# **Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012**

# **Judicial District Summary Sheet**

County Name: Montgomery

Judicial District #: 38

# Caseload and Magisterial District Elimination Analysis

The difference between the average annual caseload of Judicial District # 38 and its class of county: **18%**Note: If the difference is >/= 10% no proposed eliminations or justification is required.

| rvote. If the diff | Crence 13 - 7 1070 110        |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Summary of         | <sup>f</sup> Proposed Actions |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-01            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-02            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-03            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-04            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-05            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-06            | Realign                       |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-07            | Realign                       |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-08            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-09            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-10            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-11            | Realign                       |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-12            | Realign                       |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-13            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-14            | Realign                       |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-15            | Realign                       |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-16            | Realign                       |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-17            | Eliminate                     |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-18            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-19            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-20            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-21            | Reestablish                   |  |  |  |  |
| 38-1-22            | Realign                       |  |  |  |  |

# **Judicial District Summary Sheet**

County Name: **Montgomery** 

Judicial District #: 38

| 38-1-23 | Reestablish |  |
|---------|-------------|--|
| 38-1-24 | Realign     |  |
| 38-1-25 | Reestablish |  |
| 38-1-28 | Realign     |  |
| 38-2-02 | Reestablish |  |
| 38-2-03 | Realign     |  |
| 38-2-04 | Realign     |  |
| 38-2-08 | Reestablish |  |

# Night/Central/Alternate Court Operations

This judicial district utilizes the following diversionary courts to assist in balancing workload:

Central Court: Yes Night Court: No

#### **Public Comment**

Proposal Posted for Public Comment: Yes Comments Received: Yes

- MDJ Borek (38-1-28): Modify proposal to eliminate the extension of the current lease for this district by fourteen months; and transfer jurisdiction of Hatfield Township and Lansdale Borough prior to/on the current lease expiration date for this district.
- Chief of Police-Souderton: Opposed to elimination of district court location in Souderton.
- MDJ Deatelhauser: Opposed to elimination of district court location in Souderton because: there is no public transportation in this area that will take residents to proposed Skippack court; cost to affected municipalities; and safety.
- Chief of Police Montgomery Township: Proposes realignment of 38-1-18 include all parts of Montgomery Township and all of Hatfield Township and Borough; and 38-1-28 be reestablished.
- Bricks, Landis, Hunsberger & Gringrich LLP: Expresses concern about eliminating 38-1-17.
- Romy Tressler, Home & School Visitor for Souderton Area School District: Expresses concern about the hardship placed on "at risk" families if 38-1-17 is eliminated.
- Police Chief Lower Frederick Township: Opposed to realignment of 38-2-03 which would move Lower Frederick Township to 38-2-02.
- Chief of Police Mark Toomey: Opposed to closing 38-1-17 to create a new district for a portion of Norristown.
- MDJ Duffy (38-1-18): Proposes her district cover all of Hatfield Township (rather than one of the two parts) and Hatfield Borough.
- Telford Borough Council Borough Manager Fournier and Chief of Police Floyd: Opposed to elimination of 38-1-17 without input.

# **Judicial District Summary Sheet**

County Name: **Montgomery** 

Judicial District #: 38

- Patrick J. McMenamin, Jr.: Opposes elimination of court 38-1-17.
- Chief of Police Franconia Township: Opposed to closing of 38-1-17 and resulting realignment because of the impact on the affected municipalities.
- Franconia Township Chairman Barndt and Manager/Secretary Baver: Opposed to the elimination of district court 38-1-17.
- Edward Levine, Esq. on behalf of Lansdale Borough: Under proposed plan, Lansdale Borough's voters will not be able to vote for a judge of their choosing until 2015; disparity in caseload for newly formed 38-1-18; and Judge Duffy will be burdened with one of the heaviest caseloads in the county.

Magisterial District 38-1-17 is proposed for elimination as it currently exists, to be reconfigured as a new magisterial district court serving Norristown District.

# **Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012**

County Name: Montgomery

Judicial District #: 38

# **Existing and Proposed Magisterial Districts**

Magisterial District #: 38-1-01

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,266 Average Annual Workload: 49,921

% Difference in Caseload -12.00 % Difference in Workload 7.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 3.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

## Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Ester J. Casillo Birthdate: 3-22-48

160 West Germantown Pike, Suite D5 Mandatory Retirement: 2018

Norristown, PA 19401 Term Expires: 12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 01; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 02; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 03; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 04; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 02 ED 01; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 02 ED 02; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 02 ED 03; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 02; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 02 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 03 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 03 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 04 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 04 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 04 ED 02

#### **Proposed Geography:**

EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 01; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 02; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 03; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 04; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 02 ED 01; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 02 ED 03; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 02 ED 03; EAST NORRITON TWP VTD 02 ED 04; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 01 ED 02; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 02 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 03 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 03 ED 02; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 04 ED 01; WEST NORRITON TWP VTD 04 ED 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: East Norriton Township Police Dept., West Norriton Township Police Dept.

**Major Highways:** 

Magisterial District #: 38-1-02

**Proposed Action:** Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5.429 Average Annual Workload: 28,217 % Difference in Caseload -24.00 % Difference in Workload -39.00 (magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district): Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload -10.00 support to maintain current configuration. (magisterial district/class of county):

Administrative Order will transfer Montgomery County Health Department cases to 38-1-23; traffic cases involving Route 309 will be moved to this district. The court also requests an exception that all parts of this district be contiguous. Jenkintown Borough is not contiguous with the portion of Cheltenham Township that is part of this district (and hasn't been for ten years), but is contiguous to the portion of Cheltenahm Township that is part of district 38-1-03. If Jenkintown were moved to the neighboring district, the caseload inequity would be greater.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Elizabeth A. McHugh Birthdate: 4-26-56 Station Mews 7804 Montgomery Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2026 Elkins Park, PA 19027 Term Expires: 12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 05 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 05 ED 02: CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 05 ED 03: CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 05 ED 04; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 06 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 06 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 06 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 07 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 07 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 07 ED 03; JENKINTOWN VTD 01; JENKINTOWN VTD 02; JENKINTOWN VTD 03; JENKINTOWN VTD 04

### **Proposed Geography:**

CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 05 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 05 ED 02: CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 05 ED 03: CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 05 ED 04; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 06 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 06 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 06 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 07 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 07 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 07 ED 03; JENKINTOWN VTD 01; JENKINTOWN VTD 02; JENKINTOWN VTD 03; JENKINTOWN VTD 04

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Cheltenham Township Police Dept., Jenkintown Police Dept.

**Major Highways:** 

Page 2 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-03

**Proposed Action:** Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,639 Average Annual Workload: 50,802 % Difference in Caseload -7.00 % Difference in Workload 9.00 (magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload 10.00

support to maintain current configuration. (magisterial district/class of county):

All traffic filings involving Route 309 will be transferred to 38-1-02 effective 1/1/13 -which will help increase the workload of 38-1-02 while not having a significant impact on this court.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Christopher J. Cerski Birthdate: 10-25-75

8230 Old York Road Mandatory Retirement: 2045

Elkins Park, PA 19027 Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 01 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 01 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 01 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 01 ED 04; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 02 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 02 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 02 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 02 ED 04; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 03 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 03 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 03 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 03 ED 04; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 04 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 04 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 04 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 04 ED 04

# **Proposed Geography:**

CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 01 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 01 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 01 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 01 ED 04; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 02 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 02 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 02 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 02 ED 04; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 03 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 03 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 03 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 03 ED 04; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 04 ED 01; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 04 ED 02; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 04 ED 03; CHELTENHAM TWP VTD 04 ED 04

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Cheltenham Township Police Dept.

Route 309 Major Highways:

Page 3 of 33 Monday, August 06, 2012

Magisterial District #: 38-1-04

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/class of county):

### Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Workload is 18% less than the average judicial district workload. The court also requests an exception that the district court for this district be contained within the boundaries. The facility is located one block outside of the boundaries and the current lease does not expire until October 2024.

#### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

John D. KesslerBirthdate:2-3-551150 Old York RoadMandatory Retirement:2025Abington, PA 19001Term Expires:12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

ABINGTON TWP WD 01 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 01 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 01 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 02 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 02 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 05 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 05 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 08 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 08 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 10 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 10 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 10 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 11 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 14 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 14 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 15 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP VTD 15 ED 02; ROCKLEDGE Voting District

#### **Proposed Geography:**

ABINGTON TWP WD 01 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 01 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 01 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 02 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 02 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 05 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 05 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 08 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 08 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 10 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 10 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 10 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 11 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 14 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 14 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 15 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP VTD 15 ED 02; ROCKLEDGE Voting District

Office within district: No

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Abington Township Police Dept.

**Major Highways:** 

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 4 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-05

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,064 Average Annual Workload: 46,919

% Difference in Caseload -15.00 % Difference in Workload 1.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 0.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Juanita A. Price Birthdate: 1-30-63

875 North Easton Road Mandatory Retirement: 2033

Glenside, PA 19038 Term Expires: 12-31-17

**Existing Geography:** 

ABINGTON TWP WD 02 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 03 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 03 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP VTD 03 ED 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 04 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 04 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 06 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP VTD 06 ED 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 07 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 07 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 07 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 09 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP VTD 09 ED 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 11 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 11 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 12 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 12 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 13 ED 01; ABINGTON TWP VTD 13 ED 01; ABINGTON TWP VTD 13 ED 01; ABINGTON TWP VTD 13 ED 02

# **Proposed Geography:**

ABINGTON TWP WD 02 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 03 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 03 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP VTD 03 ED 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 04 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 04 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 06 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP VTD 06 ED 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 07 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 07 DIST 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 07 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 09 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP VTD 09 ED 02; ABINGTON TWP WD 11 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 11 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 11 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 12 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 12 DIST 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 13 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP VTD 13 ED 01; ABINGTON TWP WD 13 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 13 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP WD 13 DIST 03; ABINGTON TWP VTD 13 ED 01

**Office within district:** Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Abington Township Police Dept.

**Major Highways:** 

Magisterial District #: 38-1-06

**Proposed Action:** Realian

Average Annual Caseload: 9,690 Average Annual Workload: 39,383 % Difference in Caseload 36.00 % Difference in Workload -15.00 (magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district): Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or % Difference in Caseload 60.00 support to maintain current configuration. (magisterial district/class of county):

Three voting districts from within Lower Marion Twp. are being moved to 38-2-03; and three voting districts from Lower Marion Township will move to this district from 38-1-07. This will help equalize workload and caseload within Lower Marion Township (three district courts) so that each court will have an average caseload between 8 and 8,500 cases per year.

# Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Henry J. Schireson Birthdate: 2-21-50 907 Montgomery Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2020 Narberth, PA 19072 Term Expires: 1-3-16

#### **Existing Geography:**

LOWER MERION TWP WD 01 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 01 PCT 02: LOWER MERION TWP WD 01 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 02 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 02 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 02 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 03 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 03 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 03 DIST 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 06 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 06 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 06 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 09 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 09 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 11 PCT 03

### **Proposed Geography:**

LOWER MERION TWP WD 01 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 01 PCT 02: LOWER MERION TWP WD 01 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 02 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 02 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 02 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 03 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 03 DIST 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 06 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 06 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 06 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 09 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 10 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 11 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 11 PCT 03

Lower Merion Township 11-02B

Office within district: Yes Residence within district: Yes

Lower Merion Township Police Dept. **Police Departments:** 

I-76 Schuylkill Expressway, I-476 Mid-County Expressway **Major Highways:** 

Page 6 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-07

**Proposed Action:** Realian

Average Annual Caseload: 10,136 Average Annual Workload: 44,761 % Difference in Caseload 42.00 % Difference in Workload -4.00 (magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district): Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or 67.00 % Difference in Caseload support to maintain current configuration. (magisterial district/class of county):

Three voting districts within Lower Marion Township will be transferred to 38-1-06. All parking case filings for Ardmore (which is within the jurisdiction of this district, but have been heard in 38-2-04) will be moved back to this district.

#### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Kathleen M. Valentine Birthdate: 10-22-49 116A Cricket Avenue Mandatory Retirement: 2019 Ardmore, PA 19003 Term Expires: 1-3-16

#### **Existing Geography:**

LOWER MERION TWP WD 04 PCT 01: LOWER MERION TWP WD 05 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 04 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 04 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 05 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 05 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 08 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 08 PCT 04; LOWER MERION TWP WD 10 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 10 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 10 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 11 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 11 PCT 02; NARBERTH VTD 01; NARBERTH VTD 02; NARBERTH VTD 03

#### **Proposed Geography:**

LOWER MERION TWP WD 04 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 05 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 04 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 04 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 05 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 05 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 08 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 08 PCT 04; LOWER MERION TWP WD 10 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 10 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 10 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 11 PCT 01; NARBERTH VTD 01; NARBERTH VTD 02; NARBERTH VTD 03

Merion Twp 11-02B

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Lower Merion Township Police Dept., Narberth Police Dept. **Police Departments:** 

**Major Highways:** 

Page 7 of 33 Monday, August 06, 2012

Magisterial District #: 38-1-08

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/class of county):

% Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This caseload includes all PA Turnpike filings within the jurisdiction of 38-1-23 and all PA Turnpike and PA Turnpike Northeast Extension within the jurisdiction of 38-1-13. All PA Turnpike Northeast Extension currently filed in 38-1-08 but within jurisdiction of 38-1-08 will be transferred to 38-2-02 effective 1/1/15.

#### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Katherine E. McGill Magid

Birthdate: 8-16-82

1316 Bruce Road

Mandatory Retirement: 2052

Oreland, PA 19075

Term Expires: 12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 01 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 01 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 02 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 02 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 02 ED 03; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 03 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 03 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 04 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 04 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 05 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 05 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 05 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 06 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 07 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 07 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 07 ED 02

#### **Proposed Geography:**

SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 01 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 01 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 02 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 02 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 02 ED 03; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 03 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 03 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 04 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 04 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 05 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 05 ED 02; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 06 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 07 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 07 ED 01; SPRINGFIELD TWP VTD 07 ED 01

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Springfield Township Police Department

Major Highways: Rte. 309

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 8 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-09

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 5,995 Average Annual Workload: 53,222

% Difference in Caseload -16.00 % Difference in Workload 14.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload -1.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

William I. Maruszczak Birthdate: 9-2-54

485 South Henderson Road Mandatory Retirement: 2024

King of Prussia, PA 19406 Term Expires: 1-3-16

**Existing Geography:** 

UPPER MERION TWP VTD GULPH ED 01; UPPER MERION TWP VTD GULPH ED 02; UPPER MERION TWP VTD KING ED 01; UPPER MERION TWP VTD KING ED 02; UPPER MERION TWP VTD ROBERTS; UPPER MERION TWP VTD SWEDELAND; UPPER MERION TWP VTD SWEDESBURG; WEST CONSHOHOCKEN Voting District

**Proposed Geography:** 

UPPER MERION TWP VTD GULPH ED 01; UPPER MERION TWP VTD GULPH ED 02; UPPER MERION TWP VTD KING ED 01; UPPER MERION TWP VTD KING ED 02; UPPER MERION TWP VTD ROBERTS; UPPER MERION TWP VTD SWEDELAND; UPPER MERION TWP VTD SWEDESBURG; WEST CONSHOHOCKEN Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Upper Merion Township Police Dept., West Conshohocken Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Turnpike I-276, Schuylkill Expressway I-76, Mid-County Expressway (1-476), 422

Pottstown Expressway

Magisterial District #: 38-1-10

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/class of county):

### Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

This court has a workload that is 17% less than the average for the judicial district. The court does not feel that there is an issue with equity given the workload in the contiguous districts.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Patricia A. Zaffarano Birthdate: 7-18-54

1301 South Bethlehem Pike Mandatory Retirement: 2024

Ambler, PA 19002 Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

AMBLER VTD 01; AMBLER VTD 02; AMBLER VTD 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 01 ED 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 02 ED 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 04 ED 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 06 ED 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 07 ED 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 01 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 01 ED 02; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 02 ED 02; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 03 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 03 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 04 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 04 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 05 ED 02; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 05 ED 02; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 06 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 06 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 07 ED 01;

#### **Proposed Geography:**

AMBLER VTD 01; AMBLER VTD 02; AMBLER VTD 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 01 ED 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 04 ED 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 04 ED 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 05 ED 03; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 01 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 01 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 02 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 02 ED 02; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 03 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 04 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 04 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 05 ED 02; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 05 ED 02; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 06 ED 01; UPPER DUBLIN TWP VTD 07 ED 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Upper Dublin Township Police Dept., Ambler Police Dept.

Major Highways: Rte. 309, PA Turnpike I-276

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 10 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-11

**Proposed Action:** Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 7,819 Average Annual Workload: 66,450

% Difference in Caseload 10.00 % Difference in Workload 43.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 29.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Scott T. Palladino Birthdate: 7-27-74

1 Security Plaza, Suite 101 Mandatory Retirement: 2044

Pottstown, PA 19464 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography: Proposed Geography:

POTTSTOWN VTD 02 ED 01; POTTSTOWN VTD 03; POTTSTOWN VTD 03; POTTSTOWN VTD 02 ED 01; POTTSTOWN VTD 03; POTTSTOWN VTD 02 ED 02; POTTSTOWN VTD 04; POTTSTOWN VTD 02 ED 02; POTTSTOWN VTD 04; POTTSTOWN VTD 05; WEST POTTSGROVE TWP Voting

POTTSGROVE TWP Voting District District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments:

Major Highways:

Magisterial District #: 38-1-12

Proposed Action: Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 7,313 Average Annual Workload: 66,654

% Difference in Caseload 3.00 % Difference in Workload 43.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 21.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Edward C. Kropp , Sr. Birthdate: 01-25-62

2093 East High Street Mandatory Retirement: 2032

Pottstown, PA 19464 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography:

LOWER POTTSGROVE TWP VTD 01; LOWER
POTTSGROVE TWP VTD 02; LOWER POTTSGROVE TWP
POTTSG

VTD 03; LOWER POTTSGROVE TWP VTD 04;

POTTSTOWN VTD 01 ED 01; POTTSTOWN VTD 01 ED 02;

POTTSTOWN VTD 05; POTTSTOWN VTD 06;

POTTSTOWN VTD 07 ED 01; POTTSTOWN VTD 07 ED 02

**Proposed Geography:** 

LOWER POTTSGROVE TWP VTD 01; LOWER POTTSGROVE TWP VTD 02: LOWER POTTSGROVE T

POTTSGROVE TWP VTD 02; LOWER POTTSGROVE TWP VTD 03; LOWER POTTSGROVE TWP VTD 04;

POTTSTOWN VTD 01 ED 01; POTTSTOWN VTD 01 ED 02; POTTSTOWN VTD 06; POTTSTOWN VTD 07 ED 01;

POTTSTOWN VTD 07 ED 02

Not clear

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Pottstown Police Dept., Lower Pottsgrove Township Police Dept.

Major Highways: Rte. 422 Pottstown Expressway

Magisterial District #: 38-1-13

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 7,871 Average Annual Workload: 49,389

% Difference in Caseload 11.00 % Difference in Workload 6.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 30.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

PA Turnpike and PA Turnpike NE Extensions filings within this district are assigned to 38-1-08. Mid-County Expressway filings within this district are assigned to 38-1-23.

#### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Francis J. Bernhardt , III Birthdate: 3-2-58

Building B, Suite 101 625 West Ridge Pike Mandatory Retirement: 2028

Conshohocken, PA 19428 Term Expires: 1-3-16

### **Existing Geography:**

CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 01; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 04; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 06; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 01 ED 01; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 01 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 02 ED 01; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 02 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 03 ED 01; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 03 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 04 ED 01; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 04 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 03 ED 03; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 04 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 03 ED 03

### **Proposed Geography:**

CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 01; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 04; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 06; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 01 ED 01; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 01 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 02 ED 01; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 02 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 03 ED 01; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 03 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 04 ED 01; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 04 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 03 ED 03; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 04 ED 02; PLYMOUTH TWP VTD 03 ED 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Plymouth Township Police Dept., Conshohocken Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Turnpike I-276, Schuylkill Expressway I-76, Mid-County Expressway I-476

Magisterial District #: 38-1-14

Proposed Action: Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 7,877 Average Annual Workload: 44,882

% Difference in Caseload 11.00 % Difference in Workload -4.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 30.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Three voting districts within Horsham Twp will be transferred to 38-1-22 to assist in equalizing workload.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Paul N. Leo Birthdate: 12-1-48

414 South York Road, Suite 200 Mandatory Retirement: 2018

Hatboro, PA 19040 Term Expires: 12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

HATBORO VTD NORTH ED 01; HATBORO VTD NORTH ED 02; HATBORO VTD SOUTH ED 01; HATBORO VTD SOUTH ED 02; HORSHAM TWP WD 03 ED 01; HORSHAM TWP WD 03 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 03 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 03 ED 05; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 02 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 02 ED 02; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 07 ED 02

# **Proposed Geography:**

HATBORO VTD NORTH ED 01; HATBORO VTD NORTH ED 02; HATBORO VTD SOUTH ED 01; HATBORO VTD SOUTH ED 02; HORSHAM TWP WD 03 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 03 ED 04; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 02 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 03 ED 02; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 05 ED 02; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 05 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 07 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 07 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 07 ED 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Upper Moreland Township Police Dept., Horsham Township Police Dept., Hatboro

Police Dept.

Major Highways: Turnpike I-276

Magisterial District #: 38-1-15

**Proposed Action:** Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 14,667 Average Annual Workload: 94,490

% Difference in Caseload 106.00 % Difference in Workload 103.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

A third district court for Norristown is proposed - which will help reduce the workload of this court and balance workload of neighboring districts.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Francis J. Lawrence , Jr. Birthdate: 11-13-53

200 West Main Street Mandatory Retirement: 2023

Norristown, PA 19401 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography: Proposed Geography:

NORRISTOWN VTD 01 ED 01; NORRISTOWN VTD 01 ED
02; NORRISTOWN VTD 01 ED 03; NORRISTOWN VTD 02
ED 01; NORRISTOWN VTD 02 ED 02; NORRISTOWN VTD
02 ED 01; NORRISTOWN VTD 02 ED 02; NORRISTOWN VTD
02 ED 01

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Norristown Police Dept.

Major Highways:

03 ED 01

Magisterial District #: 38-1-16

**Proposed Action:** Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 15,308 Average Annual Workload: 93,787

% Difference in Caseload 115.00 % Difference in Workload 102.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload

153.00

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

A third district for Norristown in being proposed and will reduce the caseload/workload of this district. Cases involving the State Welfare Office, Unemployment Office and AG's office will be reassigned to 38-1-25.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Margaret A. Hunsicker-Fleischer Birthdate: 7-22-56

754 East Johnson Highway Mandatory Retirement: 2026

Norristown, PA 19401 Term Expires: 12-31-17

Existing Geography:

NORRISTOWN VTD 02 ED 03; NORRISTOWN VTD 03 ED
02; NORRISTOWN VTD 03 ED 03; NORRISTOWN VTD 04
ED 01; NORRISTOWN VTD 04 ED 02; NORRISTOWN VTD
04 ED 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Norristown Police Dept.

**Major Highways:** 

**Proposed Geography:** 

NORRISTOWN VTD 02 ED 03; NORRISTOWN VTD 04 ED 01; NORRISTOWN VTD 04 ED 02; NORRISTOWN VTD 04 ED 03

Magisterial District #: 38-1-17

**Proposed Action:** Eliminate

Average Annual Caseload: 4,772 Average Annual Workload: 37,090

% Difference in Caseload -33.00 % Difference in Workload -20.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload -21.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Effective 1/1/15, wih the mandatory retirement of MDJ Deatelhauser, this district will be eliminated.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Kenneth E. Deatelhauser Birthdate: 9-11-44

121 East Chestnut Street Mandatory Retirement: 2014

Souderton, PA 18964 Term Expires: 1-3-16

Existing Geography: Proposed Geography:

FRANCONIA TWP P2 Voting District; FRANCONIA TWP VTD NORTH ED EAST; FRANCONIA TWP VTD NORTH ED WEST; FRANCONIA TWP P5 Voting District; FRANCONIA TWP VTD SOUTH ED EAST; FRANCONIA TWP VTD SOUTH ED WEST; FRANCONIA TWP P8 Voting District; HATFIELD TWP VTD 2-2; HATFIELD TWP VTD 2-1; HATFIELD TWP VTD 3-1; HATFIELD TWP VTD 3-2; HATFIELD TWP VTD 4-1; HATFIELD TWP VTD 4-2; HATFIELD Voting District; SOUDERTON VTD 01; SOUDERTON VTD 02; SOUDERTON VTD 03; TELFORD Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Franconia Township Police Dept., Hatfield Township Police Dept., Hatfield Borough

Police Dept., Souderton Police Dept., Telford Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Turnpike Northeast Extension I-476

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 17 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-18

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 7,243 Average Annual Workload: 44,532

% Difference in Caseload 2.00 % Difference in Workload -4.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 20.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Andrea D. Duffy Birthdate: 9-15-65

Building D, Suite #100 601 Bethlehem Pike Mandatory Retirement: 2035

Montgomeryville, PA 18936 Term Expires: 12-31-17

**Existing Geography:** 

HATFIELD TWP VTD 1-1; HATFIELD TWP VTD 1-2; HATFIELD TWP VTD 5-1; HATFIELD TWP VTD 5-2; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 02; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 04; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 05; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 06; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 07; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 08; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 01;

MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 03

**Proposed Geography:** 

HATFIELD TWP VTD 1-1; HATFIELD TWP VTD 1-2; HATFIELD TWP VTD 5-1; HATFIELD TWP VTD 5-2; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 02; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 04; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 05; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 06; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 07; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 08; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 01; MONTGOMERY TWP VTD 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Hatfield Township Police Dept., Montgomery Township Police Dept.

Major Highways: Rte. 309

Magisterial District #: 38-1-19

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 7,541 Average Annual Workload: 54,804

% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): 
% Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): 
% Difference in Caseload 25.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

% Difference in Caseload 25.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment support to maintain current configuration.

This court has a workload that is 18% above the average for the judicial district and that there is no issue with inequity given the workload in the contiguous districts. Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department will be transferred to 38-2-03 effective 1/1/13.

#### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Walter F. Gadzicki , Jr. Birthdate: 10-25-52
497 West Ridge Pike Mandatory Retirement: 2022
Limerick, PA 19468 Term Expires: 12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

LIMERICK TWP WD 01; LIMERICK TWP WD 02; LIMERICK TWP WD 03; LIMERICK TWP WD 04; LIMERICK TWP WD 05; ROYERSFORD VTD 01; ROYERSFORD VTD 02; UPPER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD MINGO ED 01; UPPER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD MONT CLARE; UPPER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD TRAPPE

#### **Proposed Geography:**

LIMERICK TWP WD 01; LIMERICK TWP WD 02; LIMERICK TWP WD 03; LIMERICK TWP WD 04; LIMERICK TWP WD 05; ROYERSFORD VTD 01; ROYERSFORD VTD 02; UPPER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD MINGO ED 01; UPPER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD MINGO ED 02; UPPER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD MONT CLARE; UPPER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD TRAPPE

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Limerick Township Police Dept., Upper Providence Township Police Dept., Royersford

Police Dept.

Major Highways: Rte. 422 Pottstown Expressway

Magisterial District #: 38-1-20

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,490 Average Annual Workload: 47,763

% Difference in Caseload -9.00 % Difference in Workload 3.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 7.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Cathleen Kelly Rebar Birthdate: 04-16-72

133 Level Road Mandatory Retirement: 2042

Collegeville, PA 19426 Term Expires: 1-3-16

### **Existing Geography:**

COLLEGEVILLE 2 Voting District; COLLEGEVILLE 3 Voting District; COLLEGEVILLE Voting District; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP WD 01 PCT 02; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP WD 02 PCT 04; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 01 ED 01; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 02 ED 01; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 02 ED 02; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 03 ED 03; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 03 ED 01; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 03 ED 02; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 03 ED 04; TRAPPE Voting District; UPPER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD OAKS

#### **Proposed Geography:**

COLLEGEVILLE 2 Voting District; COLLEGEVILLE 3
Voting District; COLLEGEVILLE Voting District; LOWER
PROVIDENCE TWP WD 01 PCT 02; LOWER
PROVIDENCE TWP WD 02 PCT 04; LOWER
PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 01 ED 01; LOWER PROVIDENCE
TWP VTD 02 ED 01; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 02
ED 02; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 02 ED 03;
LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 03 ED 01; LOWER
PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 03 ED 01; LOWER
PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 03 ED 02; LOWER PROVIDENCE
TWP VTD 03 ED 03; LOWER PROVIDENCE TWP VTD 03
ED 04; TRAPPE Voting District; UPPER PROVIDENCE
TWP VTD OAKS

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Lower Providence Township Police Dept., Upper Providence Township Police Dept.,

Collegeville Police Dept.

Major Highways: Rte. 422 Pottstown Expressway

Magisterial District #: 38-1-21

Proposed Action: Reestablish

| Average Annual Caseload:   | 8,375 | Average Annual Workload: 55  | 5,937 |
|--|-------|--|-------|
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | 18.00 | % Difference in Workload 20 (magisterial district/judicial district):                                  | 0.00  |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):   | 38.00 | Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration. |       |

- This court has a workload that is 20% above the average for the judicial district and there is no issue with inequity given the workload in the contiguous districts.
- Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department will be transferred to 38-1-25.
- Traffic filings for PA Turnpike NE Extension within this court's jurisdiction will be transferred to 38-2-02 effective 1/1/15.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Robert M. Sobeck

Birthdate: 5-15-65

Blue Bell West 653 Skippack Pike

Mandatory Retirement: 2035

Blue Bell, PA 19422

Term Expires: 12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01 ED 03; LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01 ED 04; LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01 ED 01: LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01 ED 02: LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 02 ED 01; LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 02 ED 02; NORTH WALES VTD 01; NORTH WALES VTD 02; NORTH WALES VTD 03; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 06; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 07; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 02; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 03; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 04; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 05; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 12; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 10; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 01; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 03; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 04; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 05; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 06; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 07; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 08; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 09; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 02; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 11

### **Proposed Geography:**

LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01 ED 03; LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01 ED 04; LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01 ED 01; LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01 ED 02; LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 02 ED 01; LOWER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 02 ED 02; NORTH WALES VTD 01; NORTH WALES VTD 02; NORTH WALES VTD 03; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 06; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 07; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 01; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 02; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 03; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 04; UPPER GWYNEDD TWP VTD 05; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 12; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 10; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 01; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 03; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 04; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 05; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 06; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 07; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 08; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 09; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 02; WHITPAIN TWP VTD 11

**Office within district:** Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Lower Gwynedd Township Police Dept., Upper Gwynedd Township Police Dept.,

Whitpain Township Police Dept., North Wales Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Turnpike Northeast Extension I-476

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 22 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-22

Proposed Action: Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 5,806 Average Annual Workload: 24,450

% Difference in Caseload -19.00 % Difference in Workload -47.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload -4.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

Three voting districts within Horsham Township will be transferred to this district from 38-1-14.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Harry J. Nesbitt , III Birthdate: 12-3-62

903 Sheehy Drive, Suite A Mandatory Retirement: 2032

Horsham, PA 19044 Term Expires: 12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

HORSHAM TWP WD 01 ED 01; HORSHAM TWP WD 01 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 01 ED 02; HORSHAM TWP WD 01 ED 04; HORSHAM TWP WD 02 ED 02; HORSHAM TWP WD 02 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 02 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 04 ED 01; HORSHAM TWP WD 04 ED 01; HORSHAM TWP WD 04 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 04 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 04 ED 04

Horsham Twp WD 02 ED 05

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Horsham Township Police Dept.

Major Highways:

#### **Proposed Geography:**

HORSHAM TWP WD 01 ED 01; HORSHAM TWP WD 01 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 01 ED 02; HORSHAM TWP WD 01 ED 04; HORSHAM TWP WD 02 ED 02; HORSHAM TWP WD 02 ED 01; HORSHAM TWP WD 02 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 02 ED 04; HORSHAM TWP WD 03 ED 02; HORSHAM TWP WD 03 ED 02; HORSHAM TWP WD 04 ED 01; HORSHAM TWP WD 04 ED 01; HORSHAM TWP WD 04 ED 03; HORSHAM TWP WD 04 ED 04

Horsham Twp WD 02 ED 05

Magisterial District #: 38-1-23

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/class of county):

4.00

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

- This court has a workload that is 22% below the average for the judicial district and there is no issue with inequity given the workload in the contiguous districts.
- This court also handles traffic filings arising from the Mid-County Expressway (I-476) within the jurisdiction of 38-1-13.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Deborah A. Lukens Birthdate: 10-19-51

4002 Center Avenue P.O. Box 231 Mandatory Retirement: 2021

Lafayette Hill, PA 19444 Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 02; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 03; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 05; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 07; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD EAST ED 01; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 01; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 02; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 03; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 03; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 04; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 05; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD WEST ED 01; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD WEST ED 02; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD WEST ED 03

### **Proposed Geography:**

CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 02; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 03; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 05; CONSHOHOCKEN VTD 07; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD EAST ED 01; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD EAST ED 02; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 01; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 02; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 03; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 04; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD MIDDLE ED 04; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD WEST ED 01; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD WEST ED 02; WHITEMARSH TWP VTD WEST ED 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Whitemarsh Township Police Dept., Conshohocken Police Dept.

**Major Highways:** 

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 24 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-24

Proposed Action: Realign

| Average Annual Caseload:   | 4,876  | Average Annual Workload:   | 40,488 |
|--|--------|--|--------|
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/judicial district): | -32.00 | % Difference in Workload (magisterial district/judicial district):                                     | -13.00 |
| % Difference in Caseload (magisterial district/class of county):   | -20.00 | Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration. |        |

- With the proposed elimination of 38-1-17, some of the municipalities will be moved to this district to assist in balancing workload.
- Traffic filings for the PA Turnpike NE Extension within this district's jurisdiction will be transferred to 38-2-02.
- Upon elimination of 38-1-17, serious consideration will be given to relocating this court facility so the location is more centralized and convenient to residents and the police.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Albert J. Augustine Birthdate: 10-16-58
2047 Bridge Road Mandatory Retirement: 2028
Schwenksville, PA 19473 Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 01; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 02; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 03; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 04; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 05; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 06; PERKIOMEN TWP WD 01; PERKIOMEN TWP WD 02; SCHWENKSVILLE Voting District; SKIPPACK TWP VTD 01; SKIPPACK TWP VTD 02; WORCESTER TWP DIST EAST DIST 01; WORCESTER TWP DIST EAST DIST 03; WORCESTER TWP DIST WEST; SKIPPACK TWP VTD 03

### **Proposed Geography:**

FRANCONIA TWP P1 Voting District; FRANCONIA TWP P2 Voting District; FRANCONIA TWP VTD NORTH ED EAST: FRANCONIA TWP VTD NORTH ED WEST; FRANCONIA TWP P5 Voting District; FRANCONIA TWP VTD SOUTH ED EAST; FRANCONIA TWP VTD SOUTH ED WEST: FRANCONIA TWP P8 Voting District: LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 01; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 02; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 03; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 04; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 05; LOWER SALFORD TWP VTD 06; PERKIOMEN TWP WD 01; PERKIOMEN TWP WD 02; SCHWENKSVILLE Voting District; SKIPPACK TWP VTD 01; SKIPPACK TWP VTD 02; SOUDERTON VTD 01; SOUDERTON VTD 02; SOUDERTON VTD 03; WORCESTER TWP DIST EAST DIST 01; WORCESTER TWP DIST EAST DIST 02; WORCESTER TWP DIST EAST DIST 03; WORCESTER TWP DIST WEST; SKIPPACK TWP VTD 03

One voting district for the Borough of Telford

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 25 of 33

**Office within district:** Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Current: Lower Salford Township Police Dept., Future: Lower Salford Township

Police Dept., Franconia Township Police Dept., Souderton Borough Police Dept.,

Telford Borough Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Turnpike Northeast Extension I-476)

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 26 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-25

**Proposed Action:** Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload:

4,289

Average Annual Workload:

31,590

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/class of county):

Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

- This court will be receiving County Health Department and State Welfare cases to increase workload.
- A new casino is also opening in this court's jurisdiction.
- The continued expansion of the King of Prussia shpping complex as well as construction of a large residential, commercial and office complex within the the next 3-7 years also has the potential to increase filings and workload.
- A contingent plan to move all Upper Merion Turnpike cases to this district is also a consideration if workload does not increase as anticipated.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

James P. GallagherBirthdate:10-13-60128 West Fourth StreetMandatory Retirement:2030Bridgeport, PA 19405Term Expires:12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

BRIDGEPORT VTD 01; BRIDGEPORT VTD 02; BRIDGEPORT VTD 03; UPPER MERION TWP VTD BELMONT ED 01; UPPER MERION TWP VTD BELMONT ED 02; UPPER MERION TWP VTD BELMONT ED 03; UPPER MERION TWP VTD BELMONT ED 04; UPPER MERION TWP VTD BELMONT ED 05; UPPER MERION TWP VTD CANDLEBROOK ED 01; UPPER MERION TWP VTD CANDLEBROOK ED 02

#### **Proposed Geography:**

BRIDGEPORT VTD 01; BRIDGEPORT VTD 02;
BRIDGEPORT VTD 03; UPPER MERION TWP VTD
BELMONT ED 01; UPPER MERION TWP VTD BELMONT
ED 02; UPPER MERION TWP VTD BELMONT ED 03;
UPPER MERION TWP VTD BELMONT ED 04; UPPER
MERION TWP VTD BELMONT ED 05; UPPER MERION
TWP VTD CANDLEBROOK ED 01; UPPER MERION TWP
VTD CANDLEBROOK ED 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Upper Merion Township Police Dept., Bridgeport Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Turnpike I-276

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 27 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-1-28

**Proposed Action:** Realign

Average Annual Caseload:

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/class of county):

### Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

- With the proposed eliminaion of 38-1-17, the borough of Hatfield and the part of Hatfield Township will be transferred to this district.
- Traffic filings for the PA Turnpike NE Extension within this district will be transferred to 38-2-02.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Harold D. Borek

421 West Main Street

Mandatory Retirement: 2019

Lansdale, PA 19446

Term Expires: 1-3-16

### **Existing Geography:**

LANSDALE VTD 01 ED 01; LANSDALE VTD 01 ED 02;
LANSDALE VTD 01 ED 03; LANSDALE VTD 02 ED 01;
LANSDALE VTD 02 ED 02; LANSDALE VTD 02 ED 03;
LANSDALE VTD 03 ED 01; LANSDALE VTD 03 ED 02;
LANSDALE VTD 03 ED 03; TOWAMENCIN TWP VTD 01
ED 01; TOWAMENCIN TWP VTD 01 ED 02;
TOWAMENCIN TWP VTD 02 ED 01; TOWAMENCIN TWP
VTD 02 ED 02; TOWAMENCIN TWP DIST 02 PCT 03;
TOWAMENCIN TWP VTD 03 ED 01; TOWAMENCIN TWP
DIST 03 PCT 03; TOWAMENCIN TWP DIST 01 PCT 03;
TOWAMENCIN TWP VTD 03 ED 02

### **Proposed Geography:**

HATFIELD TWP VTD 2-2; HATFIELD TWP VTD 2-1;
HATFIELD TWP VTD 3-1; HATFIELD TWP VTD 3-2;
HATFIELD TWP VTD 4-1; HATFIELD TWP VTD 4-2;
HATFIELD Voting District; LANSDALE VTD 01 ED 01;
LANSDALE VTD 01 ED 02; LANSDALE VTD 01 ED 03;
LANSDALE VTD 02 ED 01; LANSDALE VTD 02 ED 02;
LANSDALE VTD 02 ED 03; LANSDALE VTD 03 ED 01;
LANSDALE VTD 03 ED 02; LANSDALE VTD 03 ED 03;
TOWAMENCIN TWP VTD 01 ED 01; TOWAMENCIN
TWP VTD 01 ED 02; TOWAMENCIN TWP VTD 02 ED 01;
TOWAMENCIN TWP VTD 02 ED 02; TOWAMENCIN
TWP DIST 02 PCT 03; TOWAMENCIN TWP VTD 03 ED
01; TOWAMENCIN TWP DIST 03 PCT 03;
TOWAMENCIN TWP DIST 01 PCT 03; TOWAMENCIN
TWP VTD 03 ED 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Current: Towamencin Township Police Dept., Lansdale Police Dept., Future:

Towamencin Township Police Dept., Hatfield Township Police Dept., Hatfield Borough

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 28 of 33

Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Turnpike Northeast Extension I-476

Magisterial District #: 38-2-02

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 3,879 Average Annual Workload: 40,754

% Difference in Caseload -46.00 % Difference in Workload -12.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload -36.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

Traffic filings for the PA Turnpike NE Extension will be transferred to this district effective 1/1/15.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Catherine M. Hummel Fried Birthdate: 11-19-57

Hanover Square 80-H Gravel Pike Mandatory Retirement: 2027

Red Hill, PA 18076 Term Expires: 12-31-17

#### **Existing Geography:**

EAST GREENVILLE Voting District; GREEN LANE Voting District; MARLBOROUGH TWP Voting District; PENNSBURG Voting District; RED HILL Voting District; SALFORD TWP Voting District; UPPER HANOVER TWP VTD 01; UPPER HANOVER TWP VTD 02; UPPER HANOVER TWP VTD 03; UPPER SALFORD TWP Voting District

#### **Proposed Geography:**

EAST GREENVILLE Voting District; GREEN LANE Voting District; MARLBOROUGH TWP Voting District; PENNSBURG Voting District; RED HILL Voting District; SALFORD TWP Voting District; UPPER HANOVER TWP VTD 01; UPPER HANOVER TWP VTD 02; UPPER HANOVER TWP VTD 03; UPPER SALFORD TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Marlborough Township Police Dept., Upper Perkiomen Township Police Dept.

