SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA Minor Court Rules Committee

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

Proposed Amendment of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 301, 302, 321, 350, 381 and Recission of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 351

The Minor Court Rules Committee is considering proposing to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania the amendment of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 301, 302, 321, 350, 381 and the rescission of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 351. The proposal is intended to reflect amendments to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1, pertaining to civil violations for passing a stopped school bus with flashing red signal lights and an activated side stop signal arm for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Publication Report. Pursuant to Pa.R.J.A. 103(a)(1), the proposal is being published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* for comments, suggestions, or objections prior to submission to the Supreme Court.

Any report accompanying this proposal was prepared by the Committee to include the rationale for the proposed rulemaking. It will neither constitute a part of the rules nor be officially adopted by the Supreme Court.

Additions to the text of the proposal are bolded and underlined; deletions to the text are bolded and bracketed.

The Committee invites all interested persons to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in writing to:

Pamela S. Walker, Counsel
Minor Court Rules Committee
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
Pennsylvania Judicial Center
PO Box 62635
Harrisburg, PA 17106-2635
FAX: 717-231-9546
minorrules@pacourts.us

All communications in reference to the proposal should be received by **January 16, 2024**. E-mail is the preferred method for submitting comments, suggestions, or objections; any e-mailed submission need not be reproduced and resubmitted via mail. The Committee will acknowledge receipt of all submissions.

By the Minor Court Rules Committee, Honorable Daniel E. Butler, Chair Rule 301. Definition; Scope.

. . .

Comment:

. . .

Except as otherwise provided in [Rules 350 and 351] Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 350, the rules in this chapter apply to[: (1)] de novo appeals filed pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(j)(4), relating to automated work zone speed enforcement violations[;], and [(2) actions filed pursuant to] 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.1), relating to civil violations for passing a stopped school bus with flashing red signal lights and an activated side stop signal arm.

Statutes authorizing a civil fine or penalty include 53 P.S. §§ 10617.1 and 10817-A relating to violations of zoning and joint municipal zoning ordinances.

Historical Commentary

The following commentary is historical in nature and represents statements of the Committee at the time of rulemaking:

EXPLANATORY COMMENT--1992

As a result of the computerization of the District Justice offices throughout the Unified Judicial System, the Civil Action Hearing Notice form has been promulgated by Judicial Computer Services (Statewide Automation). Rule 301 recognizes the adoption of the Civil Action Hearing Notice form.

Rule 302. Venue.

Comment: This rule combines, with some minor changes, the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure relating to venue. See:

- (1) Individuals: Pa.R.Civ.P. 1006(a).
- (2) Partnerships: Pa.R.Civ.P. 2130(a).
- (3) Corporations: Pa.R.Civ.P. 2179(a).
- (4) Insurance Policies: Pa.R.Civ.P. 2179(b).
- (5) Unincorporated Associations: Pa.R.Civ.P. 2156(a).
- (6) Political Subdivisions: Pa.R.Civ.P. 2103(b).

This rule is not intended to repeal special statutory venue provisions, such as the: (1) venue provisions for actions involving installment sales of goods and services, 12 Pa.C.S. § 6307; (2) venue provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692i, pertaining to actions brought by debt collectors against consumers; **and** (3) venue provisions for appeals from automated work zone speed enforcement violations, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(j)(4)[;] and [(4) venue provisions for actions filed pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.1), relating to] <u>from</u> civil violations for passing a stopped school bus with flashing red signal lights and an activated side stop signal arm, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.1). See Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 382(1) (pertaining to Acts of Assembly providing for special venue provisions that are not suspended).

. . .

Rule 321. Hearings and Evidence.

The magisterial district judge shall be bound by the rules of evidence, except that a bill, estimate, receipt, or statement of account that appears to have been made in the regular course of business may be introduced in evidence by any party without affidavit or other evidence of its truth, accuracy, or authenticity.

Comment: The exception to the rules of evidence provided by this rule was inserted because the Pennsylvania statutes making certain business entries admissible in evidence apparently do not apply to bills, receipts, and the like that are made in the regular course of business but are not made as "records." See 42 Pa.C.S. § 6108. The fact that this exception permits the introduction of these items of evidence without affidavit or other evidence of their truth, accuracy, or authenticity does not, of course, preclude the introduction of evidence contradicting them. The exception was deemed necessary because the items of evidence made admissible thereby are probably the proofs most commonly used in minor judiciary proceedings. See [Rules 350D(2) and 351(d)] Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 350(d)(2) for additional exceptions applicable to appeals from automated work zone speed enforcement violations and [actions filed pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.1), relating to] from civil violations for passing a stopped school bus with flashing red signal lights and an activated side stop signal arm.

