

3	-35.59%
	-35.59%

5,763

Reported

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

	-35.59%	-6.99%
Reported	AO	PC
43,681	2011	2012
-19	-24.74%	-30.01%

2011

05-2-10

Reestablish

C	A (CE	11	7	
L	41.	ЭE	LL	JH	W

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

IA		D	VI		Λ	
W	U	N	ΝL	U	А	v

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
5,954	2011	2012	
6	-33.45%	-3.90%	
	-33.45%	-3.90%	
Reported	AC	PC	
57,573	2011	2012	
7	-0.80%	-7.76%	

05-2-11

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AC	PC
6,993	2011	2012
25	-21.84%	12.87%
	-21.84%	12.87%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC			
60,090	2011	2012		
11	3.54%	-3.72%		

05-2-12

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
7,234	2011	2012	
29	-19.14%	16.76%	
	-19.14%	16.76%	
Reported	AO	PC	

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
47,702	2011	2012	
-12	-17.81%	-23.57%	

05-2-13

Reestablish

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

W			1/1	0	Λ	
VV	U	ĸ	ĸι	LU	\boldsymbol{A}	U

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
6,935	2011	2012	
24	-22.49%	11.93%	
	-22.49%	11.93%	
Reported	AC	PC	
80,806	2011	2012	
50	39.23%	29.47%	

05-2-14

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
4,954	2011	2012	
-12	-44.63%	-20.04%	
	-44.63%	-20.04%	
Reported	ΔΟ	PC	

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
62,424	2011	2012	
16	7.56%	0.02%	

05-2-15

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
6,514	2011	2012	
16	-27.19%	5.13%	
	-27.19%	5.13%	
Reported	AOPC		

2011

9.08%

2012

1.43%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

10/31/2012 Page 6

63,308

05-2-16

Reestablish

CA	SE	LO	AD	

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

	_	_		_	_	_
W		D	vi		А	П
VV	u	\mathbf{n}	ΛL	u	\boldsymbol{H}	J,

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
4,969	2011	2012	
-11	-44.46%	-19.80%	
	-44.46%	-19.80%	
Reported	AOPC		
42,138	2011	2012	
-22	-27.39%	-32.49%	

05-2-17

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
5,303	2011	2012	
-6	-40.73%	-14.41%	
	-40.73%	-14.41%	

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC	
39,571	2011	2012
-27	-31.82%	-36.60%

05-2-18

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC	
5,154	2011	2012
	-42.39%	-16.82%
	-42.39%	-16.82%
Reported	AOPC	

2011

-2.02%

2012

-8.89%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

10/31/2012 Page 7

56,867

05-2-19

Reestablish

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC	
6,961	2011	2012
24	-22.20%	12.35%
	-22.20%	12.35%
Reported	AOPC	
55,552	2011	2012
3	-4.28%	-10.99%

05-2-20

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC	
5,456	2011	2012
-3	-39.02%	-11.94%
	-39.02%	-11.94%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC	
48,070	2011	2012
-11	-17.17%	-22.98%

05-2-21

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC	
6,542	2011	2012
17	-26.88%	5.59%
	-26.88%	5.59%
Reported	AOPC	

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC	
47,850	2011	2012
-11	-17.55%	-23.33%

05-2-22

Reestablish

		 _	_
-	SE	1	$\boldsymbol{\Box}$
- 4	~	 ш	

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC	
3,881	2011	2012
-31	-56.62%	-37.36%
	-56.62%	-37.36%
Reported	AC	PC
38,183	2011	2012
-29	-34.21%	-38.82%

05-2-23

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC	
5,453	2011	2012
-3	-39.05%	-11.99%
	-39.05%	-11.99%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC	
46,343	2011	2012
-14	-20.15%	-25.75%

05-2-25

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported		AOPC		
	6,487	2011	2012	
	16	-27.49%	4.70%	
		-27.49%	4.70%	
	Reported	AC	PC	
	65,605	2011	2012	

13.04%

5.11%

22

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

05-2-26

Reestablish

CA	C	E			Λ	
	IJ	L	L	U	Н	u

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

14/		D	vi		1	
W	U	ПI	ΛL	.U	А	v

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
2,706	2011	2012	
-52	-69.75%	-56.33%	
	-69.75%	-56.33%	
Reported	AOPC		
27,039	2011	2012	
-50	-53.41%	-56.68%	

05-2-27

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC	
4,171	2011	2012
-26	-53.38%	-32.68%
	-53.38%	-32.68%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
29,735	2011 2012		
-45	-48.77%	-52.36%	

05-2-28

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
3,280	2011	2012	
-42	-63.34%	-47.06%	
	-63.34%	-47.06%	
Reported	AOPC		

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
33,981	2011	2012	
-37	-41.45%	-45.56%	

05-2-31

Reestablish

C	A (CE	11	7	
L	41.	ЭE	LL	JH	W

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
2,383	2011	2012	
-58	-73.37%	-61.54%	
-58	-73.37%	-61.54%	
Reported	AC	PC	
30,517	2011	2012	
-43	-47.42%	-51.11%	

05-2-32

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC	
2,681	2011	2012
-52	-70.03%	-56.73%
	-70.03%	-56.73%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
30,455	2011	2012	
-44	-47.52%	-51.21%	

05-2-35

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
2,192	2011	2012	
-61	-75.50%	-64.62%	
	-75.50%	-64.62%	
Reported	AOPC		

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
19,885	2011	2012	
-63	-65.74%	-68.14%	

05-2-36

Reestablish

C	A (CE	11	7	
L	41.	ЭE	LL	JH	W

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC			
801	2011	2012		
-86	-91.05%	-87.07%		
	-91.05%	-87.07%		
Reported	AOPC			
14,104	2011	2012		
-74	-75.70%	-77.40%		

05-2-38

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
1,838	2011	2012	
-67	-79.46%	-70.34%	
	-79.46%	-70.34%	
		20	

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
24,592	2011	2012	
-54	-57.63%	-60.60%	

05-2-40

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
20,132	2011	2012	
-66	125.02%	224.93%	
	125.02%	224.93%	
5	4.0		

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
20,132	2011	2012	
-63	-65.31%	-67.74%	

05-2-42

Reestablish

CA	C				A	
LA	2	CI	L	U	Α	ப

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

14/		D	vi		1	
W	U	ПI	ΛL	.U	А	v

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC			
2,546	2011	2012		
-55	-71.54%	-58.91%		
	-71.54%	-58.91%		
Reported	AOPC			
31,964	2011	2012		
-41	-44.92%	-48.79%		

05-2-43

Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AO	PC
5,585	2011	2012
0	-37.58%	-9.86%
	-37.58%	-9.86%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
43,319	2011	2012	
-20	-25.36%	-30.59%	

05-2-47

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
6,150	2011	2012	
10	-31.26%	-0.74%	
	-31.26%	-0.74%	
Reported	AOPC		

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

	31.2070	0.7470
Reported	АО	PC
69,448	2011	2012
29	19.66%	11.27%

05-3-02

Reestablish

C	A (CE	11	7	
L	41.) E	LL	JH	W

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
5,587	2011	2012	
0	-37.55%	-9.83%	
	-37.55%	-9.83%	
Reported	AC	PC	
22,494	2011	2012	
-58	-61.24%	-63.96%	

05-3-03

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC	
5,470	2011	2012
-3	-38.86%	-11.72%
	-38.86%	-11.72%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
43,310	2011	2012	
-20	-25.38%	-30.61%	

05-3-04

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
3,953	2011	2012	
-30	-55.82%	-36.20%	
	-55.82%	-36.20%	
Reported	AOPC		

2011

-40.21%

2012

-44.40%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

10/31/2012	Page 14

34,700

05-3-05

Reestablish

CA	C	E			Λ	
	IJ	L	L	U	Н	u

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

14/		וח	VI	$\boldsymbol{\cap}$	1	П
W	U	ΠI	N L	U	A	U

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
1,708	2011	2012	
-70	-80.91%	-72.43%	
	-80.91%	-72.43%	
Reported	AC	PC	
17,522	2011	2012	
-68	-69.81%	-71.93%	

05-3-06

Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

2011	2012
-32.50%	-2.53%
-32.50%	-2.53%
	-32.50%

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC			
75,454	2011 2012			
40	30.01%	20.89%		

05-3-09

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
5,758	2011	2012	
3	-35.64%	-7.07%	
	-35.64%	-7.07%	
Reported	AOPC		

W

Αv

DAD Re	Reported	AC	PC
tal Annual Workload:	64,350	2011	2012
District Average: Judicial District Average:	19	10.88%	3.10%
District Average: Judicial District Average:	19	10.88%	

Page 15 10/31/2012

05-3-10

Reestablish

-	~ 1	C	C			A	
·	./-		L	L	u	м	u

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC			
616	2011	2012		
-89	-93.11%	-90.06%		
	-93.11%	-90.06%		
Reported	AC	PC		
7,422	2011	2012		
-86	-87.21%	-88.11%		

05-3-12

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

Reported	AOPC		
1,990	2011	2012	
-65	-77.76%	-67.88%	
	-77.76%	-67.88%	
Poported	AODC		

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC		
28,419	2011	2012	
-47	-51.03%	-54.47%	

05-3-13

Realign

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average

e:				
			_	
			ı	

Reported

1,372

-76	-84.67%	-77.86%
	-84.67%	-77.86%

2011

AOPC

2012

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

	04.0770	77.00%
Reported	AC	PC
14,519	2011	2012
-73	-74.98%	-76.74%

05-3-14

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AOPC			
4,104	2011	2012		
-28	-54.13%	-33.76%		
	-54.13%	-33.76%		
Reported	AOPC			
45,514	2011	2012		
-16	-21.58%	-27.08%		

05-3-17

Reestablish

CASELOAD

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Reported	AC	PC
7,466	2011	2012
33	-16.55%	20.50%
	-16.55%	20.50%
Reported	AC	PC
45,016	2011	2012
-17	-22.44%	-27.88%

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE
437 GRANT STREET 300 FRICK BUILDING
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219-6000
(412) 350-5410 FAX (412) 350-5429

RAYMOND L. BILLOTTE
District Court Administrator

EMAIL ADDRESS ray.billotte@court.allegheny.pa.us

March 28, 2012

Joseph Mittleman Director of Judicial Programs Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19101

RE: Reestablishment Plan for the Fifth Judicial District of Pennsylvania

Dear Mr. Mittleman:

Enclosed please find the redistricting plan for the Fifth Judicial District of Pennsylvania. The binder includes the Judicial District Summary, Exhibits A through R, fortynine (49) individual district court worksheets and public comment.

The Judicial District Summary, with Exhibits A through R, was posted on our website (www.alleghenycourts.us) on February 13, 2012. A media advisory was issued on the same date. It came to our attention, through public comment, that there was an error in the Judicial District Summary. As a result, a notice was posted on the website indicating that the error would be corrected before the final submission. Those public comments are not included in the enclosed binder.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding the proposed plan for the Fifth Judicial District.

RAYMOND L. BILLOTTE



OF PENNSYLVANIA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY

Magisterial District Court

2012 Proposed

Re-Establishment Plan



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER:	5th
	A. What is the class of county?	2nd
	B. What is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county?	N/A
II.	PROPOSED ACTIONS:	
	A. List existing magisterial districts:	
	05-2-01, 05-2-02, 05-2-03, 05-2-04, 05-2-05, 05-2-0	6, 05-2-07, 05-2-08, 05-2-10,
	05-2-11, 05-2-12, 05-2-13, 05-2-14, 05-2-15, 05-2-16	6, 05-2-17, 05-2-18, 05-2-19
	05-2-20, 05-2-21, 05-2-22, 05-2-23, 05-2-25, 05-2-20	6, 05-2-27, 05-2-28, 05-2-31
	05-2-32, 05-2-35, 05-2-36, 05-2-38, 05-2-40, 05-2-4	2, 05-2-43, 05-2-47, 05-3-02
	05-3-03, 05-3-04, 05-3-05, 05-3-06, 05-3-09, 05-3-10	0, 05-3-12, 05-3-13, 05-3-14
	05-3-17 and 05-0-03 (Pittsburgh Municipal Court)	
	B. Does this judicial district have an annual	
	average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county?	N/A
	 If the answer to II. B. above is NO, are eliminations proposed? 	NO
	a) List magisterial districts proposed for eliminatio	n.
	b)If no eliminations are proposed based on II. B.	ahove what are the factors

b)If no eliminations are proposed based on **II. B.** above, what are the factors for this decision?

The Fifth Judicial District is composed solely of Allegheny County. Allegheny County has a land area of 730.2 square miles and a population of 1,223,348. It is a county of contrasts, with both urban and rural aspects. Coke barges still share the waterways with a vibrant, recreational waterfront. Having survived the decline of the steel industry, the county is now known for its hospitals, universities and industrial centers. It is still home to the headquarters of numerous Fortune 500 corporations. The confluence in the City of Pittsburgh of the Monongahela and Allegheny Rivers forming the Ohio River represent a vast watershed of tributaries that create topography of steep hills and slopes and necessitates over 2,000 bridges and tunnels in the county.

Allegheny County has 130 municipalities, including a second class city, three (3) third class cities and 126 municipalities. There are 44 public school districts in Allegheny County. In addition, there are 109 municipal police departments. Other active law enforcement agencies filing criminal charges in the county include, among others, the Allegheny County Police Department, the Pennsylvania State Police, the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board, Attorney General Investigations Unit, Allegheny County District



Attorney Investigations Unit, the Allegheny County Sheriff's Office, the Pennsylvania Fish and Game Commission, Port Authority Police, Allegheny County Housing Authority Police and numerous university police departments.

While the comparison for caseload purposes of counties from the same class of county provides a measurement geared towards uniformity in county population ranges, it is undeniable that the judicial and staff activity involved in any particular type of filing is identical, absent local rule variations, in all classes of counties as dictated by state statutory and procedural rule authority. Allegheny County is the only Second Class County in Pennsylvania, and has a vastly larger population than any other county with magisterial district courts, it is noteworthy that Allegheny County's average caseload is 6,371 (See Exhibit A) which is 26% higher than the state-wide average, and average workload is 63,514 (See Exhibit B) which is 81% higher than the state-wide average, according to the AOPC Average Filings and Workload by County and Class of County, revised 11/16/2011.

It is beyond cavil that parity among all district courts is not attainable. The Fifth Judicial District has district courts in low-income areas with decreasing populations where filings are down and district courts in low-income areas with decreasing populations where filings are up. Recent severe cuts in public transportation with more in the offing for 2012 paint a dire picture for the residents of Allegheny County. In 2011, forty-five (45) bus routes were entirely eliminated and weekday service was reduced for ninety-four (94) other bus and trolley routes. Currently, the Port Authority of Allegheny County is scheduled in March of 2012 to eliminate another twenty-nine (29) bus and trolley routes and thirty-seven (37) others are slated for reduced schedules.

The Fifth Judicial District is loath to reconfigure courts that maintain appropriate caseload and/or workload statistics and no discernible changes forecasted for the near future. Many of the cascading realignments that would result in attempting to equalize caseload and/or workload would still result in disproportionate allocations of cases and work. Geographic constraints, the locations of the established court and the magisterial district judge's residence inhibit realignment and elimination options. Some of the Magisterial District Reestablishment Worksheets explicitly describe the factors that preclude elimination and/or realignment. However, every court with averages above or below the 15% midpoint workload figure have been evaluated for potential realignment or elimination. (See Exhibit J).

The Fifth Judicial District is acutely aware of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania's deep concerns regarding the judiciary's budget situation and the need to allocate precious judicial resources in the most responsible and effective manner. However, it is imperative that consideration be given to the fact that, from January of 2003 to January of 2012, the Fifth Judicial District has realized a 26% reduction in judicial officers who comprise the minor judiciary for Allegheny County. In December of 2002, there were sixty-one (61) members of the minor judiciary: fifty-five (55) elected magisterial district judges (then known as district justices) and six (6) City of Pittsburgh magistrates, appointed by the mayor of the City of Pittsburgh to serve as the judicial officers of Pittsburgh Magistrates Court. Pursuant to the Amended Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, No. 192 Magisterial Docket No. 1, eight (8) district courts were eliminated and one (1) new district court was established. (See Exhibit K). Further, at the request of the President Judge, this Order suspended the appointment or reappointment of Pittsburgh City Magistrates and authorized the President Judge to assign district judges to Pittsburgh Magistrates Court until such time as the evaluation of the transitioning of Pittsburgh Magistrates Court to the statewide district justice system was completed. At No. 212 Magisterial Docket No. 1, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania ordered the establishment of Pittsburgh Municipal Court, transitioning the Pittsburgh Magistrates Court into the statewide district justice system. (See Exhibit L). This Order, effective January 1, 2005, directed the President Judge to assign, on a rotating basis, the elected district justices of the Fifth Judicial District to hear the matters formerly heard in Pittsburgh Magistrates Court by the six (6) appointed magistrates. This brought the number of minor judiciary in Allegheny County to a total forty-eight (48). The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, at No. 271 Magisterial Rules Docket, issued an Order granting the request of the President Judge to eliminate District Court 05-2-09 and District Court 05-2-46, effective January 2, 2012. (See Exhibits M, N and O). The Fifth Judicial District currently has forty-six (46) magisterial district judges.



The Fifth Judicial District maintains that any further elimination of magisterial district courts will jeopardize the effective and efficient delivery of judicial services in Allegheny County.

2. If the answer to **II. B**. above is *YES*, are eliminations proposed?

NO

a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination.

C. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?

YES

- 1. List magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment.
 05-0-03 (Pittsburgh Municipal Court and 05-0-04 Arraignment Court), 05-2-01, 05-2-02, 05-2-03, 05-2-04, 05-2-05, 05-2-06, 05-2-07, 05-2-08, 05-2-10, 05-2-11, 05-2-12, 05-2-13, 05-2-14, 05-2-15, 05-2-16, 05-2-17, 05-2-18, 05-2-19, 05-2-20, 05-2-21, 05-2-22, 05-2-23, 05-2-25, 05-2-26, 05-2-27, 05-2-28, 05-2-31, 05-2-32, 05-2-35, 05-2-36, 05-2-38, 05-2-40, 05-2-42, 05-2-47, 05-3-02, 05-3-03, 05-3-04, 05-3-05, 05-3-09, 05-3-10, 05-3-12, 05-3-14, 05-3-17
- **D.** Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment?

YES

- 1. List magisterial districts proposed for realignment. 05-2-43, 05-3-06, 05-3-13
 - a. 05-2-43 cedes Ward 28 of the City of Pittsburgh to 05-2-13 and assumes
 Kennedy Township from 05-03-06
 - b. 05-3-06 cedes Kennedy Township to 05-2-43
 - c. 05-3-13 assumes Ward 28 of the City of Pittsburgh from 05-2-43

III. NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS

A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district?

YES

B. Is there a central court operating within the judicial district?

YES

C. Note comments regarding how night, central or other similar court programs impact operations within the judicial district.

District Court 05-0-04 and District Court 05-0-03 are managed by a full-time administrator.

AOPC

JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

Arraignment Court (District Court 05-0-04) Pursuant to Rule 117 of the Pa.R.Crim.P. and Rule 117.1 of All.C.R.Crim. P., Arraignment Court, located in the Municipal Courts Building with Pittsburgh Municipal Court (District Court 05-0-03) serves as the 24/7 court for the Fifth Judicial District. (See Exhibit P.) All magisterial district judges of the Fifth Judicial District serve in Arraignment Court. During regular court hours and business days, magisterial district judges whose districts, include in whole or in part, the City of Pittsburgh, sit in this court based upon a schedule devised by the Administrator of Pittsburgh Municipal Court, based upon caseload statistics. Consequently, the caseload of Pittsburgh Municipal Court is not evenly apportioned among the thirteen (13) magisterial district judges presiding in Arraignment Court. There are two sessions each day (morning session is 8:30 AM to noon and afternoon session is 1:00 PM to 5:00 PM). The magisterial district judges in Arraignment Court conduct preliminary arraignments, issue search and arrest warrants, accept criminal complaints, set and accept bail for matters throughout Allegheny County and emergency Protection From Abuse petitions from 3:00PM to 5:00 PM. They also handle preliminary hearing continuances and waivers for matters arising within the City of Pittsburgh when the magisterial district judges sitting in Pittsburgh Municipal Court are in need of assistance.

All magisterial district judges are also in rotation at Arraignment Court for Saturday, Sunday and court Holidays. During weekends and holidays, there are three (3) judicial officer shifts at Arraignment Court: 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM, 4:30 PM to 12:30 AM and 12:30 AM to 8:30 AM. For 365 days a year, the 12:30 AM to 8:30 AM shift (or midnight to 8:00 AM Monday through Friday) is covered solely by senior magisterial district judges. Thirty-three magisterial district judges (those whose districts do not include any portion of the City of Pittsburgh) cover the single shift Monday through Friday from 6:00 PM to midnight.

There are fourteen (14) support personnel who are assigned to evening/holiday/weekend shifts of Arraignment Court. Four (4) support personnel are assigned to the Monday through Friday, regular business hours in Arraignment Court.

Pittsburgh Municipal Court (District Court 05-0-03) Pittsburgh Municipal Court assumes all misdemeanor and felony offenses, including private criminal complaints, arising within the corporate limits of the City of Pittsburgh; all traffic offenses arising within the corporate limits of the City of Pittsburgh police parking citations, but excluding parking tickets and citations issued by agents of the Pittsburgh Parking Authority; all non-traffic summary offenses, arising within the corporate limits of the City of Pittsburgh. In addition, Pittsburgh Municipal Court is designated by local rule, Rule 131.2 of All.C.R.Crim.P., to serve as the central site for filing and conduct of preliminary hearings for all Act 33 complaints arising in Allegheny County. (See Exhibit Q.) Pittsburgh Municipal Court is also designated as the central site for all homicide cases, arising in Allegheny County. (See Exhibit R.) Any magisterial district judges wishing to sit as issuing authority for homicide cases must participate in a training seminar for this assignment. Currently, twenty-eight (28) magisterial district judges have qualified for this assignment.

Pittsburgh Municipal Court is covered by the thirteen (13) magisterial district judges whose district courts include, in whole or in part, wards of the City of Pittsburgh on a rotating basis Monday through Friday for two sessions each day with a morning session from 8:30AM to Noon or the completion of the morning list and 1:00PM to 4:30PM or the completion of the afternoon list. The administrator for Pittsburgh Municipal Court schedules the magisterial district judges for Pittsburgh Municipal Court based upon caseloads of the thirteen (13) magisterial district judges. Consequently, the substantial caseload of Pittsburgh Municipal Court is not evenly apportioned among the thirteen (13) magisterial district judges presiding in Pittsburgh Municipal Court.

Including the administrator of Pittsburgh Municipal Court, there are thirty-one (31) employees who are assigned to Pittsburgh Municipal Court Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 4:30PM.



This proposal does include reducing the number of magisterial district judges covering the morning and afternoon sessions, Monday through Friday, in Pittsburgh Municipal Court and Arraignment Court from thirteen (13) to twelve (12). The realignment of District Court 03-3-06 is critical and the magisterial district judge of District Court 05-2-43 only serves in Pittsburgh Municipal Court a total of fourteen (14) half day sessions each year.

Utilizing the midpoint average caseload, there is a range among the City of Pittsburgh magisterial district courts from 0% to -89% below the midpoint average total caseload for the Fifth Judicial District (See Exhibit I.) Using the midpoint average workload, there is a range among the City of Pittsburgh magisterial district courts from -16% to -73% below the midpoint average total workload for the Fifth Judicial District. (See Exhibit J.)

Should the Fifth Judicial District's Reestablishment Plan be approved, the President Judge is committed to directing the Administrator of Pittsburgh Municipal Court and Arraignment Court to employ the AOPC-developed workload formula to schedule all judicial officers in Pittsburgh Municipal Court and Arraignment Court. Since all the requisite support staff is provided in Pittsburgh Municipal Court and Arraignment Court, the workload computation will provide a pure measure of bench time and allow for a fluid and flexible assessment of workload that will properly and consistently equalize assignments for both City of Pittsburgh magisterial district judges, which will number twelve (12) with the proposed realignment, and the thirty-four (34) other district courts in the Fifth Judicial District. Further, the President Judge will review all outstanding orders that contain special designations of magisterial district judges for certain types of filings to determine if they should be modified to more fairly distribute the additional workload.

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT

A. A request for public comment was posted:

YES

B. Comments were received:

YES

C. Comments are attached:

YES

V. ADDITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL:

The President Judge of the Fifth Judicial District is mindful of both state and county budget shortfalls and has implemented a number of cost saving measures, including hiring freezes, to prevent more drastic and debilitating measures that could severely impact the vitality and sustainability of the court system in Allegheny County. Further, the President Judge has spearheaded the intensive and comprehensive development of a court-wide strategic plan to be completed in the Spring of 2012. Chief among the Strategic Planning Committee's goals are the fiscal responsibility and accountability of the court to its funding authorities and the public, by effectively and efficiently expending court resources. However, the President Judge is extremely cognizant of the importance of the magisterial district courts of the Fifth Judicial District and believes that the elimination of any district courts, at this point in time, will significantly reduce access to justice when the public needs for access are increasing.

The input from all forty-six (46) magisterial district judges of the Fifth Judicial District was solicited prior to the completion of this proposal and their comments, if any, appear in their individual district court worksheets.



Key to Exhibits A through J

Caseload/ Workload Analysis1:

Exhibit A – Is comprised of the *average total caseloads* for all categories of filings for all 48 district courts (including the two (2) district courts closed, effective January 2, 2012) and Pittsburgh Municipal Court (05-0-03).

Exhibit B – Is comprised of the *average total workloads* for all categories of filings for all 48 district courts (including the two (2) district courts closed, effective January 2, 2012) and Pittsburgh Municipal Court (05-0-03).

Exhibit C – Is comprised of the *average total caseload* for all categories of filings for all 48 district courts (including the two (2) district courts closed, effective January 2, 2012).

Exhibit D – Is comprised of the *average total workload* for all categories of filings for all 48 district courts (including the two (2) district courts closed, effective January 2, 2012).

Exhibit E – Is comprised of the *average total caseload* for all categories of filings for Pittsburgh Municipal Court and the thirteen (13) magisterial district courts that currently include, in whole or in part, wards of the City of Pittsburgh.

Exhibit F – Is comprised of the *average total workload* for all categories of filings for Pittsburgh Municipal Court and the thirteen (13) magisterial district courts that currently include, in whole or in part, wards of the City of Pittsburgh.

Exhibit G – Is comprised of the *average total caseload* for all categories of filings for the 35 district courts (including the two (2) district courts closed, effective January 2, 2012), but excluding Pittsburgh Municipal Court (05-30-03) and the thirteen (13) magisterial district courts that currently include, in whole or in part, wards of the City of Pittsburgh.

Exhibit H – Is comprised of the *average total workload* for all categories of filings for the 35 district courts (including the two (2) district courts closed, effective January 2, 2012), but excluding Pittsburgh Municipal Court (05-0-03) and the thirteen (13) magisterial district courts that currently include, in whole or in part, wards of the City of Pittsburgh.

Exhibit I — Is comprised of the *midpoint average total caseload* for all categories of filings for all 48 district courts (including the two (2) district courts closed, effective January 2, 2012) and Pittsburgh Municipal Court (05-0-03). District Court 05-0-03 distorts the average. Exhibit I represents the midpoint average total caseload as between Exhibit A and Exhibit C. Exhibit I statistics are represented on the individual Magisterial District Reestablishment Worksheets.

Exhibit J – Is comprised of the *midpoint average total workload* for all categories of filings for all 48 district courts (including the two (2) district courts closed, effective January 2, 2012) and Pittsburgh Municipal Court (05-0-03). District Court 05-0-03 distorts the average. Exhibit J represents the midpoint average total caseload as between Exhibit B and Exhibit D. Exhibit J statistics are represented on the individual Magisterial District Reestablishment Worksheets.

¹ In any instance, due to prior realignments within the statistical period of 2005 through 2010, where full and accurate statistics for six (6) complete years could not be calculated, the statistics represented in these Exhibits were adjusted to reflect the number of years for which accurate statistics were available.