Major Highways: PA Turnpike Northeast Extension I-476

Magisterial District #: 38-2-03

**Proposed Action:** Realign

Average Annual Caseload:

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload
(magisterial district/class of county):

43.00

Average Annual Workload:

% Difference in Workload
(magisterial district/judicial district):

\*\*Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or support to maintain current configuration.

- This court will receive cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department effective 1-1-13.
- Upper Pottsgrove Township will be moved to this district.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Maurice H. Saylor Birthdate: 6-3-61
1881 Swamp Pike Mandatory Retirement: 2031
Gilbertsville, PA 19525 Term Expires: 1-5-14

#### **Existing Geography:**

DOUGLASS TWP DIST 02; DOUGLASS TWP WD 01; DOUGLASS TWP DIST 03; DOUGLASS TWP DIST 04; LOWER FREDERICK 1 Voting District; LOWER FREDERICK 2 Voting District; NEW HANOVER TWP DIST 02; NEW HANOVER TWP DIST 03; NEW HANOVER TWP WD 01; UPPER FREDERICK TWP Voting District

#### **Proposed Geography:**

DOUGLASS TWP DIST 02; DOUGLASS TWP WD 01; DOUGLASS TWP DIST 03; DOUGLASS TWP DIST 04; LOWER FREDERICK 1 Voting District; LOWER FREDERICK 2 Voting District; NEW HANOVER TWP DIST 02; NEW HANOVER TWP DIST 03; NEW HANOVER TWP WD 01; UPPER FREDERICK TWP Voting District; UPPER POTTSGROVE TWP Voting District

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

Police Departments: Current: Douglass Township Police Dept., New Hanover Township Police Dept., Lower

Frederick Township Police Dept., Future: Douglass Township Police Dept., New Hanover Township Police Dept., Lower Frederick Township Police Dept., Upper

Pottsgrove Township Police Dept.

### **Major Highways:**

Magisterial District #: 38-2-04

**Proposed Action:** Realign

Average Annual Caseload: 7,568 Average Annual Workload: 26,663

% Difference in Caseload 6.00 % Difference in Workload -43.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 25.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Karen Eisner Zucker Birthdate: 10-29-57

11 Union Avenue, Suite 100 Mandatory Retirement: 2027

Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 Term Expires: 1-3-16

### **Existing Geography:**

LOWER MERION TWP WD 07 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 07 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 07 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 08 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 08 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 09 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 12 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 12 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 12 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 12 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 13 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 13 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 14 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 14 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 14 PCT 03

#### **Proposed Geography:**

LOWER MERION TWP WD 03 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 07 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 07 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 07 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 08 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 08 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 09 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 09 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 12 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 13 PCT 03; LOWER MERION TWP WD 12 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 12 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 12 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 13 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 13 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 14 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 14 PCT 01; LOWER MERION TWP WD 14 PCT 02; LOWER MERION TWP WD 14 PCT 03

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Lower Merion Township Police Dept.

Major Highways: Schuylkill Expressway I-76, City Avenue Rte. 1

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 32 of 33

Magisterial District #: 38-2-08

Proposed Action: Reestablish

Average Annual Caseload: 6,677 Average Annual Workload: 49,679

% Difference in Caseload -6.00 % Difference in Workload 7.00

(magisterial district/judicial district): (magisterial district/judicial district):

% Difference in Caseload 10.00 Workload outside of a +/- 15% range requires realignment or

(magisterial district/class of county): support to maintain current configuration.

### Magisterial District Judge & Office Information:

Jay S. Friedenberg Birthdate: 11-26-52

102 York Road, Suite 100 Mandatory Retirement: 2022

Willow Grove, PA 19090 Term Expires: 12-31-17

### **Existing Geography:**

BRYN ATHYN Voting District; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 04 PCT 01; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 04 PCT 02; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 01; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 02; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 03; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 05; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 06; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 01 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 01 ED 02; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 03 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 04 ED 02; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 04 ED 02; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 06 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 06 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 06 ED 02

#### **Proposed Geography:**

BRYN ATHYN Voting District; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 04 PCT 01; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 04 PCT 02; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 01; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 01; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 03; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 05; LOWER MORELAND TWP VTD 06; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 01 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 03 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 04 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 04 ED 01; UPPER MORELAND TWP VTD 06 ED 02

Office within district: Yes

Residence within district: Yes

**Police Departments:** Upper Moreland Township Police Dept., Lower Moreland Township Police Dept., Bryn

Athyn Police Dept.

**Major Highways:** 

Monday, August 06, 2012 Page 33 of 33

## **Magisterial District Reestablishment 2012**

### **Magisterial District Caseload/Workload Analysis**

### **Judicial District and Class of County Comparison Statistics**

### Montgomery / 38

| Judicial District Average Caseload |                 |               |                 |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 2011<br>Class                      | 2011<br>Filings | 2012<br>Class | 2012<br>Filings |  |  |
| <br>                               |                 |               |                 |  |  |
| 0                                  | 7,124           | 0             | 7,124           |  |  |

|               |     | 2011 | Class of Co | ounty Cas | eload Ave | erages |    |       |
|---------------|-----|------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------|----|-------|
| 2011<br>Class | CR  | PC   | TR          | NT        | CV        | LT     | MD | Total |
| 0             | 424 | 28   | 4,253       | 760       | 356       | 222    | 93 | 6,136 |

| 2012 Class of County Caseload Averages |     |    |       |     |     |     |    |       |
|--|-----|----|-------|-----|-----|-----|----|-------|
| 2012<br>Class                          | CR  | PC | TR    | NT  | CV  | LT  | MD | Total |
| 0                                      | 424 | 28 | 4,253 | 760 | 356 | 222 | 93 | 6,136 |

### Notes on Analysis:

CASELOAD: The statistics provided are used to compare the average annual caseload of each magisterial district to the class of county average as one measure to assess whether any changes should be proposed. Reported values are provided by the judicial district; the comparison values are provided by the MDJS.

WORKLOAD: Where the average annual workload of a magisterial district is greater/less than 15% of the judicial district's workload average, the judicial district should realign - OR - explain why this difference does not impact workload equity within the judicial district. A value that is green indicates it is within range; red requires justification if realignment or elimination are not proposed.

| erages/ |
|---------|
|         |
| 2012    |
| 0       |
| 410     |
| 838     |
| 21      |
| 5,181   |
| 383     |
| 185     |
| 106     |
| 7,124   |
|         |

| Judicial District Workload Averages |        |        |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Montgome ry                         | 2011   | 2012   |  |  |
| Class                               | 0      | 0      |  |  |
| CR                                  | 15,043 | 15,043 |  |  |
| NT                                  | 9,003  | 9,003  |  |  |
| PC                                  | 229    | 229    |  |  |
| TR                                  | 11,812 | 11,812 |  |  |
| CV                                  | 4,324  | 4,324  |  |  |
| LT                                  | 2,683  | 2,683  |  |  |
| MD                                  | 3,438  | 3,438  |  |  |
| -15 %<br>Workload                   | 39,552 | 39,552 |  |  |
| Average<br>Workload                 | 46,532 | 46,532 |  |  |
| + 15%<br>Workload                   | 53,512 | 53,512 |  |  |

### 38-1-01 Reestablish

| <b>CASELOAD</b> | CA | SEI | LO | AD |
|-----------------|----|-----|----|----|
|-----------------|----|-----|----|----|

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| 11/ | $\boldsymbol{\cap}$ | D            | VI  |    | Λ | n |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----|----|---|---|
| W   | U                   | $\mathbf{n}$ | \ L | ·U | А | v |

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AC      | PC      |  |
|----------|---------|---------|--|
| 6,266    | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| -12      | -12.04% | -12.04% |  |
| 3        | 2.12%   | 2.12%   |  |
| Reported | AOPC    |         |  |
| 49,921   | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| 7        | 7.28%   | 7.28%   |  |

#### 38-1-02

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AC      | PC      |  |
|----------|---------|---------|--|
| 5,429    | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| -24      | -23.79% | -23.79% |  |
| -10      | -11.52% | -11.52% |  |
| Reported | AOPC    |         |  |
| 28,217   | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| -39      | -39.36% | -39.36% |  |

### 38-1-03

### Reestablish

| CA | SE  | LO | AD     |
|----|-----|----|--------|
|    | JLI |    | $\sim$ |

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| M    | DV      | 10 | Λ      |   |
|------|---------|----|--------|---|
| 1/1/ | <br>T / |    | $\sim$ | , |

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |
|----------|--------|--------|
| 6,639    | 2011   | 2012   |
| -7       | -6.81% | -6.81% |
| 10       | 8.20%  | 8.20%  |
| Reported | AOPC   |        |
| 50,802   | 2011   | 2012   |
| 9        | 9.18%  | 9.18%  |

### 38-1-04

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 6,296    | 2011    | 2012    |
| -12      | -11.62% | -11.62% |
| 4        | 2.61%   | 2.61%   |

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 38,295   | 2011    | 2012    |
| -18      | -17.70% | -17.70% |

### 38-1-05

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 6,064    | 2011    | 2012    |
| -15      | -14.88% | -14.88% |
| 0        | -1.17%  | -1.17%  |
|          |         |         |

### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| U        | -1.17% | -1.17% |
|----------|--------|--------|
| Reported | AC     | PC     |
| 46,919   | 2011   | 2012   |
| 1        | 0.83%  | 0.83%  |

#### Realign 38-1-06

| CASELOAD   | Reported        | AO         | PC         |
|--|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Average Total Annual Caseload:                           | 9,690           | 2011       | 2012       |
| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: | 36              | 36.02%     | 36.02%     |
| Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:   | 60              | 57.93%     | 57.93%     |
|  |                 |            |            |
| WORKLOAD   | Reported        | AO         | PC         |
| WORKLOAD  Average Total Annual Workload:                 | Reported 39,383 | AO<br>2011 | PC<br>2012 |
|  | ·               |            |            |

| 38-1-07              | Realign                             |          |        |        |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| CASELOAD             |                                     | Reported | AO     | PC     |
| Average Total Annu   | ual Caseload:                       | 10,136   | 2011   | 2012   |
| Magisterial District | Average: Judicial District Average: | 42       | 42.28% | 42.28% |
| Magisterial District | Average: Class of County Average:   | 67       | 65.20% | 65.20% |
| WORKLOAD             |                                     | Reported | AO     | PC     |
| Average Total Annu   | ual Workload:                       | 44,761   | 2011   | 2012   |
| Magisterial District | Average: Judicial District Average: | -4       | -3.81% | -3.81% |
|                      |                                     |          |        |        |

| 38-1-08 | Reestablish |
|---------|-------------|
| 3A-1-UA | Keestaniish |

| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: |                                    | -4       | -3.81%  | -3.81%  |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| 38-1-08  | Reestablish                        |          |         |         |
| CASELOAD   |                                    | Reported | AO      | PC      |
| Average Total Annual                                     | Caseload:                          | 6,620    | 2011    | 2012    |
| Magisterial District Av                                  | verage: Judicial District Average: | -7       | -7.08%  | -7.08%  |
| Magisterial District Av                                  | verage: Class of County Average:   | 9        | 7.89%   | 7.89%   |
| WORKLOAD   |                                    | Reported | AO      | PC      |
| Average Total Annual                                     | Workload:                          | 25,769   | 2011    | 2012    |
| Magisterial District Av                                  | verage: Judicial District Average: | -45      | -44.62% | -44.62% |
|  |                                    |          |         |         |

### 38-1-09

### Reestablish

| C A | - |   |    | 3 4 |   |
|-----|---|---|----|-----|---|
| LA  | 2 | L | LL | JΑ  | D |

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |  |
|----------|---------|---------|--|
| 5,995    | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| -16      | -15.85% | -15.85% |  |
| -1       | -2.29%  | -2.29%  |  |
| Reported | AC      | PC      |  |
| 53,222   | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| 14       | 14.38%  | 14.38%  |  |

### 38-1-10

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |
|----------|--------|--------|
| 6,719    | 2011   | 2012   |
| -6       | -5.69% | -5.69% |
| 11       | 9.51%  | 9.51%  |

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC      |         |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| 38,767   | 2011 2012 |         |
| -17      | -16.69%   | -16.69% |

### 38-1-11

### Realign

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |
|----------|--------|--------|
| 7,819    | 2011   | 2012   |
| 10       | 9.75%  | 9.75%  |
| 29       | 27.43% | 27.43% |

### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

|          | 271.1370 | 271.1370 |
|----------|----------|----------|
| Reported | АО       | PC       |
| 66,450   | 2011     | 2012     |
| 43       | 42.81%   | 42.81%   |

#### Realign 38-1-12

| CASELOAD   | Reported | AO     | PC     |
|--|----------|--------|--------|
| Average Total Annual Caseload:                           | 7,313    | 2011   | 2012   |
| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: | 3        | 2.65%  | 2.65%  |
| Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:   | 21       | 19.19% | 19.19% |
| WORKLOAD   | Reported | AO     | PC     |
| Average Total Annual Workload:                           | 66,654   | 2011   | 2012   |

43.24%

43.24%

| 38-1-13 | Reestablish |
|---------|-------------|
| 4X_1_14 | RAACTANIICH |
|         |             |

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| oortea | AO                           | PC  |
|--------|------------------------------|---|
| 7,871  | 2011                         | 2012  |
| 11     | 10.48%                       | 10.48%  |
| 30     | 28.28%                       | 28.28%  |
| ported | AO                           | PC  |
| 49,389 | 2011                         | 2012  |
| 6      | 6.14%                        | 6.14%   |
|        | 11<br>30<br>ported<br>49,389 | 7,871 <b>2011</b> 11 10.48%  30 28.28%  ported AO  49,389 <b>2011</b> |

| 38-1-14 | Realign |
|---------|---------|
|         |         |

| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: | 6        | 6.14%  | 6.14%  |
|--|----------|--------|--------|
| 38-1-14 Realign  |          |        |        |
| CASELOAD   | Reported | АО     | PC     |
| Average Total Annual Caseload:                           | 7,877    | 2011   | 2012   |
| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: | 11       | 10.57% | 10.57% |
| Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:   | 30       | 28.38% | 28.38% |
| WORKLOAD   | Reported | АО     | PC     |
| Average Total Annual Workload:                           | 44,882   | 2011   | 2012   |
| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: | -4       | -3.55% | -3.55% |
|  |          |        |        |

### **38-1-15** Realign

| CA | C | 10 | Λ |    |
|----|---|----|---|----|
| LA |   | LU | 4 | IJ |

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |  |
|----------|---------|---------|--|
| 14,667   | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| 106      | 105.88% | 105.88% |  |
| 142      | 139.04% | 139.04% |  |
| Reported | AC      | PC      |  |
| 94,490   | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| 103      | 103.07% | 103.07% |  |

### 38-1-16

### Realign

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 15,308   | 2011    | 2012    |
| 115      | 114.88% | 114.88% |
| 153      | 149.49% | 149.49% |

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |  |
|----------|---------|---------|--|
| 93,787   | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| 102      | 101.55% | 101.55% |  |

### 38-1-17

### **Eliminate**

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 4,772    | 2011    | 2012    |
| -33      | -33.02% | -33.02% |
| -21      | -22.23% | -22.23% |
|          |         |         |

### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 37,090   | 2011    | 2012    |
| -20      | -20.29% | -20.29% |

### 38-1-18

### Reestablish

| C | Λ | C | C  |   |   | Λ |   |
|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|
|   | н |   | C. | L | u | А | u |

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

**WORKLOAD** 

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |  |
|----------|--------|--------|--|
| 7,243    | 2011   | 2012   |  |
| 2        | 1.67%  | 1.67%  |  |
| 20       | 18.05% | 18.05% |  |
| Reported | AC     | PC     |  |
| 44,532   | 2011   | 2012   |  |
| -4       | -4.30% | -4.30% |  |

### 38-1-19

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |
|----------|--------|--------|
| 7,541    | 2011   | 2012   |
| 6        | 5.85%  | 5.85%  |
| 25       | 22.90% | 22.90% |

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |
|----------|--------|--------|
| 54,804   | 2011   | 2012   |
| 18       | 17.78% | 17.78% |

### 38-1-20

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |
|----------|--------|--------|
| 6,490    | 2011   | 2012   |
| -9       | -8.90% | -8.90% |
| 7        | 5.77%  | 5.77%  |
| Reported | AOPC   |        |

### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average

|                  | Reported | AOPC  |       |
|------------------|----------|-------|-------|
|                  | 47,763   | 2011  | 2012  |
| istrict Average: | 3        | 2.65% | 2.65% |

### 38-1-21 Reestablish

| -  | CE   |       |    |             |
|----|------|-------|----|-------------|
| CA |      | , , , | /1 | <b>II</b> 1 |
|    | . 71 |       | _  |             |

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |  |
|----------|--------|--------|--|
| 8,375    | 2011   | 2012   |  |
| 18       | 17.56% | 17.56% |  |
| 38       | 36.50% | 36.50% |  |
| Reported | AC     | PC     |  |
| 55,937   | 2011   | 2012   |  |
| 20       | 20.21% | 20.21% |  |

### 38-1-22

### Realign

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 5,806    | 2011    | 2012    |
| -19      | -18.50% | -18.50% |
| -4       | -5.37%  | -5.37%  |

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |  |
|----------|---------|---------|--|
| 24,450   | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| -47      | -47.46% | -47.46% |  |

### 38-1-23

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 6,302    | 2011    | 2012    |
| -12      | -11.54% | -11.54% |
| 4        | 2.71%   | 2.71%   |
|          |         |         |

### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| 4        | 2.71%   | 2.71%   |
|----------|---------|---------|
| Reported | AC      | PC      |
| 36,424   | 2011    | 2012    |
| -22      | -21.72% | -21.72% |