Rule 350. [Automated Work Zone Speed Enforcement Violation] Appeals <u>from Civil Traffic Violations.</u>

[A.](a) As used in this rule:

- (1) "Appellant" means the owner of a vehicle who has requested the appeal of a determination by a hearing officer pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(j)(4), pertaining to automated work zone speed enforcement violations, or 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.4)(4), pertaining to civil violations for passing a stopped school bus with flashing red signal lights and an activated side stop signal arm.
- (2) "Appellee" means:
 - in matters brought pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(j)(4), the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, or the system administrator designated by those agencies pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(h)(3)(i)[.]; or
 - (ii) in matters brought pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.4)(4), a school entity, as defined in 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(m), or a system administrator that has entered into an agreement with the school entity pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(g).
- [B.](b) Venue. An appeal filed pursuant to this rule shall only be filed in the magisterial district court in the magisterial district where the violation of 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(c) or 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(a.1)(1) occurred.

[C.](c) Notice of Appeal.

- (1) An appellant may appeal a determination of a hearing officer pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(j)(4) or 75 Pa.C.S. 3345.1(i.4)(4) by filing a notice of appeal on a form prescribed by the State Court Administrator together with a copy of the hearing officer's determination within 30 days after the date of its issuance.
- (2) The appellant shall pay all costs for filing and service of the notice of appeal at the time of filing or, if without the financial resources to pay the costs of litigation, the appellant shall file a petition to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to [Rule 206E] Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 206E.

(3) After setting the hearing date pursuant to **[Rule 305] Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 305**, the magisterial district judge shall serve the notice of appeal on the appellee by mailing a copy to the appellee at the address listed on the hearing officer's determination by certified mail or comparable delivery method resulting in a return receipt in paper or electronic form. The return receipt shall show that the notice of appeal was received by the appellee.

[D.](d)Hearing; Evidence.

- (1) The proceeding shall be conducted *de novo* in accordance with these rules as if the action was initially commenced in a magisterial district court with the appellee having the burden of proof.
- The hearing is subject to the standards of evidence set forth in [Rule 321] Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 321, except that photographs, videos, vehicle titles, police reports, and records of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation may also be entered as evidence by any party without affidavit or other evidence of their truth, accuracy, or authenticity.

Comment: 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369 established a program to provide for automated speed enforcement systems in active work zones on certain highways under the jurisdiction of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission. Similarly, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1 established a program to provide for automated side stop signal arm enforcement systems for failing to stop for a school bus with flashing red lights and an activated side stop signal arm. This rule [was adopted] is intended to address [the] statutory provisions [of the statute that permits] permitting a de novo appeal to a magisterial district court from a determination of a hearing officer following an administrative hearing to contest an alleged violation of 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(c) or 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(a.1)(1). Because these actions are de novo appeals, they shall proceed as any other civil action commenced in a magisterial district court except as provided by this rule.

Insofar as other procedures under these rules may be applicable, the appellant shall be deemed the "defendant" and the appellee shall be deemed the "plaintiff."

The initiating document in an appeal filed pursuant to **[Rule 350]** this rule is the notice of appeal, which shall be used in lieu of a complaint.

Photographs, videos, vehicle titles, police reports, and records of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation were added to the existing business record exceptions in

[Rule 321] <u>Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 321</u> because they are the proofs most likely to be used to support the permitted defenses to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(c) <u>and 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(f)</u>.

The appellant shall pay civil fines incurred pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(e) or <u>75 Pa.C.S.</u> § 3345.1(c) to the appellee and not to the magisterial district court. See Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 323, cmt. (clarifying that payments are made to the prevailing party and not the magisterial district court). If the magisterial district judge enters judgment in favor of the appellant, i.e., the vehicle owner, the appellant is entitled to recover taxable costs from the appellee. See Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 206B ("[T]he prevailing party in magisterial district court proceedings shall be entitled to recover taxable costs from the unsuccessful party. Such costs shall consist of all filing, personal service, witness, and execution costs authorized by Act of Assembly or general rule and paid by the prevailing party.") Procedures for enforcement of judgments, including judgments in favor of the appellant for taxable costs from the appellee, are set forth in [Rules 401 et seq.] Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 401 et seq. See 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.4)(4) for limits on the judgment in school bus enforcement cases.