VI.	DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC:	MARCH 28, 2012
VII.	PRESIDENT JUDGE NAME:	Donna Jo McDaniel
7	Signature Signature	'ull
	V	

AVERAGE CASELOAD Includes Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC)	AO	OPC 2011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT	12 MAGI	STERIAL	DISTRICT	REESTA	BLISHIM		
DISTRICT COURT	CR	TN	PC	TR	C	17	MD	AVG	Variance
05-0-03 - PIMC	14,361	10,981	396	46,545	0	0	6,839	79,122	1142%
05-2-01 - Tara L. Smith	526	1,097	601	6,782	322	255	92	9,675	52%
05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela	819	879	635	4,136	440	146	06	7,145	12%
05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick	764	1,216	338	3,757	413	189	48	6,725	%9
05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	405	1,057	40	3,785	374	124	74	5,859	%8-
05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel	406	991	251	2,048	347	213	58	4,314	-32%
05-2-06 - Leonard J. Hromyak	748	948	395	1,780	895	479	293	5,538	-13%
05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst	603	916	340	2,392	444	400	168	5,263	-17%
05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield	409	751	717	3,563	179	107	37	5,763	~10%
05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	699	1,478	109	4,090	269	435	94	7,144	12%
05-2-10 - Kim M. Hoots	630	854	78	3,264	337	694	16	5,954	9/01-
05-2-11 - Robert Barner	209	1,167	282	4,153	317	333	134	6,993	10%
05-2-12 - William K. Wagner	480	559	338	5,265	447	73	72	7,234	14%
05-2-13 - Eugene F. Riazzi, Jr.	602	2,526	47	2,158	618	735	142	6,935	%6
05-2-14 - Richard D. Olasz, Jr.	878	925	205	1,874	561	151	63	4,954	-22%
05-2-15 - Thomas Torkowsky	711	1,784	221	3,220	303	224	51	6,514	29%
05-2-16 - Pat A. Capolupo	495	619	16	3,164	353	154	87	4,969	-22%
05-2-17 - David J. Barton	438	260	87	3,624	341	212	41	5,303	-17%
05-2-18 - John N. Bova	808	838	23	2,746	327	299	112	5,154	-19%
05-2-19 - Blaise P. Larotonda	561	1,265	77	4,387	350	213	108	6,961	8%
05-2-20 - Robert C. Wyda	495	768	161	3,464	372	112	84	5,456	-14%
05-2-21 - Maureen McGraw-Desmet	202	009	396	4,496	378	74	93	6,542	3%
05-2-22 - Gary M. Zyra	482	462	109	2,287	310	104	127	3,881	-39%
05-2-23 - Dennis R. Joyce	479	952	205	3,210	356	176	75	5,453	-14%
05-2-25 - Mary P. Murray	839	1,049	153	3,485	631	216	114	6,487	29/0
05-2-26 - Beth Scagline-Mills	239	516	71	1,233	486	88	73	2,706	-58%
05-2-27 - Eugene N. Ricciardi	1	803	65	2,275	427	202	93	4,171	-35%
05-2-28 - Oscar J. Petite, Jr.	2	899	334	728	514	994	40	3,280	-49%
05-2-31 - Ron N. Costa, Sr.	2	554	122	41	549	1,072	43	2,383	-63%
05-2-32 - Linda I. Zucco	343	349	133	1,271	260	206	119	2,681	-28%
05-2-35 - Nathan N. Firestone	1	431	38	919	380	294	129	2,192	%99-
05-2-36 - James J. Hanley, Jr.	82	47	99	12	237	246	111	801	-87%
05-2-38 - James A. Motznik	138	632	134	180	388	298	89	1,838	-71%
05-2-40 - Derwin Rushing	2	268	147	504	347	593	09	1,921	%02-
05-2-42 - Robert P. Ravenstahl, Jr.	2	1,238	77	93	340	929	120	2,546	%09-
05-2-43 - Carla Swearingen	476	470	163	3,759	384	258	75	5,585	-12%
05-2-46 - Regis C. Welsh, Jr.	430	446	293	4,802	517	44	83	6615	10V

District Court Certain CR NT PC TR CV LT MD Caseload Variance 05-3-02-Robert L. Ford Certain Caseload	AVERAGE CASELOAD Includes Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC)	A	PC 2011-	12 MAGI	STERIAL	OPC 2011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT	REESTA	BLISHMI	ENT	
Scott H. Schricker 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 462 172 6,150 - Robert L. Ford 147 247 17 4,951 125 40 60 5,587 - Baziane Blaschak 333 450 1,038 1,811 177 28 46 3,953 - Thomas G. Miller, Jr. Mary Ann Cercone 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 8 1,708 - Armando X. Martin Anthony Ceoffe 25 128 46 3,953 - The stand of the standard	DISTRICT COURT	CR	TN	PC	TR	۸۵	17	MD	AVG	Variance
Robert L. Ford 147 247 17 4,951 125 40 60 5,587 David J. Sosovicka 468 672 199 3,719 260 57 95 5,470 Suzanne Blaschak 333 450 1,038 1,881 1,77 28 46 3,953 Thomas G. Miller, Jr. 258 295 27 70 28 3,653 Armand A. Martin 670 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 6,039 Anthony Ceffe 5 1 81 761 1,613 590 169 1,778 1,788 Revine C. Cooper 1 81 42 299 557 101 1,990 Rady C. Martini 275 947 342 1,281 529 547 4,014 Authony W. Saveikis 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 7,466 Anthony Saveikis 34,06 51,387	05-2-47 - Scott H. Schricker	299	1,583	493	2,255	518	462	172	6,150	-3%
David J. Sosovicka 468 672 199 3,719 260 57 95 5,470 Suzanne Blaschak 333 450 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 3,953 Inchans G. Miller, Jr. 258 295 295 27 77 76 28 46 3,953 Armand A. Martin 670 1,703 161 2,274 277 76 28 6,039 Armand A. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 1776 28 6.039 Armand A. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 167 170 1,708 Revine E. Cooper 1 812 43 36 204 176 24 616 4,74	05-3-02 - Robert L. Ford	147	247	17	4,951	125	40	09	5,587	-12%
Suzanne Blaschak 333 450 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 3,953 Thomas G. Miller, Jr. 258 295 277 777 77 6,039 Mary Ann Cercone 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 477 72 6,039 Anthony Cercone 70 1,838 761 1,613 204 176 24 616 2,639 Anthony Cercone 7 812 78 42 299 557 101 1,990 -4 Revine C. Cooper 1 332 69 415 209 557 101 1,990 -4 Redady C. Martini 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,372 -7 6,039 Relchard G. King 275 947 342 1281 528 515 126 4,014 -1,372 -1,372 -1,372 -1,372 -1,372 -1,372 -1,372 -1,372	05-3-03 - David J. Sosovicka	468	672	199	3,719	260	25	95	5,470	-14%
Thomas G. Miller, Jr. 258 296 27 747 277 76 28 1,708 -	05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak	333	450	1,038	1,881	177	28	46	3,953	-38%
Mary Ann Cercone 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 6,039 Armand A. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 177 5,758	05-3-05 - Thomas G. Miller, Jr.	258	295	27	747	277	9/	28	1,708	-73%
Armand A. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 5,758 Anthony Ceoffe 5 128 43 36 204 176 24 616 Revin E. Cooper 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,990 Randy C. Martini 1 332 69 415 279 575 101 1,990 Richard G. King 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 126 4,014 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,00 34,70 381 293 229 6,371 Anthony R. Saveikis 4,00 4,00 4,00 4,00 4,00 4,00 Anthony R. Saveikis 4,00 </td <th>05-3-06 - Mary Ann Cercone</th> <td>1,009</td> <td>1,703</td> <td>161</td> <td>2,320</td> <td>357</td> <td>417</td> <td>72</td> <td>6,039</td> <td>-5%</td>	05-3-06 - Mary Ann Cercone	1,009	1,703	161	2,320	357	417	72	6,039	-5%
Anthony Ceoffe 5 128 43 36 204 176 24 616	05-3-09 - Armand A. Martin	029	1,838	761	1,613	290	169	117	5,758	-10%
Randy C. Martini 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,990	05-3-10 - Anthony Ceoffe	2	128	43	36	204	176	24	616	%06-
Randy C. Martini 1 332 69 415 219 251 85 1,372	05-3-12 - Kevin E. Cooper	1	812	178	42	299	222	101	1,990	%69-
Anthony W. Saveikis 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 126 4,014 -7,466 Anthony W. Saveikis 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 7,466 -7,466 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 Anthony W. Saveikis 40,340 11,223 312,180 312,180 312,180 Average 40,371	05-3-13 - Randy C. Martini	_	332	69	415	219	251	85	1,372	-78%
Anthony W. Saveikis 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 7,466 7486 71,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 710 1,049 239 3,470 381 293 229 6,371 70 10% above 7,008 10% below Range 6 Mid Range 14 Mid Range 129	05-3-14 - Richard G. King	275	947	342	1,281	528	515	126	4,014	-37%
34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 31 710 1,049 239 3,470 381 293 229 TOTAL 31 AVERAGE Variance (+/-) 637 10% above 7,008 10% below 5,734 Above Range 6 Mid Range 14 Below Range 29	05-3-17 - Anthony W. Saveikis	425	716	151	5,285	601	198	06	7,466	17%
TOTAL 31 TOTAL 31 TOTAL 31 AVERAGE Variance (+/-) 637 10% above 7,008 10% below 5,734 Above Range 6 Mid Range 14 Below Range 29	Total	34,805	51,387	11,720	170,037	18,668	14,340	11,223	312,180	
TOTAL 31 AVERAGE 637 7,008 5,734 6 14	Average	710	1,049	239	3,470	381	293	229	6,371	
AVERAGE 637 7,008 5,734 6 14								FOTAL	312,180	
								AVERAGE	6,371	
					>	ariance (+/-)	637			
					10	2% above	7.008			
					10	% pelow	5,734			
					A	bove Range	9			
					Σ	id Range	4			
					Be	elow Range	29			Ī
				l						

DISTRICT COURT 05-0-03 - PMC 05-2-01 - Tara L. Smith 05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela 05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick 05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang									
05-0-03 - PMC 05-2-01 - Tara L. Smith 05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela 05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick 05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	CR	IN.	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	TOTAL	Variance
05-2-01 - Tara L. Smith 05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela 05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick 05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	527,336	117,934	4,248	106,123	0	0	222,757	978,398	1440%
05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela 05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick 05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	19,302	11,784	6,455	15,463	3,632	3,692	3,007	63,336	%0
05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick 05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	30,086	9,440	6,815	9,429	4,963	2,114	2,931	65,778	4%
05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	28,054	3,060	3,630	12,323	4,659	2,737	1,563	56,025	-42%
	14,859	11,347	433	8,630	4,219	1,796	2,410	43,693	-31%
05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel	14,914	10,643	2,694	4,669	3,914	3,084	1,889	41,807	-34%
05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak	27,467	10,182	4,242	5,838	10,096	6,936	9,543	74,304	17%
05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst	22,130	9,832	3,648	5,453	5,008	5,792	5,483	57,346	%0*-
05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield	15,012	8,069	7,704	8,123	2,019	1,549	1,205	43,681	-31%
05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	24,572	15,874	1,165	9,326	3,034	6,299	3,051	63,321	%0
05-2-10 - Kim M. Hoots	23,134	9,167	832	7,442	3,801	10,049	3,148	57,573	%6-
05-2-11 - Robert Barner	22,301	12,534	3,025	9,468	3,576	4,822	4,364	060'09	-5%
05-2-12 - William K. Wagner	17,638	5,998	3,630	12,003	5,042	1,057	2,334	47,702	-25%
05-2-13 - Eugene F. Riazzi, Jr.	26,016	27,127	203	4,921	6,971	10,643	4,625	80,806	27%
05-2-14 - Richard D. Olasz, Jr.	32,252	9,931	5,391	4,272	6,328	2,186	2,063	62,424	-2%
05-2-15 - Thomas Torkowsky	26,114	19,162	2,370	7,340	3,418	3,244	1,661	63,308	%0
05-2-16 - Pat A. Capolupo	18,189	6,643	1,036	7,214	3,982	2,230	2,844	42,138	-34%
05-2-17 - David J. Barton	16,096	6,016	934	8,263	3,846	3,070	1,346	39,571	-38%
05-2-18 - John N. Bova	29,706	9,000	245	6,261	3,689	4,330	3,637	26,867	-10%
05-2-19 - Blaise P. Larotonda	20,600	13,583	827	10,003	3,948	3,084	3,507	55,552	-13%
05-2-20 - Robert C. Wyda	18,177	8,248	1,729	11,362	4,196	1,622	2,736	48,070	-24%
05-2-21 - Maureen McGraw-Desmet	18,537	6,446	4,253	10,250	4,264	1,072	3,029	47,850	-25%
05-2-22 - Gary M. Zyra	17,711	4,962	1,167	5,214	3,497	1,506	4,126	38,183	-40%
05-2-23 - Dennis R. Joyce	17,595	10,219	2,203	7,319	4,016	2,548	2,443	46,343	-27%
05-2-25 - Mary P. Murray	30,796	11,263	1,643	7,945	7,118	3,128	3,713	65,605	3%
05-2-26 - Beth Scagline-Mills	8,782	5,542	761	2,810	5,482	1,274	2,388	27,039	-21%
05-2-27 - Eugene N. Ricciardi	24	8,624	702	5,187	4,817	7,341	3,040	29,735	-53%
05-2-28 - Oscar J. Petite, Jr.	29	7,173	3,587	1,660	5,798	14,393	1,303	33,981	-46%
05-2-31 - Ron N. Costa, Sr.	61	5,945	1,312	94	6,193	15,523	1,390	30,517	-52%
05-2-32 - Linda I. Zucco	12,601	3,752	1,423	2,898	2,933	2,983	3,865	30,455	-52%
05-2-35 - Nathan N. Firestone	24	4,624	406	2,096	4,286	4,257	4,191	19,885	%69-
05-2-36 - James J. Hanley, Jr.	3,023	499	705	27	2,673	3,562	3,615	14,104	-78%
05-2-38 - James A. Motznik	5,055	6,788	1,443	410	4,377	4,315	2,204	24,592	-61%
05-2-40 - Derwin Rushing	73	2,880	1,575	1,149	3,914	8,587	1,954	20,132	%89-
05-2-42 - Robert P. Ravenstahl, Jr.	98	13,300	823	212	3,835	9,788	3,919	31,964	-20%
05-2-43 - Carla Swearingen	17,460	5,044		8,571	4,332	3,736	2,432	43,319	-32%
05-2-46 - Regis C. Welsh, Jr.	15,790	4,794	3,149	10,948	496	637	2,703	38,517	-39%

AVERAGE WORKLOAD Includes Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC)	AOF	C 2011-12	MAGISTE	AOPC 2011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT	RICT REES	TABLISH	MENT		
DISTRICT COURT	CR	TN	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	TOTAL	Variance
05-2-47 - Scott H. Schricker	24,504	17,000	5,289	5,141	5,211	069'9	5,613	69,448	%6
05-3-02 - Robert L. Ford	5,392	2,655	186	11,288	451	579	1,943	22,494	-65%
05-3-03 - David J. Sosovicka	17,185	7,217	2,137	12,198	643	825	3,105	43,310	-32%
05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak	12,209	4,829	11,143	4,289	316	405	1,509	34,700	-45%
05-3-05 - Thomas G. Miller, Jr.	9,486	3,168	294	1,704	857	1,100	912	17,522	-72%
05-3-06 - Mary Ann Cercone	37,050	18,292	1,724	5,290	4,704	6,038	2,356	75,454	19%
05-3-09 - Armand A. Martin	24,609	19,740	8,170	3,678	1,906	2,447	3,800	64,350	Ann 6/2
05-3-10 - Anthony Ceoffe	196	1,377	462	82	1,985	2,548	771	7,422	%88-
05-3-12 - Kevin E, Cooper	37	8,724	1,915	96	6,283	8,065	3,300	28,419	-55%
05-3-13 - Randy C. Martini	31	3,566	743	945	2,831	3,634	2,768	14,519	-77%
05-3-14 - Richard G. King	10,098	10,171	3,673	4,202	5,809	7,457	4,104	45,514	-28%
05-3-17 - Anthony W. Saveikis	15,606	7,692	1,625	12,051	2,233	2,867	2,942	45,016	-29%
Total	1,278,043	541,860	125,814	401,679	191,602	207,643	365,542	3,112,183	KS S
Average	26,083	11,058	2,568	8,198	3,910	4,238	7,460	63,514	
						ΗÝ	TOTAL AVERAGE	3,112,183 63,514	
				\ 8	Variance (+/-)	9,527			
				15	15% Above	73,041			
				15	15% Below	53,987			
				Ab	Above Range	4			
				M	Mid Range	4			
				Be	Below Range	31			

AVERAGE CASELOAD Excludes Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC)	AOPC	C 2011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT							
DISTRICT COURT	CR	LN	PC	봈	20	17	MD	AVG	Variance
05-2-01 - Tara L. Smith	526	1,097	601	6,782	322	255	92	9,675	%66
05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela	819	879	635	4,136	440	146	06	7,145	47%
05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick	764	1,216	338	3,757	413	189	48	6,725	39%
05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	405	1,057	40	3,785	374	124	74	5,859	21%
05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel	406	991	251	2,048	347	213	58	4,314	-11%
05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak	748	948	395	1,780	895	479	293	5,538	14%
05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst	603	916	340	2,392	444	400	168	5,263	%8
05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield	409	751	717	3,563	179	107	37		19%
05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	699	1,478	109	4,090	269	435	94	7,144	47%
05-2-10 - Kim M. Hoots	630	854	78	3,264	337	694	97	5,954	23%
05-2-11 - Robert Barner	209	1,167	282	4,153	317	333	134	6,993	
05-2-12 - William K. Wagner	480	559	338	5,265	447	73	72	7,234	46%
05-2-13 - Eugene F. Riazzi, Jr.	602	2,526	47	2,158	618	735	142	6,935	43%
05-2-14 - Richard D. Olasz, Jr.	878	925	502	1,874	561	151	63	4,954	2%
05-2-15 - Thomas Torkowsky	711	1,784	221	3,220	303	224	51	6,514	34%
05-2-16 - Pat A. Capolupo	495	619	26	3,164	353	154	87	4,969	20/
05-2-17 - David J. Barton	438	260	87	3,624	341	212	41	5,303	%6
05-2-18 - John N. Bova	808	838	23	2,746	327	299	112	5,154	%9
05-2-19 - Blaise P. Larotonda	561	1,265	77	4,387	320	213	108	6,961	43%
05-2-20 - Robert C. Wyda	495	768	161	3,464	372	112	84	5,456	12%
05-2-21 - Maureen McGraw-Desmet	202	009	396	4,496	378	74	93	6,542	35%
05-2-22 - Gary M. Zyra	482	462	109	2,287	310	104	127	3,881	-20%
05-2-23 - Dennis R. Joyce	479	952	205	3,210	356	176	75	5,453	12%
05-2-25 - Mary P. Murray	839	1,049	153	3,485	631	216	114	6,487	34%
05-2-26 - Beth Scagline-Mills	239	516	71	1,233	486	88	73	2,706	-44%
05-2-27 - Eugene N. Ricciardi	1	803	9	2,275	427	202	93	4,171	-14%
05-2-28 - Oscar J. Petite, Jr.	2	899	334	728	514	994	40	3,280	-32%
05-2-31 - Ron N. Costa, Sr.	2	554	122	41	549	1,072	43	2,383	-51%
05-2-32 - Linda I. Zucco	343	349	133	1,271	260	206	119	2,681	-45%
05-2-35 - Nathan N. Firestone	1	431	38	919	380	294	129	2,192	~52 %
05-2-36 - James J. Hanley, Jr.	82	47	99	12	237	246	111	801	-84%
05-2-38 - James A. Motznik	138	632	134	180	388	298	89	1,838	-62%
05-2-40 - Derwin Rushing	2	268	147	204	347	593	09	1,921	%09-
05-2-42 - Robert P. Ravenstahl, Jr.	2	1,238	77	93	340	929	120	2,546	-48%
05-2-43 - Carla Swearingen	476	470	163	3,759	384	258	75	5,585	15%
05-2-46 - Regis C. Welsh, Jr.	430	446	293	4.802	517	44	83	6615	%9°

AVERAGE CASELOAD Excludes Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC)									
DISTRICT COURT	CR	LN L	PC	TR	CV	17	MD	AVG	Variance
05-2-47 - Scott H. Schricker	299	1,583	493	2,255	518	462	172	6,150	27%
05-3-02 - Robert L. Ford	147	247	17	4,951	125	40	09	5,587	15%
05-3-03 - David J. Sosovicka	468	672	199	3,719	260	25	95	5,470	13%
05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak	333	450	1,038	1,881	177	28	46	3,953	-19%
05-3-05 - Thomas G. Miller, Jr.	258	295	27	747	277	92	28	1,708	%59-
05-3-06 - Mary Ann Cercone	1,009	1,703	161	2,320	357	417	72	6,039	24%
05-3-09 - Armand A. Martin	670	1,838	761	1,613	290	169	117	5,758	19%
05-3-10 - Anthony Ceoffe	2	128	43	36	204	176	24	616	%18-
05-3-12 - Kevin E. Cooper	_	812	178	42	299	257	101	1,990	%65-
05-3-13 - Randy C. Martini		332	69	415	219	251	85	1,372	-72%
05-3-14 - Richard G. King	275	947	342	1,281	528	515	126	4,014	-17%
05-3-17 - Anthony W. Saveikis	425	716	151	5,285	601	198	06	7,466	24%
Total	20,444	40,406	11,324	123,492	18,668	14,340	4,384	233,058	
Average	426	842	236	2,573	389	299	91	4,855	
						- ∢	TOTAL AVERAGE	233,058 4,855	
				>	Variance (+/-)	486			
				10	10% above	5,341			
				1	10% below	4,370			
				A	Above Range	25			
				Σ	Mid Range	10			
				Ä	Below Range	18			

2	ב	
NO.	Š	
1	2	
700	2	
ING	>	
L	פ	
DAC	I	
1		
<	I	

AOPC 2011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT

Excludes Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC)).								
DISTRICT COURT	CR	LN	PC	TR	CV	1.1	MD	TOTAL	Variance
05-2-01 - Tara L. Smith	19,302	11,784	6,455	15,463	3,632	3,692	3,007	63,336	42%
05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela	30,086	9,440	6,815	9,429	4,963	2,114	2,931	65,778	48%
05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick	28,054	3,060	3,630	12,323	4,659	2,737	1,563	56,025	792
05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	14,859	11,347	433	8,630	4,219	1,796	2,410	43,693	-20%
05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel	14,914	10,643	2,694	4,669	3,914	3,084	1,889	41,807	%9-
05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak	27,467	10,182	4,242	5,838	10,096	6,936	9,543	74,304	%29
05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst	22,130	9,832	3,648	5,453	5,008	5,792	5,483	57,346	29%
05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield	15,012	8,069	7,704	8,123	2,019	1,549	1,205	43,681	-2%
05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	24,572	15,874	1,165	9,326	3,034	6,299	3,051	63,321	45%
05-2-10 - Kim M. Hoots	23,134	9,167	832	7,442	3,801	10,049	3,148	57,573	30%
05-2-11 - Robert Barner	22,301	12,534	3,025	9,468	3,576	4,822	4,364	060'09	35%
05-2-12 - William K. Wagner	17,638	5,998	3,630	12,003	5,042	1,057	2,334	47,702	1%
05-2-13 - Eugene F. Riazzi, Jr.	26,016	27,127	503	4,921	6,971	10,643	4,625	80,806	82%
05-2-14 - Richard D. Olasz, Jr.	32,252	9,931	5,391	4,272	6,328	2,186	2,063	62,424	40%
05-2-15 - Thomas Torkowsky	26,114	19,162	2,370	7,340	3,418	3,244	1,661	63,308	42%
05-2-16 - Pat A. Capolupo	18,189	6,643	1,036	7,214	3,982	2,230	2,844	42,138	-2%
05-2-17 - David J. Barton	16,096	6,016	934	8,263	3,846	3,070	1,346	39,571	10 mm 10 mm
05-2-18 - John N. Bova	29,706	9,000	245	6,261	3,689	4,330	3,637	26,867	78%
05-2-19 - Blaise P. Larotonda	20,600	13,583	827	10,003	3,948	3,084	3,507	55,552	72%
05-2-20 - Robert C. Wyda	18,177	8,248	1,729	11,362	4,196	1,622	2,736	48,070	8%
05-2-21 - Maureen McGraw-Desmet	18,537	6,446	4,253	10,250	4,264	1,072	3,029	47,850	8%
05-2-22 - Gary M. Zyra	17,711	4,962	1,167	5,214	3,497	1,506	4,126	38,183	-14%
05-2-23 - Dennis R. Joyce	17,595	10,219	2,203	7,319	4,016	2,548	2,443	46,343	4%
05-2-25 - Mary P. Murray	30,796	11,263	1,643	7,945	7,118	3,128	3,713	65,605	48%
05-2-26 - Beth Scagline-Mills	8,782	5,542	761	2,810	5,482	1,274	2,388	27,039	-39%
05-2-27 - Eugene N. Ricciardi	24	8,624	702	5,187	4,817	7,341	3,040	29,735	-33%
05-2-28 - Oscar J. Petite, Jr.	29	7,173	3,587	1,660	5,798	14,393	1,303	33,981	-24%
05-2-31 - Ron N. Costa, Sr.	61	5,945	1,312	94	6,193	15,523	1,390	30,517	-31%
05-2-32 - Linda I. Zucco	12,601	3,752	1,423	2,898	2,933	2,983	3,865	30,455	-31%
05-2-35 - Nathan N. Firestone	24	4,624	406	2,096	4,286	4,257	4,191	19,885	-25%
05-2-36 - James J. Hanley, Jr.	3,023	499	202	27	2,673	3,562	3,615	14,104	%89-
05-2-38 - James A. Motznik	5,055	6,788	1,443	410	4,377	4,315	2,204	24,592	-45%
05-2-40 - Derwin Rushing	73	2,880	1,575	1,149	3,914	8,587	1,954	20,132	-25%
05-2-42 - Robert P. Ravenstahl, Jr.	98	13,300	823	212	3,835	9,788	3,919	31,964	-28%
05-2-43 - Carla Swearingen	17,460	5,044	1,745	8,571	4,332	3,736	2,432	43,319	-3%
05-2-46 - Regis C. Welsh, Jr.	15,790	4,794	3,149	10,948	496	637	2,703	38,517	-13%