#### Realign 38-1-24

| CASELOAD   | Reported | AO      | PC      |
|--|----------|---------|---------|
| Average Total Annual Caseload:                           | 4,876    | 2011    | 2012    |
| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: | -32      | -31.56% | -31.56% |
| Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:   | -20      | -20.53% | -20.53% |
| WORKLOAD   | Reported | AO      | PC      |
| Average Total Annual Workload:                           | 40,488   | 2011    | 2012    |

-12.99%

**-32** -32.11% -32.11%

-13

-12.99%

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| CASELOAD   | Reported | AOPC    |         |
|--|----------|---------|---------|
| Average Total Annual Caseload:                           | 4,289    | 2011    | 2012    |
| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average: | -40      | -39.80% | -39.80% |
| Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:   | -29      | -30.10% | -30.10% |
| WORKLOAD   | Reported | AC      | PC      |
| Average Total Annual Workload:                           | 31,590   | 2011    | 2012    |

|  | Ü       |          |         |         |
|--|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| 38-1-28 Realign                                    | 1       |          |         |         |
| CASELOAD   |         | Reported | AC      | PC      |
| Average Total Annual Caseload:                     |         | 5,700    | 2011    | 2012    |
| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Av | erage:  | -20      | -19.99% | -19.99% |
| Magisterial District Average: Class of County Av   | /erage: | -6       | -7.10%  | -7.10%  |
| WORKLOAD   |         | Reported | AC      | PC      |
| Average Total Annual Workload:                     |         | 49,891   | 2011    | 2012    |
| Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Av | erage:  | 7        | 7.22%   | 7.22%   |
|  |         |          |         |         |

### 38-2-02

### Reestablish

| C | Λ | C | E |   |   | Λ |                  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|
|   | _ | _ | _ | _ | u | _ | $\boldsymbol{-}$ |

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |  |
|----------|---------|---------|--|
| 3,879    | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| -46      | -45.55% | -45.55% |  |
|          | -36.78% | -36.78% |  |
| Reported | AC      | PC      |  |
| 40,754   | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| -12      | -12.42% | -12.42% |  |

38-2-03

Realign

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC    |         |  |
|----------|---------|---------|--|
| 3,476    | 2011    | 2012    |  |
| -51      | -51.21% | -51.21% |  |
| -43      | -43.35% | -43.35% |  |

### **WORKLOAD**

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AC      | PC      |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 23,948   | 2011    | 2012    |
| -49      | -48.53% | -48.53% |

### 38-2-04

### Realign

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |  |
|----------|--------|--------|--|
| 7,568    | 2011   | 2012   |  |
| 6        | 6.23%  | 6.23%  |  |
| 25       | 23.34% | 23.34% |  |
|          |        |        |  |

### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AO      | PC      |
|----------|---------|---------|
| 26,663   | 2011    | 2012    |
| -43      | -42.70% | -42.70% |

### 38-2-08

### Reestablish

### **CASELOAD**

Average Total Annual Caseload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

Magisterial District Average: Class of County Average:

### WORKLOAD

Average Total Annual Workload:

Magisterial District Average: Judicial District Average:

| Reported | AOPC   |        |  |
|----------|--------|--------|--|
| 6,677    | 2011   | 2012   |  |
| -6       | -6.28% | -6.28% |  |
| 10       | 8.82%  | 8.82%  |  |
| Reported | AOPC   |        |  |
| 49,679   | 2011   | 2012   |  |
| 7        | 6.76%  | 6.76%  |  |



### JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

|     | JUDICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER:   | 38                                 |
|-----|---|------------------------------------|
|     | A. V  | Vh                                 |
|     | at is the class of county?  | 2A                                 |
|     | B. V  | Vh                                 |
|     | at is the percentage difference in the avera<br>annual caseload between this judicial distr<br>and the applicable class of county?      | 10/0                               |
| II. | Proposed Actions:   |                                    |
|     | A. List existing magisterial districts:   |                                    |
|     | 38-1-01, 38-1-02, 38-1-03, 38-1-04, 38-1-05, 3  | 38-1-06, 38-1-07, 38-1-08          |
|     | 38-1-09, 38-1-10, 38-1-11, 38-1-12, 38-1-13,  | 38-1-14, 38-1-15, 38-1-16          |
|     | 38-1-17, 38-1-18, 38-1-19, 38-1-20, 38-1-21,  | 38-1-22, 38-1-23, 38-1-24          |
|     | 38-1-25, 38-1-28, 38-2-02, 38-2-03, 38-2-04,  | 38-2-08                            |
|     | B. Does this judicial district have an ann average caseload that is ten percent above average caseload for the applicable class county? | the YES                            |
|     | <ol> <li>If the answer to II. B. above is NO,<br/>eliminations proposed?</li> </ol>   | are Choose Yes or No               |
|     | a) List magisterial districts proposed for elim   | nination.                          |
|     | b)If no eliminations are proposed based or for this decision?   | II. B. above, what are the factors |
|     | 2. If the answer to II. B. above is YES, eliminations proposed?   | are YES                            |
|     | a) List magisterial districts proposed for elin   | nination.                          |
|     |   |                                    |



### JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

C. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?

YES

1. List magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment.

38-1-01, 38-1-02, 38-1-03, 38-1-04, 38-1-05, 38-1-08, 38-1-09, 38-1-10, 38-1-13, 38-1-18, 38-1-19, 38-1-20, 38-1-21, 38-1-23, 38-1-25, 38-2-02, 38-2-08

**D.** Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment?

YES

1. List magisterial districts proposed for realignment.

38-1-06, 38-1-07, 38-1-11, 38-1-12, 38-1-14, 38-1-15, 38-1-16 38-1-17 - to become new Norristown District (New # TBD), 38-1-22, 38-1-24, 38-1-28, 38-2-03, 38-2-04

### III. NIGHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS

A. Is there a night court operating within the judicial district?

NO

**B.** Is there a central court operating within the judicial district?

NO

C. Note comments regarding how night, central or other similar court programs impact operations within the judicial district.

### IV. PUBLIC COMMENT

A. A request for public comment was posted:

YES

B. Comments were received:

YES

C. Comments are attached:

YES

### V. ADDITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL:

With the elimination of District 38-1-17, we are proposing to create an additional court in Norristown to alleviate the extraordinary caseload of the two existing courts. Montgomery County is proposing to remain at 30 Magisterial District Courts.



| VI.  | DATE SUBMITTED TO AOPC: | MARCH 30, 2012         |  |
|------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| VII. | PRESIDENT JUDGE NAME:   | Hon. William J. Furber |  |
|      | Signature Signature     | <b>7</b> .             |  |



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-01                       |  |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |  |
| -    | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 6,266                         |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:   | -12%                          |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 3%                            |  |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 49,921                        |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 7%                            |  |
| )    | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  | equity within your judicial   |  |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |                               |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  |                               |  |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   | *                             |  |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Ester J. Casillo  | 4)                            |  |
|      | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2017                    |  |



| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2018  |  |
|---|---|--|
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 160 W. Germantown Pk. D-5<br>Norristown, PA 19401   |  |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes   |  |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:            | Yes   |  |
| <b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this mag<br>East Norriton Township Police Dept., West Norriton |   |  |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial dist   | rict:   |  |
| LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  |   |  |
| East Norriton Township, West Norriton Township  |   |  |
| LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  |   |  |
| East Norriton Township, West Norriton Township  |   |  |
| Additional Comments:  |   |  |
| Based on most recent caseload data, this court's futur approximately 6,000 cases per year.                          | e caseload should be  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):</li> <li>E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:</li> <li>F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:</li> <li>G. List any police departments located within this magester to the magisterial district:</li> <li>H. List any major highways within this magisterial district.</li> <li>LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: East Norriton Township, West Norriton Township</li> <li>LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: East Norriton Township, West Norriton Township</li> <li>ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:</li> <li>Based on most recent caseload data, this court's future</li> </ul> |  |



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| l.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):  | 38-1-02                       |  |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |  |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 5,429                         |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:   | -24%                          |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:   | -10%                          |  |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 28,217                        |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:  | -39%                          |  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload?                     | Yes                           |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? With the changes we are proposing through this prelated to this court, in light of workload numbers in relative workload equity. | rocess, workload numbers      |  |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |                               |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  |                               |  |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |  |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Elizabeth McHug   | 'n                            |  |

| VIII. | Additional Comments:   |                       |
|-------|--|-----------------------|
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE Cheltenham Township (part), Borough of Jenkinto         |                       |
|       | Cheltenham Township (part), Borough of Jenkintown  |                       |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:   |                       |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial district:   |                       |
|       | Cheltenham Township Police Dept., Jenkintown Police Dept.  |                       |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this ma  | gisterial district:   |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | Yes                   |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:                                      | Yes                   |
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | Elkins Park, PA 19027 |
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (11) 4/ yyyyy   | 7804 Montgomery Ave   |
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2026            |
|       | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :   | 12/31/2017            |

Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department are being transferred from District Court 38-1-23 to District Court 38-1-02 effective 1/1/2013 - approximately 300 cases per year.

Traffic cases involving Route 309 will be transferred from District Court 38-1-03 to District Court 38-1-02, effective 1/1/2013. Both Pennsylvania State Police and Cheltenham Township Police patrol specific areas of this highway and the combined filings by these two police forces are approx. 500 cases per year.

An exception is requested to the requirement for all portions of this magisterial district to be contiguous. The Borough of Jenkintown is not contiguous with the portion of Cheltenham Township assigned to District Court 38-1-02 (it is contiguous to the portion of Cheltenham Township assigned to District Court 38-1-03), nor has it been contiguous for the past 10 years. Consideration was given to transferring Jenkintown to District Court 38-1-03; however this would have resulted in a greater caseload inequity between these two courts.

Based on the most recent caseload data, combined with the specific caseload changes outlined above (albeit not boundary changes), this court's future caseload should be approximately 5,500-6,000 cases per year.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| l.     | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-03                       |
|--------|---|-------------------------------|
| 11.    | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |
|        | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 6,639                         |
|        | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -7%                           |
|        | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 10%                           |
| m.     | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |
|        | A. Average Total Workload:  | 50,802                        |
|        | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 9%                            |
|        | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |
|        | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  | equity within your judicial   |
| IV.    | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |                               |
|        | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|        | B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):  |                               |
| v.     | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |
|        | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Christopher J. Ce   | rski                          |
| ****** | B. Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :   | 12/31/2017                    |



|        | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2045                                 |
|--------|---|--|
|        | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 8230 Old York Rd.<br>Elkins Park, PA 19027 |
|        | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes  |
| 11.6-1 | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |
|        | <b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this ma<br>Cheltenham Township Police Dept.  | agisterial district:                       |
|        | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis<br>Route 309   | trict:                                     |
| VI.    | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Cheltenham Township (part)   |  |
| VII.   | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  Cheltenham Township (part)  |  |
| VIII.  | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  All traffic filings involving Route 309 will be transferred from District Court 38-1-03 to District Court 38-1-02, effective 1/1/2013. Both Pennsylvania State Police and Cheltenham Township Police patrol this highway, and the total filings by both police forces are approximately 500 cases per year. |  |
|        | Based on the most recent caseload data, and the changes outlined above, this court's future caseload should be approximately 6,000+ cases per year.   |  |



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| ı.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):   | 38-1-04  |  |
|------|--|--|--|
| 11.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD   |  |  |
| -    | A. Average Total Caseload:   | 6,296  |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:  | -12%   |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:  | 4%   |  |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD   |  |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:   | 38,295   |  |
| -100 | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:   | -18%   |  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload?  | Yes  |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? This court's workload is very close to the 15% three comment. Our Court is satisfied that the current be workload numbers in contiguous districts, results in | shold for workload equity<br>ooundaries, in light of |  |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:   |  |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.   | Reestablish Realign Eliminate                        |  |
|      | B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):   |  |  |
| v.   | Magisterial District Information:  |  |  |
| -    | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: John D. Kessler  |  |  |

|       | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 12/31/2017                              |  |
|-------|---|---|--|
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2025                              |  |
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 1150 Old York Rd.<br>Abington, PA 19001 |  |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | No (see below)                          |  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes                                     |  |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this management. Abington Township Police Dept.   | ngisterial district:                    |  |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis  | trict:                                  |  |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  |   |  |
|       | Abington Township (part), Borough of Rockledge  |   |  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  |   |  |
|       | Abington Township (part), Borough of Rockledge  |   |  |
| VIII. | Additional Comments:  |   |  |
|       | We are requesting an exception to the requirement that the District Court for this Magisterial District must be located within the magisterial district boundaries. The location of the court facility for this district is one block beyond the magisterial district boundaries, and the current lease does not expire until October 2024. |   |  |
|       | Based on the most recent caseload data, this court's future caseload should be approximately 6,000 to 6,500 cases per year.   |   |  |

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| l.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-05                       |  |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 11.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |  |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 6,064                         |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -15%                          |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 0%                            |  |
| 111. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 46,919                        |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 1%                            |  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  | equity within your judicial   |  |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:  |                               |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |
|      | B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):  |                               |  |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |  |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Juanita A. Price  |                               |  |
|      | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2017                    |  |

| C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2033   |  |
|---|--|--|
| D. Office Leasting (Street City and Zin code):  | 875 N. Easton Rd.  |  |
| D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code).   | Glenside, PA 19038   |  |
| E. Is the office within the boundaries of the   | Yes  |  |
| magisterial district:   |  |  |
| F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge   | Yes  |  |
| within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | 163  |  |
| G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district:  |  |  |
| Abington Township Police Dept.  |  |  |
| H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis  | strict:  |  |
| LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  |  |  |
| Abington Township (part)  |  |  |
| LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  |  |  |
| Abington Township (part)  |  |  |
| Additional Comments:  |  |  |
| Based on the most recent caseload data, this court's future caseload should be approximately 5,500 to 6,000 cases per year. |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):</li> <li>E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:</li> <li>F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:</li> <li>G. List any police departments located within this magister Abington Township Police Dept.</li> <li>H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: <ul> <li>Abington Township (part)</li> </ul> </li> <li>LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Abington Township (part)</li> <li>ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: <ul> <li>Based on the most recent caseload data, this court's</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

|      | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):  | 38-1-06                       |  |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 11.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |  |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 9,690                         |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | 36%                           |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 60%                           |  |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 39,383                        |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -15%                          |  |
| - 11 | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | Yes                           |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Just at 15% threshold – workload to be addresse  |                               |  |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:  |                               |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 1/1/2013                      |  |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |  |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Henry Schireson   |                               |  |
|      | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2015                    |  |
|      |   |                               |  |



|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2020                                |
|-------|--|---|
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 907 Montgomery Ave.<br>Narberth, PA 19072 |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes                                       |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district  | res                                       |
|       | <b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this Lower Merion Township Police Dept.   | magisterial district:                     |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial Expressway), I-476 (Mid-County Expressway)  | district: I-76 (Schuylkill                |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Lower Merion Township (part)  |   |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Lower Merion Township (part)  |   |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  Three voting districts (3-1, 9-2 and 9-3) within Lower Merion Township are being transferred from this Magisterial District to Magisterial District 38-2-04. Three voting districts (10-03, 11-02 and 11-02B) within Lower Merion Township are being transferred from Magisterial District 38-1-07 to this Magisterial District. |   |
|       | Over the past three years, the combined caselo   | oad for the three District Courts         |

Over the past three years, the combined caseload for the three District Courts in Lower Merion Township has declined from over 30,000 to 23,500. The effect of the changes proposed for all three District Courts should result in a more equitable distribution of the total caseload; and based on the most recent caseload data, each Court's future caseload should range between 8,000 and 8,500 cases per year.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| l.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-07                       |
|------|---|-------------------------------|
| 11.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 10,136                        |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | 42%                           |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 67%                           |
| 111. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  | *                             |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 44,761                        |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -4%                           |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  | equity within your judicial   |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |                               |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|      | B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):  | 1/1/2013                      |
| v.   | Magisterial District Information:   |                               |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Kathleen Valenti  | ne                            |
|      | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2015                    |

|  | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2019  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 116A Cricket Ave<br>Ardmore, PA 19003   |  |
|  | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes   |  |
|  | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes   |  |
|  | G. List any police departments located within this ma<br>Lower Merion Township Police Dept., Narberth Po   |   |  |
|  | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis   | trict:  |  |
| VI.  | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Lower Merion Township (part), Borough of Narber   |   |  |
| VII.   | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Lower Merion Township (part), Borough of Narberth   |   |  |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  Three voting districts (10-03, 11-02 and 11-02B) within Lower Menare being transferred from this Magisterial District to Magisterial 06. All parking case filings for Ardmore (the jurisdiction of District 07), which had been filed with District Court 38-2-04 for the past sare being transferred back to this Magisterial District. |  | to Magisterial District 38-1-<br>iction of District Court 38-1-<br>04 for the past several years, |  |
|  | Over the past three years, the combined caseload for the three District Courts in Lower Merion Township has declined from over 30,000 to 23,500. The effect of the changes proposed for all three District Courts should result in a more equitable distribution of the total caseload; and based on the most recent |   |  |

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

caseload data, each Court's future caseload should range between 8,000 and

8,500 cases per year.



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):  | 38-1-08                       |
|------|--|-------------------------------|
| 11.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD   |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:   | 6,620                         |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:  | -7%                           |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:  | 9%                            |
| 111. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD   |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:   | 25,769                        |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:   | -45%                          |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload?                              | Yes                           |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict? With the changes we are proposing through this prelated to this court, in light of workload numbers results in relative workload equity. | process, workload numbers     |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:   |                               |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.   | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :   |                               |
| V.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:  |                               |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Katherine E. McG   | ill                           |



|      | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :   | 12/31/2017          |
|------|--|---------------------|
| -    | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2052          |
|      | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 1316 Bruce Rd.      |
|      |  | Oreland, PA 19075   |
|      | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:                                      | Yes                 |
|      | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | Yes                 |
|      | G. List any police departments located within this ma  | gisterial district: |
|      | Springfield Township Police Dept.  |                     |
|      | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis-  | trict: Route 309    |
| VI.  | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:   |                     |
|      | Springfield Township   |                     |
| VII. | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES  | s:                  |
|      | Springfield Township   |                     |

### **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:**

This Court's current caseload includes all Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-276) filings within the jurisdiction of Magisterial District 38-1-23 (Judge Lukens) and all Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-276) and Pennsylvania Turnpike Northeast Extension (I-476) filings within the jurisdiction of Magisterial District 38-1-13 (Judge Bernhardt).

All Pennsylvania Turnpike Northeast Extension (I-476) filings within the jurisdiction of Magisterial District 38-1-13, currently filed in Magisterial District 38-1-08, will be transferred to Magisterial District 38-2-02 effective 1/1/2015; approximately 200 cases per year.