See [Rules 1001 et seq.] <u>Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 1001 et seq.</u> for procedures to appeal a judgment rendered by a magisterial district judge or to file a *praecipe* for a writ of *certiorari* in civil actions, including actions brought pursuant to this rule.

The rule text and comment are deleted in their entirety and the comment is replaced with new text –

Rule 351. Action to Contest Civil Liability for Passing a School Bus with Flashing Red Lights and an Activated Side Stop Signal Arm; Failure to Respond to a Notice of Violation.

(a) As used in this rule:

- (1) "Vehicle owner" means the owner of a vehicle alleged to have violated 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345, relating to enforcement of failure to stop for a school bus with flashing red lights and an activated side stop signal arm, brought pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1.
- (2) "Police department" means the police department issuing the notice of violation of 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345, relating to enforcement of failure to stop for a school bus with flashing red lights and an activated side stop signal arm, brought pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1.
- (b) **Venue.** An action filed pursuant to this rule shall only be filed in the magisterial district court in the magisterial district where the alleged violation of 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345 occurred.

(c) **Proceedings**.

(1) Vehicle Owner Request to Contest Liability.

- (i) A vehicle owner may contest the liability alleged in the notice of violation within 30 days of the mailing of the notice of violation by filing a hearing request form prescribed by the State Court Administrator together with a copy of the notice of violation.
- (ii) The vehicle owner shall pay all costs for filing and service of the hearing request form at the time of filing or, if without the financial resources to pay the costs of litigation, the vehicle owner shall file a petition to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to Rule 206E.
- (iii) After setting the hearing date pursuant to Rule 305, the magisterial district judge shall serve the hearing request on the police department by mailing a copy to the police department at the address listed on the notice of violation by

certified mail or comparable delivery method resulting in a return receipt in paper or electronic form. The return receipt shall show that the hearing request was received by the police department.

- (2) Vehicle Owner Fails to Respond to Notice of Violation. If the vehicle owner fails to respond to the notice of violation within 30 days of the original notice by either paying the fine as indicated on the notice of violation or contesting liability as provided in subdivision (c)(1), the police department may file a civil complaint against the vehicle owner pursuant to Rule 303.
- (d) Evidence. The hearing is subject to the standards of evidence set forth in Rule 321, except that photographs, videos, vehicle titles, police reports, and records of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation may also be entered as evidence by any party without affidavit or other evidence of their truth, accuracy, or authenticity.

Comment: 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1 provides for automated side stop signal arm enforcement systems to identify and civilly fine the owners of vehicles failing to stop for a school bus with flashing red lights and an activated side stop signal arm. This rule was adopted to address the provisions of the statute that (1) allow a vehicle owner to contest liability for a notice of violation and (2) establishes a mechanism for a police department to file a complaint when a vehicle owner has failed to respond to a notice of violation.

Insofar as other procedures under these rules may be applicable, the vehicle owner shall be deemed the "defendant" and the police department shall be deemed the "plaintiff."

A vehicle owner issued a notice of violation under 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1 may contest liability by requesting a hearing with the magisterial district judge in the magisterial district where the violation occurred. The initiating document in an action filed by a vehicle owner to contest liability is the hearing request form, which shall be used in lieu of a complaint.

If the magisterial district judge finds the vehicle owner liable for the violation, the vehicle owner shall pay civil fines incurred pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(c) to the police department and not to the magisterial district court. See Rule 3.10(A)(2) of the Rules Governing Standards of Conduct of Magisterial District Judges (prohibiting a magisterial district judge from engaging in any activity related to the collection of a claim or judgment for money); see also Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 323, Comment ("The payments are to be made to the plaintiff and not to the magisterial district judge").

If the magisterial district judge enters judgment in favor of the vehicle owner, the vehicle owner is entitled to recover taxable costs from the police department. See Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 206B ("The prevailing party in magisterial district court proceedings shall be entitled to recover taxable costs from the unsuccessful party. Such costs shall consist of all filing, personal service, witness, and execution costs authorized by Act of Assembly or general rule and paid by the prevailing party."). Procedures for enforcement of judgments, including judgments in favor of the plaintiff for taxable costs from the defendant, are set forth in Rules 401 et seq.