TOTAL Variar 69,448 69,448 69,448 700 912 75,454 800 64,350 7771 7,422 7771 7,422 768 14,519 45,514 45,016 785 2,133,785 975 44,454 81,454	AVERAGE WORKLOAD	AOF	℃ 2011-12	MAGISTE	AOPC 2011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT	RICT REE	STABLISH	MENT		+
Scott H. Schricker C4,504 17,000 5,289 5,141 5,211 6,680 5,613 69,448	Excludes Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PM									
Scott H. Schricker 24,504 17,000 5,289 5,141 6,211 6,690 5,613 69,448 Robert L. Ford 5,382 2,655 186 11,288 451 679 1,943 22,494 Strand J. Sosovicka 17,185 7,217 1,219 6,43 825 3,105 43,310 Stranne Blaschak 12,209 4,889 1,1704 8,70 4,704 8,70 4,700 Armand A. Martin 24,609 18,222 1,724 5,290 4,704 6,038 2,365 75,454 Armand A. Martin 24,609 18,222 1,724 5,290 4,704 6,038 2,366 75,452 Armand A. Martin 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,449 Rehald C. Martini 10,098 7,437 4,202 1,566 2,633 2,634 2,768 14,544 Arthory W. Savelids 15,606 7,827 12,051 2,233 2,867 4,454	DISTRICT COURT	CR	TN	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	TOTAL	Variance
Robert L. Ford 5,392 2,655 186 11,288 451 579 1,943 22,494 Bavid J. Sosovicka 17,185 7,217 2,137 12,198 643 825 3,105 43,310 Bavid J. Sosovicka 17,185 7,217 4,289 14,143 4,289 643 825 3,105 43,700 Indmax G. Miller, Jr. 9,486 3,168 294 1,704 857 1,100 912 17,522 Antrony Corfee 37,050 18,292 1,724 5,290 4,704 6,038 2,356 75,454 Antrony Corffee 1,377 460 1,377 462 82 2,447 3,800 64,350 Antrony Corffee 1,377 460 7,43 945 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 7,422 Rehard G. King 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,803 7,454 4,454 Anthony W. Saveikis 7,502 1,692 12,056 12,057	05-2-47 - Scott H. Schricker	24,504	17,000	5,289	5,141	5,211	069'9	5,613	69,448	26%
David J. Sosovicka 17,185 7,217 2,137 12,198 643 825 3,105 43,310 Suzanne Blaschak 12,209 4,829 11,143 4,289 316 4,529 1,704 6,1509 34,700 Suzanne Blaschak 12,209 4,829 1,143 4,289 316 1,522 3,700 Amy Ann Cerone 37,050 18,292 1,704 6,038 2,347 1,522 -1,724 Anthony Ceoffe 1,377 462 8,70 4,704 6,038 2,346 7,422 -1,724 -1,522 -1,724 -1,522 -1,724 -1,722 -1,722 -1,724 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722 -1,723 -1,724 -1,722 -1,724 -1,722 -1,724 -1,722 -1,724 -1,724 -1,722 -1,722 -1,723 -1,722 -1,724 -1,722 -1,722 -1,722	05-3-02 - Robert L. Ford	5,392	2,655	186	11,288	451	579	1,943	22,494	-49%
Suzame Blaschak 12,209 4,829 11,143 4,289 316 405 1,609 34,700	05-3-03 - David J. Sosovicka	17,185	7,217	2,137	12,198	643	825	3,105	43,310	-3%
Thomas G. Miller, Jr. 9,486 3,168 294 1,704 857 1,100 912 17,522 Mary Ann Cercone 37,050 18,292 1,724 5,290 4,704 6,038 2,356 75,454 Arthmord Coffee 19,705 18,774 8,170 3,678 1,906 2,447 3,800 64,350 Asthony Coffee 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,200 64,350 Reichard C. Martini 31 3,566 743 945 6,283 8,065 3,200 28,419 Reichard G. King 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 Anthony W. Saveikis 7,606 7,692 1,625 12,051 2,233 2,867 2,942 45,016 Anthony W. Saveikis 7,507 423,926 12,156 295,556 191,602 2,975 44,454 Anthony W. Saveikis 7,507 8,832 2,533 6,157 </td <th>05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak</th> <td>12,209</td> <td>4,829</td> <td>11,143</td> <td>4,289</td> <td>316</td> <td>405</td> <td>1,509</td> <td>34,700</td> <td>-22%</td>	05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak	12,209	4,829	11,143	4,289	316	405	1,509	34,700	-22%
Mary Ann Cercone 37,050 18,292 1,724 5,290 4,704 6,038 2,356 75,454 Armand A. Martin 24,609 19,740 8,170 3,678 1,906 2,447 3,800 64,350 Anthony Ceoffe 196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 Revin E. Cooper 37 8,724 1,915 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 Richard C. Martini 31 3,664 1,625 1,205 2,831 2,867 2,942 45,514 Anthony W. Saveikis 1,626 1,625 12,051 2,233 2,867 2,942 45,616 Anthony W. Saveikis 15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 44,454 ANERAGE 15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 44,454 Above Range 17 ANERAGE 17 A,454 A Ab	05-3-05 - Thomas G. Miller, Jr.	9,486	3,168	294	1,704	857	1,100	912	17,522	-61%
Armand A. Martin 24,609 19,740 8,170 3,678 1,906 2,447 3,800 64,350 Anthony Ceoffe 196 1,377 462 82 1,986 2,548 771 7,422 Revin E. Cooper 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 -74 7,422 Randy C. Martini 31 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 -745 Anthony W. Savelkis 16,606 7,692 1,626 1,2051 2,233 2,834 45,514 Anthony W. Savelkis 15,60 7,692 1,656 1,2051 4,454 4,454 Anthony W. Savelkis 15,60 7,692 1,2051 2,233 2,867 2,942 4,454 Anthony W. Savelkis 15,60 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 44,454 Anthony W. Savelkis 15,800 1,122 1,122 1,122 1,122 1	05-3-06 - Mary Ann Cercone	37,050	18,292	1,724	5,290	4,704	6,038	2,356	75,454	%02
Anthony Geoffe 196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 -8 Kevin E. Cooper 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 -3 Randy C. Martini 31 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 -6 Richard C. King 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 -6 Anthony W. Saveikis 15,606 7,692 1,625 12,051 2,233 2,867 2,942 45,016 -6 Anthony W. Saveikis 15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 44,454 Anter Rage 15,840 37,786 37,786 44,454 44,454 44,454 Above Range 17 Average 17 Average 17 Average 17 Range 16 16 16 17 16	05-3-09 - Armand A. Martin	24,609	19,740	8,170	3,678	1,906	2,447	3,800	64,350	45%
Kevin E. Cooper 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 -3 Randy C. Martini 31 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 -6 Richard G. King 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 -6 Anthony W. Saveikis 15,640 7,692 1,625 12,051 2,233 2,867 2,942 45,14 -6 Anthony W. Saveikis 750,707 423,926 12,051 2,233 2,867 2,942 45,14 Anthony W. Saveikis 750,707 423,926 12,051 2,233 2,867 2,942 44,454 Anthony W. Saveikis 7,0643 142,785 2,133,785 7,122 44,454 45,454 Anthony W. Saveikis 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 44,454 Anthony W. Saveikis 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,32	05-3-10 - Anthony Ceoffe	196	1,377	462	82	1,985	2,548	771	7,422	-83%
Randy C. Martini 31 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 -6 Richard G. King 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 -6 Anthony W. Saveikis 15,606 7,692 1,625 12,051 2,233 2,867 2,942 45,016 -6 Anthony W. Saveikis 15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,972 44,454 Associated and Anthony W. Saveikis 15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 44,454 Associated and Anthony W. Saveikis 15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 44,454 Associated and Anthony W. Saveikis 15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 44,454 Associated and Anthony W. Saveikis 15,122 11,122 11,122 12,122 12,122 12,122 12,122 12,122	05-3-12 - Kevin E. Cooper	37	8,724	1,915	95	6,283	8,065	3,300	28,419	-36%
Anthony W. Saveikis 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 45,614 45,514 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 45,616 44,654 44,654 44,654 44,654 44,654 44,654 44,654 44,654 44,454 <th< td=""><th>05-3-13 - Randy C. Martini</th><td>31</td><td>3,566</td><td>743</td><td>945</td><td>2,831</td><td>3,634</td><td>2,768</td><td>14,519</td><td>%29-</td></th<>	05-3-13 - Randy C. Martini	31	3,566	743	945	2,831	3,634	2,768	14,519	%29-
Anthony W. Saveikis 15,606 7,692 1,625 12,051 2,233 2,867 2,942 45,016 750,707 423,926 121,566 295,556 191,602 207,643 142,785 2,133,785 15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 44,454 TOTAL 2,133,785 AVERAGE 44,454 15% Above 51,122 44,454 15% Below 37,786 44,454 Above Range 17 Mild Range 17 Mild Range 15 Mild Range 16	05-3-14 - Richard G. King	10,098	10,171	3,673	4,202	5,809	7,457	4,104	45,514	29/0
750,707 423,926 121,566 295,556 191,602 207,643 142,785 15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 TOTAL AVERAGE 15,840 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 TOTAL AVERAGE 15% Below Range 15 Below Range 16	05-3-17 - Anthony W. Saveikis	15,606	7,692	1,625	12,051	2,233	2,867	2,942	45,016	%
15,640 8,832 2,533 6,157 3,992 4,326 2,975 TOTAL AVERAGE Variance (+/-) 6,668 15% Above 71,786 Above Range 17 Mid Range 15 Below Range 15	Total	750,707	423,926	121,566	295,556	191,602	207,643	142,785	2,133,785	
TOTAL AVERAGE 6,668 51,122 37,786 17 16	Average	15,640	8,832	2,533	6,157	3,992	4,326	2,975	44,454	
							ΡĀ	OTAL VERAGE	2,133,785 44,454	
					8	rriance (+/-)	6,668			
					15	% Above	51,122			
					15	% Below	37,786			
eg					Ab	ove Range	17			
					M	d Range	50			
					Be	low Range	16			

AVERAGE CASELOAD City of Pittsburgh MDJ's and Pittsburgh Municipal C	Sourt	OPC 2011	-12 MAGIS	AOPC 2011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT (PMC)	STRICT RE	ESTABLI	SHMENT	
DISTRICT COURT	CR	LN	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	AVG
05-0-03 - PMC	14,361	10,981	396	46,545	0	0	6,839	79,122
05-2-27 - Eugene N. Ricciardi	1	803	65	2,275	427	202	93	4,171
05-2-28 - Oscar J. Petite, Jr.	2	899	334	728	514	994	40	3,280
05-2-31 - Ron N. Costa, Sr.	2	554	122	41	549	1,072	43	2,383
05-2-35 - Nathan N. Firestone	1	431	38	919	380	294	129	2,192
05-2-36 - James J. Hanley, Jr.	82	47	99	12	237	246	111	801
05-2-38 - James A. Motznik	138	632	134	180	388	298	89	1,838
05-2-40 - Derwin Rushing	2	268	147	504	347	593	09	1,921
05-2-42 - Robert P. Ravenstahl, Jr.	2	1,238	77	93	340	929	120	2,546
05-2-43 - Carla Swearingen	476	470	163	3,759	384	258	75	5,585
05-3-10 - Anthony Ceoffe	5	128	43	36	204	176	24	616
05-3-12 - Kevin E. Cooper	1	812	178	42	299	222	101	1,990
05-3-13 - Randy C. Martini	1	332	69	415	219	251	85	1,372
05-3-14 - Richard G. King	275	947	342	1,281	528	515	126	4,014
							TOTAL	111,831

7,988

AVERAGE

DISTRICT COURT CR	IRT CV LT MD TOTAL Variance (+/) IRT CR NT PC TR CV LT MD TOTAL Variance (+/) action 527,336 117,934 4,248 106,123 CO C C 222,757 978,398 action 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,333 1,303 33,881 L 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,333 1,303 33,881 L 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,304 29,538 L 5,046 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,304 20,517 In 73 2,880 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 20,518 In 7,460 5,044 1,743 4,315 3,562 3,516 2,204 2,316 In 1,377 4,62	AVERAGE WORKLOAD	AOI	PC 2011-12	MAGISTE	AOPC 2011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT	RICT REE	STABLISH	MENT		
IRT CR NT PC TR CV LT MD TOTAL Variance (+/) ardi 527,336 117,934 4,248 106,123 0 0 222,757 978,398 Jr. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 Lone 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 Lone 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 1,4393 1,303 33,981 Lone 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 1,439 3,981 Lone 67 7,173 3,587 1,439 4,104 4,257 4,104 1,439 3,587 1,410 1,439 3,587 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410 1,410<	IRT CK ITR CV LT MD TOTAL Variance (+/-) ardi 527,336 117,934 4,248 106,123 0 0 222,757 978,398 ardi 527,336 117,934 4,248 106,123 0 0 222,757 978,398 Jr. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,881 f. 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,623 1,303 33,881 j. 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,623 1,303 30,517 j. 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,623 1,194 14,104 j. 61 7,34 4,224 4,194 4,314 4,104 4,104 j. 65 6,78 4,345 4,104 1,104 3,104 8,587 4,104 1,104 stable 1,343 4,257 <th>City of Pittsburgh MDJ's and Pittsburgh M</th> <th>unicipal Court (</th> <th>MC)</th> <th></th> <th>September 1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	City of Pittsburgh MDJ's and Pittsburgh M	unicipal Court (MC)		September 1					
ardi 527,336 117,934 4,248 106,123 0 0 222,757 978,398 Jr. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 r. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 r. 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,303 33,981 r. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 r. 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,303 33,981 r. 70 2,048 7,048 4,248 4,191 19,885 r. 73 2,886 1,374 4,143 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 r. 86 13,300 823 2,13 3,196 2,432 4,331 r. 196 1,377 462	ardi 527,336 117,934 4,248 106,123 0 0 222,757 978,398 Jr. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 r. 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,390 30,517 cone 24 4,624 4,064 2,096 4,286 1,393 1,300 30,517 ch. 6.05 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,191 19,885 r. 6,78 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,043 3,1964 stahl, Jr. 86 1,300 823 2,12 3,914 8,587 1,964 20,132 stahl, Jr. 86 1,377 462 8,571 4,325 3,736 2,432 r. 196 1,377 4,242 3,835 3,736 2,432 4,319 r. 31 3,666 1,374 8,587 <t< th=""><th>DISTRICT COURT</th><th>CR</th><th>IN</th><th>PC</th><th>TR</th><th>CV</th><th>17</th><th>MD</th><th>TOTAL</th><th>Variance</th></t<>	DISTRICT COURT	CR	IN	PC	TR	CV	17	MD	TOTAL	Variance
ardi 24 8,624 702 5,187 4,817 7,341 3,040 29,735 Jr. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 r. 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,305 30,517 f. Jr. 3,023 4,624 406 2,096 4,286 4,257 4,191 19,885 j. 3,023 6,788 1,443 4,17 2,1673 3,562 3,615 14,104 istahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 21 2,673 3,562 2,432 24,592 r 73 2,880 1,443 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 stahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 31,664 r 37 8,724 1,915 8,571 4,332 2,548 771 7,422 r 37 8,72	ardi 24 8,624 702 5,187 4,817 7,341 3,040 29,735 Jr. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 r. 61 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 cone 24 4,624 4,064 2,096 2,096 4,286 1,552 1,390 30,517 chor 2,044 1,675 1,149 3,77 4,181 19,885 chor 7,3 2,880 1,575 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,964 20,132 stahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 2,12 3,635 9,788 3,919 31,964 n 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 n 31 8,724 1,945 8,571 4,322 3,736 2,432 43,319 n 31 <	05-0-03 - PMC	527,336		4,248	106,123	0	0	222,757	978,398	936%
Jr. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 6,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 f.one 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,390 30,517 fone 2,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,390 30,517 i 3,023 499 705 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 instahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 2,14 8,587 1,954 20,132 sn 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 i 3,1 8,524 1,985 2,548 7,422 43,319 i 3,566 7,43 3,636 3,309 28,419 i 3,1 8,574 4,325 3,638 2,548 4,519 i <th>Jr. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 cone 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 16,523 1,390 30,517 cone 24 6,193 4,286 4,257 4,191 19,885 r, Jr. 3,023 4,99 705 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 4,10 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 stahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 2,12 3,914 8,587 1,964 20,132 r 136 1,377 462 8,571 4,332 3,786 2,432 43,319 r 136 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 r 3,1 3,566 743 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 r 3,1 3,566 743</th> <th>05-2-27 - Eugene N. Ricciardi</th> <td>24</td> <td>8,624</td> <td>702</td> <td>5,187</td> <td>4,817</td> <td>7,341</td> <td>3,040</td> <td>29,735</td> <td>%69-</td>	Jr. 67 7,173 3,587 1,660 5,798 14,393 1,303 33,981 cone 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 16,523 1,390 30,517 cone 24 6,193 4,286 4,257 4,191 19,885 r, Jr. 3,023 4,99 705 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 4,10 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 stahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 2,12 3,914 8,587 1,964 20,132 r 136 1,377 462 8,571 4,332 3,786 2,432 43,319 r 136 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 r 3,1 3,566 743 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 r 3,1 3,566 743	05-2-27 - Eugene N. Ricciardi	24	8,624	702	5,187	4,817	7,341	3,040	29,735	%69-
r. 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,390 30,517 f.Jr. 3,023 4,624 406 2,096 4,286 4,257 4,191 19,885 ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 is 773 2,880 1,575 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 sn 773 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 20,132 sn 774 1,575 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 sn 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 4,337 i 3,746 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 i 3,563 1,385 8,651 4,104 45,514 4,104 45,514 i 3,563 2,580 7,457 4,104 45,514<	r. 61 5,945 1,312 94 6,193 15,523 1,390 30,517 tone 24 4,624 406 2,096 4,286 4,267 4,191 19,885 t, Ju. 3,023 499 705 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 istabl, Jr. 86 1,350 823 212 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 an 17,460 6,044 1,745 8,571 4,375 3,918 3,919 31,964 an 137 462 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,433 3,196 2,433 i 3,106 1,377 462 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,433 3,196 3,310 2,8419 i 3,109 1,109 3,573 4,202 5,803 7,457 4,104 4,5104	05-2-28 - Oscar J. Petite, Jr.	29	7,173	3,587	1,660	5,798	14,393	1,303	33,981	-64%
tone 24 4,624 406 2,096 4,286 4,257 4,191 19,885 ik 3,023 499 705 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 1 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 1 8 1,43 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 1 8 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 1 8 1,443 41 4,322 3,914 8,587 1,964 2,432 </td <th>tone 24 4,624 406 2,096 4,286 4,267 4,191 19,885 ik 3,023 499 705 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,056 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 stable 73 2,880 1,575 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 sn 73 2,880 1,575 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 sn 74 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 13 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 s 3,566 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,831 7,457 4,104 s 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 4,517 s 10,098 10,171 3,673</th> <th>05-2-31 - Ron N. Costa, Sr.</th> <td>19</td> <td>5,945</td> <td>1,312</td> <td>94</td> <td>6,193</td> <td>15,523</td> <td>1,390</td> <td>30,517</td> <td>%89-</td>	tone 24 4,624 406 2,096 4,286 4,267 4,191 19,885 ik 3,023 499 705 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,056 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 stable 73 2,880 1,575 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 sn 73 2,880 1,575 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 sn 74 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 13 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 s 3,566 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,831 7,457 4,104 s 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 4,517 s 10,098 10,171 3,673	05-2-31 - Ron N. Costa, Sr.	19	5,945	1,312	94	6,193	15,523	1,390	30,517	%89-
ik 3,023 499 706 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 stath, Jr. 86 13,300 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 20,132 an 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 20,132 r 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 i 31 3,566 743 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 i 31 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,467 4,104 45,514 r 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,467 4,104 45,514 R 14,170 14,170 <th>jk 3,023 499 705 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 stahl, Jr. 86 1,320 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 20,132 stahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 20,132 sn 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 s 3,566 743 4,202 5,803 7,457 4,104 45,514 s 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,803 7,457 4,104 45,514 r 4,628 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,464 s 4,628 5,803 7,457 4,104 45,</th> <th>05-2-35 - Nathan N. Firestone</th> <td>24</td> <td>4,624</td> <td>406</td> <td>2,096</td> <td>4,286</td> <td>4,257</td> <td>4,191</td> <td>19,885</td> <td>%62-</td>	jk 3,023 499 705 27 2,673 3,562 3,615 14,104 ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 stahl, Jr. 86 1,320 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 20,132 stahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 20,132 sn 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 s 3,566 743 4,202 5,803 7,457 4,104 45,514 s 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,803 7,457 4,104 45,514 r 4,628 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,464 s 4,628 5,803 7,457 4,104 45,	05-2-35 - Nathan N. Firestone	24	4,624	406	2,096	4,286	4,257	4,191	19,885	%62-
ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 stathl, Jr. 86 1,575 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 stathl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 31,964 sh 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 i 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 i 31 3,566 743 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 i 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 i 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,464 i 4,680 4,202 4,104 45,104 45,5	ik 5,055 6,788 1,443 410 4,377 4,315 2,204 24,592 sstahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 2,14 8,587 1,954 20,132 shahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 31,964 shahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 31,964 shahl, Jr. 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 196 6,048 8,074 771 7,422 43,319 shahl 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 shahl 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 shahl 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 shahl 14,700 14,170 14,170 <th>05-2-36 - James J. Hanley, Jr.</th> <td>3,023</td> <td>499</td> <td>202</td> <td>27</td> <td>2,673</td> <td>3,562</td> <td>3,615</td> <td>14,104</td> <td>-85%</td>	05-2-36 - James J. Hanley, Jr.	3,023	499	202	27	2,673	3,562	3,615	14,104	-85%
nestahl, Jr. 86 1,575 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 and 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 and 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 i 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 i 31 3,566 743 945 2,831 2,768 14,519 i 31 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 AVERAGE 94,464 14,170 14,170 14,170 14,170	setabl, Jr. 86 1,576 1,149 3,914 8,587 1,954 20,132 and 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 and 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 r 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 s 3,566 743 4,620 5,804 7,457 4,104 45,514 r 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 r 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 r 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,614 r 15% below 10,863	05-2-38 - James A. Motznik	5,055	6,788	1,443	410	4,377	4,315	2,204	24,592	-74%
setahl, Jr. 86 13,300 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 31,964 en 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 196 1,377 462 8,571 4,328 2,548 771 7,422 r 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 i 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 AVERAGE 14,170 14,170 14,170 14,170 14,170	sea 13,300 823 212 3,835 9,788 3,919 31,964 sea 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 r 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 i 31 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 i 3,566 743 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 r 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 AVERAGE 94,464 15% above 10,171 14,170 15% above 10,8634 15% below 80,295	05-2-40 - Derwin Rushing	73	2,880	1,575	1,149	3,914	8,587	1,954	20,132	%64-
en 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 r 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 s 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 s 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 TOTAL 1,322,501 AVERAGE 94,464 15% above 108,634 15% below 108,634	en 17,460 5,044 1,745 8,571 4,332 3,736 2,432 43,319 r 196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 r 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 i 31 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 i 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 Average 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 Average 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 f 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,104 45,514 4,104 f 10,098 10,171 10,171 10,171 10,171 10,171 f 10,098 10,171 10,171 10,171 10,171 10,171	05-2-42 - Robert P. Ravenstahl, Jr.	98	13,300	823	212	3,835	9,788	3,919	31,964	%99-
196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422 1	196 1,377 462 82 1,985 2,548 771 7,422	05-2-43 - Carla Swearingen	17,460	5,044	1,745	8,571	4,332	3,736	2,432	43,319	-54%
i 37 8,724 1,915 95 6,283 8,065 3,300 28,419 i 31 3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 i 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 TOTAL 1,322,501 AVERAGE 94,464 15% above 108,634 15% below 80,295	10,098	05-3-10 - Anthony Ceoffe	196	1,377	462	82	1,985	2,548	771	7,422	-92%
3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 10,098 10,171 14,170 15% above 108,634 15% below 80,295 15% above 108,634 15% above 15	3,566 743 945 2,831 3,634 2,768 14,519 10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514	05-3-12 - Kevin E. Cooper	37	8,724	1,915	96	6,283	8,065	3,300	28,419	%04-
10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514 452,501	10,098 10,171 3,673 4,202 5,809 7,457 4,104 45,514	05-3-13 - Randy C. Martini	31	3,566	743	945	2,831	3,634	2,768	14,519	-85%
TOTAL 1,3 AVERAGE 14,170 108,634 80,295	TOTAL 1,3 AVERAGE 14,170 108,634 80,295	05-3-14 - Richard G. King	10,098	10,171	3,673	4,202	5,809	7,457	4,104	45,514	-52%
AVERAGE 14,170 108,634 80,295	AVERAGE 14,170 108,634 80,295							-	OTAL	1,322,501	
_	-							A	VERAGE	94,464	
_	-					N	triance (+/-)	14.170			
						15	% above	108,634			
						15	% pelow	80,295			