Based on the most recent caseload data, and the change outlined above, this Court's future caseload should be approximately 6,500 cases per year.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-09                       |
|------|---|-------------------------------|
| 11.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 5,995                         |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -16%                          |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | -1%                           |
| 111. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  | ¥                             |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 53,222                        |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 14%                           |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?   | quity within your judicial    |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:  |                               |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  |                               |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: William I. Marusz   | czak                          |
|      | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2015                    |



|     | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2024  |  |
|-----|--|---|--|
|     | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 485 S. Henderson Rd.<br>King of Prussia, PA 19406 |  |
|     | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes   |  |
|     | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes   |  |
|     | G. List any police departments located within this ma<br>Upper Merion Township Police Dept., West Consh  |   |  |
|     | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis   | trict: Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-                  |  |
|     | 276), Schuylkill Expressway (I-76), Mid-County Exp<br>Expressway   | ressway (I-476), 422 Pottstowr                    |  |
| VI. |  | ressway (I-476), 422 Pottstowr                    |  |
| VI. | Expressway   |   |  |
| VI. | Expressway  LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:   | Conshohocken                                      |  |
|     | Expressway  LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  Upper Merion Township (part), Borough of West C                                      | Conshohocken                                      |  |
|     | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Upper Merion Township (part), Borough of West C LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES | Conshohocken                                      |  |



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| ı.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):  | 38-1-10   |
|------|--|---|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD   |   |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:   | 6,719   |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:  | -6%   |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:  | 11%   |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD   |   |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:   | 38,767  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:   | -17%  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload?  | Yes   |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? This court's workload is very close to the 15% thr comment. Our Court is satisfied that the current workload numbers in contiguous districts, results | eshold for workload equity<br>boundaries, in light of |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:   |   |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.   | Reestablish Realign Eliminate                         |
|      | B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):   |   |
| ٧.   | Magisterial District Information:  |   |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Patricia Zaffarano   | 0   |



|       | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2017                                |  |
|-------|---|---|--|
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2024                                |  |
| -11   | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 1301 S. Bethlehem Pk.<br>Ambler, PA 19002 |  |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes                                       |  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:            | Yes                                       |  |
| 7     | G. List any police departments located within this ma<br>Upper Dublin Township Police Dept., Ambler Police          |   |  |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis<br>Turnpike (I-276)  | trict: Route 309, Pennsylvania            |  |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  |   |  |
|       | Upper Dublin Township, Borough of Ambler  |   |  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  |   |  |
|       | Upper Dublin Township, Borough of Ambler  |   |  |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  |   |  |
|       | Based on the most recent caseload data, this Court's future caseload should be approximately 6,000+ cases per year. |   |  |
| PLEA  | SE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA F   | FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRIC          |  |



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-11                       |  |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| II.  | Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload  |                               |  |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 7,819                         |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | 10%                           |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 29%                           |  |
| 111. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 66,450                        |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 43%                           |  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | Yes                           |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Our realignment proposal for this magisterial districts concerns of workload inequity.                       |                               |  |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |                               |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 1/1/2013                      |  |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |  |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Scott T. Palladino  |                               |  |



|       | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :   | 12/31/2017   |  |
|-------|--|--|--|
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2044   |  |
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 1 Security Plaza, Suite 101<br>Pottstown, PA 19464             |  |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district  | Yes  |  |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this recurrent: Pottstown Police Dept., Upper Pottsgrove Pottsgrove Township Police Dept. Future: Pottstown Police Dept., West Pottsgrove  | ve Township Police Dept., West<br>e Township Police Dept.      |  |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial of<br>Expressway  | listrict: Route 422 Pottstown                                  |  |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE  Borough of Pottstown (part), Upper Pottsgrove  Township  |  |  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:   |  |  |
|       | Borough of Pottstown (part), West Pottsgrove Township  |  |  |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  Upper Pottsgrove Township will be transferred to Magisterial District 38-2-03; approximately 1,20  One additional voting district (5) in the Borough transferred to this Magisterial District from 38-12,000 cases per year. | 0 cases per year.<br>of Pottstown will be                      |  |
|       | Truancy cases for both Pottstown and Pottsgrov<br>transferred from District Court 38-1-12 to this N<br>approximately 500 cases per year.   |  |  |
|       | Based on the most recent caseload data, combined above, this Court's future caseload sho   | ned with the proposed changes<br>uld be approximately 6,000 to |  |



PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



## PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.                                      | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-12                       |
|---|---|-------------------------------|
| II.                                     | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |
|   | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 7,313                         |
|   | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:   | 3%                            |
|   | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:   | 21%                           |
| III.                                    | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |
| *************************************** | A. Average Total Workload:  | 66,654                        |
|   | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:  | 43%                           |
|   | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload?               | Yes                           |
|   | <ul> <li>D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?</li> <li>Our realignment proposal for the magisterial districts</li> <li>concerns of workload inequity</li> </ul> |                               |
| IV.                                     | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |                               |
|   | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|   | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 1/1/2013                      |
| V.                                      | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |
|   | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Edward C. Kropp   | , Sr.                         |

|          | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2015  |
|----------|---|---|
|          | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2032  |
|          | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 2093 E. High St.<br>Pottstown, PA 19464   |
|          | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes   |
|          | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes   |
|          | G. List any police departments located within this manner of Pottstown Police Dept., Lower Pottsgrove Townsh  |   |
|          | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis<br>Expressway  | trict: Route 422 Pottstown  |
|          | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  Borough of Pottstown (part), Lower Pottsgrove Township  |   |
| VI.      |   |   |
| VI.      |   | ship  |
|          | Borough of Pottstown (part), Lower Pottsgrove Towns   | ship<br>S:  |
| VI. VII. | Borough of Pottstown (part), Lower Pottsgrove Towns  LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE  Borough of Pottstown (part), Lower Pottsgrove Town  Additional Comments:                             | ship<br>S:<br>ship  |
| VII.     | Borough of Pottstown (part), Lower Pottsgrove Towns  LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE  Borough of Pottstown (part), Lower Pottsgrove Town   | ship  S: aship  ) will be transferred from this   |
| VII.     | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE Borough of Pottstown (part), Lower Pottsgrove Town  ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  One voting district in the Borough of Pottstown (5 Magisterial District 38-1-11; | ship  S: ship  will be transferred from this approximately 1,500 to 2,000  School Districts will be |



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

|     | MA  | GISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):  | 38-1-13                       |
|-----|-----|--|-------------------------------|
| 11. | BRE | EAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD   |                               |
|     | A.  | Average Total Caseload:  | 7,871                         |
|     | В.  | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:   | 11%                           |
|     | C.  | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:                                     | 30%                           |
| m.  | BRI | EAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD   |                               |
|     | A.  | Average Total Workload:  | 49,389                        |
|     | В.  | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:  | 6%                            |
|     | C.  | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? | No                            |
|     | D.  | If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  | equity within your judicial   |
| IV. | PR  | OPOSED CHANGE:   |                               |
|     | A.  | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|     | В.  | What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):  |                               |
| V.  | M   | AGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |
|     |     | Magisterial District Judge Name: Francis J. Bernha   | rdt                           |
|     | A.  | Iviagisterial District sauge Harrier Francis &   |                               |



|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2028   |
|-------|---|--|
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 625 W. Ridge Pk. B101<br>Conshohocken, PA 19428              |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |
|       | <b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this man Plymouth Township Police Dept., Conshohocken P  |  |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis<br>276), Schuylkill Expressway (I-76), Mid-County Exp  |  |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Borough of Conshohocken (part), Plymouth Township  |  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Borough of Conshohocken (part), Plymouth Township  |  |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-276) and Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-476) filings within the jurisdiction of this Magistrassigned to District Court 38-1-08. Also, Mid-Courwithin the jurisdiction of this Magisterial District a District Court 38-1-23. | erial District are currently<br>nty Expressway (I-476) cases |
|       | Based on the most recent caseload data, this Court's future caseload should be approximately 8,000 to 8,300 cases per year.   |  |



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-14   |
|---|---|
| BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |   |
| A. Average Total Caseload:  | 7,877   |
| B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | 11%   |
| C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 30%   |
| BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |   |
| A. Average Total Workload:  | 44,882  |
| B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -4%   |
| C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No  |
| D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  | equity within your judicial   |
| Proposed Change:  |   |
| A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate   |
| B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):  | 1/1/2013  |
| MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |   |
| A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Paul N. Leo   |   |
| <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 12/31/2017  |
|   | <ul> <li>A. Average Total Caseload:</li> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:</li> <li>C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:</li> <li>BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD</li> <li>A. Average Total Workload:</li> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:</li> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?</li> <li>D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?</li> <li>PROPOSED CHANGE:</li> <li>A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.</li> <li>B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:</li> <li>A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Paul N. Leo</li> </ul> |



|      | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2018                           |
|------|---|--------------------------------------|
|      | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 414 S. York Rd.<br>Hatboro, PA 19040 |
|      | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes                                  |
|      | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:              | Yes                                  |
|      | G. List any police departments located within this m<br>Upper Moreland Township Police Dept., Horsham<br>Police Dept. |                                      |
|      | H. List any major highways within this magisterial di<br>276)   | istrict: Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-   |
| VI.  | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Upper Moreland Township (part), Horsham Townshi                     |                                      |
| VII. | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITI Upper Moreland Township (part), Horsham Townsh                        |                                      |
|      | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  |                                      |



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| l.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-15                       |
|------|---|-------------------------------|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 14,667                        |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | 106%                          |
| 31   | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 142%                          |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 94,490                        |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 103%                          |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | Yes                           |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Our realignment proposal for this magisterial districts concerns of workload inequity                        |                               |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |                               |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 1/1/2016                      |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Francis J. Lawren   | ce, Jr.                       |



|   | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 12/31/2015   |
|---|---|--|
|   | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2023   |
|   | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 200 W. Main St.<br>Norristown, PA 19401  |
|   | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes  |
|   | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |
|   | G. List any police departments located within this ma<br>Norristown Police Dept.  | agisterial district:   |
|   | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis  | strict:  |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  Municipality of Norristown (part)   |  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  Municipality of Norristown (part)   |  |
| VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  A third district court for Norristown is being proposed, thus reducing the magisterial district's number of voting districts from six to four. Under proposal, Magisterial District 38-1-15 would comprise voting districts 1-03 and 2-01. Upon establishment of the new court, this Magisterial District court court building would be assigned to the new court, and a new leaves would be established for 38-1-15. |   | six to four. Under this e voting districts 1-01, 1-02, 1-this Magisterial District's |
|   | While it is difficult to precisely predict the anticipated caseloads for each of these courts after the proposed reorganization, we expect that the total Norristown caseload of approximately 30,000 cases will be equitably distributed. Based on the most recent caseload data, each Court's future caseload should be approximately 9,000 to 11,000 cases per year. |  |
|   | Elections for Judge of the newly established Court we the new Judge would assume office in January 2016.  | ould take place in 2015, and   |

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-16   |
|------|---|---|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |   |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 15,308  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | 115%  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 153%  |
| 111. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |   |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 93,787  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 102%  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | Yes   |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Our realignment proposal for this magisterial districts concerns of workload inequity                        |   |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |   |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | <ul><li>☐ Reestablish</li><li>☐ Realign</li><li>☐ Eliminate</li></ul> |
|      | B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):  | 1/1/2016  |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |   |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Margaret Hunsic   | ker   |



|       | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :   | 12/31/2017                                  |
|-------|--|---|
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2026                                  |
| ,     | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 754 E. Johnson Hwy.<br>Norristown, PA 19401 |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes   |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes   |
| Je s  | <b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this m<br>Norristown Police Dept.   | nagisterial district:                       |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial d   | istrict:                                    |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Municipality of Norristown (part)  | S:  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  Municipality of Norristown (part)  |   |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  A third district court for Norristown is being proposed, thus reducing this magisterial district's number of voting districts from six to four. Under this proposal, Magisterial District 38-1-16 will comprise voting districts 4-01, 4-02, 4-03 and 2-03.  |   |
|       | Cases involving State Welfare Office, Unemployment Office and Attorney General's Office, currently assigned to this Magisterial District, will be reassigned to Magisterial District 38-1-25.  |   |
|       | While it is difficult to precisely predict the anticipated caseload for each of these courts after the proposed reorganization, we expect that the total Norristown caseload of approximately 30,000 cases will be equitably distributed. Based on the most recent caseload data, each Court's future caseload should be approximately 9,000 to 11,000 cases per year. |   |
|       | Elections for Judge of the newly established Court v<br>the new Judge would assume office in January 2016  |   |

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-17                       |
|------|---|-------------------------------|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 4,772                         |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -33%                          |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | -21%                          |
| 111. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 37,090                        |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -20%                          |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | Yes                           |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Inequity will be addressed through realignment production.   |                               |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:  |                               |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 1/1/2015                      |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Kenneth Deatelh   | auser                         |



|       | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :   | 12/31/2015   |
|-------|--|--|
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2014   |
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 121 E. Chestnut St. Suite A<br>Souderton, PA 18964   |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes  |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this ma<br>Current: Franconia Township Police Dept., Hatfield<br>Hatfield Borough Police Dept., Souderton Police De<br>Future: Elimination of Magisterial District | I Township Police Dept., ept., Telford Police Dept.  |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis<br>Northeast Extension (I-476)  | trict: Pennsylvania Turnpike   |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:<br>Franconia Township, Hatfield Township (part), Boroug<br>Souderton, Borough of Telford  | gh of Hatfield, Borough of   |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE<br>Elimination – reestablish district in Norristown (unde   | s:<br>r a new number)  |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  Effective 1/1/2015, with the mandatory retirement of this Magisterial District will be eliminated. The district Norristown (under a new number).   | f District Judge Deatelhauser,<br>ct will be reestablished in  |
|       | SE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA  | THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P |



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

|   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-18                       |  |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|
| II.                                     | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |  |
|   | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 7,243                         |  |
|   | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | 2%                            |  |
|   | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 20%                           |  |
| 111.                                    | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |
| *************************************** | A. Average Total Workload:  | 44,532                        |  |
|   | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -4%                           |  |
|   | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |  |
|   | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  | equity within your judicial   |  |
| IV.                                     | Proposed Change:  |                               |  |
|   | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |
|   | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  |                               |  |
| ٧.                                      | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |  |
|   | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Andrea H. Duffy   |                               |  |
|   |   |                               |  |



|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2035   |
|-------|--|--|
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 601 Bethlehem Pk. D 100<br>Montgomeryville, PA 18936 |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:                                      | Yes  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: | Yes  |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this ma<br>Hatfield Township Police Dept., Montgomery Town |  |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis   | trict: Route 309                                     |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:   |  |
|       | Hatfield Township (part), Montgomery Township  |  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES  | s:   |
|       | Hatfield Township (part), Montgomery Township  |  |
| VIII. | Additional Comments:   | "".  |
|       | Based on the most recent caseload data, this Court's fapproximately 7,800 cases per year.                | uture caseload should be                             |
| PLEA  | SE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA F  | OR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRIC                      |



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-19   |  |
|---|---|--|
| BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |   |  |
| A. Average Total Caseload:  | 7,541   |  |
| B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | 6%  |  |
| C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 25%   |  |
| BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |   |  |
| A. Average Total Workload:  | 54,804  |  |
| B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 18%   |  |
| C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | Yes   |  |
| district? This court's workload is very close to the 15% the comment. Our Court is satisfied that the current   | eshold for workload equity<br>boundaries, in light of   |  |
| PROPOSED CHANGE:  |   |  |
| A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate   |  |
| <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 111   |  |
| MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |   |  |
| A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Walter F. Gadzick   | ci, Jr.   |  |
|   | <ul> <li>A. Average Total Caseload:</li> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:</li> <li>C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:</li> <li>BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD</li> <li>A. Average Total Workload:</li> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:</li> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?</li> <li>D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload district?  This court's workload is very close to the 15% the comment. Our Court is satisfied that the current workload numbers in contiguous districts, results.</li> <li>PROPOSED CHANGE:</li> <li>A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.</li> <li>B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):</li> </ul> |  |



|          | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 12/31/2017  |
|----------|---|---|
|          | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2022  |
|          | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 497 W. Ridge Pk.<br>Limerick, PA 19468  |
|          | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes   |
|          | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes   |
|          | G. List any police departments located within this no<br>Limerick Township Police Dept., Upper Providence<br>Royersford Police Dept.  |   |
|          | H. List any major highways within this magisterial d  | istrict: Route 422 Pottstown  |
|          | Expressway  |   |
| VI.      | Expressway  LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Limerick Township, Upper Providence Township (par   |   |
|          | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES   | rt), Borough of Royersford  |
| VI. VII. | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Limerick Township, Upper Providence Township (par LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY   | rt), Borough of Royersford  |
| VII.     | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Limerick Township, Upper Providence Township (par LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Limerick Township, Upper Providence Township (par | rt), Borough of Royersford  IES: rt), Borough of Royersford  Department are being |



#### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| l.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):  | 38-1-20                       |
|------|---|-------------------------------|
| 11.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 6,490                         |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -9%                           |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 7%                            |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 47,763                        |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 3%                            |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  | equity within your judicial   |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:  |                               |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):   |                               |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Cathleen Kelly Re   | bar                           |
|      | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2015                    |



|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2042                              |
|-------|---|---|
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 133 Level Rd.<br>Collegeville, PA 19426 |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes                                     |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:                                      | Yes                                     |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this meaning the Lower Providence Township Police Dept., Upper Dept., Collegeville Police Dept. |   |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial d<br>Expressway  | istrict: Route 422 Pottstown            |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Lower Providence Township, Upper Providence Tow Collegeville, Borough of Trappe             |   |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITI Lower Providence Township, Upper Providence Tow Collegeville, Borough of Trappe               |   |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  Based on the most recent caseload data, this Court's approximately 6,000 cases per year.                                | s future caseload should be             |



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):  | 38-1-21   |
|------|---|---|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  | 1   |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 8,375   |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:   | 18%   |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:   | 38%   |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |   |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 55,937  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:  | 20%   |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload?   | Yes   |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? This court's workload is close to the 15% thresho comment. Our Court is satisfied that the current workload numbers in contiguous districts, results | ld for workload equity<br>boundaries, in light of |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |   |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate                     |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  |   |
| V.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |   |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Robert M. Sobecl  | k   |



|       | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 12/31/2017   |
|-------|---|--|
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2035   |
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 653 Skippack Pk. Suite 101<br>Blue Bell, PA 19422  |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this ma<br>Lower Gwynedd Township Police Dept., Upper Gw<br>Whitpain Township Police Dept., North Wales Police  | ynedd Township Police Dept.,   |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dist<br>Northeast Extension (I-476)  | trict: Pennsylvania Turnpike   |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:<br>Lower Gwynedd Township, Upper Gwynedd Township<br>of North Wales  | , Whitpain Township, Borough   |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Lower Gwynedd Township, Upper Gwynedd Township Borough of North Wales   |  |
|       | Additional Comments:  |  |
| VIII. | Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Property of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the | ive 1/1/2013 – approximately   |
| VIII. | Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Property of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department of the Cases involving the | ive 1/1/2013 — approximately  Extension (I-476) within the sferred to Magisterial District |

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):  | 38-1-22                       |
|------|---|-------------------------------|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 5,806                         |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -19%                          |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | -4%                           |
| 111. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 24,450                        |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -47%                          |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | Yes                           |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Our realignment proposal is meant to address the   |                               |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:  | *                             |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 1/1/2013                      |
| V.   | Magisterial District Information:   |                               |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Harry J. Nesbitt, 3   | grd                           |
|      | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2017                    |



|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2032                                  |
|-------|--|---|
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 903 Sheehy Dr. Suite A<br>Horsham, PA 19044 |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes   |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes   |
|       | <b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this ma<br>Horsham Township Police Dept.  | agisterial district:                        |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis   | trict:                                      |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Horsham Township (part)   |   |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Horsham Township (part)  | s:  |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  Three voting districts (3-1, 3-2 and 3-5) within Horsham Township will be transferred to this Magisterial District from Magisterial District 38-1-14; approximately 1,500 to 2,000 cases per year. |   |
|       | Based on the most recent caseload data, combined with the proposed changes outlined above, this Court's future caseload should be approximately 6,000 to 6,500 cases per year.   |   |



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-23                       |  |  |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 6,302                         |  |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:   | -12%                          |  |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:   | 4%                            |  |  |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 36,424                        |  |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:  | -22%                          |  |  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload?   | Yes                           |  |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? This court's workload is close to the 15% threshold for workload equity comment. Our Court is satisfied that the current boundaries, in light of workload numbers in contiguous districts, results in relative workload equity. |                               |  |  |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |  |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  |                               |  |  |
| V.   | Magisterial District Information:   |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Deborah A. Luker  | ns                            |  |  |



|       | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration $(m/d/yyyy)$ :   | 12/31/2017                                   |  |
|-------|--|--|--|
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2021                                   |  |
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 4002 Center Ave.<br>Lafayette Hill, PA 19444 |  |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |  |
| 110.0 | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes  |  |
|       | <b>G.</b> List any police departments located within this ma<br>Whitemarsh Township Police Dept., Conshohocker   | TO 12 (1.00) 10 (1.00) 10 (1.00)             |  |
|       | <ul><li>H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis-</li><li>276)</li></ul>   | trict: Pennsylvania Turnpike (I              |  |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Whitemarsh Township, Borough of Conshohocken (pa  |  |  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Whitemarsh Township, Borough of Conshohocken (part)   |  |  |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:   |  |  |
|       | Traffic filings from the Mid-County Expressway (I-476), within the jurisdiction of Magisterial District 38-1-13, are currently assigned to this court.   |  |  |
|       | Traffic filings from the Pennsylvania Turnpike, within the jurisdiction of this Magisterial District, are currently assigned to District Court 38-1-08.  |  |  |
|       | Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department will be transferred from this Magisterial District to Magisterial District 38-1-02 effective 1/1/2013; approximately 300 cases per year. |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):  | 38-1-24                       |  |  |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| II.  | Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 4,876                         |  |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -32%                          |  |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | -20%                          |  |  |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 40,488                        |  |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -13%                          |  |  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |  |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  | equity within your judicial   |  |  |
| IV.  | PROPOSED CHANGE:  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |  |
|      | B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):  | 1/1/2015                      |  |  |
| v.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Albert J. Augustine   |                               |  |  |
|      | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2017                    |  |  |



|     | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2028   |
|-----|---|--|
|     | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 2047 Bridge Rd. Rt. 113<br>Schwenksville, PA 19473 |
| 1   | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes  |
|     | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |
| (   | G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district:<br>Current: Lower Salford Township Police Dept.<br>Future: Lower Salford Township Police Dept., Franconia Township Police Dept.,<br>Souderton Borough Police Dept., Telford Borough Police Dept. |  |
|     | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dist<br>Northeast Extension (I-476)  | trict: Pennsylvania Turnpike                       |
| VI. | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Lower Salford Township, Skippack Township, Word  | ester Township, Perkiomen                          |

Lower Salford Township, Skippack Township, Worcester Township, Perkiomen Township, Borough of Schwenksville

#### VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:

Lower Salford Township, Skippack Township, Worcester Township, Perkiomen Township, Borough of Schwenksville, Franconia Township, Souderton Borough, Telford Borough

#### VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

With the proposed elimination of Magisterial District 38-1-17 effective 1/1/2015, Franconia Township, the Borough of Souderton, and the one voting district for the Borough of Telford that is in Montgomery County will be transferred to Magisterial District 38-1-24; approximately 3,200 cases per year.