If the vehicle owner fails to respond to the notice of violation within 30 days of the original notice by either paying the fine as indicated on the notice of violation or contesting liability as provided in subdivision (c)(1), the police department may file a civil complaint against the vehicle owner in the magisterial district where the violation occurred pursuant to Rule 303. See 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.1)(2)(iii). A complaint filed by a police department to enforce a notice of violation when the vehicle owner failed to respond will proceed as any other civil action filed pursuant to Rule 303 except as otherwise provided in this Rule. See also Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 206 (pertaining to costs).

Photographs, videos, vehicle titles, police reports, and records of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation were added to the existing business record exceptions in Rule 321 because they are the proofs most likely to be used to support the permitted defenses to 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(c).

See Rules 1001 *et seq*. for procedures to appeal a judgment rendered by a magisterial district judge or to file a *praecipe* for a writ of *certiorari* in civil actions, including actions brought pursuant to this rule.

<u>Comment: Provisions of former Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 351 were incorporated in Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 350.</u>

Rule 381. Acts of Assembly Suspended.

- (a) General Rule. All Acts of Assembly or parts thereof inconsistent with the rules governing the civil action are suspended to the extent of such inconsistency.
- (b) The Act of October 23, 2023, P.L. 134, No. 19, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.4)(4), which provides, inter alia, for a 45-day appeal period of a hearing officer's determination following an administrative hearing to contest liability for the civil violation of passing a stopped school bus with flashing red signal lights and an activated side stop signal arm, is suspended only insofar as the Act is inconsistent with the 30-day appeal period set forth in Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 350(c)(1).

SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA Minor Court Rules Committee

PUBLICATION REPORT

Proposed Amendment of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 301, 302, 321, 350, 381 and Rescission of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 351

The Minor Court Rules Committee ("Committee") is considering proposing to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania the amendment of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 301, 302, 321, 350, 381 and the rescission of Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 351 pertaining to civil enforcement of traffic violations.

Two legislative enactments created civil enforcement mechanisms for certain traffic violations. Act 86 of 2018 authorized the use of automated work zone speed enforcement systems in active work zones along the Pennsylvania Turnpike and "[f]ederal aid highways only under the jurisdiction of [PennDOT]." See 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(a). Act 38 of 2020 authorized the use of automated enforcement systems on school buses to identify and issue violations to the owners of vehicles passing a stopped school bus when the red signal lights on the school bus are flashing and the side stop signal arms are activated. See 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(a), (c). Both Acts included appeals of violations to magisterial district courts, which necessitated rulemaking to accommodate these new civil appeals.

Variations in the Acts necessitated the promulgation of distinct procedural rules relating to appeal procedures. See Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 350-351 (rules pertaining to automated work zone violation appeals and school bus violation appeals, respectively). For example, challenges to work zone violations are initiated by a filing a request for an administrative hearing before a hearing officer with a subsequent *de novo* appeal available before a magisterial district judge. See 75 Pa.C.S. § 3369(j). In contrast, appeals from school bus violations could be filed directly with the magisterial district court. See 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.1)(3)(i) (effective through December 21, 2023). Section 3345.1 also contained a unique provision permitting the "the police department [to] turn the matter over to the magisterial district judge where the violation occurred" when the vehicle owner did not pay the fine or contest liability. 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.1)(2)(iii).

Act 19 of 2023, adopted on October 23, 2023, reconciled differences in the appeal processes of the two enforcement schemes. It amends § 3345.1 to require a vehicle owner appeal a school bus violation to an administrative hearing officer before filing a *de novo* appeal with the magisterial district court. *See* 75 Pa.C.S. § 3345.1(i.4). It also eliminated the action before the magisterial district judge to collect unpaid fines.

With § 3369 and § 3345.1 now nearly identical, the Committee proposes rescinding Rule 351 and incorporating provisions relating to school bus violation appeals into Rule 350. By doing so, the Committee intends to create a single rule to address appeal procedures in civil traffic enforcement matters that can accommodate new programs in the future.

However, one matter in which § 3369 and § 3345.1 do not align is the timeframe for appealing a hearing officer's determination to a magisterial district judge. Section 3369 is silent on the appeal period, while § 3345.1(i.4)(4) provides for a 45-day appeal period. The Committee believes appeals from hearing officer determinations in both work zone and school bus violation cases should be uniform and proposes a 30-day appeal period for both actions. Establishing a uniform time frame would require the Court to suspend § 3345.1(i.4)(4) to the extent it is inconsistent with Pa.R.Civ.P.M.D.J. 350.

The Committee welcomes all comments, concerns, and suggestions regarding this proposal.