	AVERAGE CASELOAD Excludes City of Pittsburgh MDJ's and Pittsburgh M	in	unicipal Court (PMC)	ZIMAGISI	EKIAL DIS	AUPC 2011-12 MAGIS LEKIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT cipal Court (PMC)	SIABLIS	IMEN	
See	DISTRICT COURT		TN		TR	CV	17	MD	AVG
STATE STAT	OF 2 Od Town County	000	4 000	700			C		Caseload
Fig. 10 Fig.	UD-Z-U1 - Tara L. Smith	070	1,097	100	0,/82	377	GG7	35	9,675
764 1,216 338 3,785 374 189 48 406 1,067 40 3,786 374 124 74 406 1,067 204 3,786 374 213 58 406 991 251 2,048 347 213 58 406 751 363 1,780 895 479 107 37 669 1,478 109 4,090 269 435 94 37 694 97 669 1,478 109 4,090 269 435 94 97 374 400 <td>05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela</td> <td>819</td> <td>879</td> <td>635</td> <td>4,136</td> <td>440</td> <td>146</td> <td>90</td> <td>7,145</td>	05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela	819	879	635	4,136	440	146	90	7,145
406 1057 40 3,788 374 124 74 406 994 3.61 1,788 347 124 74 406 994 3.65 1,780 896 479 268 603 916 396 1,780 896 479 168 603 916 396 1,784 400 168 603 1,67 282 4,44 400 168 603 1,67 282 4,153 337 694 97 603 854 78 3,264 337 694 97 603 854 78 4,153 317 284 97 709 2,526 47 77 3,27 333 1,147 77 47 806 87 47 3,28 3,24 351 1,12 41 807 1,144 97 3,624 327 1,12 41	05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick	764		338	3,757	413	189	48	6,725
406 991 251 2,046 347 213 56 603 948 395 1,780 895 479 293 603 751 717 3,563 174 400 168 606 1478 109 4,090 269 435 94 607 1,167 282 4,153 317 333 134 607 1,167 282 4,153 317 333 134 607 1,167 282 4,158 317 333 134 608 1,167 282 4,158 317 333 134 609 1,167 282 4,158 317 333 134 600 2,526 47 2,158 618 775 142 600 2,526 647 2,158 618 775 142 601 1,266 777 4,387 3,524 3,52 144 602 2,526 679 3,624 3,78 144 83 603 2,526 679 3,64 3,72 112 84 604 1,266 777 4,387 3,64 3,78 176 175 605 1,049 1,58 3,48 611 2,20 109 607 1,48 672 1,28 4,802 617 44 607 1,583 4,802 617 125 40 608 1,049 1,58 4,802 617 125 40 609 1,039 1,041 1,613 3,79 1,04 609 1,039 1,041 1,613 2,20 3,71 1,613 609 1,039 1,041 1,613 2,20 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,613 2,20 3,71 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,613 2,20 3,71 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,613 2,20 3,71 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,613 2,20 3,71 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,613 2,20 3,71 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,613 2,20 3,71 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,613 2,20 3,71 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,613 2,20 3,71 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,613 2,20 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 600 1,039 7,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 1,04 600 1,009 1,004 1,004 1,004 1,004 1,04 1,04 600 1,009 1,004 1,004 1,004 1,004 1,004 1,004	05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	405	1,057	40	3,785	374	124	74	5,859
46 946 395 1,780 895 479 293 400 761 340 2,392 444 400 168 400 761 717 3,563 179 400 168 669 1,478 109 4,090 269 437 94 669 1,478 109 4,090 269 437 94 669 1,478 109 4,090 269 437 94 669 1,478 109 4,090 269 437 144 660 1,467 282 4,153 317 273 144 480 2,526 47 77 4,158 661 87 1,144 87 870 2,526 47 77 4,187 361 1,12 1,12 870 2,526 47 77 4,387 3,24 372 1,12 870 60 87 1,24 <t< td=""><td>05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel</td><td>406</td><td>991</td><td>251</td><td>2,048</td><td>347</td><td>213</td><td>58</td><td>4,314</td></t<>	05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel	406	991	251	2,048	347	213	58	4,314
603 916 340 2,392 444 400 168 409 409 409 409 409 409 409 409 569 435 94 95 94 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak	748	948	395	1,780	895	479	293	5,538
Color Colo	05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst	603	916	340	2,392	444	400	168	
Separation	05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield	409	751	717	3,563	179	107	37	5,763
630 854 78 3,264 337 694 97 480 1,167 282 4,153 317 333 134 480 2,526 47 2,156 618 735 142 709 2,526 47 2,158 618 735 142 871 1,784 221 3,220 303 2,24 61 495 619 97 3,164 353 154 87 496 838 23 2,746 341 2,12 41 890 838 23 2,746 341 2,12 41 495 768 161 3,464 372 112 84 495 768 161 3,464 372 112 84 495 768 161 3,464 372 112 84 470 952 205 3,210 356 176 77 430 4,496 3,210 356 176 77 430 4,496 3,210 3,485 631 2,16 17 430 4,496 3,210 3,485 631 2,16 17 430 4,496 3,719 2,60 5,7 46 667 1,583 4,951 1,271 2,60 57 2,8 480 672 1,038 1,271 2,77 76 2,8 480 4,801 1,703 161 2,320 3,57 117 425 7,18 7,18 7,18 7,18 426 1,703 161 2,320 3,57 108 108 427 1,099 1,703 161 5,285 601 198 90 100 1,703 161 5,285 601 198 90 100 1,704 151 5,285 601 198 90 100 1,704 151 5,285 601 198 90 100 1,704 151 5,285 601 198 90 100 1,704 151 5,285 601 108 107 100 1,703 161 5,285 601 198 90 100 1,704 151 5,285 601 198 90 100 1,704 151 1,613 1,614 1,	05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	699	1,478	109	4,090	269	435	94	7,144
Simple S	05-2-10 - Kim M. Hoots	630	854	78	3,264	337	694	16	5,954
480 559 338 5,265 447 73 72 709 2,526 47 2,158 618 735 142 711 1,784 221 3,220 303 224 51 495 619 97 3,624 353 154 87 809 838 23 2,746 327 299 112 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 108 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 112 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 112 561 1,265 161 3,464 378 74 91 561 1,265 161 3,464 378 74 91 562 160 3,664 3,78 378 112 44 565 600 396 4,469 373 14 17 482	05-2-11 - Robert Barner	209	1,167	282	4,153	317	333	134	6,993
709 2,526 47 2,158 618 735 142 878 925 502 1,874 561 151 63 711 1,784 221 3,220 303 224 51 438 660 87 3,220 303 154 87 809 838 23 2,746 327 299 112 561 1,265 77 4,387 360 213 108 561 1,265 77 4,387 360 213 112 495 768 161 3,464 372 112 84 496 76 161 3,464 372 112 84 480 60 396 4,496 372 112 84 480 1,049 153 3,485 631 216 17 430 1,049 153 3,485 631 246 17 440	05-2-12 - William K. Wagner	480	929	338	5,265	447	73	72	7,234
small 925 502 1,874 561 151 63 711 1,784 221 3,20 303 224 51 495 619 97 3,164 353 154 87 809 838 23 2,746 327 213 41 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 102 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 102 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 102 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 102 495 768 3,464 372 112 84 479 4,62 109 2,287 310 104 127 479 4,62 109 2,287 31 16 17 483 462 103 4,802 88 73 14 496 430	05-2-13 - Eugene F. Riazzi, Jr.	602		47	2,158	618	735	142	6,935
sinet 711 1,784 221 3,220 303 224 51 495 619 97 3,164 353 154 87 809 838 560 87 3,624 341 212 41 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 112 41 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 108 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 112 84 495 600 396 4,496 378 74 93 486 600 396 4,496 378 75 75 479 952 205 3,210 356 176 75 470 952 205 3,210 356 76 77 430 446 293 4,802 671 44 83 667 1,583 493 2,255 518	05-2-14 - Richard D. Olasz, Jr.	878	925	502	1,874	561	151	63	4,954
495 619 97 3,164 353 154 87 438 560 87 3,624 341 212 41 809 838 23 2,746 327 299 112 438 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 108 496 768 161 3,464 372 112 84 496 600 396 4,496 378 74 93 470 952 205 3,210 378 74 93 479 952 205 3,210 356 176 75 479 952 205 3,210 356 77 74 83 479 952 206 3,21 260 206 119 73 433 4,60 1,33 4,802 61 462 172 466 677 4,961 127 462 172	05-2-15 - Thomas Torkowsky	711	_	221	3,220	303	224	51	6,514
438 560 87 3,624 341 212 41 809 838 23 2,746 327 299 112 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 108 450 768 161 3,464 372 112 84 482 600 396 4,496 378 74 93 479 952 206 3,210 356 176 75 479 952 206 3,486 631 75 75 439 1,049 153 3,486 631 76 75 430 436 4,802 517 44 83 430 4,802 518 46 60 667 1,583 4,802 518 46 60 667 1,583 4,802 518 46 60 468 672 17 4,951 125 40 <t< td=""><td>05-2-16 - Pat A. Capolupo</td><td>495</td><td>619</td><td>26</td><td>3,164</td><td>353</td><td>154</td><td>87</td><td>4,969</td></t<>	05-2-16 - Pat A. Capolupo	495	619	26	3,164	353	154	87	4,969
809 838 23 2,746 327 299 112 smet 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 108 smet 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 108 smet 505 600 396 4,496 378 74 93 smet 505 600 396 4,496 378 74 93 smet 505 600 396 4,496 378 74 93 smet 482 462 109 2,287 310 104 127 smet 439 1,633 3,485 631 216 114 smet 430 1,533 4,862 517 4,961 177 smet 677 1,633 2,255 518 462 172 smet 458 67 1,613 1,613 590 177 46 smet	05-2-17 - David J. Barton	438	260	87	3,624	341	212	41	5,303
senet 561 1,265 77 4,387 350 213 108 semet 495 768 161 3,464 372 112 84 semet 505 600 396 4,496 372 112 84 semet 505 600 396 4,496 378 74 93 semet 482 462 109 2,287 310 104 127 semet 482 462 109 2,287 310 104 127 semet 479 952 205 3,210 356 176 176 semet 430 1,633 4,802 617 44 83 semet 667 1,583 493 2,255 618 46 83 semet 672 193 3,719 260 57 46 46 semet 672 1,038 1,638 74 72 46	05-2-18 - John N. Bova	808	838	23	2,746	327	299	112	5,154
simet 506 768 161 3,464 372 112 84 semet 505 600 396 4,496 378 74 93 482 462 109 2,287 310 104 127 479 952 205 3,210 356 176 75 839 1,049 153 3,485 631 216 174 239 516 71 1,233 486 88 73 430 446 293 4,802 517 44 83 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 46 60 468 677 17 4,951 125 40 60 468 677 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 833 450 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 800 1,009 1,703 161 2,285 601 169 <td>05-2-19 - Blaise P. Larotonda</td> <td>561</td> <td>1,265</td> <td>77</td> <td>4,387</td> <td>320</td> <td>213</td> <td>108</td> <td>6,961</td>	05-2-19 - Blaise P. Larotonda	561	1,265	77	4,387	320	213	108	6,961
simet 505 600 396 4,496 378 74 93 482 462 109 2,287 310 104 127 839 1,049 153 3,210 356 176 75 239 516 71 1,233 486 88 73 430 516 71 1,233 486 88 73 430 433 1,271 260 206 119 667 1,583 4,802 517 44 83 667 1,583 4,802 518 46 60 468 677 17 4,951 46 60 468 677 199 3,719 260 57 95 458 672 199 3,719 207 76 28 46 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761	05-2-20 - Robert C. Wyda	495	768	161	3,464	372	112	84	5,456
482 462 109 2,287 310 104 127 479 952 205 3,210 356 176 75 839 1,049 153 3,485 631 216 114 239 516 71 1,233 486 88 73 430 446 293 4,802 517 44 83 667 1,583 493 2,256 518 46 83 667 1,583 493 2,256 518 46 83 468 677 17 4,951 125 40 60 468 677 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 90 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90	05-2-21 - Maureen McGraw-Desmet	202	009	396	4,496	378	74	93	6,542
479 952 205 3,210 356 176 75 839 1,049 153 3,485 631 216 114 239 516 71 1,233 486 88 73 343 349 133 1,271 260 206 119 667 1,583 493 2,255 517 44 83 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 46 172 147 247 17 4,951 125 40 60 468 672 199 3,719 260 57 95 468 672 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 1,009 1,709 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 601 169 90 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90	05-2-22 - Gary M. Zyra	482	462	109	2,287	310	104	127	3,881
839 1,049 153 3,485 631 216 114 114 239 516 71 1,233 486 88 73 343 349 133 1,271 260 206 119 430 446 293 4,802 517 44 83 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 46 172 468 672 19 3,719 260 57 95 468 672 1,99 3,719 260 57 95 258 295 27 747 27 76 28 46 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 163 117 425 716 1,613 5,285 601 198 90	05-2-23 - Dennis R. Joyce	479	952	205	3,210	356	176	75	5,453
239 516 71 1,233 486 88 73 343 343 349 1,271 260 206 119 430 446 293 4,802 517 44 83 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 462 172 468 672 17 4,951 125 40 60 468 672 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 258 295 27 74 72 78 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 90 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90	05-2-25 - Mary P. Murray	839	1,049	153	3,485	631	216	114	6,487
343 349 133 1,271 260 206 119 430 446 293 4,802 517 44 83 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 462 172 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 46 60 468 672 19 3,719 260 57 46 333 450 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 258 295 27 747 27 76 28 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 5,285 601 198 90 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90	05-2-26 - Beth Scagline-Mills	239	516	71	1,233	486	88	73	2,706
430 446 293 4,802 517 44 83 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 462 172 468 672 17 4,951 125 40 60 468 672 1,93 3,719 260 57 95 258 296 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 258 296 27 74 27 76 28 400 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 90 425 716 5,285 601 198 90	05-2-32 - Linda I. Zucco	343	349	133	1,271	260	206	119	2,681
667 1,583 493 2,255 518 462 172 147 247 17 4,951 125 40 60 468 672 199 3,719 260 57 95 258 295 27 747 277 76 28 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 425 716 5,285 601 198 90	05-2-46 - Regis C. Welsh, Jr.	430	446	293	4,802	217	44	83	6,615
468 672 199 3,719 260 57 95 468 672 1,938 1,881 177 28 46 258 295 27 747 277 76 28 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 425 716 5,285 601 198 90 TOTAL	05-2-47 - Scott H. Schricker	299	1,583	493	2,255	518	462	172	6,150
468 672 199 3,719 260 57 95 333 450 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 258 295 27 747 277 76 28 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 TOTAL	05-3-02 - Robert L. Ford	147	247	17		125	40	09	5,587
333 450 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 258 295 27 747 27 76 28 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 TOTAL	05-3-03 - David J. Sosovicka	468	672	199		260	22	96	5,470
258 295 27 747 277 76 28 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 TOTAL	05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak	333	450	1,038	1,881	177	28	46	3,953
1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 TOTAL	05-3-05 - Thomas G. Miller, Jr.	258	295	27	747	277	92	28	1,708
670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 TOTAL AVERAGE	05-3-06 - Mary Ann Cercone	1,009	1,703	161	2,320	357	417	72	6,039
425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 TOTAL TOTAL AVERAGE	05-3-09 - Armand A. Martin	029	1,838	761	1,613	290	169	117	5,758
	05-3-17 - Anthony W. Saveikis	425	716	151	5,285	601	198	06	7,466
									200,349
							d	VFRAGE	5724

(a.m.) and a man of the control of t			10						The second second
DISTRICT COURT	CR	TN	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	TOTAL	Variance
05-2-01 - Tara L. Smith	19,302	11,784	6,455	15,463	3,632	3,692	3,007	63,336	24%
05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela	30,086	9,440	6,815	9,429	4,963	2,114	2,931	65,778	29%
05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick	28,054	3,060	3,630	12,323	4,659	2,737	1,563	56,025	10%
05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	14,859	11,347	433	8,630	4,219	1,796	2,410	43,693	-15%
05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel	14,914	10,643	2,694	4,669	3,914	3,084	1,889	41,807	-18%
05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak	27,467	10,182	4,242	5,838	10,096	6,936	9,543	74,304	45%
05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst	22,130	9,832	3,648	5,453	5,008	5,792	5,483	57,346	12%
05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield	15,012	8,069	7,704	8,123	2,019	1,549	1,205	43,681	-15%
05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	24,572	15,874	1,165	9,326	3,034	6,299	3,051	63,321	24%
05-2-10 - Kim M. Hoots	23,134	9,167	832	7,442	3,801	10,049	3,148	57,573	13%
05-2-11 - Robert Barner	22,301	12,534	3,025	9,468	3,576	4,822	4,364	060'09	18%
05-2-12 - William K. Wagner	17,638	5,998	3,630	12,003	5,042	1,057	2,334	47,702	%2-
05-2-13 - Eugene F. Riazzi, Jr.	26,016	27,127	503	4,921	6,971	10,643	4,625	80,806	28%
05-2-14 - Richard D. Olasz, Jr.	32,252	9,931	5,391	4,272	6,328	2,186	2,063	62,424	22%
05-2-15 - Thomas Torkowsky	26,114	19,162	2,370	7,340	3,418	3,244	1,661	63,308	24%
05-2-16 - Pat A. Capolupo	18,189	6,643	1,036	7,214	3,982	2,230	2,844	42,138	-18%
05-2-17 - David J. Barton	16,096	6,016	934	8,263	3,846	3,070	1,346	39,571	-23%
05-2-18 - John N. Bova	29,706	9,000	245	6,261	3,689	4,330	3,637	26,867	11%
05-2-19 - Blaise P. Larotonda	20,600	13,583	827	10,003	3,948	3,084	3,507	55,552	%6
05-2-20 - Robert C. Wyda	18,177	8,248	1,729	11,362	4,196	1,622	2,736	48,070	%9-
05-2-21 - Maureen McGraw-Desmet	18,537	6,446	4,253	10,250	4,264	1,072	3,029	47,850	-6%
05-2-22 - Gary M. Zyra	17,711	4,962	1,167	5,214	3,497	1,506	4,126	38,183	-25%
05-2-23 - Dennis R. Joyce	17,595	10,219	2,203	7,319	4,016	2,548	2,443	46,343	%6-
05-2-25 - Mary P. Murray	30,796	11,263	1,643	7,945	7,118	3,128	3,713	65,605	28%
05-2-26 - Beth Scagline-Mills	8,782	5,542	761	2,810	5,482	1,274	2,388	27,039	-47%
05-2-32 - Linda I. Zucco	12,601	3,752	1,423	2,898	2,933	2,983	3,865	30,455	-40%
05-2-46 - Regis C. Welsh, Jr.	15,790	4,794	3,149	10,948	496	637	2,703	38,517	-25%
05-2-47 - Scott H. Schricker	24,504	17,000	5,289	5,141	5,211	069'9	5,613	69,448	36%
05-3-02 - Robert L. Ford	5,392	2,655	186	11,288	451	579	1,943	22,494	~99-
05-3-03 - David J. Sosovicka	17,185	7,217	2,137	12,198	643	825	3,105	43,310	-15%
05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak	12,209	4,829	11,143	4,289	316	405	1,509	34,700	-32%

AVERAGE WORNLOAD	AOPC	71-17	2011-12 IMAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT	KIAL DISI	KIC KEE	SIABLIST	IMENI		
Excludes City of Pittsburgh MDJ's and Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC)	sburgh Munici	pal Court (PI	1C)						
DISTRICT COURT	CR	TN	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	TOTAL	Variance
05-3-05 - Thomas G. Miller, Jr.	9,486	3,168	294	1,704	857	1,100	912	17,522	%99-
05-3-06 - Mary Ann Cercone	37,050	18,292	1,724	5,290	4,704	6,038	2,356	75,454	48%
05-3-09 - Armand A. Martin	24,609	19,740	8,170	3,678	1,906	2,447	3,800	64,350	26%
05-3-17 - Anthony W. Saveikis	15,606	7,692	1,625	12,051	2,233	2,867	2,942	45,016	-12%
							TOTAL	1,789,682	
					Variance (+/-)	7,670			
				1	15% above	58,804			
				1	15% below	43,464			
				1	Above Range	12			
				2	Mid Range	Ser.			
				ш	Below Range	11			

OURT oiela onick g mgel Romyak bst ield oa	CR 14,361 526 819 764 405 406 748	10 081	PC					AVG	
ola nick gel myak st	4,361 526 819 764 405 748)	TR	2	5	MD	Caseload	Variance
05-2-01 - Tara L. Smith 05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela 05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick 05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang 05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel 05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak 05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst 05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield 05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	526 819 764 405 748	10,00	396	46,545	0	0	6,839	79,122	1310%
05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela 05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick 05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang 05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel 05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak 05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst 05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield 05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	819 764 405 406 748	1,097	601	6,782	322	255	92	9,675	72%
05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick 05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang 05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel 05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak 05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst 05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield 05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	764 405 406 748	879	635	4,136	440	146	06	7,145	27%
05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang 05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel 05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak 05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst 05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield 05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	405 406 748	1,216	338	3,757	413	189	48	6,725	20%
05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel 05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak 05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst 05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield 05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	748	1,057	40	3,785	374	124	74		4%
05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak 05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst 05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield 05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	748	991	251	2,048	347	213	58		-23%
05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst 05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield 05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	603	948	395	1,780	895	479	293	5,538	1%
05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	200	916	340	2,392	444	400	168	5,263	%9-
05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	409	751	717	3,563	179	107	37	5,763	3%
	699	1,478	109	4,090	269	435	94	7,144	27%
05-2-10 - Kim M. Hoots	630	854	78	3,264	337	694	16	5,954	%9
05-2-11 - Robert Barner	209	1,167	282	4,153	317	333	134	6,993	25%
05-2-12 - William K. Wagner	480	559	338	5,265	447	73	72	7,234	29%
05-2-13 - Eugene F. Riazzi, Jr.	200	2,526	47	2,158	618	735	142	6,935	24%
05-2-14 - Richard D. Olasz, Jr.	878	928	205	1,874	561	151	63	4,954	-12%
05-2-15 - Thomas Torkowsky	711	1,784	221	3,220	303	224	51	6,514	16%
05-2-16 - Pat A. Capolupo	495	619	26	3,164	353	154	87	4,969	-11%
	438	260	87	3,624	341	212	41	5,303	%9-
05-2-18 - John N. Bova	809	838	23	2,746	327	299	112	5,154	-8%
tonda	561	1,265	77	4,387	350	213	108	6,961	24%
05-2-20 - Robert C. Wyda	495	208	161	3,464	372	112	84	5,456	3%
05-2-21 - Maureen McGraw-Desmet	505	009	396	4,496	378	74	66	6,542	17%
	482	462	109	2,287	310	104	127	3,881	-31%
05-2-23 - Dennis R. Joyce	479	952	205	3,210	356	176	75	5,453	-3%
	839	1,049	153	3,485	631	216	114	6,487	16%
Wills	239	516	71	1,233	486	88	73	2,706	-52%
05-2-27 - Eugene N. Ricciardi	1	803	9	2,275	427	202	66	4,171	-26%
05-2-28 - Oscar J. Petite, Jr.	2	899	334	728	514	994	40	3,280	-42%
05-2-31 - Ron N. Costa, Sr.	2	254	122	41	549	1,072	43	2,383	-28%
05-2-32 - Linda I. Zucco	343	349	133	1,271	260	206	119	2,681	-52%
05-2-35 - Nathan N. Firestone	1	431	38	919	380	294	129	2,192	%19-
05-2-36 - James J. Hanley, Jr.	82	47	99	12	237	246	111	801	%98-
	138	632	134	180	388	298	89	1,838	%29-
05-2-40 - Derwin Rushing	2	268	147	504	347	593	09	1,921	%99-
05-2-42 - Robert P. Ravenstahl, Jr.	2	1,238	77	93	340	929	120	2,546	-25%
05-2-43 - Carla Swearingen	476	470	163	3,759	384	258	75	5,585	%0

DISTRICT COURT DESTRICT COUR										Contract of the Contract of th
Regis C. Welsh, Jr. 430 446 293 4,802 517 44 83 6,615 Sbort H. Schricker 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 462 172 6,150 Robert L. Ford 1 247 1,77 1,77 40 60 5,687 Robert L. Ford 458 672 199 3,719 260 57 95 5,787 Suzanne Blaschak 333 450 1,038 1,781 177 28 46 5,877 Hary Ann Cercone 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 47 77 6,039 Armand A. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 357 47 77 6,039 Randy C. Martini 670 1 42 299 557 101 1,990 Randy C. Martini 275 947 329 547 101 1,990 Randy C. Martini 275 947 172	DISTRICT COURT	CR	FN.	PC	TR	CV	17	MD	AVG	Variance
Scott H. Schricker 667 1,583 493 2,255 518 462 172 6,150 Robert L. Ford 147 247 17 4,951 125 40 60 5,587 Bay L. Sosvices 333 450 1,038 1,281 277 76 567 56 57 587 Thomas G. Miller, Jr. 256 296 27 747 277 76 28 46 3,953 Armando R. Martin 670 1,038 761 16,13 250 76 28 46 3,953 Armando X. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 147 72 6,039 Ashow E. Cooper 7 128 74 274 275 40 1,708 7 Retain C. Martini 1 812 178 42 289 557 101 1,990 7 466 Retain C. Martini 4 15 289 518 <th< th=""><th>05-2-46 - Regis C. Welsh, Jr.</th><th>430</th><th>446</th><th>293</th><th>4,802</th><th>517</th><th>44</th><th>83</th><th>6,615</th><th>18%</th></th<>	05-2-46 - Regis C. Welsh, Jr.	430	446	293	4,802	517	44	83	6,615	18%
Robert L. Ford 147 247 17 4,951 125 40 60 5,587 David J. Sosovicka 468 672 199 3,719 260 57 95 5470 Suzanne Blaschak 33 450 1,038 1,871 277 28 46 3,963 Thoman Blaschak 258 295 27 77 28 46 3,963 Armand A. Martin 670 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 6,039 Anthony Ceffe 5 128 761 1,613 590 169 1,708 1,708 Revin E. Cooper 1 132 761 1,613 36 204 176 24 616 4,04 Rechard C. Martini 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 101 1,990 Richard S. King 275 347 347 381 293 229 6,314 Anthony W. Saveikis<	05-2-47 - Scott H. Schricker	299	1,583	493	2,255	518	462	172	6,150	40%
David J. Sosovicka 468 672 199 3,719 260 67 96 5,470 Suzanne Blaschak 333 450 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 3,953 Suzanne Blaschak 338 296 27 77 28 46 3,953 Thomas G. Willer, Jr. 258 296 27 76 28 46 3,953 Armand A. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 147 72 6,038 Akthony Ceoffe 67 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 6,038 Akthony Ceoffe 67 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 5,758 Anthony W. Saveikis 275 947 342 1,281 528 51 101 1,390 Anthony W. Saveikis 425 716 15 5285 601 198 90 7,466 3 Anthony W. Saveikis	05-3-02 - Robert L. Ford	147	247	17	4,951	125	40	09	5,587	%0
Suzanne Blaschak 333 450 1,038 1,881 177 28 46 3,963 Thomas G. Miller, Jr. 258 295 27 77 76 28 1,708 Armand A. Martin 670 1,703 161 2,320 357 477 76 28 1,708 Armand A. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 500 169 177 5,758 Kevin E. Cooper 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,990 Randy C. Martini 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,302 Richard G. King 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 126 4,014 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,805 51,387 1,1720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 Anthony E. Saveikis 51,387 1,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180	05-3-03 - David J. Sosovicka	468	672	199	3,719	260	22	95	5,470	-3%
Thomas G. Miller, Jr. 258 295 27 747 277 76 28 1,708 Amard Ann Cercone 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 447 72 6,039 Amthony Ceoffe 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 168 177 5,758 Anthony Ceoffe 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,990 Revin E. Cooper 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,990 Richard C. Martini 275 947 342 1,281 258 515 85 1,772 372 Anthony W. Saveikis 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 156 4,014 7,466 Anthony W. Saveikis 27,16 151 5,285 601 198 90 7,466 4,014 Anthony Saveikis 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 <t< th=""><th>05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak</th><td>333</td><td>450</td><td>1,038</td><td>1,881</td><td>177</td><td>28</td><td>46</td><td>3,953</td><td>-30%</td></t<>	05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak	333	450	1,038	1,881	177	28	46	3,953	-30%
Mary Ann Cercone 1,009 1,703 161 2,320 357 417 72 6,039 Armand A. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 177 5,758 Anthony Ceoffe 5 128 43 36 204 176 24 616 75 Randy C. Martini 1 332 69 415 219 557 101 1,990 7,372 Anthony W. Saveikis 275 947 342 1,281 528 601 198 90 7,466 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 Anthony W. Saveikis 381 293 229 6,371 710 1049 239 3,470 381 293 250 6,174 Anthone Range	05-3-05 - Thomas G. Miller, Jr.	258	295	27	747	277	9/	28	1,708	%02-
Armand A. Martin 670 1,838 761 1,613 590 169 117 5,758 Anthony Geoffe 5 128 43 36 204 176 24 616 . Kevin E. Cooper 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,990 . Randy C. Martini 1 332 69 415 219 251 85 1,372 . Richard C. King 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 126 4,014 . Anthony W. Saveikis 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 31,180 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,305 51,387 1,740 239 3,470 381 293 229 6,371 Anthony W. Saveikis 10,049 239 3,470 381 293 229 6,31 Anthony R. Saveikis 10,049 239 3,470 381 3,	05-3-06 - Mary Ann Cercone	1,009	1,703	161	2,320	357	417	72	6,039	%8
Anthony Ceoffe 5 128 43 36 204 176 24 616 Kevin E. Cooper 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,990 Randy C. Martini 1 332 69 415 219 251 85 1,372 Richard G. King 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 126 4,014 7,465 Anthony W. Saveikis 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 Anthony W. Saveikis 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 Anthony W. Saveikis 51,038 34,70 381 293 229 6,371 Anthony W. Saveikis 61,74 10% above 6,174 Anthony Robert 6,174 Above Range 14 Anthony Range 15 Above Range 15 Below Range 15 Below Range	05-3-09 - Armand A. Martin	670	1,838	761	1,613	290	169	117	5,758	3%
Randy C. Martini 1 812 178 42 299 557 101 1,990 Randy C. Martini 1 332 69 415 219 251 85 1,372 Richard G. King 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 126 4,014 Anthony W. Saveikis 425 716 15,285 601 198 90 7,466 34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 710 1,049 239 3,470 381 293 6,371 Mill Roll 10% above 6,174 MIDPOINT 5,613 Above Range 14 Mid Range 15 Above Range 16 16 16 Below Range 15 Below Range 15	05-3-10 - Anthony Ceoffe	2	128	43	36	204	176	24	616	%68-
Randy C. Martini 1 332 69 415 219 251 85 1,372 Richard G. King 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 126 4,014 Anthony W. Saveikis 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 7,466 Anthony W. Saveikis 425 716 157 1770 1770 1770 381 293 229 6,371 Anthony W. Saveikis 710 1,049 239 3,470 381 293 229 6,371 Anthony W. Saveikis 710 1,049 239 3,470 381 293 229 6,371 Anthony W. Saveikis 710 1,049 239 3,470 381 293 229 6,371 Anthony W. Saveikis 710% above 6,174 10% above 6,174 10% above 6,174 14 Anthony W. Saveikis 14 14 14 14 14 Anthony W	05-3-12 - Kevin E. Cooper	-	812	178	42	299	222	101	1,990	~65 %
Anthony W. Saveikis 275 947 342 1,281 528 515 126 4,014 7,466 Anthony W. Saveikis 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 7,466 7,746 7,180 7,746 7,180 7,746 7,746 7,746 7,486 7,486 7,480 7,480 7,480 7,480 7,480 7,480 7,480 7,480 7,480 7,480 7,480 7,480	05-3-13 - Randy C. Martini	1	332	69	415	219	251	85	1,372	%94-
Anthony W. Saveikis 425 716 151 5,285 601 198 90 7,466 7486 74,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 312,180 710 1,049 239 3,470 381 293 229 6,371 70 1,049 239 3,470 381 293 229 6,371 70 10% above 6,174 5,613 70% above 6,174 10% above 6,174 70% above 8,174 70% above 8	05-3-14 - Richard G. King	275	947	342	1,281	528	515	126	4,014	-28%
34,805 51,387 11,720 170,037 18,668 14,340 11,223 31 710 1,049 239 3,470 381 293 229 TOTAL 31 MIDPOINT Variance (+/-) 561 10% above 6,174 10% below 6,174 10% below 5,052 Above Range 15 Below Range 20	05-3-17 - Anthony W. Saveikis	425	716	151	5,285	601	198	06	7,466	33%
TOTAL 31 TOTAL 31 TOTAL 31 TOTAL 31 MIDPOINT Variance (+/-) 561 10% above 6,174 10% below 5,052 Above Range 14 Mid Range 15 Below Range 20	Total	34,805	51,387	11,720	170,037	18,668	14,340	11,223	312,180	
TOTAL 31 MIDPOINT 561 6,174 5,052 14	Average	710	1,049	239	3,470	381	293	229	6,371	
								OTAL MIDPOINT	312,180 5,613	
					Na	iriance (+/-)	561			
5,0					10	% above	6,174			
					10	% pelow	5,052			
					Ab	ove Range	14			
					M	d Range	10			
					Be	slow Range	20			