Traffic filings for the Pennsylvania Turnpike Northeast Extension (I-476), within the jurisdiction of Magisterial District 38-1-24, will be transferred to Magisterial District 38-2-02 effective 1/1/2015; approximately 400 cases per year from Franconia Township, 150 cases per year from Lower Salford Township, and 200 cases per year from Worcester Township – a total decrease of 750 cases per year.

Based on the most recent caseload data, combined with the proposed changes outlined above, this Court's future caseload should be approximately 6,500 to 7,000 cases per year.



Upon the retirement of District Judge Deatelhauser (38-1-17) effective 1/1/2015, and the resulting reassignment of Franconia Township, Souderton Borough and Telford Borough to District Judge Augustine (38-1-24), serious consideration will be given to relocating the court facility for Magisterial District 38-1-24 to a location more central to the re-drawn district – likely the Franconia/Lower Salford border area. This will alleviate some concerns about travel distance for the Local Police in the Franconia/Souderton/Telford area – while minimally impacting the travel time for PSP, which patrols the lower portion of this district.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



# PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

|         | MA  | AGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-1-25                       |  |
|---------|---|--|-------------------------------|--|
| 11.     | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |  |                               |  |
|         | A.  | Average Total Caseload:  | 4,289                         |  |
|         | В.  | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:   | -40%                          |  |
|         | C.  | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:                                     | -29%                          |  |
| III.    | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |  |                               |  |
| - inter | A.  | Average Total Workload:  | 31,590                        |  |
|         | B.  | Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:  | -32%                          |  |
|         | C.  | Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than the judicial district's average total workload? | Yes                           |  |
|         | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? This court's workload is currently 32% less than the county average. Reassignment of some County Health Department and State Welfare cases to this court, combined with a new casino opening within this district should address the workload inequity. |  |                               |  |
| IV.     | Proposed Change:  |  |                               |  |
|         | A.  | Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |
|         | В.  | What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :   |                               |  |
|         | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |  |                               |  |



|       | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: James P. Gallag   | her                                       |  |
|-------|---|---|--|
|       | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2017                                |  |
|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2030                                |  |
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 128 W. Fourth St.<br>Bridgeport, PA 19405 |  |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes                                       |  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes                                       |  |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this number of the Upper Merion Township Police Dept., Bridgeport   |   |  |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial d  | listrict: Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-       |  |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE Upper Merion Township (part), Borough of Bridgepo  |   |  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES:  Upper Merion Township (part), Borough of Bridgeport   |   |  |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  Cases involving the Montgomery County Health Department will be transferred from Magisterial District 38-1-21 to this Magisterial District — approximately 300 cases per year.  |   |  |
|       | State cases involving Welfare, Unemployment Compensation and the Attorney General's Office will be transferred from Magisterial District 38-1-16 to this Magisterial District.  |   |  |
|       | In the spring of 2012, the Casino at the Valley Forge Convention Center is due to open; this complex is within this Magisterial District. Based on information from other nearby counties where casinos have opened, this has the potential to increase this District's caseload by 500-1,000 cases per year. |   |  |
|       | Also, with the expansion of the King of Prussia shop<br>years, and the construction of a large residential, co<br>on the former site of the Valley Forge Golf Club ove  | ommercial and office complex              |  |



District 38-1-09), there is the strong potential for the caseload in that district (38-1-09) to grow substantially. This could necessitate some future transfer of voting districts to this Magisterial District in the future.

Lastly, filings involving the Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-276) in Upper Merion Township are currently divided between Magisterial Districts 38-1-09 (Eastbound) and 38-1-25 (Westbound). If caseload and workload inequities are not adequately addressed through the proposed changes and future developments, assignment of all Upper Merion Turnpike cases could be made to Magisterial District 38-1-25.

Based on the most recent caseload data, combined with the proposed changes and expectations outlined above, this Court's future caseload should be approximately 5,500 to 6,000 cases per year.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| ı.    | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):  | 38-1-28                       |  |  |
|-------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| II.   | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |  |  |
|       | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 5,700                         |  |  |
|       | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -20%                          |  |  |
|       | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | -6%                           |  |  |
| m.    | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |  |
|       | A. Average Total Workload:  | 49,891                        |  |  |
|       | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 7%                            |  |  |
|       | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |  |  |
|       | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district?   |                               |  |  |
| IV.   | Proposed Change:  |                               |  |  |
|       | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |  |
|       | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):   | 1/1/2015                      |  |  |
| v.    | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |  |  |
| ***** | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Harold Borek  |                               |  |  |
|       | <b>B.</b> Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2015                    |  |  |



|      | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2019   |
|------|--|--|
|      | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 421 W. Main St.<br>Lansdale, PA 19446  |
|      | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |
|      | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district  | Yes  |
|      | G. List any police departments located within this  Current: Towamencin Township Police Dept., La  Future: Towamencin Township Police Dept., Ha  Hatfield Borough Police Dept.   | ansdale Police Dept.<br>tfield Township Police Dept.,  |
|      | H. List any major highways within this magisterial<br>Northeast Extension (I-476)  | district: Pennsylvania Turnpike  |
|      | Northeast Extension (1-476)  |  |
| VI.  | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITI Towamencin Township, Borough of Lansdale   | ES:  |
|      | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITI  | TIES:  |
| VI.  | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Towamencin Township, Borough of Lansdale  LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Towamencin Township, Borough of Lansdale, Hatf   | TIES:  |
| VII. | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Towamencin Township, Borough of Lansdale  LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Towamencin Township, Borough of Lansdale, Hatfield   | TIES: ield Township (part), Borough of -1-17 effective 1/1/2015, the wnship currently assigned to  |
| VII. | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Towamencin Township, Borough of Lansdale  LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Towamencin Township, Borough of Lansdale, Hatfield  ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: With the proposed elimination of District Court 38 Borough of Hatfield and the portion of Hatfield Town Magisterial District 38-1-17 will be transferred to Magisterial Dis | TIES: ield Township (part), Borough of -1-17 effective 1/1/2015, the wnship currently assigned to Magisterial District 38-1-28; east Extension (I-476) within the ransferred to Magisterial District |



#### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-2-02                       |  |  |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 11.  | Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 3,879                         |  |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -46%                          |  |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | -36%                          |  |  |
| III. | Breakdown of Magisterial District - Workload  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 40,754                        |  |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -12%                          |  |  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |  |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district?   |                               |  |  |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |  |
|      | B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):  |                               |  |  |
| ٧.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Catherine M. Hummel Fried   |                               |  |  |
|      | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2017                    |  |  |



|      | <b>C.</b> Mandatory Retirement Date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 12/31/2027   |
|------|---|--|
|      | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 80-H Gravel Pk. Suite 100<br>Red Hill, PA 18076  |
|      | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes  |
|      | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |
|      | G. List any police departments located within this ma<br>Marlborough Township Police Dept., Upper Perkio  |  |
|      | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis<br>Northeast Extension (I-476)   | trict: Pennsylvania Turnpike   |
|      | Translate Extension (1 47 0)  |  |
| VI.  | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Marlborough Township, Upper Hanover Township, Sa Township, Boroughs of Green Lane, Red Hill, East Green  | lford Township, Upper Salford  |
| VI.  | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Marlborough Township, Upper Hanover Township, Sa   | lford Township, Upper Salford<br>enville, and Pennsburg<br>S:<br>Ilford Township, Upper  |
|      | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Marlborough Township, Upper Hanover Township, Sa Township, Boroughs of Green Lane, Red Hill, East Gree LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Marlborough Township, Upper Hanover Township, Sa  | lford Township, Upper Salford<br>enville, and Pennsburg<br>S:<br>llford Township, Upper  |
| VII. | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Marlborough Township, Upper Hanover Township, Sa Township, Boroughs of Green Lane, Red Hill, East Gree  LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Marlborough Township, Upper Hanover Township, Sa Salford Township, Boroughs of Green Lane, Red Hill, E | Iford Township, Upper Salford<br>enville, and Pennsburg  S: Iford Township, Upper East Greenville and Pennsburg  Extension (I-476), currently ts (38-1-13, 38-1-21, 38-1-28, |



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-2-03   |
|---|---|
| BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |   |
| A. Average Total Caseload:  | 3,476   |
| B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -51%  |
| C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | -43%  |
| BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |   |
| A. Average Total Workload:  | 23,948  |
| B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -49%  |
| C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | Yes   |
| D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Our realignment proposal is meant to address the   |   |
| PROPOSED CHANGE:  |   |
| A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate   |
| <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 1/1/2013  |
| MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |   |
| A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Maurice H. Saylo  | r   |
| B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2013  |
|   | <ul> <li>A. Average Total Caseload:</li> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:</li> <li>C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:</li> <li>BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD</li> <li>A. Average Total Workload:</li> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:</li> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?</li> <li>D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  Our realignment proposal is meant to address the PROPOSED CHANGE:</li> <li>A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.</li> <li>B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:</li> <li>A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Maurice H. Saylo</li> </ul> |



|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):   | 1881 Swamp Pike   |
|-------|---|---|
|       |   | Gilbertsville, PA 19525   |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes   |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district ju within the boundaries of the magisterial dis-  | Yes   |
|       | G. List any police departments located within to Current: Douglass Township Police Dept., No Lower Frederick Township Police Dept., Future: Douglass Township Police Dept., Ne Lower Frederick Township Police Dept., Upp | ew Hanover Township Police Dept.,<br>w Hanover Township Police Dept., |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magiste  | rial district:  |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPAL Douglass Township, New Hanover Township, Lo Frederick Township   |   |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPAL Douglass Township, New Hanover Township, Le Frederick Township, Upper Pottsgrove Township  | ower Frederick Township, Upper  |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  Cases involving the Montgomery County Health from Magisterial District 38-1-19 to this Magist approximately 300 cases per year.   |   |
|       | The municipality of Upper Pottsgrove Township Magisterial District 38-1-11 to this Magisterial per year.  |   |
|       | Based on the most recent caseload data, comb<br>outlined above, this Court's future caseload sh   |   |

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-2-04  |
|---|--|
| BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |  |
| A. Average Total Caseload:  | 7,568  |
| B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | 6%   |
| C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 25%  |
| BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |  |
| A. Average Total Workload:  | 26,663   |
| B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | -43%   |
| C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | Yes  |
| D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Our realignment proposal is meant to address the   |  |
| PROPOSED CHANGE:  | ·  |
| A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate  |
| <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  | 1/1/2013   |
| MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |  |
| A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Karen Eisner Zuck   | ker  |
| B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2015   |
|   | <ul> <li>A. Average Total Caseload:</li> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:</li> <li>C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:</li> <li>BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD</li> <li>A. Average Total Workload:</li> <li>B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:</li> <li>C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than the judicial district's average total workload?</li> <li>D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?  Our realignment proposal is meant to address the PROPOSED CHANGE:</li> <li>A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.</li> <li>B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:</li> <li>A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Karen Eisner Zuck</li> </ul> |



|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2027  |  |
|-------|--|---|--|
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 11 Union Ave. Suite 100<br>Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004  |  |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes   |  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:   | Yes   |  |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this n<br>Lower Merion Township Police Dept.   | nagisterial district:   |  |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial d<br>City Avenue (Rte 1)  | istrict: Schuylkill Expressway (I-76)   |  |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Lower Merion Township (part)   | s:  |  |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Lower Merion Township (part)   | IES:  |  |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Three voting districts (3-1, 9-2 and 9-3) within Lower Merion Township will be transferred from Magisterial District 38-1-06 to this Magisterial District. Ardmore parking cases (which had been assigned to this Magisterial District for several years) will be transferred back to Magisterial District 38-1-07. |   |  |
|       | Over the past three years, the combined caseload for Lower Merion Township has declined from over 30,0 the changes proposed for all three District Courts she distribution of the total caseload; and based on the reach Court's future caseload should range between  | 000 to 23,500. The effect of ould result in a more equitable most recent caseload data, |  |



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| 1.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (####):   | 38-2-08                       |  |  |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload:  | 6,677                         |  |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and your judicial district's<br>average total caseload:                                     | -6%                           |  |  |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:                                 | 10%                           |  |  |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  | 49,679                        |  |  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total workload and the judicial district's<br>average total workload:                                      | 7%                            |  |  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload? | No                            |  |  |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?   | quity within your judicial    |  |  |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:  |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  | Reestablish Realign Eliminate |  |  |
|      | <b>B.</b> What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$ :  |                               |  |  |
| V.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |                               |  |  |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Jay S. Friedenberg  | 3                             |  |  |
|      | B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):  | 12/31/2017                    |  |  |



|       | C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):   | 12/31/2022                                       |
|-------|--|--|
|       | D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):  | 102 York Rd. Suite 100<br>Willow Grove, PA 19090 |
|       | E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:  | Yes  |
|       | F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:                         | Yes  |
|       | G. List any police departments located within this m<br>Upper Moreland Township Police Dept., Lower M<br>Bryn Athyn Police Dept. |  |
|       | H. List any major highways within this magisterial dis 276)  | strict: Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-                |
| VI.   | LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES  |  |
|       | Upper Moreland Township (part), Lower Moreland To<br>Athyn   | ownship, Borough of Bryn                         |
| VII.  | LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIE   | is:  |
|       | Upper Moreland Township (part), Lower Moreland T<br>Athyn  | ownship, Borough of Bryn                         |
| VIII. | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:   |  |
|       | Based on the most recent caseload data, this Court's approximately 7,000 cases per year.   | future caseload should be                        |



### PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

To enter data, press TAB to move between fields.

| ١.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####): NEW DISTRICT - NORRISTOWN  |
|------|---|
| II.  | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD  |
|      | A. Average Total Caseload: Projected 10,000   |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:   |
|      | C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's<br>average total caseload and applicable class of<br>county's average total caseload:   |
| III. | BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD  |
|      | A. Average Total Workload:  |
|      | B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:  |
|      | C. Does this magisterial district have an average<br>total workload that is fifteen percent greater<br>than or less than the judicial district's average<br>total workload?                     |
|      | D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload equity within your judicial district? Our realignment proposal for this magisterial district will address any concerns of workload inequity |
| IV.  | Proposed Change:  |
|      | A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.  Reestablish  Realign  Eliminate   |
|      | B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy): 1/1/2016   |
| V.   | MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:   |
|      | A. Magisterial District Judge Name:   |



**B.** Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy): C. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy): D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code): To be determined E. Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district: F. Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district: G. List any police departments located within this magisterial district: Norristown Police Dept. H. List any major highways within this magisterial district: VI. LIST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Municipality of Norristown (part) VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Municipality of Norristown (part) VIII. **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:** With the proposed elimination Magisterial District 38-1-17, a third district court for Norristown is being proposed. While it is difficult to precisely predict the anticipated caseloads for each of the three Norristown District Courts after the reorganization, we expect that the total Norristown caseload of approximately 30,000 cases will be equitably distributed. Based on the most recent caseload data, each Court's future caseload should be approximately 9,000 to 11,000 cases per year.

Elections for Judge of this newly established Court would take place in 2015, and the new Judge would assume office in January 2016.

PLEASE SAVE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FOR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.



#### COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

38TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY COURT HOUSE
NORRISTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA 19404-0311

MICHAEL R. KEHS, ESQ. Court Administrator

PATRICIA A. RANIERI, ESQ. Deputy Court Administrator — Cívil

CHERYL E. LESLIE
Deputy Court Administrator — Family

C. CHRISTINE SANCHEZ

C. CHRISTINE SANCHEZ

Deputy Court Administrator — Criminal

CAROL DILLON

GINA A. EBERHARDT
Assistant to Court Administrator

Deputy Court Administrator — Fiscal

OFFICE OF THE COURT ADMINISTRATION

(610) 278-3224 FAX: (610) 292-2027 T.D.D. (610) 631-1211

Abuse 292-5031 **Arbitration Room** 278-3221 Arbitration Appeals 292-2180 Argument Court 278-3227 Civil Trial Assignments 278-3219 Criminal Division 278-5912 Custody 278-3259 Family Court 278-3174 Motion Court 278-3226

### **NOTICE**

February 3, 2012

**NOTICE** is hereby given that a proposal to reestablish the Magisterial Districts within the 38<sup>th</sup> Judicial District (Montgomery County) has been drafted and is available for in-office examination and review through Monday, March 5, 2012 at the following locations:

- 1. The office of the District Court Administrator, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Montgomery County Courthouse, Norristown, PA;
- 2. The office of Magisterial District Court Administration, Lower Plaza Level, Montgomery County Courthouse, Norristown, PA;
- 3. All Magisterial District Court Offices in Montgomery County.

The draft is also available on the Court's website at www.montcopa.org/courts.

Written comments or suggestions regarding the proposal may be directed to Michael R. Kehs, Esq., District Court Administrator, P.O. Box 311, Montgomery County Courthouse, Norristown, PA 19404, by fax to (610) 278-1233 or by e-mail to <a href="mailto:mkehs@montcopa.org">mkehs@montcopa.org</a>.

All written comments or suggestions must be received no later than Monday, March 5, 2012.

Serving Your Community Since 17:99

# The Times Herald

www.TimesHerald.com

No....Term,20....

PROOF OF PUBLICATION NOTICE IN THE TIMES HERALD Under Act No. 587, Approved May 16, 1929 P.L. 1784
As Amended by Act No. 520 of July 5, 1947

COPY OF NOTICE

State of Pennsylvania

SS.