MIDPOINT WORKLOAD Includes Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC)	AOF	AOPC 2011-12	MAGISTE	RIAL DIST	011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT	STABLISH	IMENT		
DISTRICT COURT	CR	TN	PC	TR	CV	177	MD	TOTAL	Variance
05-0-03 - PMC	527,336	117,934	4,248	106,123	0	0	222,757	978,398	1712%
05-2-01 - Tara L. Smith	19,302	11,784	6,455	15,463	3,632	3,692	3,007	63,336	17%
05-2-02 - Richard G. Opiela	30,086	9,440	6,815	9,429	4,963	2,114	2,931	65,778	22%
05-2-03 - Robert P. Dzvonick	28,054	3,060	3,630	12,323	4,659	2,737	1,563	56,025	4%
05-2-04 - Elissa M. Lang	14,859	11,347	433	8,630	4,219	1,796	2,410	43,693	-19%
05-2-05 - Carolyn S. Bengel	14,914	10,643	2,694	4,669	3,914	3,084	1,889	41,807	-23%
05-2-06 - Leonard J. HRomyak	27,467	10,182	4,242	5,838	10,096	6,936	9,543	74,304	38%
05-2-07 - Jeffrey L. Herbst	22,130	9,832	3,648	5,453	5,008	5,792	5,483	57,346	%9
05-2-08 - Thomas Caulfield	15,012	8,069	7,704	8,123	2,019	1,549	1,205	43,681	-19%
05-2-09 - Ross C. Cioppa	24,572	15,874	1,165	9,326	3,034	6,299	3,051	63,321	17%
05-2-10 - Kim M. Hoots	23,134	9,167	832	7,442	3,801	10,049	3,148	57,573	7%
05-2-11 - Robert Barner	22,301	12,534	3,025	9,468	3,576	4,822	4,364	060'09	410%
05-2-12 - William K. Wagner	17,638	5,998		12,003	5,042	1,057	2,334	47,702	-42%
05-2-13 - Eugene F. Riazzi, Jr.	26,016	27,127	503	4,921	6,971	10,643	4,625	80,806	20%
05-2-14 - Richard D. Olasz, Jr.	32,252	9,931	5,391	4,272	6,328	2,186	2,063	62,424	16%
05-2-15 - Thomas Torkowsky	26,114	19,162	2,370	7,340	3,418	3,244	1,661	63,308	17%
05-2-16 - Pat A. Capolupo	18,189	6,643	1,036	7,214	3,982	2,230	2,844	42,138	-22%
05-2-17 - David J. Barton	16,096	6,016	934	8,263	3,846	3,070	1,346	39,571	-27%
05-2-18 - John N. Bova	29,706	9,000	245	6,261	3,689	4,330	3,637	26,867	2%
05-2-19 - Blaise P. Larotonda	20,600	13,583	827	10,003	3,948	3,084	3,507	55,552	3%
05-2-20 - Robert C. Wyda	18,177	8,248	1,729	11,362	4,196	1,622	2,736	48,070	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
05-2-21 - Maureen McGraw-Desmet	18,537	6,446	4,253	10,250	4,264	1,072	3,029	47,850	Month Mark
05-2-22 - Gary M. Zyra	17,711	4,962	1,167	5,214	3,497	1,506	4,126	38,183	-29%
05-2-23 - Dennis R. Joyce	17,595	10,219	2,203	7,319	4,016	2,548	2,443	46,343	-1 40/0
05-2-25 - Mary P. Murray	30,796	11,263	1,643	7,945	7,118	3,128	3,713	65,605	22%
05-2-26 - Beth Scagline-Mills	8,782	5,542	761	2,810	5,482	1,274	2,388	27,039	%05-
05-2-27 - Eugene N. Ricciardi	24	8,624	702	5,187	4,817	7,341	3,040	29,735	-45%
05-2-28 - Oscar J. Petite, Jr.	29	7,173	3,587	1,660	5,798	14,393	1,303	33,981	-37%
05-2-31 - Ron N. Costa, Sr.	19	5,945	1,312	94	6,193	15,523	1,390	30,517	-43%
05-2-32 - Linda I. Zucco	12,601	3,752	1,423	2,898	2,933	2,983	3,865	30,455	-44%
05-2-35 - Nathan N. Firestone	24	4,624	406	2,096	4,286	4,257	4,191	19,885	-63%
05-2-36 - James J. Hanley, Jr.	3,023	499	705	27	2,673	3,562	3,615	14,104	-74%
05-2-38 - James A. Motznik	5,055	6,788	1,443	410	4,377	4,315	2,204	24,592	-54%
05-2-40 - Derwin Rushing	73	2,880	1,575	1,149	3,914	8,587	1,954	20,132	-63%
05-2-42 - Robert P. Ravenstahl, Jr.	98	13,300	823	212	3,835	9,788	3,919	31,964	-41%
05-2-43 - Carla Swearingen	17,460	5,044	1,745	8,571	4,332	3,736	2,432	43,319	-20%

MIDPOINT WORKLOAD Includes Pittsburgh Municipal Court (PMC)	AO	PC 2011-1	2 MAGISTE	AOPC 2011-12 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT	RICT REE	STABLISH	MENT		
DISTRICT COURT	CR	Į.	PC	TR	CV	LT	MD	TOTAL	Variance
05-2-46 - Regis C. Welsh, Jr.	15,790	4,794	3,149	10,948	496	637	2,703	38,517	-29%
05-2-47 - Scott H. Schricker	24,504	17,000	5,289	5,141	5,211	069'9	5,613	69,448	29%
05-3-02 - Robert L. Ford	5,392	2,655	186	11,288	451	579	1,943	22,494	-58%
05-3-03 - David J. Sosovicka	17,185	7,217	2,137	12,198	643	825	3,105	43,310	-20%
05-3-04 - Suzanne Blaschak	12,209	4,829	11,143	4,289	316	405	1,509	34,700	-36%
05-3-05 - Thomas G. Miller, Jr.	9,486	3,168	294	1,704	857	1,100	912	17,522	%89-
05-3-06 - Mary Ann Cercone	37,050	18,292	1,724	5,290	4,704	6,038	2,356	75,454	40%
05-3-09 - Armand A. Martin	24,609	19,740	8,170	3,678	1,906	2,447	3,800	64,350	19%
05-3-10 - Anthony Ceoffe	196	1,377	462	82	1,985	2,548	771	7,422	%98-
05-3-12 - Kevin E. Cooper	37	8,724	1,915	95	6,283	8,065	3,300	28,419	-47%
05-3-13 - Randy C. Martini	31	3,566	743	945	2,831	3,634	2,768	14,519	-73%
05-3-14 - Richard G. King	10,098	10,171	3,673	4,202	5,809	7,457	4,104	45,514	-16%
05-3-17 - Anthony W. Saveikis	15,606	7,692	1,625	12,051	2,233	2,867	2,942	45,016	-17%
Total	1,278,043	541,860	125,814	401,679	191,602	207,643	365,542	3,112,183	
Average	26,083	11,058	2,568	8,198	3,910	4,238	7,460	63,514	
						ĽΨ	TOTAL MIDPOINT	3,112,183 53,984	
				× ×	Variance (+/-)	8,098			
				15	15% Above	62,082			
				15	15% Below	45,886			
				AR	Above Range	4			
				M	Mid Range	4			
				Be	Below Range	31			

EXHIBIT K

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: REESTABLISHMENT OF THE

: NO. 192

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT WITHIN THE : 5th JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE :

: MAGISTERIAL DOCKET NO. 1

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA:

AMENDED ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 8th day of April, 2003, upon consideration of the Petition to Reestablish the Magisterial Districts of the 5th Judicial District (Allegheny County) of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the Petition, which provides for the realignment of Magisterial Districts 05-2-21, 05-2-22, 05-2-25, 05-2-43, and 05-3-12 within Allegheny County, to be effective January 6, 2003, Magisterial Districts 05-2-08, 05-2-09, 05-2-11, 05-2-14, 05-2-27, 05-2-31, 05-2-35, 5-3-05 and 05-3-09 to be effective January 5, 2004, Magisterial Districts 05-2-06, 05-2-03, 05-3-03, 05-3-14 to be effective January 2, 2006, and Magisterial District 05-2-20 to be effective January 7, 2008 is granted. It is further ORDERED AND DECREED that the Petition, which provides for the elimination of Magisterial District 05-3-11 effective January 6, 2003, the elimination of Magisterial Districts 05-2-29, 05-2-37, and 05-3-07 effective January 5, 2004, the elimination of Magisterial Districts 05-4-01, 05-4-02, and 05-3-15 to be effective January 2, 2006, and which provides for the elimination of Magisterial District 05-3-16, to be effective January 7, 2008 is granted; and that the Petition, which provides for the addition of Magisterial District 05-2-47 within Allegheny County, to be effective, January 5, 2004, is granted. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition, which also provides for the reestablishment of Magisterial Districts 05-2-01, 05-2-02, 05-2-04, 05-2-05, 05-2-07, 05-2-10, 05-2-12, 05-2-13, 05-2-15, 05-2-16, 05-2-17, 05-2-18, 05-2-19, 05-2-23, 05-2-26, 05-2-28, 05-2-32, 05-2-36, 05-2-38, 05-2-40, 05-2-42, 05-2-46, 05-3-02, 05-3-04, 05-3-06, 05-3-10, 05-3-13, and 05-3-17 within Allegheny County, as they currently exist, to be effective immediately, is granted. The newly created vacancy in Magisterial District 05-2-47 shall be filled in the municipal election of 2003.

Said Magisterial Districts shall be as follows:

Magisterial District 05-2-01 District Justice Donald H. Presutti Ben Avon Borough
Ben Avon Heights Borough
Emsworth Borough
Kilbuck Township
Bellevue Borough
Ohio Township
Avalon Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-02 District Justice Mark B. Devlin Ross Township West View Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-03
District Justice Robert P. Dzvonick

Etna Borough Millvale Borough Reserve Township Shaler Township

Magisterial District 05-2-04 District Justice Elissa Lang Aspinwall Borough Blawnox Borough Indiana Township Sharpsburg Borough Fox Chapel Borough O'Hara Township

Magisterial District 05-2-05 District Justice Carolyn S. Bengel Brackenridge Borough Harrison Township Fawn Township Tarentum Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-06 District Justice Leonard J. Hromyak Penn Hills Township Verona Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-07 District Justice Walter W. Luniewski Monroeville Borough
Pitcairn Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-08
District Justice Susan Evashavik

Churchill Borough Forest Hills Borough Wilkins Township Edgewood Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-09 District Justice Ross C. Cioppa Braddock Hills Borough Braddock Borough Swissvale Borough Rankin Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-10 District Justice Alberta Thompson	Wilkinsburg Borough
Magisterial District 05-2-11 District Justice Robert Barner	East McKeesport Borou Wall Borough North Versailles Towns Wilmerding Borough Trafford Borough
Magisterial District 05-2-12 District Justice William K. Wagner	Bradford Woods Borough Franklin Park Borough Marshall Township McCandless Township
Magisterial District 05-2-13 District Justice Thomas S. Brletic	City of McKeesport
Magisterial District 05-2-14 District Justice Richard D Olasz, Jr.	Dravosburg Borough West Mifflin Borough Whitaker Borough
Magisterial District 05-2-15 District Justice Thomas Torkowsky	Homestead Borough Munhall Borough West Homestead Borou
Magisterial District 05-2-16 District Justice Mary Grace Boyle	Jefferson Hills Borough Pleasant Hills Borough South Park Township
Magisterial District 05-2-17 District Justice David J. Barton	Baldwin Township Castle Shannon Boroug Whitehall Borough
Magisterial District 05-2-18 District Justice John N. Bova	Baldwin Borough Brentwood Borough
Magisterial District 05-2-19 District Justice Vacant	Mt. Lebanon Township Dormont Borough
Magisterial District 05-2-20 District Justice Robert C. Wyda	Bethel Park Borough Upper St. Clair Townsh
Magisterial District 05-2-21 District Justice Elaine M. McGraw	Bridgeville Borough Collier Township South Fayette Townshi

Magisterial District 05-2-22: District Justice Gary M. Zyra Greentree Borough Heidelberg Borough Scott Township

Magisterial District 05-2-23 District Justice Dennis R. Joyce

Carnegie Borough
Crafton Borough
Ingram Borough
Rosslyn Farms Borough
Thornburg Borough
Pennsbury Village Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-25
District Justice Shirley Rowe Trkula

Coraopolis Borough Crescent Township Moon Township

Magisterial District 05-2-26
District Justice Ernest L. Marraccini

Elizabeth Borough Elizabeth Township Forward Township West Elizabeth Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-27 District Justice Eileen Conroy City of Pittsburgh Wards 4, 16 and 17

Magisterial District 05-2-28
District Justice Oscar J. Petite, Jr.

City of Pittsburgh Wards 1, 2, 3 and 5

Magisterial District 05-2-31 District Justice Ron Costa, Sr. City of Pittsburgh Wards 8, 10 and 11

Magisterial District 05-2-32 District Justice Linda I. Zucco Plum Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-35
District Justice Nathan N. Firestone

City of Pittsburgh Ward 7 and 14

Magisterial District 05-2-36 District Justice James J. Hanely, Jr. City of Pittsburgh Wards 15 and 31

Magisterial District 05-2-38 District Justice Charles A. McLaughlin City of Pittsburgh Ward 19

Magisterial District 05-2-40 City of Pittsburgh Wards 21, 22, 23, 24 & 25 District Justice Cathleen Cawood Bubash

Magisterial District 05-2-42

City of Pittsburgh Wards 26 and 27

District Justice Robert P. Ravenstahl, Jr.

Magisterial District 05-2-43 District Justice Carla Swearingen Neville Township City of Pittsburgh Ward 28 Robinson Township

Magisterial District 05-2-46 District Justice Regis C. Welsh, Jr. Pine Township Hampton Township Richland Township

Magisterial District 05-2-47
District Justice To Be Determined

Chalfant Borough
East Pittsburgh Borough
North Braddock Borough
Turtle Creek Borough
City of Duquesne

Magisterial District 05-3-02 District Justice James E. Russo Aleppo Township
Bell Acres Borough
Edgeworth Borough
Glenfield Borough
Haysville Borough
Leet Township,
Leetsdale Borough
Osborne Borough
Sewickley Borough
Sewickley Heights Borough
Sewickley Hills Borough

Magisterial District 05-3-03: District Justice David Sosovicka Cheswick Borough Harmar Township Oakmont Borough Springdale Borough Springdale Township

Magisterial District 05-3-04 District Justice Suzanne Blaschak East Deer Township Frazer Township West Deer Township

Magisterial District 05-3-05 District Justice Thomas G. Miller, Jr. Lincoln Borough South Versailles Township Versailles Borough White Oak Borough

Magisterial District 05-3-06 District Justice Mary Ann Cercone McKees Rocks Borough Stowe Township Kennedy Township

Magisterial District 05-3-09 District Justice Armand Martin	City of Clairton Glassport Borough Liberty Borough Port Vue Borough
Magisterial District 05-3-10 District Justice Gene Zielmanski	City of Pittsburgh Wards 6 and 9
Magisterial District 05-3-12 District Justice Kevin E. Cooper	City of Pittsburgh Wards 12 and 13
Magisterial District 05-3-13 District Justice Daniel R. Diven	City of Pittsburgh Ward 20
Magisterial District 05-3-14 District Justice Richard King	City of Pittsburgh Wards 18, 29, 30 and 32 Mt. Oliver Borough
Magisterial District 05-3-17 District Justice Anthony W. Saveikis	Findlay Township McDonald Borough (Allegheny County portion) North Fayette Township Oakdale Borough

The portion of the Petition for Realignment filed by the President Judge of the Fifth Judicial District dated November 15, 2002 relating to the proposed Central Court and the evaluation of the current Pittsburgh Magistrates Court to gauge the feasibility of transitioning the Pittsburgh Magistrates Court into the statewide district justice system is hereby granted.

To maintain the effective administration of justice in the Pittsburgh Magistrates Court during the evaluation period, (1) Pittsburgh City Magistrates will continue to serve until the expiration of their current commissions; (2) all judicial appointments and reappointments to vacancies on the Pittsburgh Magistrates Court occurring on or after December 31st 2002 are hereby suspended effectively immediately; and, (3) the President Judge of the Fifth Judicial District of Pennsylvania will assign district justices to sit on the Pittsburgh Magistrates Court as

necessary to maintain the ongoing operations of the Pittsburgh Magistrates Court during the evaluation period.

The suspension of appointments to the Pittsburgh Magistrates Court will continue until further order of this Court.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: REESTABLISHMENT OF THE : MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT WITHIN THE : 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE : COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA :

: NO. 212 MAGISTERIAL DOCKET NO. 1

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 29th day of November, 2004, upon further consideration of the Petition for Realignment filed by the former President Judge of the Fifth Judicial District dated November 15, 2002, relating to the proposed Central Court and the evaluation of the current Pittsburgh Magistrates Court to gauge the feasibility of transitioning the Pittsburgh Magistrates Court into the statewide district justice system, it is hereby ORDERED: Pursuant to the authority set forth by Article V, Section 10(c) of the Constitution of Pennsylvania and the general supervisory and administrative authority of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania as set forth by 42 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et seq., (Judicial Code), it is directed that an administrative judicial unit hereinafter referred to as the Pittsburgh Municipal Court is established within the Fifth Judicial District, to which all matters within the jurisdiction of the Pittsburgh Magistrates Court are assigned, except those matters within the jurisdiction of Pittsburgh Housing Court pending further Order of this Court. Pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S. Section 325, (Judicial Code), the President Judge of the Fifth Judicial District shall assign, on a rotating basis, Allegheny County District Justices to hear all matters before the Pittsburgh Municipal Court and Pittsburgh Housing Court. The President Judge of the Fifth Judicial District shall exercise full administrative authority over the Pittsburgh Municipal Court and Pittsburgh Housing Court. The responsibilities and

duties of the district justices assigned to Pittsburgh Municipal Court and Pittsburgh Housing Court shall be the same as those of the minor judiciary and district justices as provided by law. All fines, fees, costs, restitution, and other reparations collected by the Pittsburgh Municipal Court shall be distributed in accordance with 42 Pa.C.S. Sections 3571, 3572, 3573, and all other relevant legal authority.

The provisions of this Order shall be effective January 1, 2005.

Messrs. Justice Saylor and Eakin dissent, by reference to Section 21 of the Schedule to Article V of the Pennsylvania Constitution.

EXHIBIT M

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: MODIFICATION OF THE MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS WITHIN THE :

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA:

: No. 271 MAGISTERIAL RULES DOCKET

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 15th day of February, 2011, upon consideration of the Request of the President Judge of Allegheny County to eliminate Magisterial District 05-2-09 and 05-2-46 and reconfigure Magisterial Districts 05-2-05, 05-2-06, 05-2-08, 05-2-10, 05-2-12, 05-2-14, 05-2-32, 05-2-47, 05-3-02, 05-3-03 and 05-3-04 of the Fifth Judicial District (Allegheny County) of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the Request is granted. This Order is effective January 2, 2012. The vacancies for Districts 05-2-09 and 05-2-46 shall not appear on the ballot for the primary or general election in 2011.

Said Magisterial Districts shall be as follows:

Magisterial District 05-2-05:

Magisterial District Judge Carolyn S. Bengel

Tarentum Borough East Deer Township

Brackenridge Borough

Fawn Township

Harrison Township

Municipality of Penn Hills

Verona Borough Wilkins Township

Magisterial District 05-2-06:

Magisterial District Judge Leonard J. HRomyak

Magisterial District 05-2-08: Magisterial District Judge Thomas P. Caulfield

Braddock Hills Borough Chalfant Borough Churchill Borough Forest Hills Borough Swissvale Borough Edgewood Borough

Wilkinsburg Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-10: Magisterial District Judge Kim M. Hoots

Magisterial District 05-2-12: Magisterial District Judge William K. Wagner Bradford Woods Borough Marshall Township McCandless Township Pine Township

Dravosburg Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-14: Magisterial District Judge Richard D. Olasz, Jr.

udge Richard D. Olasz, Jr. Rankin Borough
West Mifflin Borough
Whitaker Borough
5-2-32: Plum Borough
udge Linda I. Zucco Oakmont Borough

Magisterial District 05-2-32: Magisterial District Judge Linda I. Zucco

Magisterial District 05-2-47: Magisterial District Judge Scott H. Schricker

Magisterial District 05-3-02: Magisterial District Judge Robert L. Ford

City of Duquesne Braddock Borough East Pittsburgh Borough North Braddock Borough Turtle Creek Borough Bell Acres Borough Edgeworth Borough Franklin Park Borough Glenfield Borough Haysville Borough Leetsdale Borough Osborne Borough Sewickley Borough Sewickley Heights Borough Sewickley Hills Borough Aleppo Township Leet Township Cheswick Borough Springdale Borough Frazier Township Hamar Township

Springdale Township

Magisterial District 05-3-03: Magisterial District Judge David J. Sosovicka Magisterial District 05-3-04: Magisterial District Judge Suzanne R. Blaschak

Hampton Township Richland Township West Deer Township

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:) Magisterial District Court Docket
REASSIGNMENT OF CASES IN) No. 49 of 2011
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURTS)

ORDER OF COURT

AND NOW, this 28th day of October 2011, pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts Within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial Rules Docket, Rule 17(B)(6) of the Pa.R.M.D.J., and in the interests of the efficient and continuous administration of justice, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. Effective November 18, 2011, Magisterial District Court 05-2-46 is closed for all filings.
- Effective November 17, 2011, all pending and closed civil and landlord tenant cases in Magisterial District Court 05-2-46 shall be transferred for disposition or maintenance to Magisterial District Court 05-2-12.
- Effective November 17, 2011, all pending and closed criminal cases in Magisterial District Court 05-2-46 shall be transferred for disposition or maintenance to Magisterial District Court 05-2-12.
- 4. Effective November 17, 2011, all pending and closed traffic and non-traffic cases in Magisterial District Court 05-2-46 shall be transferred for disposition or maintenance to Magisterial District Court 05-3-04.
- 5. Effective November 18, 2011, all matters wherein Pine Township is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-2-12.
- 6. Effective November 18, 2011, all matters wherein Hampton Township or Richland Township is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-3-04.
- 7. Magisterial District Court 05-3-04 shall retain for disposition and maintenance all cases filed before November 18, 2011 wherein East Deer Township is the proper venue.
- 8. Effective November 18, 2011, all matters wherein East Deer Township is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-2-05.

- 9. Magisterial District Court 05-2-12 shall retain for disposition and maintenance all cases filed before November 18, 2011 wherein Franklin Park is the proper venue.
- 10. Effective November 18, 2011, all matters wherein Franklin Park is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-3-02.
- 11. Magisterial District Court 05-3-03 shall retain for disposition and maintenance all cases filed before November 18, 2011 wherein Oakmont Borough is the proper venue.
- 12. Effective November 18, 2011, all matters wherein Oakmont Borough is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-2-32.
- 13. Magisterial District Court 05-3-04 shall retain for disposition and maintenance all cases filed before November 18, 2011 wherein Frazer Township is the proper venue.
- 14. Effective November 18, 2011, all matters wherein Frazer Township is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-3-03.

Donna Jo McDaniel

2011 OCT 28 PM 2: 20

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:) Magisterial District Court Docket
REASSIGNMENT OF CASES IN MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURTS) No. 50 of 2011
£ (2°	

ORDER OF COURT

AND NOW, this day of November 2011, pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts Within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial Rules Docket, Rule 17(B)(6) of the Pa.R.M.D.J., and in the interests of the efficient and continuous administration of justice, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. Effective December 2, 2011, Magisterial District Court 05-2-09 is closed for all filings.
- 2. Effective December 1, 2011, all pending and closed criminal, civil, landlord tenant, and non-traffic cases in Magisterial District Court 05-2-09 shall be transferred for disposition or maintenance to Magisterial District Court 05-2-14.
- Effective December 1, 2011, all pending and closed traffic cases in Magisterial District Court 05-2-09 shall be transferred for disposition or maintenance to Magisterial District Court 05-2-08.
- 4. Effective December 2, 2011, all matters wherein Rankin Borough is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-2-14.
- 5. Effective December 2, 2011, all matters wherein Braddock Borough is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-2-47.
- 6. Effective December 2, 2011, all matters wherein Braddock Hills Borough or Swissvale Borough is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-2-08.
- 7. Magisterial District Court 05-2-08 shall retain for disposition and maintenance all cases filed before December 2, 2011 wherein Wilkins Township is the proper venue.
- 8. Effective December 2, 2011, all matters wherein Wilkins Township is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-2-06.

- 9. Magisterial District Court 05-2-08 shall retain for disposition and maintenance all cases filed before December 2, 2011 wherein Edgewood Borough is the proper venue.
- 10. Effective December 2, 2011, all matters wherein Edgewood Borough is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-2-10.
- 11. Magisterial District Court 05-2-47 shall retain for disposition and maintenance all cases filed before December 2, 2011 wherein Chalfant Borough is the proper venue.
- 12. Effective December 2, 2011, all matters wherein Chalfant Borough is the proper venue shall be filed in Magisterial District Court 05-2-08.

P.J.

the court

Donna Jo McDaniel

RULE 117.1 COVERAGE FOR ISSUING WARRANTS, PRELIMINARY ARRAIGNMENTS AND SUMMARY TRIALS, AND SETTING AND ACCEPTING BAIL

- (a) All magisterial district judges' offices shall be open for regular business on Mondays through Fridays from 8:30 a.m. until 4:30 p.m. prevailing time except court holidays or as otherwise published on the website of the Fifth Judicial District of Pennsylvania at www.alleghenycourts.us.
- (b) Continuous coverage for issuance of search and arrest warrants, acceptance of criminal complaints, conduct of preliminary arraignments, setting and acceptance of bail, holding of summary trials or setting of collateral therefore shall be provided at Pittsburgh Municipal Court Arraignment Court, Municipal Courts Building, 660 First Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15219. Arraignment Court shall be staffed by an on-duty issuing authority and support personnel during all off-hours and during regular business hours, if circumstances prevent utilization of the established magisterial district judge office.
- (c) Magisterial district judges and the Clerk of Courts shall be authorized to accept bail in accordance with the provisions of the Pa.R.Crim.P. and as between both entities shall provide continuous coverage to do so.

COMMENT: Arraignment Court provides off-hours coverage for Protection from Abuse Act cases pursuant to 23 Pa.C.S. §6110 and Rule 1203 the Pennsylvania Rules of Conduct, Office Standards and Civil Procedure for Magisterial District Judges, and issuance of arrest warrants pursuant to Rules 210 and 211 of Pennsylvania Rules of Juvenile Court Procedure (Pa.R.J.C.P.), as well.