County of Montgomery )

General Manager or Ad Director of THE TIMES HERALD, of the County and State aforesaid, being duly sworn, deposes and says THE TIMES HERALD, a newspaper of general circulation, published at Markley, Ann and Airy Streets, Borough of Norristown, county and state aforesaid, was established January 1, 1923, since which date THE TIMES HERALD has been regularly issued in said county, and that the printed notice of publication attached hereto, is exactly the same as was printed and published in the regular edition and issues of THE TIMES HERALD on the following dates:

the 14 day of February A.D. 20 12

Affiant further deposes that she/he is an officer duly authorized by THE TIMES HERALD PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC, a corporation, General Manager or Ad Director of THE TIMES HERALD, a newspaper of general circulation to verify the foregoing statement under oath, and affiant is not interested in the subject matter of the aforesaid notice of advertisement, and that all allegations in the foregoing statements as to time, place and character of publication are true.

General Manager or Ad Director – The Times Herald

Sworn and subscribed before me this

Helpay of Jebruary, 20 12

Notary Public

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Notarial Seal Teresa J. Long, Notary Public Lansdale Boro, Montgomery County My Commission Expires June 1, 2015

MEMBER, PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF NOTARIES

NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that a proposal to reestablish the Magisterial Districts within the 38th Judicial District (Montgomery County) has been drafted and is available for in-office examination and review through Monday, March 5, 2012 at the following locations:

- 1. The office of the District Court Administrator, 2nd floor, Montgomery County Courthouse, Norristown, PA;
- 2. The office of Magisterial District Court Administration, Lower Plaza Level, Montgomery County Courthouse, Norristown, PA;
- 3. All Magisterial District Court Offices in Montgomery County/

The draft is also available on the Court's website at www.montcopa.org/courts.

Written comments or suggestions regarding the proposal may be directed to Michael R. Kehs, Esq., District Court Administrator, P.O. Box 311, Montgomery County Courthouse, Norristown, PA 19404, by fax 610-278-1233 or by email to mkehs@montcopa.org.

All written comments or suggestions must be received no later than Monday, March 5, 2012.



#### COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

38TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT MONTGOMERY COUNTY COURT HOUSE NORRISTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA 19404-0311

MICHAEL R. KEHS, ESQ. Court Administrator

PATRICIA A. RANIERI, ESQ. Deputy Court Administrator - Civil

CHERYL E. LESLIE

C. CHRISTINE SANCHEZ

CAROL DILLON

Deputy Court Administrator - Fisc

GINA A. EBERHARDT

OFFICE OF THE COURT ADMINISTRATION

(610) 278-3224 FAX: (610) 292-2027 T.O.D. (610) 631-1213 Abuse 292-6031
Arbitration Room 278-3221
Arbitration Appeals 292-2180
Argument Court 278-3227
Civil Trial Assignments 278-3226
Clustody 278-3269
Family Court 278-374
Motion Court 278-3226

#### NOTICE

February 3, 2012

**NOTICE** is hereby given that a proposal to reestablish the Magisterial Districts within the 38th Judicial District (Montgomery County) has been drafted and is available for in-office examination and review through Monday, March 5, 2012 at the following locations:

- The office of the District Court Administrator, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Montgomery County Courthouse, Norristown, PA;
- 2. The office of Magisterial District Court Administration, Lower Plaza Level, Montgomery County Courthouse, Norristown, PA;
- 3. All Magisterial District Court Offices in Montgomery County.

The draft is also available on the Court's website at www.montcopa.org/courts.

Written comments or suggestions regarding the proposal may be directed to Michael R. Kehs, Esq., District Court Administrator, P.O. Box 311, Montgomery County Courthouse, Norristown, PA 19404, by fax to (610) 278-1233 or by email to <a href="mailto:mkehs@montcopa.org">mkehs@montcopa.org</a>.

All written comments or suggestions must be received no later than Monday, March 5, 2012.



Magisterial District Judge
HAROLD D. BOREK
421 WEST MAIN STREET
LANSDALE, PA 19446-2007
Phone: (215) 393-7534, 7535

Phone: (215) 855-7364 Fax: (215) 393-7536

February 22, 2012

Michael R. Kehs, Esquire Montgomery County 38<sup>th</sup> Judicial District District Court Administrator P.O. Box 311 Courthouse Norristown, PA 19404

Re: Proposed Reestablishment of Magisterial Districts Within the 38<sup>th</sup> Judicial District

Dear Mr. Kehs:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the above referenced proposal. I believe the efficient administration of justice would be better served by modification of the proposal as it relates to Magisterial Districts 38-1-18; 38-1-24 and 38-1-28 to eliminate the proposed extension of the Magisterial District 38-1-28 lease for fourteen months. Alternatively, an immediate search should be made for new leasehold within Towamencin Township to coincide with the present expiration of term on October 31, 2013. This change would eliminate any uncertainty involving the Borough's possible alternative plan for the use of the present leasehold facility upon the expiration of term and promote a smooth transition of office operations as early as possible.

I also believe there is no reason to delay transfer of jurisdiction of Hatfield Township and Lansdale Borough beyond the expiration date of the current lease for Magisterial District 38-1-28 and that timing the proposed transfer will accelerate the desired caseload parity.

Respectfully submitted,

Harold D. Borek

### SOUDERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

#### 31 WEST SUMMIT STREET SOUDERTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18964-1694

James P. Lenry Chief Of Police

Business (215) 723-6500 Tele-FAX (215) 721-0100

Honorable William J. Furber Jr. President Judge Montgomery County Courthouse Norristown, PA 19404

EAX to. 1

24 February 2012

Re: Potential geographic changes to the Magisterial District Courts.

Dear Judge Furber:

Please allow this letter to express both my professional and my personal concerns as to the potential elimination of the Magisterial Court in Souderton. Should this magisterial office be eliminated — and I recommend that it not — several positive community, court, police, and problem-resolution dynamics will be compromised. Our police officers, especially those from Souderton, Telford, and Franconia will travel an additional nine miles to the new court. The new court will realize a significant increase in case load and a severe increase in the needs related to prisoner maintenance.

Our Souderton Court is reasonably proximate to reliable public transportation and many of our court's clients are public transit dependent. Relocating the court to an area less accessible to public transportation burdens many of our victims and witnesses; and will no doubt add to the "failure-to-appear" volume affecting those accused.

Finally, our local Souderton court, and our sitting and local and resident magistrate, enjoys a well founded reputation as a problem solver. Our community needs a judge who can adjudicate local cases for local citizens with the knowledge, compassion, and respect that only a local judge can acquire.

In closing Your Honor, please reconsider the decisions to close our court.

ames P. Leary

truly yours

Chief of Police

#### Kehs, Mike

From: Kenneth Deatelhauser [deatel@me.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 1:04 AM

To: Kehs, Mike Cc: Furber, William

Subject: SOUDERTON COURT

Michael R. Kehs, Esq. Court Administrator Montgomery County

Dear Mike,

In light of the elimination and reestablishment of Souderton District Court, I wish to express concerns from a personal and District Justice perspective.

If anything, equal and convenient access to the courts is the spirit of the system. Many who come to the Souderton court do so on public transportation. Many walk with children in tow and some arrive on bicycles.

Side note: On occasion, I have taken people to their homes because of weather or obvious health issues.

In light of the above, I cannot express enough that, THERE IS NO PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION to relieve the 8.7 miles from the Souderton-Telford area to the Skippack Court. This in itself will increase the failures to appear by witnesses and victims, therefore allowing perpetrators to be without accountability. It will increase the bench warrants for defendants that fail to appear, thus increasing the work load on the courts. Side Note: A District Judge cannot make a difference in a person's life if they aren't in court. Nor, can a judge protect them from bad faith creditors and unscrupulous landlords.

Another topic is the cost to the municipalities of Souderton, Telford and Franconia Township. The Souderton Court schedules in concert with police officers' work schedules. If this changes, the municipalities will incur overtime plus travel cost to and from the Skippack Court. Also, note these expenses do not benefit the residents of this area because the officer and his vehicle are 8.7 miles and/or 15 minutes out of his jurisdiction.

My final point will be the safety factor. Most people don't realize that the first responder to any emergency is the police officer. This is more prevalent in communities with volunteer fire and medical response teams like we have in this area. It will be a sad day when we have a tragic result because the officer was unable to respond.

The only thing requested here is that the people of the Indian Valley Area get more consideration than, "The judge is retiring, let's close the court". There is a true need for this court and the only suggestions I can make is to give the entirety of Hatfield Township to the Souderton Court. This in addition to the rest of its jurisdiction will bring the case load inline

With the need in Norristown, I will only suggest you move West Norriton Township up to Judge Rebar, which is only 6 miles and public transportation is available. With this, Judge Casillo can help with the Norristown case load.

Please be assured this is not about me. This is about the residents of the Indian Valley. We are blessed with many senior adults, great young people and people that have lost their way in life. Regardless, when they come to the court, and it is not always about court business, they know they will be treated with respect and dignity by the staff. I am not down playing any other office or staff, I just know what we have here.

In closing, I must quote Chief Justice Castille and American Bar Association President William T. Robinson, III from, "AOPConnected" Feb. 2012:

"The road to justice runs through America's courts," Castille said.
"A fully-functioning court system is essential to providing justice for

Pennsylvanias, and to do that, the courts must be provided with the resources which are reasonable and necessary to carry out the mandated functions."

"Together we can restore funding to our courts and renew the promise of equal justice under law that can only exist if courts are open and accessible," Robinson said. "The cost of inaction is too great. We cannot afford to be silent because: no courts, no justice, no freedom."

Regards,

Kenneth E. Deatelhauser MDJ

Sent from my iPad

Chief of Police Richard J. Brady



# MONTGOMERY TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT

MONTGOMERYVILLE, PA 18936

PHONE: 215-362-2300 • FAX: 215-362-6383 • ORI: PA0461800

March 1, 2012

Michael R. Kehs, Esq. District Court Administrator, P.O. Box 311 Montgomery County Courthouse Norristown, PA. 19404

Dear Attorney Kehs;

The purpose of this letter is to request a review of the recently proposed District Court reassignments proposed for District Court 38-1-18 and District Court 38-1-28.

After having reviewed the proposed changes and discussions with Magisterial District Judge Andrea Duffy and Chief Mark Toomey, Hatfield Township Police, it is suggested the following changes be made;

District Court 38-1-18 which consists of Montgomery Township and parts of Hatfield Township be realigned to include Montgomery Township and all of Hatfield Township and Hatfield Borough. Presently, Hatfield Borough is policed by the Hatfield Township Police Department.

District Court 28-1-28 which presently consists of Lansdale Borough and Towamencin Township would remain unchanged. This would further eliminate the need to relocate Magisterial District Judge Harold D. Borek, from his office In Lansdale Borough.

As noted this proposal was discussed with Magisterial District Judge Andrea Duffy. She has stated that there would be an increase in the case load for her office but that is not a deterrent for her to support this recommendation.

Should you wish to discuss this issue further, please do not hesitate to contact me at this office.

Respectfully.

Richard J. Brady

Chief of Police

Xc: MDJ Duffy Chief Toomey

Robert G. Bricker Jeffrey K. Landis Charlotte A. Hunsberger J. Oliver Gingrich Dorothy K. Weik

March 2, 2012

Hon. William J. Furber, Jr. Michael R. Kehs, Esq. District Court Administrator PO Box 311 Courthouse Norristown, PA 19404 By facsimile (610-278-1233)

### RE: Comments regarding Proposed Elimination of District Court 38-1-17

Dear Judge Furber and Mr. Kehs,

We are writing in response to the Draft Proposal for the realignment of district courts within the 38<sup>th</sup> Judicial District. Our office is located in Souderton, Montgomery County, and we have had the pleasure of working extensively with Judge Kenneth E. Deatelhauser as District Judge for Court 38-1-17. We are writing to express our concern about the elimination of this district court upon Judge Deatelhauser's retirement.

While the goal of seeking to utilize resources efficiently is laudable in theory, we strongly believe that any reorganization of courts should take into consideration factors other than simple caseload numbers. Factors such as whether a district court is located near public transportation, whether such a court is physically close to police, township and other resources, and whether the court is in a significant population center are also, in our view, equally important. Taking those factors into account, Souderton is an important location based on its proximity to convenient public transportation with the SEPTA bus lines, its central location to multiple boroughs and police departments for Souderton and Telford Boroughs, and its central location to a significant population of those who have access to the courts resources by foot and other means through sidewalks, crosswalks, and a pedestrian friendly location. Removing Souderton's caseload, as proposed, to Judge Augustine's current location will, we believe, have a negative impact on the provision of judicial services in our area, as a result of the distance and lack of public transportation or walkable access to that court from those residing in the Souderton and Telford municipal areas.

We would urge that you consider a modification to the reorganization plan which takes into serious consideration the negative impacts that removing a court from the population center

the size of Souderton will have, and we request that you reconsider the elimination of District Court 38-1-17. It is our strong belief that continuing to maintain a District Court in our municipality is in the best interests of the Courts and the provision of justice in this area.

Sincerely,

Robert G. Bricker, Esq.

Jeffrey K. Landis, Esq.

Charlotte A. Hunsberger, Esq.

J. Oliver Gingrich, Esq.

Dorothy K. Weik, Esa.

cc: Deatelhauser, Kenneth, District Judge

#### Kehs, Mike

**From:** Romy Tressler [rtressler@soudertonsd.org]

Sent: Friday, March 02, 2012 12:08 PM

To: Kehs, Mike

Cc: kdeatelh@montco.org

Subject: Re-Establishment of District Courts as related to the Souderton Area School District

Good day Mr. Kehs,

This is Romy Tressler, Home and School Visitor for the Souderton Area School District. You and I worked together long ago, on various occasions, when I was a Juvenile Probation Officer for Montgomery County. It has come to my attention that there is a proposal regarding the "re-establishment" of the District Courts in my local area. (Please know that the views I am expressing are my own, as a professional, working with "at risk" families in the Souderton Area School District.) As the Home and School Visitor, I rely heavily on the Souderton District Court (located on Chestnut St. in the Souderton Borough), with regard to truancy issues. Of great concern to me is the hardship which may be placed upon many Souderton Area School District families, who may have greater difficulty getting to the Skippack area to attend Truancy hearings. There are those who do not have easy access to transportation, either their own or public. (In fact, I don't know that public transportation to the Skippack area from Telford, Franconia or Souderton even exists.) The location of the Souderton Area Magisterial Court allows easier access for those families who find themselves economically challenged; also, to those with strict time constraints due to their jobs and those with other children to consider. It is a more convenient and central place for many families and their children, especially when considering the close proximity to two of our three secondary buildings, from where the majority of truancy referrals come. Though I have not done any specific statistical analysis, I think it is safe to say that few Truancy hearings are missed, at least in part, due to the location of Souderton's Magisterial Court.

I am also concerned, in no small way, for local law enforcement whose time will impacted.

I truly hope that before any "realignment" occurs, that the potential impact on families residing in the Souderton, Telford, and Franconia areas will be considered.

Thank you for taking the time to read my e-mail.

Be well, Romy Tressler



# LOWER FREDERICK TOWNSHIP POLICE

53 Spring Mount Road - Box 253
Zieglerville, Pennsylvania 19492
Telephone No.: 610-287-4434
Fax No.: 610-287-3719
chiefmaxey@lowerfrederick.org

#### **SUPERVISORS**

Ernest Schmitt, Chairman Loren Szczesny, Vice Chairman Bob Yoder POLICE CHIEF

Paul E. Maxey

March 2, 2012

Michael R. Kehs, Esq.
District Court Administrator
P.O. Box 311
Montgomery County Courthouse
Norristown, PA 19404

Re: Proposed reestablishment of the Magisterial Districts.

Mr. Kehs,

My name is Paul E. Maxey and I am the Chief of Police of the Lower Frederick Township Police Department. Currently, my municipality is part of Magisterial Judicial District 38-2-03. I have had a chance to review the proposed district reestablishment and have discovered that Lower Frederick Township would be subject to reassignment with Judge Catherine Hummel Fried, becoming part of District 38-2-02. With this information in hand, I have had a chance to talk with members of my agency, elected officials and the community.

At this time, I wish to register my vigorous opposition to this intended proposal, as I have both personal and professional concerns surround the implications of this decision. As the chief administrator of the police department it is my responsibility to assure the effectiveness and over-all performance of the men that I command. I see intrinsic logistical problems with the proposed court's current location and feel that ease of access is paramount to streamlining effectiveness for my officers. Holding a position of trust in my community, I believe that it is important that I represent the interests of the residents that I serve. The citizens of Lower Frederick Township, through the democratic process of elections, have chosen to place their faith and trust with District Justice Maurice H. Saylor. I feel that a forced change to District Justice Hummel Fried would circumvent the electoral process and require the residents of Lower Frederick Township to accept a judicial body that they neither elected nor are familiar with.

The Lower Frederick Township Police Department is a small agency which generates a light work-load for the District Court. After speaking with District Justice Saylor, I have been assured that by allowing Lower Frederick Township to remain part of Judicial District 38-2-03, we would in no way interfere with other proposed changes to that particular court.

I thank you very much for taking the time to consider my input on the proposed Magisterial District reestablishment. At your convenience, I would be more than happy to meet and speak with you in person about my concerns and the implications surrounding this decision.

Respectfully,

Paul E. Maxey Chief of Police



March 3, 2012

Mr. Michael R. Kehs, Esq. District Court Administrator P.O. Box 311 Courthouse Norristown, PA 19404

Dear Mr. Kehs,

As Chief of Police for Hatfield Township and Hatfield Borough, I have reviewed the proposed reestablished Magisterial Districts plan for Montgomery County. I have also discussed this matter with members of the Hatfield Township Board of Commissioners and the Township Manager. While I appreciate the intent of the plan to redistrict resources so that Norristown's magisterial districts have a better proportioned work load, I disagree with the plan to get to that end.

First and foremost, I believe the closing of District Court 38-1-17 is a mistake. The municipalities of Hatfield, Franconia, Telford and Souderton continue to grow in population and police incidents. This district court is conducive to individuals who walk to district court and who are restricted financially to have self-transportation. This district court is familiar with the needs of the residents of the Indian Valley and Hatfield Valley areas. While I understand the timing of this court closing due to the current sitting judge's age, the need does not diminish to maintain this court.

Should the District Court Administrator still be intent upon the closure of 38-1-17, I would suggest that a more practical re-alignment occur involving courts 38-1-28 and 38-1-18.

Having discussed this matter with Judge Duffy, I have learned that she is more than willing to take on all of Hatfield Township and Hatfield Borough along with Montgomery Township. This makes more practical sense than the moving of Lansdale Borough matters to her court and placing the Hatfield work in Judge Borek's district (38-1-28). His court would have to re-locate to an office in either Township or Hatfield. Court familiarity with Hatfield concerns and knowledge of officers would have to be re-established. These

levels of understanding all-ready exist with Judge Duffy's office as a portion of Hatfield Township already comes under her venue. The problems associated with Lansdale Borough have no level of understanding currently with Judge Duffy's court and similar to the issue in Souderton's court, the residents in boroughs who to have dealings with district court, depend on the close proximity and easy access to these offices.

In conclusion, perhaps the county/state should consider funding an additional magisterial district to meet the growing needs elsewhere and if not, consider the re-alignment as put forth in this letter.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter of mutual concern.

Very truly yours,

Mark A. Toomey Chief of Police

Hatfield Township

Hatfield Borough

Cc. Hatfield Township Board of Commissioners Hatfield Township Manager Andrew Haines Hatfield Borough Council Hatfield Borough Manager Michael Difinis

#### Kehs, Mike

From: Duffy, Andrea

Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 5:40 PM

To: Kehs, Mike

Dear Mr. Kehs,

I have thoroughly reviewed the draft proposal for the realignment of Magisterial Districts with both Chief Brady of Montgomery Township Police Department and Chief Toomey of Hatfield Township Police Department (also serving Hatfield Borough) and offer the following response.

In order to effectively serve our constituents in this area, I recommend that my my judicial district encompass all of Hatfield Township (I now, as you know, cover one of 2 parts of the township) and Hatfield Borough. Although my case load will be alightly higher with this scenario than it would be with covering Montgomery Township and Lansdale Borough as proposed, the impracticalities that would follow with the addition of Lansdale Borough would be overwhelming for both my staff, and more importantly, for Lansdale residents.