RULE 131.2 DESIGNATION OF PITTSBURGH MUNICIPAL COURT; ACT 33 CASES

- (a) This rule is applicable only to cases involving any child, as that term is defined in Subsection (1) of the definition of "Child" in 42 Pa.C.S. §6302, who is excluded from the Juvenile Act in accordance with Subsection (2)(ii) and (iii) of the definition of "Delinquent act" in 42 Pa.C.S. §6302 (hereinafter "excluded actor").
- (b) Pittsburgh Municipal Court is designated as the central site for all filings of criminal complaints, all preliminary arraignments, whether live or by use of advanced communication technology, and for all preliminary hearings for excluded actors.
- (c) Magisterial district judges and senior magisterial district judges assigned to Pittsburgh Municipal Court are authorized to conduct preliminary arraignments and preliminary hearings wherein an excluded actor is charged with:
 - (1) Any of the following prohibited conduct where the child was 15 years of age or older at the time of the alleged conduct and a deadly weapon as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §2301 (relating to definitions) was used during the commission of the offense, which, if committed by an adult, would be classified as:
 - (A) Rape as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3121.
 - (B) Involuntary deviate sexual intercourse as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3123.
 - (C) Aggravated assault as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §2702(a)(1) or (2).
 - (D) Robbery as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3701(a)(1)(i), (ii) or (iii).
 - (E) Robbery of motor vehicle as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3702.
 - (F) Aggravated indecent assault as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3125.
 - (G) Kidnapping as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §2901.
 - (H)Voluntary manslaughter as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §2503.
 - (I) An attempt, conspiracy or solicitation to commit murder or any of these crimes, as provided in 18 Pa.C.S. §§901, 902 and 903.
 - (2) Any of the following prohibited conduct where the child was 15 years of age or older at the time of the alleged conduct and has been previously adjudicated delinquent of any of the following prohibited conduct which, if committed by an adult, would be classified as:
 - (A) Rape as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3121.
 - (B) Involuntary deviate sexual intercourse as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3123.
 - (C) Robbery as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3701(a)(1)(i), (ii) or (iii).
 - (D) Robbery of motor vehicle as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3702.
 - (E) Aggravated indecent assault as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §3125.
 - (F) Kidnapping as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §2901.
 - (G) Voluntary manslaughter as defined in 18 Pa.C.S. §2503.
 - (H) An attempt, conspiracy or solicitation to commit murder or any of these crimes as provided in 18 Pa.C.S. §§901, 902 and 903.
- (d) The complaint and/or affidavit of probable cause, if applicable, shall include the basis for the arresting officer's determination that an offense is excluded from the Juvenile Act in accordance with Subsection (2)(ii) and/or (iii) of the definition of "Delinquent act" in 42 Pa.C.S. §6302.
- (e) Excluded actors shall be afforded preliminary arraignments pursuant to Pa.R.Crim.P. 540.
- (f) The magisterial district judge may determine at the preliminary arraignment or at the preliminary hearing that the child is not an excluded actor. In such a case, the affiant may elect to proceed with a delinquency case based upon the same conduct of the child by contacting the Allegheny County Juvenile Probation Office Intake Division or, if the delinquency case implicates issuance of an arrest warrant, the affiant may submit a written allegation to the magisterial district judge, pursuant to Pa.R.J.C.P. 231, and request an arrest warrant, pursuant to Pa.R.J.C.P. 210. The arresting officer shall then comply with the dictates of Pa.R.J.C.P. 220.

RULE 131.1 DESIGNATION OF PITTSBURGH MUNICIPAL COURT; HOMICIDE CASES; COURT REPORTERS

- (a) Pittsburgh Municipal Court is designated as the central location for all preliminary arraignments, whether live or by use of advanced communication technology, wherein the defendant is charged with Criminal Homicide (18 Pa.C.S. §2501), Murder (18 Pa.C.S. §2502), Voluntary Manslaughter (18 Pa.C.S. §2503), Involuntary Manslaughter (18 Pa.C.S. §2504), Drug Delivery Resulting in Death (18 Pa.C.S. §2506), Homicide by Vehicle (75 Pa.C.S. §3732), Homicide by Vehicle While Driving Under Influence (75 Pa.C.S. §3735), Homicide by Watercraft (30 Pa.C.S. §5502.2), Homicide by Watercraft While Operating Under Influence (30 Pa.C.S. §5502.1), Criminal Homicide of Unborn Child (18 Pa.C.S. §2603). Murder of Unborn Child (18 Pa.C.S. §2604) and/or Voluntary Manslaughter of Unborn Child (18 Pa.C.S. §2605) for a criminal incident arising within the jurisdiction of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, and for all preliminary hearings for criminal complaints wherein the defendant is charged with Criminal Homicide (18 Pa.C.S. §2501), Murder (18 Pa.C.S. §2502), Voluntary Manslaughter (18 Pa.C.S. §2503), Involuntary Manslaughter (18 Pa.C.S. §2504), Drug Delivery Resulting in Death (18 Pa.C.S. §2506), Homicide by Vehicle (75 Pa.C.S. § 3732), Homicide by Vehicle While Driving Under Influence (75 Pa.C.S. §3735), Homicide by Watercraft (30 Pa.C.S. §5502.2), Homicide by Watercraft While Operating Under Influence (30 Pa.C.S. §5502.1), Criminal Homicide of Unborn Child (18 Pa.C.S. §2603), Murder of Unborn Child (18 Pa.C.S. §2604) and/or Voluntary Manslaughter of Unborn Child (18 Pa.C.S. §2605) for a criminal incident arising within the jurisdiction of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.
- (b) The President Judge shall assign a magisterial district judge to preside in Pittsburgh Municipal Court for any preliminary hearing wherein one or more of the aforementioned charges are brought.
- (c) The Allegheny County Court Reporters Office is designated as the official court reporting entity for and shall record and transcribe all notes of testimony at all preliminary hearings in cases heard at Pittsburgh Municipal Court pursuant to this rule.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05003
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	79, 122
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	1310%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	978,398
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	1712%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
 D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? This is a central court. See Judicial District Summary Worksheet for description of staffing and scheduling. 		
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	X Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Pittsburgh Municipal Court Administrator Name: A	angharad Stock, Esq.



	B. Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$:	N/A
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	N/A
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Municipal Courts Building 660 First Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	N/A
	G. List any police departments located within this machine City of Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh, Duques University, Chatham University, Carnegie Mellon University, Community College of Allegheny County, Allegheny County Sheriff's Office, City of Pittsburgh State Police – Rivers Casino Detail	sne University, Carlow Iniversity, Point Park University y County Port Authority,
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial distribution I-376, I-279, I-579	trict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	S:
Р	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: ittsburgh Municipal Court is located in the Municipal Countiguous to the Allegheny County Jail.	ourts Building and is
PLEAS	E SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA F	FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRIC



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05201
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	9,675
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	72%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	63,336
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	17%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
realize The o Heigh Bellev fall wi	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? even (7) municipalities served by this court are small, est nunities. The largest of them, Ohio Township, a communed a gain in population of 54.3% from the 2000 census thers all lost population as follows: Ben Avon Borough – ats Borough – 5.4%; Emsworth Borough –5.7%; Kilbuck Toyue Borough –4.6%; Avalon –11.1%. It is projected that the ithin the comparable workload average for the judicial of not be realigned. As the caseload is substantial, this contact.	tablished nity of 4,757, o the 2010 census7.1%; Ben Avon ownship –3.6%; this court will soon district and thus,
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this	X Reestablish



	magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy)	: 1/1/2013
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Tara L. Smith	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2034
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	660 Lincoln Avenue 2 nd Floor Bellevue, PA 15202
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district	VEC
	G. List any police departments located within this of Ohio Township, Bellevue Borough, Avalon Borough	
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial of 1-79, 1-279, Rte. 65	district:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE Ben Avon Borough, Ben Avon Heights Borough, Emswe Township, Bellevue Borough, Ohio Township and Aval	orth Borough, Kilbuck
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALIT	TIES:
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Magisterial District Judge Tara Smith wishes it to be not in a variety of programs in her communities and particile educational presentations at the schools in her venue (i. attendance and anti-bullying efforts).	pates in numerous
PLEA	ASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DAT	A FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I. M	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05202
II. Br	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A.	. Average Total Caseload:	7,145
В.	Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	27%
C.	 Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: 	N/A
III. B	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A	. Average Total Workload:	65,778
В	 Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: 	22%
C	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This court surrounds to West V communi over two 2000 cens decreased 4.4%. The Approxim	district? thas a unique geographic configuration as Ross Tows West View Borough. As a result, there is no municiview Borough except Ross Township. These are both ities. West View is over one hundred years old and Rhundred years old. Both saw decreases in their population to the 2010 census: West View, with a population of 31,2 ese two municipalities comprise the North Hills Schomately, 75% of all filings in this court are attributable wiship. Statistically, West View Borough alone could	rnship totally pality contiguous old, established coss Township is ulations from the n of 6,771, LO5, decreased ol District. to the venue of

Township presents a workload and caseload average above the norm.



Attempting to split Ross Township by wards or voting districts would place an undue burden on the Ross Township Police Department and negatively affect the advantage of the magisterial district court serving one unified school district. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for realignment or elimination.

	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this	Reestablish
	magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Richard G. Opiel	la
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2034
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	439 Perry Highway Pittsburgh, PA 15229- 1875
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this manner of Ross Township, West View Borough	nagisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial di I-279, Rte. 19, Business 19, Babcock Boulevard, TI	
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES OSS Township, West View Borough	:
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE	ES:



Allegheny County and the Auto Theft Prosecution Unit and Insurance Fraud Prosecution Unit of the Office of the District Attorney of Allegheny County.

Magisterial District Judge Opiela wishes it to be noted that he serves as Chairman and Board Member of the PCCD Constable Education Training Board, Chairman and Board Member of the Fifth Judicial District Constable Advisory Board, and Chair of the Allegheny County Bar Association Magisterial District Judge Committee. Judge Opiela conducts approximately 75 to 100 monthly review hearings for any criminal case to be reduced to a summary offense or dismissed. These proceedings guarantee full completion of programs, restitution and payment of fines and costs.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05203
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	6,725
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	20%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	56,025
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	4%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district?	
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	⊠Reestablish □Realign □Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Robert P. Dzvonick	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2022
		1007 Mt.Royal Boulevard
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Pittsburgh, PA
		15223-1032
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	
	Etna Borough, Shaler Township, Millvale Borough, Reserve Township	
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial district:	
	I-376, Rte. 8, Rte. 28, Rte. 22, Mt. Royal Boulevard, Saxonburg Boulevard, Middle	
	Road, Kittanning Street, Butler Street, Babcock Box	ulevard, Evergreen Avenue, Grant

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Avenue, Mt. Troy Road

Etna Borough, Shaler Township, Millvale Borough, Reserve Township

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

This court was realigned in 2006 by incorporating all venue of District Court 05-4-01, which was eliminated, pursuant to the Amended Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Reestablishment of the Magisterial District within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 192, Magisterial Docket No. 1.

Magisterial District Judge Dzvonick wishes it to be noted that he teaches at the Police Academy and State Liquor Control Board and attends Fish and Game Commission meetings in Harrisburg.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05204	
П.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD		
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,859	
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district' average total caseload and your judicial district' average total caseload:		
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district' average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	s N/A	
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLO	AD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	43,693	
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:		
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES	
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload district?	nd equity within your judicial	
The	six (6) municipalities served by this court comprise th	e Fox Chapel School	
Distr	rict in its entirety. This court covers 34.15 square mile	es. To realign to	
the s	south would require the crossing of the Allegheny Riv	er into a City of	
Pitts	burgh Magisterial District; to the west, affecting Distr	rict Court 05-2-03,	
whic	ch cannot be split; to the east, affecting recently realigned District Court		
05-2	2-32, with a projected workload within the 15% range	; and to the north,	
rece	ntly realigned District Court 05-3-03 and District Court	rt 05-3-04 with	
proj	ected workloads within the 15% range. Any attempt t	to realign may raise	

the existing appropriate caseload of this court beyond the acceptable range and would lower the average workload numbers of the affected contiguous courts to more than 15% below the judicial district's average total workload. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for realignment or



IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	teres in the later
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Elissa M. Lang	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2022
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	1205 Main Street Pittsburgh, PA 15215
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
1-	 G. List any police departments located within this many Aspinwall Borough, Blawnox Borough, Indiana To Fox Chapel Borough, O'Hara Township, the Penns and Kittanning barracks H. List any major highways within this magisterial displayed. 	wnship, Sharpsburg Borough sylvania State Police Gibsonia strict:
	Rte. 28, Pennsylvania Turnpike, Main Street, Fox Dorseyville Road, Middle Road, Saxonburg Bouley	
	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Aspinwall Borough, Blawnox Borough, Indiana Townshi Fox Chapel Borough, O'Hara Township	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITI	ES:
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Magisterial District Judge Lang wishes it to be noted that	t she conducts monthly
	ruancy proceedings at the Fox Chapel High School, qua	



Drinking presentations at Dorseyville Middle School and participates in the yearly Allegheny County Camp Cadet Program.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05205
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	4,314
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-23%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	41,807
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-23%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload district? court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supre	
	sylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial District	
	al District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No	
	Docket, effective January 2, 2012. To facilitate migrati	
new I	MDJS, this realignment took place on November 18, 20	011. In addition to
the p	rior municipalities and police departments covered by	this district court,
this c	ourt is now the proper venue for all cases arising in Eas	st Deer Township,
includ	ding all filings by the East Deer Township Police Depart	ment. Moreover,
the in	nplementation of the new MDJS in December of 2011	places, albeit

transitorily, a concomitant strain on this newly configured court. Additional staff

responsibilities emanating from the realignment until such time as a statistical

has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the current



evaluation reflects a need for continued assignment or reassignment to another court.

Notwithstanding the recent nature of the realignment of this court, it should be noted that the municipalities served by this court encompass more than 25 square miles bordering on Westmoreland County, Butler County and Armstrong County, severely restricting the potential for expanding this court's venue. The court is located 22 miles from downtown Pittsburgh. East Deer Township is a growing community of 1,500 residents, having realized a 10.1% population increase from the 2000 census to the 2010 census.

For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.

IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:			
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	□ Reestablish □ Realign □ Eliminate		
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013		
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:			
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Carolyn S. Bengel			
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018		
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2036		
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	1010 Broadview Boulevard Brackenridge, PA 15014		
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES		
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES		
	G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Brackenridge Borough, Harrison Township, Fawn Township, Tarentum Borough, East Deer Township			
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: Rte. 28			



VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Brackenridge Borough, Harrison Township, Fawn Township, Tarentum Borough, East Deer Township

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

It is apparent that, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, other counties have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. Though little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, from August 2009 to August of 2011, 57 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for Fawn Townhip.

Magisterial District Judge Bengel wishes it to noted that her district includes seven (7) subsidized housing complexes and that the court is centrally located to those who depend on public transportation.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	M	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05206
п.	BR	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A.	Average Total Caseload:	5,538
	В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-1%
	C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BR	EAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A.	Average Total Workload:	74,304
	В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	38%
	C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
	D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	equity within your judicial
This	cour	t was realigned in 2006, adding the Borough of Verd	ona from closed
		-02, pursuant to the Amended Order of the Suprem	
Penns	ylva	nia for the Reestablishment of the Magisterial Distr	ict within the Fifth
Judicia	al Dis	strict of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No.	192 Magisterial
Docke	t No	. 1 and recently, realigned again, pursuant to the O	rder of the
		Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Ma	
		Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Penr	
271 N	lagis	terial Rules Docket, effective January 2, 2012. To fa	cilitate migration

of data to the new MDJS, this recent realignment took place on December 2, 2011. As a result, in addition to the prior police departments and municipalities covered by this district court, this court is now the proper court for all filings wherein Wilkins Township is the proper venue, including all filings by the



Wilkins Township Police Department. Mo			
new MDJS in December of 2011 places, al			
on this newly configured court. Additiona			
to this court to address the current respons			
realignment until such time as a statistica		a need for	
continued assignment or reassignment to			
Notwithstanding the recent nature of the			
noted that the population figures for the			
court have all decreased from the 2000 ce			
Penn Hills - 10%, population 42,329; Vero	na - 21%, populatior	1 2,474; and	
Wilkins - 8%, population 6,357. While the	Municipality of Peni	n Hills and Verona	
Borough border on the Allegheny River, n	either contain a brid	lge across the	
river. To realign the venue of Verona nort	hward would requir	e either significant	
travel west through the City of Pittsburgh	across a bridge incu	rring significant	
travel east to make contact with a contigu	ous municipality in	another court or	
significant travel east through Oakmont B	orough crossing the	river incurring	
significant travel west to connect with a c	ontiguous municipal	lity of another	
court. Any other directional realignment v	would negatively affe	ect caseload	
and/or workload statistics for District Cou	rt 05-2-07 which has	s acceptable	
caseload and workload averages for the ju	udicial district or the	recently realigned	
District Court 05-2-32, District Court 05-2-	08, District Court 05	5-2-10, or District	
Court 05-3-47 that are projected to have a	averages in range or	slightly higher.	
For the foregoing reasons, this court is de	emed unsuitable for	elimination or	
additional realignment.			
IV. PROPOSED CHANGE:			
		Mpt-bli-b	
A. Please indicate any proposed of	change in this	Reestablish	
magisterial district. Check all tl	nat apply.	Realign	
-	Target of the Office No.	Eliminate	
B. What is the proposed effective	date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013	
V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATI	ON:		

B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:

C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):

A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Leonard J. HRomyak

1/3/2016

12/31/2016



D	• Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	85 Universal Road Pittsburgh, PA 15235- 3739
E	. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F	 Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: 	YES
G	List any police departments located within this ma Penn Hills, Verona Borough, Wilkins Township	agisterial district:
Н	List any major highways within this magisterial dis I-376, Rte. 22, Rte. 791, Rte. 380, Rte. 130, Frankst Universal Road, Verona Road, Allegheny River Bou Greensburg Pike, Churchill Road (list includes reali	town Road, Beulah Road, Ilevard, Larimer Avenue,
	ST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: nicipality Of Penn Hills, Verona Borough, Wilkins Tow	
VII. L	ST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE	S:
VIII. A	DDITIONAL COMMENTS:	



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05207
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,263
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-6%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	57,346
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	6%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Jeffrey L. Herbst	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2024
		339 Old Haymaker Road
n	Office Location (Street City and Zin code).	Suite 1500
D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Monroeville, PA 15146-
		3545
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma	gisterial district:
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis Pennsylvania Turnpike, I-376, Rte. 48, Rte. 130, Rte	

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Monroeville Boro, Pitcairn Borough

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Magisterial District Judge Herbst wishes it to be noted that UPMC East, a new, full-service community hospital will be opening in Monroeville in the summer of 2012. An attendant increase in related medical facilities adding to those in existence is anticipated to be realized for the Monroeville community that is already host to a large commercial district that includes retail, entertainment, industrial and research facilities.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05208	
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD		
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,763	
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	3%	
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A	
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
	A. Average Total Workload:	43,681	
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-19%	
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES	
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial	
Penns	ourt was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Suprem sylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts of al District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 1	within the Fifth	
Rules new N	Docket, effective January 2, 2012. To facilitate migration MDJS, this realignment took place on December 2, 2011.	n of data to the As a result, all	
is the	es wherein Braddock Hills Borough, Swissvale Borough or Chalfant Borough ne proper venue are now filed in this court, including all filings by the ddock Hills Borough Police Department, the Swissvale Borough Police		
	tment and the Forest Hills Police Department (also cove		

Borough). It is projected that the realignment will increase both caseload and

magisterial district court is the designated repository of all traffic filings that

workload for this court to appropriate, comparable levels. Further, this



were pending or closed from closed court 05-2-09 for disposition and maintenance and all cases filed before December 2, 2011 wherein Edgewood Borough or Wilkins Township was the proper venue for disposition and maintenance. Finally, the implementation of the new MDJS in December of 2011 places, albeit transitorily, a concomitant strain on this newly configured court. Additional staff has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the current responsibilities emanating from the realignment until such time as a statistical evaluation reflects a need for continued assignment or reassignment to another court.

For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.

IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:			
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	X Reestablish Realign Eliminate		
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013		
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:			
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Thomas P. Caulfie	eld		
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018		
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2036		
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	2065 Ardmore Boulevard Pittsburgh, PA 15221-4644		
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES		
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES		
	G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Churchill Borough, Forest Hills Borough, Braddock Hills Borough, Swissvale Borough			
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis- I-376, Rte. 22, Rte. 30	trict:		



VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Churchill Borough, Forest Hills Borough, Braddock Hills Borough, Swissvale Borough, Chalfant Borough

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Magisterial District Judge Caulfield wishes it to be noted that, as his district includes the Woodland Hills School District, which has many at-risk students, he spends a significant amount of time working with school and community officials to devise and implement procedures to help students and their families improve student attendance and performance.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05209
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	7,144
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	27%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	63,321
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	17%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
District at No. migrat 2, 2011	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district? Ourt is no longer extant. This court was closed pursuant oreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the swithin the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth 271 Magisterial Rules Docket, effective January 2, 2012 ion of data to the new MDJS, this court was actually closure of the effect of this closure on five (5) magisterial districted in those courts' Reestablishment Worksheets.	to the Order of Magisterial of Pennsylvania To facilitate sed on December
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate



V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Ross C. Cioppa	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2012
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2011
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	300 Rankin Boulevard Rankin, PA 15104- 1027
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this m Braddock Hills Boro, Braddock Borough, Swissvale	
	$\mathbf{H}_{f \cdot}$ List any major highways within this magisterial dis	strict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Braddock Hills Boro, Braddock Borough, Swissvale Borough	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE	ES:
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05210
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,954
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	6%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	57,573
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	7%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload ed district?	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Kim M. Hoots	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018



C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2031
	907 West Street
D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Penn West Office Building
	Pittsburgh, PA 15221
E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district	VES
G. List any police departments located within this wilkinsburg Borough, Edgewood Borough	magisterial district:
H. List any major highways within this magisterial of Rte. 8	district:

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Wilkinsburg Borough, Edgewood Borough

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial Rules Docket, effective January 2, 2012. To facilitate migration of data to the new MDJS, this realignment took place on December 2, 2011. As a result, in addition to the prior municipality and its police department served by this district court, this court is now the filing location for all cases wherein Edgewood Borough is the proper venue, including all filings by the Edgewood Police Department. Moreover, the implementation of the new MDJS in December of 2011 places, albeit transitorily, a concomitant strain on this newly configured court. Additional staff has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the current responsibilities emanating from the realignment until such time as a statistical evaluation reflects a need for continued assignment or reassignment to another court.

Notwithstanding the recent nature of the realignment of this court, it should be noted that the population figures for the municipalities now covered by this court have both decreased from the 2000 census to the 2010 census as follows: Wilkinsburg - 17% and Edgewood - 6%. The addition of Edgewood Borough, a



mostly residential community of a little over 3,000 residents, is unlikely to place this court's workload above 15% of the average workload for the Fifth Judicial District.

For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05211
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	6,993
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	25%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	60,090
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	11%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	X Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
V.		
V.	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Robert L. Barner	



0.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2018
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	371 Lincoln Highway N. Versailles, PA 15137
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma East McKeesport Borough (also covers Wall Borou (also covers Wilmerding Borough), Trafford Borou	gh),North Versailles Township
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis Rte. 30, Rte. 148, Rte. 48	strict:
East I	T EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: McKeesport Borough, Wall Borough, North Versaille erding Borough, Trafford Borough	
VII. LIS	T PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE	ES:



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05212
П.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	7,234
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	29%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	47,702
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-12%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	Maria Maria
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: William K. Wagne	r
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2022
	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	8105 Perry Highway
D.		Pittsburgh, PA
		15237-5203
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma	agisterial district:
	Northern Regional Police Department (Bradford Woods Borough, Marshall	
	Township, and Pine Township), McCandless Township	
н	List any major highways within this magistarial dis	trict

H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: Pennsylvania Turnpike, I-79, I-279, Rte. 19, Rte. 910, McKnight Road

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Bradford Woods Borough, Marshall Township, McCandless Township, Pine Township

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial Rules Docket, effective January 2, 2012. To facilitate migration of data to the new MDJS, this realignment took place on November 18, 2011. As a result, this court is no longer the proper venue for filings arising in Franklin Park Borough, but is now the filing court for all matters wherein Pine Township is the proper venue, including all filings by the Northern Regional Police Department that arise in Pine Township. However, this court retains for disposition and maintenance all cases filed before November 18, 2011 wherein Franklin Park is the proper venue. Further, this magisterial district court is the designated repository of all criminal, civil, and landlord-tenant matters that were pending or closed from closed court 05-2-46 for disposition and maintenance. Moreover, the implementation of the new MDJS in December of 2011 places, albeit transitorily, a concomitant strain on this newly configured court. Additional staff has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the current responsibilities emanating from the realignment until such



time as a statistical evaluation reflects a need for continued assignment or reassignment to another court.

Notwithstanding the recent nature of the realignment of this court, it should be noted that the workload may initially decline slightly in this court, because of the substitution of Pine Township for Franklin Park Borough. However, it is anticipated that this court will be well within the comparable average workload for the judicial district. From the 2000 census to the 2010 census, the Pine Township population of 11,497 has increased by 49.6%, whereas the Franklin Park Borough population of 13,470, has only increased by 18.5%. Marshall Township, a community of 6,915 residents has increased 15.3% from the 2000 census to the 2010 census. McCandless Township and Bradford Woods Borough populations have experienced insignificant changes in population.

For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.

Magisterial District Judge Wagner wishes it to be noted that he devotes significant office time to juvenile offenders and their families to discuss penalties and options available to them.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I. M	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05213
II. Bi	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A	Average Total Caseload:	6,935
В.	Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	24%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III. B	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A.	Average Total Workload:	80,806
В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	50%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
D.	. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	equity within your judicial
	is the proper venue for all filings arising from the C .15 square miles situate on a peninsula where the Y	
Monogah 2000 cens population private co	ela Rivers meet. McKeesport has lost 17.9% of its p sus, with a population of 24, 040, to the 2010 census n of 19,731. Recent years' filings in civil, landlord-te implaint and traffic have markedly declined or level	opulation from the s, with a enant, criminal, ed off from earlier
	her numbers. Consequently, it is anticipated that car statistics will approach more acceptable ranges in t	
opulatio rivate co ears' higl	n of 19,731. Recent years' filings in civil, landlord-te implaint and traffic have markedly declined or level her numbers. Consequently, it is anticipated that ca	enant, criminal, ed off from earlier seload and

Additional staff has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the business of this court until such time as a more current statistical evaluation reflects a need for continued staff enhancement or reassignment to another court. However, even if a lowering of caseload and workload numbers does not



soon materialize, splitting this court to realign would have a deleterious effect on this community and the police department serving the City. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or realignment. PROPOSED CHANGE: IV. Reestablish A. Please indicate any proposed change in this Realign magisterial district. Check all that apply. Eliminate **B.** What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): 1/1/2013 V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Eugene F. Riazzi, Jr. **B.** Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): 1/5/2014 C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): 12/31/2026 687 O'Neil Boulevard **D.** Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): McKeesport, PA 15132 E. Is the office within the boundaries of the YES magisterial district: **F.** Is the residence of the magisterial district judge YES within the boundaries of the magisterial district: G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: City of McKeesport H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: Rte. 148, Rte. 48, Eden Park Boulevard VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: City of McKeesport VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: It is apparent that other counties, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, have experienced an increase in all categories of filings at their magisterial district courts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, it is difficult to



forecast the effect, however, from August of 2009 to August of 2011, 36 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for the City of McKeesport.

Magisterial District Judge Riazzi wishes it to be noted that McKeesport is home to the McKeesport Area School District, the Department of Public Welfare (Southeast District Office), regional office of Allegheny County Children Youth and Family Services, Fifth Judicial District Adult Probation Field Supervision Office and Juvenile Probation CISP Center, Pennsylvania Board of Probation & Parole regional office and Auberle Home. McKeesport has 992 public housing units, and approximately 640 Section 8 dwellings. Lastly, Judge Riazzi meets with city and school district administrators on matters of mutual concern and maintains a relationship with the McKeesport Boys and Girls Club which offers juvenile offenders the opportunity to engage in community service.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05214
BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A. Average Total Caseload:	4,954
B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-12%
C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A. Average Total Workload:	62,424
B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	16%
C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload district?	equity within your judicial
하는 사람들이 되었다. 그렇게 하는 아무리 아름다면 나는 아무리 아무리 아무리 아무리 아무리 아무리 아무리 가지 않는데 아무리	
Docket, effective January 2, 2012. To facilitate migratio	n of data to the
on to the municipalities and police departments previo	usly served by this
	 BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD A. Average Total Caseload: B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD A. Average Total Workload: B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload

this magisterial district court is the designated repository of all matters, except

disposition and maintenance. Moreover, the implementation of the new MDJS in December of 2011 places, albeit transitorily, a concomitant strain on this

traffic filings, that were pending or closed from closed court 05-2-09 for



newly configured court. Additional staff has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the current responsibilities emanating from the realignment until such time as a statistical evaluation reflects a need for continued assignment or reassignment to another court.