Most essentially, access to one's local justice system is a paramount right for our citizens. Currently Judge Borek's Lansdale court is located on Main Street, within walking distance to many of its users/residents and is also easily accessed utilizing public transportation. Due to Lansdale's socio-economic status, this is a critical concern and moving the local court for these residents to my location will deprive them of their ability to seek justice, defend themselves and/or easily make payments on their fines/costs. Increasing numbers of warrants will be issued for failures to appear for court, constable fees will increase, my staff will be frustrated with constant calls regarding no transportation options and fines and costs will go uncollected. Resolution of cases will be significantly thwarted and my court will never see the foot traffic that the Lansdale court currently sees. There will be further confusion for parties and witnesses with the scheduling and re-scheduling of cases to my location and the overall transition from Judge Borek's court, with different practices than my court, will be difficult.

Simplicity and logic suggest that I continue covering Hatfield Township (and cover all of the Township, including the Borough) as we are currently working effectively together and my staff has become very familiar and comfortable with the practices of and communication with that Department. There will be only minor adjustments with my proposal and the similar communities of Hatfield and Montgomery Township (with their likewise similar legal issues) will continue to provide smooth consistency in the types of cases before me. The "309 corridor" that Hatfield and Montgomery Townships share is also significant in terms of the businesses, police issues, etc. that these two departments encounter on a daily basis. My staff and I are pleased to take on this proposal and Chiefs Toomey and Brady are wholeheartedly endorsing this resolution as well, as evidenced by their respective letters to you. Please seriously consider this practical option and feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns you may have. Thank you very much.

Magisterial District Judge Andrea Hudak Duffy District Court 38-1-18 601 Bethlehem Pike Building D, Suite 100 Montgomeryville, PA 18936 215-855-3946

<u>Confidentiality Notice:</u> This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, forwarding, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.



122 Penn Avenue Telford, Pennsylvania 18969-1912

Phone (215) 723-5000 Fax (215) 723-5328

March 5, 2012

Mr. Michael Kehs, Esquire Court Administrator Montgomery County Courthouse P.O. Box 311 Norristown, PA 19404

Dear Mr. Kehs,

On behalf of the Telford Borough Council we would like to express our concern regarding the proposed changes to the magisterial judicial district 38-1-17 that is currently presided over by Judge Kenneth E. Deatelhauser. It is our understanding that upon Judge Deatelhauser's retirement in 2014, the proposed plan is to eliminate the district entirely and to have Telford Borough absorbed into the Skippack magisterial district.

First of all, a change of this magnitude should not be made without adequate input from the stakeholders that would be most affected. Secondly, the plan is flawed because it does not consider the fact that it would have a significant impact on the court's access by residents of Telford, as well as create considerable and costly logistical issues for our police department.

We would ask that prior to any definitive plans being made in relation to the current 38-1-17 district, that we be consulted so that a practical solution could be devised. This is an extremely important issue that concerns our municipality and we believe that it is only prudent that we be given the courtesy and respect of being involved in the process.

Chief of Poli

Sincerely:

Mark D. Fournier Borough Manager

Cc: File

Senator Stewart Greenleaf Representative Robert W. Godshall Montgomery County Commissioners

#### Kehs, Mike

From:

Patrick McMenamin [pat@buxmontlaw.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, March 06, 2012 8:18 AM

To:

Kehs, Mike

Subject: District Court Realignment

Mr. Kehs:

I write to express my concern regarding the proposed realignment of the County District Courts; specifically, the elimination of District Court 38-1-17 in Souderton.

While it may seem advantageous on paper to eliminate Souderton District Court, and to merge Souderton, Telford and Franconia Township into Judge Augustine's Skippack Court, the fact is that many residents of Souderton and Telford would find the change not only a hardship, but actually an impediment to their access to the Court. Skippack is not situated in close proximity to Souderton Borough. Many residents in the boroughs of Souderton and Telford have transportation issues and there is no public transportation between the Indian Valley and Skippack. Accordingly, the proposed realignment will restrict access to the court for the residents who currently work and reside in the boundaries of 38-1-17.

The draft proposal also does not take into account project growth rates for the area in the near future. The Souderton Area School District recently opened an new \$125 million high school. The District spent much time debating whether to rehabilitate the old high school in Souderton Borough, or to build a new state-of-the-art facility to accommodate the growing population, specifically in Franconia and Lower Salford Townships. The District, recognizing the growing populations of both townships, opted to build the new high school in anticipation of the growth of the school district. This spring Souderton High School will graduate their largest senior class in history. Many of these 17 and 18 year olds will be the individuals accessing the District Court over the next two decades.

Our Court now proposes to eliminate Souderton District Court and to fold its municipalities into 38-1-24. Judge Augustine's Court already includes Lower Salford, which was one of the two townships that led the Souderton Area School District to decide to build the new high school in anticipation of population growth. The Court will also move Franconia Township to Judge Augustine, giving to that District Court the two specific townships that concerned the Souderton Area School District. Over the next 10 to 20 years, as the current students in the school district reach adulthood, the case load at District Court 38-1-24 will naturally increase with the increased adult population. This increase will necessitate yet another realignment which will consider Lower Salford, Franconia and the entire Indian Valley. Eliminating Souderton District Court fails to recognize that which was apparent several years ago to the Souderton Area School District.

Please consider these issues as this matter and the draft proposal is considered.

| Patrick J. McMenamin, Jr. |
|---------------------------|
| Attorney-at-Law           |
|                           |



#### FRANCONIA TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT 671 ALLENTOWN ROAD TELFORD, PENNSYLVANIA 18969

JOSEPH S. KOZENIEWSKI Chief of Police jkozeniewski@franconia-township.org Telephone 215-723-6777 Facsimile 215-723-1165

TO: KEVIN BAVER, TOWNSHIP MANAGER

FROM: CHIEF JOSEPH S. KOZENIEWSKI

DATE: MARCH 15, 2012

SUBJECT: PROPOSED CLOSURE OF MAGISTERIAL COURT 38-1-17

On February 3, 2012, the Administrator of the Montgomery County District Court published a draft proposal entitled "Reestablishment of the Magisterial Districts Within the 38<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – Montgomery County 2012." The proposal outlines the realignment of municipalities to which the District Magistrates are assigned. This realignment is designed to give each office a more even distribution of the workload.

Of particular interest to the municipalities in the Indian Valley is a proposal to completely eliminate District Court 38-1-17 in Souderton as of January 1, 2015 after Judge Kenneth Deatelhauser's retirement. This is the only office that is proposed for closing in the county. The report proposes that Franconia Township, Souderton, and Telford be re-assigned to Judge Albert Augustine's office, number 38-1-24 in Skippack Township. (See pages 9-11)

The closing and re-assignment will negatively impact the three municipalities for the following reasons:

For police officers, the court in Souderton is situated less than three miles from the Franconia Township Police Department Building. The distance from the police department to Judge Augustine's office is nine miles. The trip for Franconia officers to Skippack court to attend hearings and deliver paperwork will require them to travel entirely through Lower Salford Township and back again. In most cases, our officers are assigned to patrol duties and are "on-call" when at court. A quick trip back to Franconia will cause unnecessary delays for the officers responding to an emergency call. It will also cause an inconvenience to neighboring police departments who may be required to respond to and handle the call until the arrival of our officers. Conversely, court in Souderton is less than one mile from the township boundaries.

For residents, the farthest point in Franconia to Souderton District Court is six miles. However, for residents to travel from the farthest point in Franconia to the Skippack office is eleven miles. Souderton court is situated at a convenient location for residents of the three municipalities. This office has a very high number of "walk-up" visits by residents of the boroughs since there are sidewalks on all of the public streets. The SEPTA public transit bus provides direct service to that office. There is no means of public transportation from the Indian Valley to Skippack.

This will provide a notable inconvenience (as well as extra fuel consumption) to Franconia residents who may be filing landlord/tenant actions, small claims complaints, paying fines, or appearing as a witness in a court hearing. The staff at Souderton court has that intangible "local touch" where they know their customers and treat them in a helpful way.

Please be assured that there is no animosity between the police department and Judge Augustine. He is a very capable Magisterial Judge with whom we have an excellent relationship. The reasons for our concern are mostly centered on the convenience factor for the police and the residents of the local communities. Based on those stated concerns, I am asking for the Franconia Township Board of Supervisors to express their opposition to the closing of the Souderton District Court when providing comments to the draft proposal.



671 Allentown Road
Telford, Pennylvania 18969-2205
www.franconiatownship.org
Tel. (215) 723-1137
Fax (215) 723-4167

20 March 2012

Michael R. Kehs, Esquire District Court Administrator PO Box 311 Montgomery County Courthouse Norristown, PA 19404-0311

Re: Magisterial District 38-1-17 Proposal

Dear Mr. Kehs,

The proposal issued on February 3, 2012 by the Administrator of the Montgomery County District Court published in a report entitled "Reestablishment of the Magisterial Districts Within the 38<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – Montgomery County 2012" was formally reviewed and discussed at the Franconia Township Board of Supervisors (BOS) work session held on March 19, 2012.

After reviewing the information provided in the report and the submission of a report from Joseph S. Kozeniewski, Chief of Police, the BOS have taken the position that the proposal to eliminate Court 38-1-17 in Souderton, is not the best proposal and eliminates a court that has faithfully served the residents of the Indian Valley in Northern Montgomery County with a high level of professionalism and access to the District Justice that would be sorely missed if eliminated.

Besides the inconvenience and time that it would take away from our police department, as defined in the attached report, it also would severely impact access to the District Court system by requiring residents to travel nearly 12 miles without any access to public transportation. Currently many residents that must appear at District Court 38-1-17 are able to reach the current location via walking, bicycling and SEPTA. The BOS see this as a very important component of the Souderton location, not to mention the impact that an emergency response requirement by an on-duty patrol officer would have if he were called out of court. Depending upon assistance from adjoining police departments is not a primary policy for the Franconia Township police department which operates 24/7.

If the Court Administrator believes that the Souderton location should handle additional cases, we would suggest that realignment be considered which could include the whole of Hatfield Township that is currently split between two courts according to the proposal.

As elected and appointed public officials, we believe that providing convenient and accessible public services is key to affording residents the opportunity to engage in public functions and the Souderton location achieves this goal, not to mention the familiarity and connection that so many residents have to the Souderton court and its administrators.

The Franconia Township BOS respectively submits this response to the proposal as an objection to the closing and relocation of District Court 38-1-17 and recommend that it is re-evaluated and determined to be an integral part of Montgomery County, particularly to the Indian Valley with the majority of its population residing in the current jurisdiction of this District Court.

We appreciate your consideration and are more than willing to meet with the Court Administrator regarding this matter and its proposal.

Very truly yours,

Township of Franconia

Steven P. Barndt, Chairman

Kevin D. Baver, Manager / Secretary

Attachment (1)

Cc; Board of Supervisors (email)

Representative Robert W. Godshall – 53<sup>rd</sup> District Joseph S. Kozeniewski – Chief of Police (email)

Permanent file

### Kehs, Mike Edward Levine [edgolfterp@gmail.com] From: Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 12:58 PM To: Kehs, Mike Subject: Re-Establishment Plan Comment and Suggestion Please forward the following letter to President Judge Furber for his review: Honorable Judge Furber, □□□□ I am writing on behalf of myself and the citizens of Lansdale Borough in hopes that you reconsider or alter the current Reestablishment of the Magisterial Districts within the 38th Judicial District of Pennsylvania. Currently, Lansdale Borough is within Magisterial District 38-1-28, which also covers Towamencin Township, in which Judge Harold Borek presides. □□ During the 2000 reestablishment, elected Judge David Keightly (DJ 38-1-18) was moved out of Lansdale Borough and Judge Borek became the presiding judge without election. □ In 2003, with little time to scrutinize the newly re-aligned Judge (re-aligned at the end of 2002), Lansdale elected Judge Borek unopposed. □ □ After 6 years, Lansdale citizens voiced their concerns and overwhelmingly voted for Judge Borek's opposition by a 60/40 margin. Relying heavily on his home jurisdiction of Towamencin, Judge Borek managed to escape with a victory by 12 votes total! Despite a larger registered voting total in Towamencin, Lansdale voters came out in force for this election to voice their opposition. □□□ It is clear that the citizens of Lansdale Borough have worked hard to express their concerns at the voting booth. ☐ In Pennsylvania it is an essential right for citizens to have the opportunity to elect not only their executive and legislative officials, but also their Magisterial District Judge. ☐ Unfortunately, Lansdale Borough (and several other boroughs and townships in Montgomery County) will have their voices stifled. □ Due to the nature of the re-establishment plan, instead of having the opportunity to vote for their District Judge in 2015, Lansdale Borough will now have to wait until 2017. ☐ An eight year lapse in their right to vote. In addition, Lansdale will be subjected to at least 2 years of District Judge Andrea Duffy, whom they did not vote for, and who narrowly won her own primary to become District Judge for 38-1-18. □□□ While it is true that Lansdale Borough is subject to the combined result of their Magisterial District in the election of their judge. □ It is just as clear that the voters of Lansdale have been subjected to the whims of Supreme Court as much as the election process. Under the reestablishment plans over the last two decades Lansdale has had only one contested election, in which the candidate they favored heavily lost by 12 votes overall. Also, within 10 years they will have had two Judges thrust upon them without any say in the matter whatsoever. The voters in Lansdale clearly have opinions on this matter and expressed them in the last election. The re-alignment plan would show, yet again, that the will of the people in Lansdale is irrelevant. □□ In addition to the clear disenfranchisement of Lansdale voters, the re-alignment would create an unnecessary disparity in caseload for the newly formed District Court 38-1-18. ☐ In 2000, the realignment was created due to the very heavy caseload in Lansdale Borough and Montgomery Township. ☐ In determining caseloads, the District Justice Administration looks at court caseloads, however it does not take into account the caseload effects of individual Townships and Boroughs on overall caseloads. As a former clerk with District Court 38-1-18, it was evident that Lansdale Borough and Montgomery Township have significant caseloads as compared to neighboring Townships and Boroughs. As you know, caseload is not necessarily determined by population, which is the primary

basis for the current reestablishment plan. ☐ Socio-economic factors, geographic factors, and traffic

| patterns can play a significant role in determining caseload. ☐ Caseload is not proportional strictly to district population. ☐ Based on past history and the current conditions, re-aligning District Court 38-1-1 back to its pre-2002 borders will create the caseload disparity that the 2000 re-establishment plan sough to alleviate in the first place. ☐ | l 8<br>ht |
|--|-----------|
| □□□ It is important to note that Judge Duffy is only in her first months in office and will now be burdened with one of the heaviest caseloads in the county.□ In 2002, an experienced Judge (Keightly),   | 1         |
| with a large staff, had difficulties maintaining the court due to the heavy caseload. ☐ The current re-  |           |
| alignment would cause major stress to a new Judge with a relatively small staff. ☐ Also, it should be  |           |
| noted that the estimated caseload for Lansdale is 2,800 cases per year. ☐ As a former employee for the   |           |
| District Court in Lansdale, I can attest that this is a low estimate that clearly is being used to justify an  |           |
| unnecessary re-alignment. □ □ So what are the alternatives?  |           |
| ☐☐ While I believe the overall re-alignment in Montgomery County has merit and there are some  |           |
| obvious measures that need to be taken, the re-alignments to courts 38-1-18 and 38-1-28 are irrelevant to  | f۸        |
| these problems, would not specifically address the issues of the county court system, and most   | Ю         |
| importantly, would dis-enfranchise thousands of voters. ☐ It is clear that District Court 38-1-24 has a  |           |
| disparity in caseload. ☐ Obviously, the dissolution of District Court 38-1-17 would create a significant   |           |
| increase to surrounding courts, however, District Court 38-1-24 could theoretically take over all of   |           |
| District Court 38-1-17 caseload without disrupting any balance in the other courts. ☐ While District   |           |
| Court 38-1-24's caseload would increase significantly, it would not be an excessive caseload compared  |           |
| to other courts, as the original caseload for 38-1-24 was already 32% less than the average for the  |           |
| county. However, if the goal is to keep Hatfield Township and Borough unified under one court, then  |           |
| District Court 38-1-18 is the logical choice to consume this territory.   The caseload would not be as   |           |
| significant an increase as that of taking over Lansdale Borough. Hatfield Township Police already  |           |
| have a relationship with District Court 38-1-18. ☐ In addition, the voters in Lansdale borough would not be dis-enfranchised for two extra years.  | į         |
| $\Box\Box\Box$ If solidifying Hatfield is not a key concern, and the Court believes that the caseloads would be  |           |
| significant to District 38-1-18 retaining all of Hatfield, then the alleged decreased load could be offset   |           |
| by dividing Hatfield Township and Borough between courts 38-1-18 and 38-1-28. ☐ Based on the   |           |
| current geographic requirements this would be completely legal, would satisfy caseload issues, and mos   | ıt.       |
| important, would not disenfranchise voters in both Lansdale Borough, Hatfield Borough, and Hatfield  |           |
| Township.  |           |
| ☐☐ If none of these suggestions are taken into consideration, I would suggest reestablishing the election  | 1         |
| period for District Court 38-1-18 and 38-1-24. ☐ There is one fact that remains throughout this whole  |           |
| processvoters in many areas will be dis-enfranchised by the Court for at least 2 years.   The right to   |           |
| elect officials is one of the fundamental principles of our country.   The election process is serious and   |           |
| should not be minimized by reestablishment plans that essentially remove that right from a large portion   | L         |
| of citizens. \( \subseteq \) What is the whole point of having people go through all of the stress, aggravation,   |           |
| indignity, expense, and humiliation of running for office if the court, with a stroke of a pen, can  |           |
| determine who serves the people, and for how long? ☐ I would suggest moving the elections for District Courts 38-1-18 and 38-1-24 from 2017 up to 2015 to eliminate ALL voting issues. ☐ The Court has   |           |
| already re-aligned Magisterial Districts without any say, we should not also impose an elected official  |           |
| on these voters as well. $\square$ I would also suggest applying the re-establishment of election dates for all  |           |
| Magisterial Districts in which voters will be dis-enfranchised for 2 years or more.  |           |
| ☐ In the case at hand, Lansdale Borough would not only be appointed a Judge whom they did not  |           |
| elect for the second time in 10 years, they would also have to remain under this un-elected official for at  |           |
| least 2 extra years before having any electoral powers.   In addition, the caseload for the citizens of  |           |
| Lansdale would now be placed in a court with a more burdened docket, an undermanned staff, and an  |           |
| inexperienced judge to handle this increased workload.   As mentioned above, none of this is necessary   |           |
| to solve the problems in the county court system.   District Court 38-1-17 can be dissolved without  |           |
| placing such burdens on the citizens of Lansdale. □  |           |

| ☐☐ Because an easy and fair solution exists regarding the dissolution of District Court 38-1-17, others     |
|---|
| have expressed their concerns to me in suggesting that the re-establishment plan has some political         |
| motives.   Lansdale Borough voted strongly against their incumbent judge, and would likely create           |
| problems for the incumbent in 2015. ☐ Rather than deal with that possibility, the decision makers at the    |
| County have decided to alleviate this problem by redistricting the incumbent away from his vulnerable       |
| area, while also extending the election date in this area out to 2017, so as to ensure that this vulnerable |
| area does not "disrupt" things politically for as long as possible. ☐ When a borough votes 60/40 against    |
| an incumbent (rare), and then is re-aligned with their next election pushed out 2 years, it should raise    |
| some very obviously concerns to this Court.   |
| □□□ It is for the above reasons that I implore District Justice Administration and the Supreme Court of     |
| Pennsylvania to reconsider portions of the proposed reestablishment of the Magisterial Districts within     |
| the 38th Judicial District - Montgomery County Pennsylvania.□   |
|   |
| Sincerely,  |
| Edward Levine, Esq.   |