Notwithstanding the recent nature of the realignment of this court, it should be noted that the population figures for the municipalities now covered by this court have all decreased from the 2000 census to the 2010 census as follows: West Mifflin - 12%; Whitaker - 5%; Dravosburg - 12% and Rankin - 9%.

For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.

IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate	
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013	
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Richard D. Olasz, Jr.		
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/5/2014	
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2033	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	1800 Homeville Road West Mifflin, PA 15122-3650	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: West Mifflin Borough, Whitaker Borough, City of McKeesport (covering for Dravosburg Borough), Rankin Borough		
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: Rte. 885, Rte. 837, Lebanon Church Road		



VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

West Mifflin Borough, Whitaker Borough, Dravosburg Borough, Rankin Borough

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

It is apparent that, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, other counties have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, it is difficult to forecast the effect, however, from August 2009 to August of 2011, 44 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for the Borough of West Mifflin.

Magisterial District Judge Olasz wishes it to be noted that he travels to the schools in his district to present anti-violence progams; the venue of West Mifflin includes an airport, major shopping mall, community college and amusement park, all of bring in a large influx of people; and his district includes a significant number of senior citizens and low income residents for whom travel is an issue.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I. M	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05215
II. Br	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A.	Average Total Caseload:	6,514
В.	Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	16%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III. Br	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A.	Average Total Workload:	63,308
В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	17%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This court average. Or Pittsburgh the east a in District of this couboroughs 2010 cens Homestea	If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? That only a slightly higher workload number from the Geographically, this is a difficult court to realign with a to the west and to the north across the Monongah and south the Borough of West Mifflin, by far the large Court 05-2-14, which was recently realigned. The thurt comprise in its entirety the Steel Valley School Di have realized a decline in population from the 2000 aus, as follows: Munhall, with a population of 11,406 and, with a population of 3,165, -11.3%; West Homest and 1,929, -12.2%.	e judicial district the City of ela River, and to gest municipality nree (3) boroughs strict. All three census to the , -6.9%;

realignment.



IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	Maria Carlo
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Thomas Torkows	ky
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2030
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	510 East Eighth Avenue Munhall, PA 15120
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this ma Homestead Borough, Munhall Borough, West Hom	
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis Rte. 837	trict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Homestead Borough, Munhall Borough, West Homestea	
		A
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	S:
This know Mon Mag annu grad	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: court includes the second largest area shopping making as The Waterfront. (District Court 05-2-07 is proeville Mall.) isterial District Judge Torkowsky wishes it to be noted all law program of three weeks duration for the Steeles K-12. He conducts domestic violence programs twice ar speaking opportunities in his communities.	home to the largest, ed that he conducts an I Valley School District,





PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05216
BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A. Average Total Caseload:	4,969
B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-11%
C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A. Average Total Workload:	42,138
B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-22%
C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial
ourt's three municipalities encompass 27.6 square miles	
orough. Jefferson Hills Borough is home to the Jeffersor	
1	A. Average Total Caseload: B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD A. Average Total Workload: B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Durt's three municipalities encompass 27.6 square miles propulation of 10, 619 residents, having grown 9.9% from 2010 census. This borough has vibrant, on-going single opment (e.g. a new plan of 800 homes will be built in 20 ins numerous open tracts of land available for further deder, more established communities of South Park Towns determined to the communities

Center Campus, a general medical and surgical hospital that has just completed

experienced a decrease in population of 1.5% from the 2000 census to the 2010

a 17.5 million dollar expansion. The court is located in Pleasant Hills, the smallest of the three communities, with a population of 8,268, having



IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	□ Reestablish □ Realign □ Eliminate	
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013	
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Pat A. Capolupo		
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/5/2014	
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2014	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	343 Old Curry Hollow Road Pittsburgh, PA 15236	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Jefferson Hills Borough, Pleasant Hills Borough, South Park Township		
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: Rte. 885, Rte. 88, Pennsylvania Turnpike, Old Clairton Road, Lebanon Church Road		
	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: efferson Hills Borough, Pleasant Hills Borough, South Pa		
VI. VII.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	rk Township	



VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

It is apparent that, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, other counties have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, it is difficult to forecast the effect. However, from August 2009 to August 2011, 169 oil/gas leases have been reported for the Borough of Jefferson Hills.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05217
п.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,303
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-6%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	39,571
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-27%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	equity within your judicial
averag	this court's workload average is below the judicial distrige workload, there are a number of unique factors in the re antithetical to elimination or realignment. Catholic Curgh utilizes the Prospect Park area of Whitehall Boroug	e district court harities of
refuge interp	reters is second only to Pittsburgh Municipal Court. Into	oreign language erpreters, single
	It is beyond cavil, that a hearing that incorporates inters in a substantially longer proceeding. Similarly, this cou	

number of matters where, though an interpreter is not required, a litigant has limited English proficiency requiring more time to complete the proceeding. Moreover, this court's caseload is increasing. For both 2010 and 2011, over



four hundred (400) more traffic citations were filed than in 2009. Traffic filings for the Castle Shannon Police Department went from approximately 1,200 a year from 2005 through 2009 to 2,259 in 2010 and 2,183 in 2011. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or realignment.

V.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate	
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013	
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: David J. Barton		
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016	
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2029	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	530 Caste Village Shopping Center Pittsburgh, PA 15236-1545	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Baldwin Township, Castle Shannon Borough, Whitehall Borough		
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial di Rte. 51, Rte. 88	strict:	
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Baldwin Township, Castle Shannon Borough, Whitehall		
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITI	ES:	



to a recently appointed Chief of Police for Castle Shannon Borough. Additionally, state grant funding for programs such as PennDot's Smooth Operator program on designated highways, including Rte. 51, impacts this district court. Lastly, Judge Barton wishes it to be noted that he was previously not aware that search warrant applications should be entered into the MDJS in the Miscellaneous Docket, an oversight which he has now remedied. Judge Barton issued 45 search warrants from January 1, 2011 to November 21, 2011.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05218
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,154
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-8%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	56,867
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	5%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict?	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: John N. Bova	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2018
		Wallace School Building
D	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	41 Macek Drive
D.	office Location (Street, City and Zip code).	Pittsburgh, PA 15227-
		3644
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma	gisterial district:
	Baldwin Borough, Brentwood Borough	
H.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis-	trict:
	Rte. 51, Brownsville Road, Streets Run Road	

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

It is apparent that, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, other counties have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, it is difficult to forecast the effect, however, from August 2009 to August of 2011, 109 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for Baldwin Borough.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05219	
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD		
	A. Average Total Caseload:	6,961	
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	24%	
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A	
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
	A. Average Total Workload:	55,552	
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	3%	
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO	
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial	
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	⊠Reestablish ☐Realign ☐Eliminate	
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013	
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Blaise P. Larotono	la	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016	



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2026
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Washington Center Building 680 Washington Road, Suite B-103 Pittsburgh, PA 15228
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma Mt. Lebanon Township, Dormont Borough	agisterial district:
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis Rte. 19 (Cochran Road, Washington Road and Wes Castle Shannon Boulevard, Mt. Lebanon Boulevard Cedar Boulevard, Bower Hill Road, Potomac Avenue	t Liberty Avenue), Scott Road, d, Connor Road, Gilkeson Road,

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Mt. Lebanon Township, Dormont Borough

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Magisterial District Judge Larotonda wishes it to be noted that he conducts truancy proceedings at two (2) area school districts, is on the Advisory Council Board of the Salvation Army and the Youth Steering Committees in Upper St. Clair and Mt. Lebanon. He also conducts driver's and drug & alcohol educational programs at the Mt. Lebanon High School.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05220
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,456
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-3%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	48,070
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-11%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	MAN TO STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Robert C. Wyda, I	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2029
	311 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Bethel Park Municipal
		Building,
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	5100 West Library Avenue,
		Bethel Park, PA
		15102-2725
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma Bethel Park Borough, Upper St. Clair Township	gisterial district:
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis Rte. 88, Rte. 19	trict:
I. Lis	T EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	

Bethel Park Borough, Upper St. Clair Township

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

This court was realigned in 2008, absorbing all of District Court 05-3-16 pursuant to the Amended Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Reestablishment of the Magisterial District with the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 192, Magisterial Docket No. 1.

By Order of the President Judge, since 2011, this district court is the proper venue for all summary traffic citations initiated by Allegheny County police officers assigned to District #2.

Further, it is apparent that, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, other counties have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, it is difficult to forecast the effect, however, from August of 2009 and August of 2011, 54 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for Bethel Park Borough.

Magisterial District Judge Wyda wishes it to be noted that he conducts truancy follow-up meetings with families and school officials for the public and private schools within his district. He also conducts in-school consultations (with parental permission) with students to monitor their progress post-hearing.



Judge Wyda assumes a proactive approach to drug abuse problems in his community, including meetings with families, addiction counselors and law enforcement officers whether charges have been filed or not.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05221
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	6,542
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	17%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	47,850
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-11%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Maureen McGraw	r-Desmet
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2031
		295 Millers Run Road
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Bridgeville, PA
		15017-1396
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma Bridgeville Borough, Collier Township, South Fayet	
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis	trict:

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Bridgeville Borough, Collier Township, South Fayette Township

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Collier Township, a community of 7,080 residents, has realized a growth in population from the 2000 census to the 2010 census of 34.5% and South Fayette Township, with 14,416 denizens, has realized an increase in population of 17.5% from the 2000 census to the 2010 census.

Further, it is apparent that, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, other counties have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, it is difficult to forecast the effect, however, from August of 2009 to August of 2011, 112 and 86 oil/gas lease transactions have been been reported for Collier Township and South Fayette Township, respectively.

Magisterial District Judge McGraw-Desmet wishes it to be noted that in South Fayette Township on Interstate 79 is a weigh station that contributes to a sizable number of citations filed by the Pennsylvania State Police.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05222
п.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	3,881
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-31%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	38,183
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-29%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? This court covers a stable, suburban population and though the populations in each venue are dissimilar, the number of filings from each are similar. (Heidelberg Borough - population 1,244; Greentree Borough- population 4,432; and Scott Township - population 17, 288). This court borders the City of Pittsburgh and other suburban district courts, and those contiguous thereto (which border on neighboring counties), with average midpoint total workloads within the judicial district's range. Therefore, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or realignment.		
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	⊠Reestablish ☐Realign



		Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Gary M. Zyra	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016
	\mathbb{C} . Mandatory Retirement Date ($m/d/yyyy$):	12/31/2023
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Scott Township Municipal Building 301 Lindsay Road Carnegie, PA 15106
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this ma Greentree Borough, Scott Township, Heidelberg Bo	
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis- I-376, Rte. 121, Rte. 50, Vanadium Road, Bower Hi Painters Run Road	
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Greentree Borough, Scott Township, Heidelberg Borough	1
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	s:



VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

By Orders of the President Judge, this court is designated to preside over cases filed by the Insurance Fraud Section of the Office of Attorney General, Allegheny County and the Auto Theft Prosecution Unit and the Insurance Fraud Prosecution Unit of the Office of the District Attorney of Allegheny County.

Magisterial District Judge Zyra wishes it to be noted that District Court 05-2-22 enjoys a reputation in its communities that enhances a positive impression of the administration of justice. In addition, the Judge notes that this court is centrally located among the municipalities it serves and, for the present, is easily accessible by public transportation.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05223
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,453
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-3%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	1115-7
	A. Average Total Workload:	46,343
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-14%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	⊠Reestablish □Realign □Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Dennis R. Joyce	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018



C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2019
		136 Bradford Avenue
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Pittsburgh, PA
		15205-3050
Е.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma	gisterial district:
	Carnegie Borough (also covers Pennsbury Village B	orough), Crafton Borough(also
	covers Thornburg Borough), Ingram Borough, Ross	lyn Farms Borough,
H.	List any major highways within this magisterial dist	trict:
	I-376, Rte. 50, Rte. 60, (the Port Authority Busway	West traverses four of the six

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

boroughs in this District Court)

Carnegie Borough, Pennsbury Village Borough, Crafton Borough, Thornburg Borough, Ingram Borough, Rosslyn Farms Borough,

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

This court is designated for filings by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue for violations arising in Allegheny County of the Tax Code, as it pertains to sales tax.

Magisterial District Judge Joyce wishes it to be noted that he holds evening hours for the convenience of the citizens he serves. Also, he utilizes truancy and underage drinking protocols which consume a substantial amount of judicial time. This district court covers a group of suburbs that border the City of Pittsburgh and includes major arteries from the City of Pittsburgh to the western and southern suburbs of Allegheny County, as well the neighboring counties to the west and south.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05225
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	6,487
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	16%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	65,605
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	22%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
Civil an 2005 to Townsh 2000 ce respect both de Moon Toombin Coraop This couland the	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district? d private complaint filings have steadily and significant of 2010, though other filings are consistent over this per nip and Crescent Township have seen an increase in postensus to the 2010 census: 8.5% (up to 24,185) and 14.1 cively. While the populations of Neville Township and Cresceased: 12% (down to 1,084) and 7% (down to 5,677) Township is 23.75 square miles, while the other three (seed account for 5.28 square miles. This district court is loolis and Moon Township is the residence of the magistant borders on the Ohio River. Neville Township is an is a rest of the court is surrounded by North Fayette Township and Robinson Township. District Court 05-3-17 coversity	ly decreased from iod. Moon pulation from the % (up to 2,640), oraopolis have , respectively. 3) municipalities ocated in erial district judge. land on the river aship, Findlay



Township and North Fayette Township that are only contiguous to Moon Township. Realignment of Moon Township to District Court 05-3-17 would cause caseload and workload statistics to fall well below the acceptable range and put District Court 05-3-17 well above the acceptable range. District Court 05-3-17 borders on Beaver and Washington Counties disallowing a cascading realignment of that court. Robinson Township is in District Court 05-2-43 for which realignment is recommended to bring that court's workload and caseload within acceptable ranges. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for realignment or elimination.

V.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	⊠Reestablish □Realign □Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Mary P. Murray	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2040
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	923 Fifth Avenue Coraopolis, PA 15108-1872
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this m Coraopolis Borough, Crescent Township, Moon To Neville Township)	그렇게 살아 살아가는 맛이 있다는 것이다.
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial di Rte. 376 (Business), I-79, Rte. 51	strict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	:



VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

This court was realigned in 2005, returning Neville Township to this court from District Court 05-2-43, pursuant to the Order for Reestablishment of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, No. 214 Magisterial Docket No. 1.

It is apparent that other counties, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, have experienced an increase in all categories of filings at their magisterial district courts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, it is difficult to forecast the effect. However, from August of 2009 to August of 2011, 63 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for Moon Township.

Magisterial District Judge Murray wishes it to be noted that her district court includes Robert Morris University which generates a measurable portion of her caseload. In addition, Judge Murray serves as a member of the Minor Court Procedural Rules Committee, Chair of the State Special Court Judges Association, and Second Vice-President of the Allegheny County Special Court Judges Association.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05226
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	2,706
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-52%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	27,039
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-50%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	equity within your judicial
	strict court, 30 miles from the City of Pittsburgh, covers	
Westn along t Towns	of the most southeastern section of Allegheny County be noreland County along the Youghiogheny River and Wathe Monongahela River. There is no public transportation of Forward and Elizabeth and limited public transportation.	shington County on to the poration to West
March	eth and Elizabeth Boroughs, with service reductions in t of 2012. West Elizabeth Borough, with a population of gh, with a population of 1,493, Elizabeth Township, wit	518, Elizabeth

13, 271, and Forward Township, with a population of 3,376, have all

experienced a decline in residents from the 2000 to 2010 censuses, by 8%, 7%,

filings, including 2011 filings that would suggest filings will go up or down in the

4% and 10%, respectively. There are no discernible anomalies or trends in



foreseeable future. Despite this, the geographic constraints of this district court make realignment or elimination impractical. Please see "Judicial District Summary Worksheet" for plans for similarly situated district courts. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for realignment or elimination.

7.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate	
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013	
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Beth Scagline-Mills		
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/5/2014	
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2035	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	2611 Hayden Boulevard Suite 3, Route 51 North Elizabeth, PA 15037	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
• 1	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	G. List any police departments located within this m Elizabeth Borough/ West Elizabeth Borough, Eliza Township		
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial di Rte. 48, Rte. 51, Rte. 136, Rte. 837	strict:	
	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Elizabeth Borough, Elizabeth Township, Forward Towns Borough		



VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

It is apparent that, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, other counties have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, it is difficult to forecast the effect, however, from August 2009 to August of 2011, 102 and 47 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for Forward Township and Elizabeth Borough, respectively.

Magisterial District Judge Mills wishes it to be noted that she organizes law presentations for all grade levels in the Elizabeth Forward School District, organizes and participates in regularly scheduled meetings with local municipal officials, school adminstrators and elected county and state representatives. Judge Mills is a member of the Board of Directors of Womensplace, Round Hill Cemetery, the 20th Century Club, Student Aid Fund and local alumni association. She also conducts seminars for the Girls Coalition relating to legal issues affecting women.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05227
П.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	4,171
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-26%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	29,735
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-45%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This is and War District 0-03), a fully set	 D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict? a City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court covering Vards 16 & 17 (Southside, St. Clair Village, Arlington Heig Judge Riccardi presides at Pittsburgh Municipal Court (and Arraignment Court (District Court 05-0-04), in the rat forth in the "Judicial District Summary Worksheet". Treph Municipal Court precludes realignment or eliminations. 	Ward 4 (Oakland) hts). Magisterial District Court 05- manner as more he rotation for
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate



В	. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013
v. N	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
A	. Magisterial District Judge Name: Eugene Nicola Ric	cciardi
В	. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018
C	. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2024
D	• Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Maul Building, Suite 300 1700 East Carson Street Pittsburgh, PA 15203
E	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district:	
VI. LIS	List any major highways within this magisterial dis- I-376, Carson Street, South 10 th Street, South 18 th Stree	Street, Becks Run Road, New Street, Boulevard of Allies, dge, 10 th Street Bridge
	ST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	•
and the	THE SOLD WINDSTERNAL DISTRICT WORKERALITIES	
THE PERSON NAMED IN	DDITIONAL COMMENTS:	+ District Count OF 2 27
	rial District Judge Riccardi wishes it to be noted tha the University of Pittsburgh and a number of large h	
PLEASE SA	VE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA F	FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTR



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I. I	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05228
П. Е	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A	A. Average Total Caseload:	3,280
I	3. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-42%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III. E	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A	A. Average Total Workload:	33,981
F	3. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-37%
(C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This is a (Downto (Upper F Municipa 05-0-04) Workshe	O. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edustrict? City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court covering Vown), Ward 2 (Strip District), Ward 3 (Lower Hill District Hill District). Magisterial District Judge Petite presides and Court (District Court 05-0-03), and Arraignment Court, in the manner as more fully set forth in the "Judicial set". The rotation for Pittsburgh Municipal Court preclation of this district court.	Vard 1 t), and Ward 5 at Pittsburgh urt (District Court District Summary
IV. P	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
A	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate



	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Oscar J. Petite, Jr	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/5/2014
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2024
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	1030 Fifth Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this ma	magisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: 1-376, 1-579, Bigelow Boulevard	etrict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:	
	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE	c.

Magisterial District Judge Petite wishes it to be noted that he is developing a plan to conduct truancy and non-traffic citation hearings related to school discipline issues at the appropriate schools, as a result of the effects of some school closings in the eastern part of the City of Pittsburgh. Judge Petite also maintains that the diversity represented by his court is important to the overall perception of the Fifth Judicial District. Even with public transportation cuts, his court is conveniently situated for the people he serves.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05231
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	2,383
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-58%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	30,517
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-43%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
(Bloom (East En Pittsbu (District District	 D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict? a City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court covering Visited, Ward 10 (Morningside, Garfield, Stanton Heights and Mall, Highland Park Areas). Magisterial District Judge righ Municipal Court (District Court 05-0-03), and Arraig to Court 05-0-04), in the manner as more fully set forth in Summary Worksheet". The rotation for Pittsburgh Municipal Court or elimination of this district court. 	Vard 8 s), and Ward 11 e Costa presides at Inment Court n the "Judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate



	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Ronald N. Costa,	Sr.
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2023
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	6808 Greenwood Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15206
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES gisterial district:
	G. List any police departments located within this ma	
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dist	trict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: City Of Pittsburgh - Wards 8, 10 & 11	AFAR STATE
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	3:



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05232
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	2,681
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-52%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	30,455
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-44%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	equity within your judicial
Pennsy Judicial	ourt was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supren Ilvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts I District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No.	within the Fifth 271 Magisterial
new M court is	Docket, effective January 2, 2012. To facilitate migration DJS, this realignment took place on November 18, 201 s now the proper venue for all cases arising in Oakmon	.1. As a result, this t Borough,
implem	ng all filings by the Oakmont Police Department. More nentation of the new MDJS in December of 2011 places orily, a concomitant strain on this newly configured cou	s, albeit

has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the current

responsibilities emanating from the realignment until such time as a statistical evaluation reflects a need for continued assignment or reassignment to another



court.

Notwithstanding the recent nature of the realignment of this court, it should be noted that adding Oakmont Borough workload statistics for Criminal, Non-Traffic, Private Complaint and Traffic filings alone, bring this court's midpoint workload average up to 43,189. Therefore, it is projected that this court's filings will fall into the appropriate and comparable average for the Fifth Judicial District. It is also significant, that at 29 square miles, Plum Borough is the largest Borough in Allegheny County and the second largest in the Commonwealth and encompasses five miles of the Pennsylvania Turnpike. This absorption of Oakmont Borough has placed an undue travel burden upon the Oakmont Police Department. Though unsuccessful thus far, it is anticipated that this court will be moved in 2012 to a location closer to Oakmont Borough than the current location.

For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.

IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.		
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013	
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Linda I. Zucco		
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018	
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2018	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	10101 Saltsburg Road Plum, PA 15239	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Plum Borough, Oakmont Borough		



H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: Rte. 286 (Golden Mile Highway), Rte. 909, Rte. 380

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Plum Borough, Oakmont Borough

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Further, it is apparent that other counties, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. Though little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, from August of 2009 to August of 2011, 222 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for Plum Borough.

Magisterial District Judge Zucco wishes it to be noted that Plum Borough, while referred to as a bedroom community, has thousands of acres of rural land with little commercial activity which, unfortunately, provides limited public transportation to its twenty-seven thousand residents.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05235		
П.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD			
	A. Average Total Caseload:	2,192		
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-61%		
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A		
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD			
	A. Average Total Workload:	19,885		
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-63%		
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES		
D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? This is a City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court encompassing Ward 7 (Shadyside) and Ward 14 (Point Breeze, Squirrel Hill, Frick Park). Magisterial District Judge McGough presides at Pittsburgh Municipal Court (District Court 05-0-03), and Arraignment Court (05-0-04), in the manner as more fully set forth in the "Judicial District Summary Worksheet". The rotation for Pittsburgh Municipal Court precludes realignment or elimination of this district court.				
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	dFit is a		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate		
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013		



v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Hugh F. McGough	1
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2026
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	5850 1/2 Forward Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15217
J	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
.]	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	${f G.}$ List any police departments located within this ma	gisterial district:
]	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis- I-376, Fifth Avenue, Forbes Avenue, Penn Avenue,	
-	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: y Of Pittsburgh - Wards 7 & 14	
VII. I	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	s: Lead of the later
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	
This co	ourt was proposed for elimination in 2011. After	due consideration, the
	me Court of Pennsylvania requested that the Presid	
reques	t for magisterial district court modifications by dele	ting the elimination of
	urt. As a result, an election was held in 2011 and the ected to serve.	Hon. Hugh F. McGough



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05236		
П.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD			
	A. Average Total Caseload:	801		
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-86%		
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A		
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD			
	A. Average Total Workload:	14,104		
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-74%		
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES		
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district?			
This is a City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court encompassing Ward 15 (Hazelwood, Greenfield) and Ward 31 (Hays, Lincoln Place). Magisterial District Judge Hanley presides at Pittsburgh Municipal Court (District Court 05-0-03), and Arraignment Court (05-0-04), in the manner as more fully set forth in the "Judicial District Summary Worksheet". The rotation for Pittsburgh Municipal Court precludes realignment or elimination of this district court.				
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:			
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate		
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013		



1/1/2018 12/31/2024 4371 Murray Avenue
12/31/2024
4371 Murray Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
YES
YES
agisterial district:
strict:

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

City Of Pittsburgh - Wards 15 & 31

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

In 2011, the President Judge proposed the elimination of District Court 05-2-31 and the venues thereof to be realigned to this district court. After due consideration, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania requested that the President Judge amend her request for magisterial district court modifications by deleting the elimination of District Court 05-2-31.

By Orders of the President Judge, this court is designated to preside over cases filed by the Insurance Fraud Section of the Office of Attorney General, Allegheny County, the Insurance Fraud Section and the Auto Theft Prosecution Unit of the Office of the District Attorney of Allegheny County.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05238
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	1,838
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-67%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	24,592
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-54%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This is a (Brookli presides Court (0 Summai	 D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict? City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court encompasine, Mt. Washington, Beechview). Magisterial District Jat Pittsburgh Municipal Court (District Court 05-0-03) 5-0-04), in the manner as more fully set forth in the "Jay Worksheet". The rotation for Pittsburgh Municipal Court or elimination of this district court. 	sing Ward 19 Judge Motznik , and Arraignment Judicial District
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
4	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
]	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013



V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: James A. Motzni	k
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2033
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	736 Brookline Boulevard Pittsburgh, PA 15226-2100
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this ma	agisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis Rte. 51, Rte. 19	strict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: City Of Pittsburgh - Ward 19	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE	S:
By C filed	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Orders of the President Judge, this court is designated by the Insurance Fraud Section of the Office of Attornty and all cases arising from the Station Square shops.	
		2.3



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05240
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	1,921
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-66%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	20,132
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-63%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
(Manc Heinz C Magisto (Distric more fo	 D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict? a City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court encompass hester), Ward 22 (North Side), Ward 23 (Latimer Jr. Hig Co. Area), Ward 24 (Troy Hill, Spring Garden), and Ward erial District Judge Rushing presides at Pittsburgh Munit Court 05-0-03), and Arraignment Court (05-0-04), in that I set forth in the "Judicial District Summary Workshesburgh Municipal Court precludes realignment or elimination. 	ssing Ward 21 h School - H.J. 25 (Fineview). cipal Court he manner as et". The rotation
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	⊠Reestablish ☐Realign



		Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Derwin D. Rushin	g
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2024
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	421 East Ohio Street Pittsburgh, PA 15212
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this ma	gisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis I-279, Rte. 65, Rte. 28, East Street, North Avenue	trict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: City Of Pittsburgh - Wards 21, 22, 23, 24 & 25	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	s:
enc sign incl	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: gisterial District Judge Rushing wishes it to be not ompasses Heinz Field, PNC Park, the Rivers Casino and difficant number of summary offense filings emanate. In ude a large number of rental properties generating dlord/tenant complaints for both private landlords and using Authority.	Stage AE from which a addition, these wards a healthy number of
PLEA	SE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA F	FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I. 1	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05242
п. І	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
1	A. Average Total Caseload:	2,546
]	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-55%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III. I	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
1	A. Average Total Workload:	31,964
]	3. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-41%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This is a (Perrysv District J 05-0-03) forth in	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict? City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court encompasible, Riverview) and Ward 27 (Woods Run, Brighton Roudge Ravenstahl presides at Pittsburgh Municipal Court, and Arraignment Court (05-0-04), in the manner as not the "Judicial District Summary Worksheet". The rotational Court precludes realignment or elimination of this deal Court precludes realignment.	sing Ward 26 ad). Magisterial rt (District Court nore fully set on for Pittsburgh
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
A	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
I	3. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013



V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Robert P. Ravenst	ahl, Jr.
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2024
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	3880 Perrysville Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15214-1898
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this mag	gisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dist Rte. 65, Rte. 19, Rte. 1279	rict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: ity Of Pittsburgh - Ward 26 & 27	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	Marine .
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	12 (14) A (14)



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



is in the same school district as Robinson Township. Overall, Kennedy Township filings represent approximately one-third of those from McKees Rocks which venue is part of District Court 05-3-06. It is further proposed that Ward 28 of the City of Pittsburgh be assumed by District Court 05-3-13.

the Ci	ty of Pittsburgh be assumed by District Court 05-3-13.	
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	☐ Reestablish ☑ Realign ☐ Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	180 days from the Order of the Supremo
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	PAN THE TOTAL
A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Carla Marie Swearingen		aringen
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2036
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	5624 Steubenville Pike McKees Rocks, PA 15136-1400
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this ma	agisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis I-79, I-376, Rte. 22, Rte. 30, Rte. 60	etrict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES. City Of Pittsburgh - Ward 28, Robinson Township	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Robinson Township, Kennedy Township	S:
It is	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: apparent that other counties, as a result of active Marc erienced an increase in all categories of filings at t rts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny	heir magisterial district



forecast the effect. However, from August of 2009 to August of 2011, 157 and 80 oil/gas lease transactions have been report for Robinson Township and Kennedy Township, respectively.

Magisterial District Judge Swearingen wishes it to be noted that her office is conveniently located in Robinson Township which has changed dramatically in the past few years with the building of a major mall and Settler's Ridge Complex.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05246
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	6,615
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	18%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	38,517
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-29%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
District at No. 2 migrati Novem	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edustrict? urt is no longer extant. This court was closed pursuant to breme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the swithin the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth 271 Magisterial Rules Docket, effective January 2, 2012. Ion of data to the new MDJS, this court was actually closuber 18, 2011. The effect of this closure on six (6) magistis reflected in those courts' Reestablishment Worksheet	o the Order of Magisterial of Pennsylvania To facilitate the sed as of erial district
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate



7.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Regis C. Welsh, Jr	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2012
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2024
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Coventry Square 4655 William Flynn Highway Suite 124f Allison Park, PA 15101-2400
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this ma	
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis	trict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Pine Township, Richland Township, Hampton Township	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	s:
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial	I. 1	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05247
B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's 10% average total caseload: C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of N/A county's average total caseload: III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD A. Average Total Workload: 69,448 B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's 29% average total workload: C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater YES than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial	II. I	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of N/A county's average total caseload: III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD A. Average Total Workload: B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial	1	A. Average Total Caseload:	6,150
average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: III. BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD A. Average Total Workload: 69,448 B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's 29% average total workload: C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater yes than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial]	average total caseload and your judicial district's	10%
A. Average Total Workload: B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's 29% average total workload: C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater YES than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial	(average total caseload and applicable class of	N/A
 B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's 29% average total workload: C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater YES than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicia district? This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial 	III. I	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
average total workload and the judicial district's 29% average total workload: C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater YES than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicia district? This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial	1	A. Average Total Workload:	69,448
total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicia district? This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial	, 1	average total workload and the judicial district's	29%
district? This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial	(total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average	YES
Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial	I		quity within your judicial
Rules Docket effective lanuary 2, 2012. To facilitate migration of data to the	Pennsylv Judicial (urt was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supren vania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No.	within the Fifth 271 Magisterial
Rules Docket, effective January 2, 2012. To facilitate migration of data to the new MDJS, this realignment took place on December 2, 2011. As a result, in addition to the police departments and municipalities previously covered by this district court, this court is now the filing court for all cases wherein	new MD addition	JS, this realignment took place on December 2, 2011. to the police departments and municipalities previou	As a result, in usly covered by
Braddock Borough is the proper venue, including all filings by the Braddock Police Department. Further, this magisterial district court is the designated	Braddoc	k Borough is the proper venue, including all filings by	the Braddock

repository of all matters that were pending or closed from Chalfant Borough for disposition and maintenance. Moreover, the implementation of the new MDJS in December of 2011 places, albeit transitorily, a concomitant strain on this



newly configured court. Additional staff has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the current responsibilities emanating from the realignment until such time as a statistical evaluation reflects a need for continued assignment or reassignment to another court.

Notwithstanding the recent nature of the realignment of this court, it should be noted that the population figures for the municipalities now covered by this court have all decreased from the 2000 census to the 2010 census as follows: Braddock - 25.9%; East Pittsburgh - 11%; Turtle Creek - 12%; Duquesne - 24.1%; and North Braddock - 24.2%. Consequently, it is projected that the caseload and workload will be at an appropriate comparable level for this court. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.

IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate	
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013	
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Scott H. Schricker	•	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016	
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2031	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	100 Penn Plaza Shopping Center Penn Avenue Turtle Creek, PA 15145	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	G. List any police departments located within this ma City of Duquesne, Braddock Borough, Turtle Creek		



Borough, North Braddock Borough

H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: Rte. 30, Rte. 130, Rte. 837

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

City Of Duquesne, Braddock Borough, Turtle Creek Borough, East Pittsburgh Borough, North Braddock Borough,

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Magisterial District Judge Schricker wishes it to be noted that he is available during non-business hours to police departments in the Monongahela Valley. He also participates in Crime Watch programs for various municipalities and the Woodland Hills School district and Duquesne School District for different programs. Further, Judge Schricker supports the reestablishment of District Court 05-2-47 to prevent the appearance of unequal access to justice in poorer communities. District Court 05-2-47 is close to public transportation and within walking distance for many of its citizens.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05302
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,587
	B. Difference (%)between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	0%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	22,494
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-58%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial
Pennsyl	ourt was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Suprer vania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts	within the Fifth
	District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. ocket, effective January 2, 2012. To facilitate migration	
	DJS, this realignment took place on November 18, 201 to the police departments and municipalities previou	
this dist	rict court, this court is now the proper venue for all ca Park Borough, including all filings by the Franklin Park	ses arising in

Department. Moreover, the implementation of the new MDJS in December of 2011 places, albeit transitorily, a concomitant strain on this newly configured court. Additional staff has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the current responsibilities emanating from the realignment until such time as a



statistical evaluation reflects a need for continued assignment or reassignment to another court.

Notwithstanding the recent nature of the realignment of this court, it should be noted that the realignment adds a significant caseload and workload to this court. Franklin Park Borough, a community of over 13,000 residents, has seen a 18.5% increase in population from the 2000 census to the 2010 census. Aleppo Township, though a township with slightly less than 2,000 residents enjoyed an 84.4% increase in population from the 2000 census to the 2010 census. Sewickley Heights Borough, with less than 1,000 citizens, saw a 17.4% drop in population. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.

IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.		
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013	
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Robert L. Ford		
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018	
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2028	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	190 Ohio River Boulevard P.O. Box 153 Leetsdale, PA, 15056-0153	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	G. List any police departments located within this ma Ohio Township (for Aleppo Township and Sewickle Borough, Edgeworth Borough, PA State Police (for Boroughs), Leet Township, Leetsdale Borough, Sew	y Hills Borough), Bell Acres Glenfield and Haysville	



Osborne), Sewickley Heights, Franklin Park Borough

H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: I-79, Rte. 65, Big Sewickley Creek Road

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Aleppo Township, Bell Acres Borough, Edgeworth Borough, Glenfield Borough, Haysville Borough, Leet Township, Leetsdale Borough, Osborne Borough, Sewickley Borough, Sewickley Heights Borough, Sewickley Hills Borough, Franklir Park Borough

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Magisterial District Judge Ford wishes it to be noted that, as his district encompasses twelve municipalities, he devotes a substantial amount of time to public forums, particularly with respect to juveniles/underage drinking.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	M	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05303
п.	BR	EAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A.	Average Total Caseload:	5,470
	В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-3%
	C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
	A.	Average Total Workload:	43,310
	В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-20%
	C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
	D.	If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	equity within your judicial
This	court	was realigned in 2006, adding Oakmont Borough,	pursuant to the
Amen	ded	Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the	e Reestablishment
		gisterial District within the Fifth Judicial District of t	
		vania at No. 192, Magisterial Docket No. 1, and rea	
pursu	ant t	to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania	for the
		on of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judic	
		vealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial Rules	
Janua	ry 2,	2012. To facilitate migration of data to the new MI	DJS, this recent

realignment took place on November 18, 2011. As a result, this district court is no longer the proper venue for filings arising in Oakmont Borough, but is now the proper venue for all cases arising in Frazer Township, including all filings by the Frazer Township Police Department. Further, this magisterial district court is



the designated repository of all cases that were pending or closed as of November 18, 2011 wherein Oakmont Borough was the proper venue. Moreover, the implementation of the new MDJS in December of 2011 places, albeit transitorily, a concomitant strain on this newly configured court. Notwithstanding the recent nature of the realignment of this court, it should be noted that Frazer Township includes the Galleria at Pittsburgh Mills which opened in 2005. This 1.1 million square feet retail and entertainment complex houses over 175 retailers. It is projected that the realignment will keep the caseload average at a comparable level and increase the workload average to an appropriate computation. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.

IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate	
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013	
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: David J. Sosovick	a	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018	
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2029	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	721 Gulf Lab Road Cheswick, PA 15024	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
	G. List any police departments located within this ma Chewsick Borough, Harmar Township, Springdale Frazer Township		
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis Pennsylvania Turnpike, Rte. 28	trict:	



VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Chewsick Borough, Harmar Township, Springdale Borough, Springdale Township Frazer Township

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

It is apparent that, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, other counties have experienced increases in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. Though little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, from August of 2009 to August 2011, 93 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for Frazer Township.

Magisterial District Judge Sosovicka wishes it to be noted that he is a member of the Allegheny Valley School District Emergency Management Team and the Key Communicators Group. In the school district, he lectures on the importance of a drug-free lifestyle and organizes activities promoting safe and healthy communities.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05304
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	3,953
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-30%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	34,700
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-36%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	quity within your judicial

This court was realigned pursuant to the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 271 Magisterial Rules Docket, effective January 2, 2012. To facilitate migration of data to the new MDJS, this realignment took place on November 18, 2011. As a result, this court is no longer the proper venue for new filings arising in East Deer Township or Frazer Township, but is now the proper venue for all cases arising in Hampton Township or Richland Township, including all filings by the Hampton Township Police Department and the Northern Regional Police Department for Richland Township. However, this court retains for disposition and maintenance all cases filed before November 18, 2011 wherein East Deer Township or Frazer Township was the proper venue. Further, this magisterial district court is the



designated repository of all traffic and non-traffic cases that were pending or closed from closed court 05-2-46 for disposition and maintenance. By Order of the President Judge, this court is the now the proper venue for all summary traffic citations initiated by the Allegheny County Police assigned to District #3. Moreover, the implementation of the new MDJS in December of 2011 places, albeit transitorily, a concomitant strain on this newly configured court. Additional staff has been temporarily assigned to this court to address the current responsibilities emanating from the realignment until such time as a statistical evaluation reflects a need for continued assignment or reassignment to another court.

Notwithstanding the recent nature of the realignment of this court, it should be noted that the combined populations of Richland Township and Hampton Township are ten (10) times the combined populations of Frazer Township and East Deer Township. Richland Township has realized a 20.2% increase in population from the 2000 census to the 2010 census.

Both increased caseload and workload numbers from these realignment substitutions are projected to bring this court within appropriate and comparable average ranges for the judicial district. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for elimination or additional realignment.

IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	□ Reestablish □ Realign □ Eliminate	
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013	
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Suzanne Blaschal	(
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016	
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2021	
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	2060 Saxonburg Boulevard Gibsonia, PA 15044	
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	



F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:

YES

- **G.** List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Hampton Township, Richland Township, West Deer Township
- H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: Pennsylvania Turnpike, Rte. 8, Rte. 910, Middle Road, Saxonburg Boulevard, Little Deer Creek Valley Road, Bakerstown-Culmerville Road, Tarentum-Culmerville Road (foregoing represent major highways as per realignment of 1/2/12)

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Hampton Township, Richland Township, West Deer Township

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

It is apparent that, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, other counties have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. Though little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, from August of 2009 to August of 2011, 224 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for West Deer Township.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05305	
П.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD		
	A. Average Total Caseload:	1,708	
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-70%	
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A	
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
	A. Average Total Workload:	17,522	
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-68%	
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES	
square Westr popul not su cohes in filin for ad	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict? istrict court, 18 miles from the City of Pittsburgh, covers a miles, bordering on the east bank of the Youghiogheny noreland County. All four municipalities served by this contains from the 2000 to 2010 censuses. The neighboring itable for realignment or elimination due to geographic iveness of current configuration, or will not materially accept and/or workload. Please see "Judicial District Summa ditional plans for similarly situated district courts with located midpoint averages.	approximately 16 River and ourt have lost district courts are c limitations, ddress disparities ary Worksheet"	
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:		
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this	X Reestablish	



magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Realign Eliminate	
What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013	
AGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:		
Magisterial District Judge Name: Thomas G. Miller	, Jr.	
Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016	
Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2026	
Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Rainbow Village Shopping Center 1985 Lincoln Way White Oak, PA 15131	
Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES	
List any police departments located within this ma Versailles Borough, White Oak Borough/ South Ve Borough		
H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: Rte. 48, Rte. 148, Lincoln Way (changes to 3 and 4 lane in 2012)		
n Versailles Township, Versailles Borough, White Oa		
T PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE	s:	
rt was realigned in 2005, adding Lincoln Boroug t to the Amended Order of the Supreme Court of hishment of the Magisterial District within the Fifth	of Pennsylvania for the n Judicial District of the	
	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): AGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: Magisterial District Judge Name: Thomas G. Miller Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: List any police departments located within this may Versailles Borough, White Oak Borough/ South Versailles Borough List any major highways within this magisterial district any major highways within this magisterial district.	



It is apparent that other counties, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, have experienced an increase in all categories of filings in their magisterial district courts. Though little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, from August of 2009 to August of 2011, 95 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for White Oak Borough.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

ī,	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05306	
п.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD		
	A. Average Total Caseload:	6,039	
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	8%	
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A	
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD		
	A. Average Total Workload:	75,454	
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	40%	
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES	
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload district?	equity within your judicial	
Borou	ast majority of this district court's filings originate from igh, a community of 6,104. Stowe Township is of similar ents. There are no discernible anomalies or trends in the	r size with 6,362	
in this allevia includ	s court that would indicate a decrease in any particular ate the workload burden in this court. Filings are substalling those for 2011. Consequently, this district court is unation, but it is proposed for realignment with District Court.	filing that would intial and steady, unsuitable for	
this c	ourt shedding Kennedy Township and District Court 05-	2-43 assuming	

Kennedy Township, which in turn is ceding Ward 28 of the City of Pittsburgh to

District Court 05-3-13.



	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	☐Reestablish ☑Realign ☐Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	180 days from the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Mary Ann Cerco	one
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2027
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	104 Linden Avenue McKees Rocks, PA 15136-3800
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this n McKees Rocks Borough, Stowe Township, Kenne	
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial d I-79,Rte. 51, Chartiers Avenue, McCoy Road, Bro- Road, McKees Rocks Road, Coroapolis Road, Islan	adway Avenue, Forrest Grove
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES ACKEES Rocks Borough, Stowe Township, Kennedy Townsh	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY IcKees Rocks Borough, Stowe Township	ES:
Ma subs	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: gisterial District Judge Cercone wishes it to be note tantial proportion of low income residents who re ic transportation to this court.	
PLEAS	E SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA	A FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05309
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,758
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	3%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	64,350
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	19%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
the 202 Boroug has a p particu any on 50% in This fac worklo	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district? Ty of Clairton has realized a 20% decrease in population 10 censuses. Glassport Borough, population 4,483, and 15th, population 3,798, have each sustained a 10% decline population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population. The population of 2,551, having lost 4.5% of its population of 2,551, havin	from the 2000 to Port Vue E. Liberty Borough There are no S court, nor with Ously dropped by Illings for 2011. Int average



A	. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	⊠Reestablish □Realign □Eliminate
В	. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013
v. N	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
A	. Magisterial District Judge Name: Armand Martin	
В	. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016
C	. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2044
D	• Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	One Allegheny Square Suite One Glassport, PA 15045
E	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G	 List any police departments located within this ma City of Clairton, Glassport Borough, Liberty Boroug 	
Н	 List any major highways within this magisterial dist Rte. 885, Rte. 837 	trict:
	ST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Of Clairton, Glassport Borough, Liberty Borough, Por	t Vuo Borough
4	ST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	
This cou Port Vu Suprema within t Magiste Magiste hearings	DDITIONAL COMMENTS: Int was realigned in 2005, adding Glassport Borough be Borough to this district court, pursuant to the A be Court of Pennsylvania for the Reestablishment of the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Perial Docket No. 1. In the Perial District Judge Martin wishes it to be noted that he at the school where the incident arose in the Clair South Allegheny School District. He also participates	Amended Order of the the Magisterial District ennsylvania at No. 192 ne conducts his truancy ton City School District



school assembly programs. Because the police departments in this district are small, many with part-time officers, Judge Martin makes himself available at night and on weekends for search warrants and arraignments.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):	05310
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	616
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-89%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
Ш.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	7,422
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-86%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
(Polish preside Court (Summa	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edustrict? a City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court encompase Hill) and Ward 9 (Lawrenceville). Magisterial District Judges at Pittsburgh Municipal Court (District Court 05-0-03) 05-0-04), in the manner as more fully set forth in the "Judgery Worksheet". The rotation for Pittsburgh Municipal Coment or elimination of this district court.	sing Ward 6 dge Ceoffe , and Arraignment udicial District
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013



7.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Anthony M. Ceoff	e
	B. Term Expiration (<i>m</i> / <i>d</i> / <i>yyyy</i>):	1/3/2016
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2031
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	4211 Butler Street, Suite 1 Pittsburgh, PA 15201-3132
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this mag	gisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dist Butler Street, Penn Avenue, Liberty Avenue	rict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: ity Of Pittsburgh - Wards 6 & 9	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	Salate Basic
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	TO BE A CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF
li a se		
PLEASE	E SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA F	OR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTR



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I. N	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05312
II. B	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A	. Average Total Caseload:	1,990
В	 Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload: 	-65%
C	 Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload: 	N/A
III. B	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A	. Average Total Workload:	28,419
В	 Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload: 	-47%
C	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This is a C (East Libe presides a Court (05 Summary	If YES, how does this difference impact workload eddistrict? City of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court encompaserty) and Ward 13 (Homewood). Magisterial District Just Pittsburgh Municipal Court (District Court 05-0-03)-0-04), in the manner as more fully set forth in the "Just Worksheet". The rotation for Pittsburgh Municipal Cent or elimination of this district court.	sing Ward 12 udge Cooper , and Arraignment udicial District
IV. P	ROPOSED CHANGE:	
A	Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
В	What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2013



	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Kevin E. Cooper	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2025
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	566 Brushton Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15208-2200
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this m	agisterial district:
	$\mathbf{H}_{f \cdot}$ List any major highways within this magisterial di	strict:
VI.	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES City Of Pittsburgh - Wards 12 & 13	
VII.	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE	ES:
VIII.	. Additional Comments:	



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I. M	AGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05313
II. Br	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
A.	Average Total Caseload:	1,372
В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-76%
C.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III. Br	REAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
A.	Average Total Workload:	14,519
В.	Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-73%
C.	Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This is a C	If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? ity of Pittsburgh Magisterial District Court encompas	ssing Ward 20
Municipal the mann Workshee of this dis by moving district co Crafton H	d, Corliss). Magisterial District Judge Martini presides Court (District Court 05-0-03), and Arraignment Court as more fully set forth in the "Judicial District Sumet". The rotation for Pittsburgh Municipal Court preditrict court. However, to adjust the workload of District Court Township to District Court 05-2-43, it is predict be realigned to assume Ward 28 (Chartiers, Wesleights) of the City of Pittsburgh.	art (05-0-04), in amary ludes elimination ict Court 05-3-06 coposed that this
	Please indicate any proposed change in this	Reestablish



	magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Realign
		Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	180 days from the Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
V.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Randy C. Martin	İ
= 1/	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2024
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	22 Wabash Avenue Lower Level, Suite 101 Pittsburgh, PA 15220
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	${f G}_{f f c}$ List any police departments located within this m	agisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial di I-376, Rte. 51, West Carson Street, Banksville Roa Avenue, Steuben Street, South Main Street	
4.000	LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES y Of Pittsburgh - Ward 20	
	LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE Of Pittsburgh - Ward 20, Ward 28	ES:
VIII.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	
PLEASE	SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA	FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05314
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	4,104
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-28%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	45,514
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-16%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
Magist Ward 2 District 03), an the "Ju	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edustrict? strict court covers Mt. Oliver Borough and is also a City of the certain District Court encompassing Ward 18 (Allentown, In 29 (Carrick), Ward 30 (Knoxville) and Ward 32 (Overbrook Judge King presides at Pittsburgh Municipal Court (District Arraignment Court (05-0-04), in the manner as more facilitied District Summary Worksheet". The rotation for Pitipal Court precludes realignment or elimination of this desired.	of Pittsburgh Beltzhoover), ok). Magisterial crict Court 05-0- fully set forth in ttsburgh
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate



	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/1/2013
v.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Richard G. King	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/1/2018
	C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/31/2023
	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	2213 Brownsville Road Pittsburgh, PA 15210
	E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
	G. List any police departments located within this ma Mt. Oliver Borough	agisterial district:
	H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis Rte. 51, Brownsville Road, Arlington Avenue, East Road. Also, Port Authority South Busway, the "T" p	Warrington Avenue, Becks Run
V/T	LICT EVICTING MACIETERIAL DISTRICT MACHINE	

VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Mt. Oliver Borough, City Of Pittsburgh - Ward 18, 29, 30 & 32

VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

This court was realigned in 2006, adding Mt. Oliver Borough, pursuant to the Amended Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for the Modification of the Magisterial Districts Within the Fifth Judicial District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at No. 192 Magisterial Docket No. 1. By Orders of the President Judge, this court is designated to preside over filings arising from incidents in the Mon Valley and Station Square parking lots.



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	05317
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	,
	A. Average Total Caseload:	7,466
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	33%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	N/A
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	45,016
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-17%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?	YES
This conwhich recensus. Internation the Aproug Boroug 2,149 ir and a p	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? urt includes North Fayette Township, a community of a realized a 13.7% increase in population from the 2000 of Findlay Township is a community of 5,060 residents have residents in the 10 year census period and is home to tional Airport, accounting for 39% of the Township beighleny County Department of Aviation. North Fayet Township represent 61 square miles in this district. A hais in Allegheny County and the majority is in Washing in this in the same total in both counties. Oakdale Borough is also small in size a copulation of 1,459. The West Allegheny School District of Findlay and North Fayette Townships and Oakdale Borough is also small on the same property of the sam	13,934 residents, census to the 2010 aving lost less o the Pittsburgh ng administered ate Township and part of McDonald gton County. This population of t .58 square miles t is comprised



(McDonald Borough is part of the Fort Cherry School District in Washington County). The departure from the midpoint workload average is too insignificant to address with any conceivable realignment is this large and diverse district court with a significant number of filings. For the foregoing reasons, this court is deemed unsuitable for realignment or elimination. IV. PROPOSED CHANGE: Reestablish A. Please indicate any proposed change in this Realign magisterial district. Check all that apply. Eliminate **B.** What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): 1/1/2013 V. MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION: A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Anthony W. Saveikis **B.** Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): 1/5/2014 C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): 12/31/2037 8052 Steubenville Pike **D.** Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): Oakdale, PA 15071 E. Is the office within the boundaries of the YES magisterial district: **F.** Is the residence of the magisterial district judge YES within the boundaries of the magisterial district: G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Findlay Township, McDonald Borough, North Fayette Township, Oakdale Borough H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: I-376, Rte. 22, Rte. 30 LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: VI. Findlay Township, McDonald Borough, North Fayette Township, Oakdale Borough VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: By Order of the President Judge, since 2011, this district court is the proper venue

for all summary traffic citations initiated by Allegheny County police officers



assigned to District #1.

It is apparent that other counties, as a result of active Marcellus Shale drilling, have experienced an increase in all categories of filings at their magisterial district courts. As little drilling has yet to be realized in Allegheny County, it is difficult to forecast the effect. However, from August 2009 to August of 2011, 190 and 130 oil/gas lease transactions have been reported for Findlay Township and North Fayette Township, respectively.

Magisterial District Judge Saveikis wishes it to be noted that the presence of an international airport in his venue is challenging as a large contingent of the Allegheny County Police, including a bomb squad, are headquartered in this district and the yearly presence of 9 million travelers and 144 million pounds of cargo passing through the airport bring a large number of filings ranging from narcotics interdiction search warrant applications to parking violations. In addition, this court's district includes Pittsburgh Technical Institute, Parkway West Vocational Technical School and the Community College of Allegheny County. Lastly, this district is home to a close to 1 million square foot mall that contains most "Big Box" retailers.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY

PENN HILLS AND VERONA AND WILKINS

LEONARD J. HROMYAK

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE Magisterial District 05-2-06 85 Universal Road Pittsburgh Pa 15235 OFFICE: TEL 412-731-0100 FAX 412-731-1986

To whom it may concern.

The attached response is being submitted by the President with support of the S.C.J.A. of District # 6 (Allegheny County) the # 5 Judicial District of Pennsylvania.

Leonard J. HRomyak

President of S.C.J.A. District #6-

cc. President Judge Donna Jo McDaniel

THE SPECIAL COURT JUDGES ASSOCIATION OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY

RESPONSE

TO

THE PROPOSED RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURTS IN 2012

The purpose of this response is to indicate the support of the Special Court Judges Association for the plan for re-establishment presented by the Honorable Donna Jo McDaniel, the President Judge of Allegheny County. The Special Court judges Association of Allegheny County feels very strongly that there should be no further reduction in the number of magisterial district courts in the county. Therefore, we embrace the plan that has been presented.

At the end of 2011, two magisterial district courts were closed due to the retirement of the judges. In 2002, the number of magisterial district courts was reduced by seven. That is a total reduction of 9 magisterial district courts in ten years. In addition, in 2005, Pittsburgh Magistrates Court was abolished and its duties were assigned to a newly created Pittsburgh Municipal Court in the Fifth Judicial District. Magisterial District judges were assigned to perform the duties required in this court. There was a loss of 6 additional judges from the Magistrates Court. Thus, there has been a total loss of 15 judicial positions since 2002.

Pittsburgh Municipal Court is a multi-faceted court. This court, primarily staffed by the magisterial district judges from the City of Pittsburgh,

conducts preliminary hearings for criminal cases and summary trials in traffic and non-traffic cases for cases filed by the Pittsburgh police. In addition, this court serves as the 24 hour Arraignment Court for Allegheny County. All of the magisterial judges in Allegheny County serve in this court. Arraignment, acceptance of criminal complaints and the issuance of search and arrest warrants for all of the police departments in Allegheny County are performed. The PMC also serves as the cite for all preliminary hearings for homicide cases filed in Allegheny County and these are assigned to all of the magisterial district judges in the county on a rotating basis. The PMC serves as the central location for all Act 33 preliminary hearings and these are for the juveniles charged as adults. All of the judges in Allegheny County participate in the responsibilities, duties and functions of Pittsburgh Municipal Court. Because of the number of cases filed in Pittsburgh Municipal Court it is hard to fit this court into a statistical comparison of the courts in the City and in the remainder of the County. This adds to the uniqueness of Allegheny County.

AOPC in a recent news release mentioned the general decrease in case filings in magisterial district courts. This was true in Allegheny County, but the 2011 statistics show a 3% increase in Allegheny County from 2010. Disbursements in Allegheny County district courts also increased by \$523,000.00 to almost 25 million dollars.

Allegheny County has a high proportion of the more heavily weighted cases. 16% of the criminal cases and 15% of the private criminal complaints are filed in Allegheny County. 17% of the landlord-tenant cases are filed in Allegheny County and 52% of the emergency petitions for protection from abuse are filed here. This is based on the 2009 statistics and includes cases statewide filed in the MDJS.

The statewide ratio of magisterial district judges to common pleas court judges is 1.56 to 1(This excludes Philadelphia Common Pleas Court). In Allegheny County, there are now, in 2011, 46 magisterial district judges and then allotted compliment of common pleas court judges is 43.

Therefore, for these reasons and those stated in the plan, the Special Court Judges of Allegheny County supports and endorses the plan as proposed by the Honorable Donna Jo McDaniel, President Judge of the Fifth Judicial District.