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CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Good morning. Today we are meeting for what we expect will be the last public hearing of the Interbranch Commission on Juvenile Justice. And the purpose of our meeting today is to adopt our report and recommendations, and then to submit them to the Governor, the Chief Justice of Pennsylvania, and the legislative leadership which formed this Commission.

I'm joined at the table this morning by the other members of the Commission, Tod C. Allen, Director of Court Advocacy of the Crime Victim Center in Erie County; Kenneth J. Horoho, a Pittsburgh attorney and former president of the Pennsylvania Bar Association; Magisterial District Judge James A. Gibbons from Lackawanna County; Jason J. Legg, the District Attorney of Susquehanna County; Robert L. Listenbee, Chief of the Juvenile Unit of the Defender Association of Pennsylvania; George D. Mosee, Chief of the Juvenile Division and Deputy District Attorney of Philadelphia; Judge John C. Uhler, a judge of the Court of Common Pleas of York County and former President Judge of that court; Ronald P. Williams, Regional Director of the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture; Judge Dwayne D. Woodruff, a juvenile court judge from Allegheny County; and Darren Breslin, counsel to the Commission.

Our final Commissioner, Valerie Bender, is a private consultant on juvenile justice issues from Pittsburgh, and she is unable to be with us today because of a death in the family.
Our report, to those of you who now have it in hand, will see that it consists of five parts, an introduction, a narrative, which is a comprehensive statement detailing what we believe happened in Luzerne County that gave rise to the juvenile justice scandal resulting in the creation of this Commission, a summary of our proceedings, a series of recommendations to address the issues that we have identified, and finally, a conclusion in which we reflect on what happened in Luzerne County and its meaning for the larger system of justice in Pennsylvania.

The statute creating this Commission requires that our recommendations be adopted at a public hearing. The work of the Commission is not covered by either the Sunshine Act or the Open Meetings Law. Nevertheless, we have tried to be as transparent as possible by holding our public hearings and taking testimony and posting all of the proceedings on the -- of the Commission on the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts website.

So while there's no debate or discussion this morning, or at least I don't anticipate that there will be any, it's because each of the Commissioners has previously carefully reviewed at length the report and the various recommendations that we are about to vote on.

To expedite the proceedings we will not be voting individually on the 43 plus recommendations that we are making,
but we will be voting on those recommendations by category of the some 20 categories that are summarized in our report. With that we will begin consideration of the recommendations regarding crime victims. The creation of the statewide office of Juvenile Justice Victim Advocate, the restoration of funding for the Victims of Juvenile Offenders Program to 2005 levels, and the creation of a Luzerne County Victims of Juvenile Crime Restitution Fund to pay restitution to those original victims whose orders of restitution were expunged.

Is there a motion that we adopt those three recommendations?

MR. WILLIAMS: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Is there a second?

JUDGE GIBBONS: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All those in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: We'll turn to the section regarding judicial ethics, which recommends that the Supreme Court re-examine the Code of Judicial Conduct to ensure ethical provisions and reporting requirements are adequate.

Is there a motion to adopt that recommendation?

JUDGE WOODRUFF: So moved.

JUDGE UHLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding the issue of judicial discipline, there are short term and long term recommendations. The short term recommendations involve reviewing and revising the recently adopted Judicial Conduct Board Internal Operating Procedures, reviewing the role and independence of the Judicial Conduct Board staff as regards the Judicial Conduct Board members, to revise and enhance the Judicial Conduct Board annual reports, to revise and enhance the Judicial Conduct Board website, and to ensure that judges and lawyers are aware of the ethical responsibility to report misconduct, and to develop educational materials so the general public is aware of how to report judicial misconduct.

And the longer term recommendation being to review the Pennsylvania constitutional provisions regarding judicial discipline to ensure that standards of accountability for the Judicial Conduct Board are in place.

Is there a motion to adopt those recommendations?

MR. ALLEN: I make that motion.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Mr. Allen. Is there a second?

MR. LISTENBEE: I second it.
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Mr. Listenbee. Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Turning to the issue regarding attorney discipline. There are three recommendations. First, to create educational programming to ensure the bar and the general public understand what constitutes attorney misconduct, to revise and enhance the Attorney Disciplinary Board website, and to increase and enhance attorney continuing legal education ethics requirements.

Is there a motion to adopt those recommendations?

JUDGE UHLER: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. LISTENBEE: So seconded.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding continuing education, four recommendations. The Commission endorses the training standards adopted by the Pennsylvania District Attorney's Association and the Juvenile Defender's Association of
Pennsylvania.

It recommends that the District Attorney and Defender's Association develop and present continuing legal education courses for both prosecutors and defense counsel, that continuing legal education should be mandatory for judges, masters, and hearing officers who handle juvenile justice cases, and emphasis should be placed on the importance of working in the field of juvenile justice.

Is there a motion to adopt those recommendations?

JUDGE GIBBONS: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. HOROHO: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Turning to the section regarding juvenile prosecutors. The Pennsylvania District Attorney's Association standards for prosecutors in juvenile court should be implemented and resources needed to achieve them should be adequately funded.

Is there a motion to adopt that recommendation?

MR. MOSEE: So moved.
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. LEGG: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding juvenile defense lawyers, three recommendations. First, creating a state-based funding stream for indigent juvenile defense, creation of a Center For Juvenile Defense Excellence, and ensuring access to defense counsel by deeming all juveniles indigent for purposes of appointing counsel, and by restricting the right of juveniles to waive counsel, and by requiring standby counsel if the juvenile waives counsel.

Is there a motion to adopt those recommendations?

MR. LISTENBEE: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Is there a second?

MR. ALLEN: I second it.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding the ethics for juvenile
probation officers. The Chief Juvenile Probation Officers
Association and all county Probation Departments should adopt
standards of conduct as well as rules prohibiting partisan
political activities for all employees.

Is there a motion to adopt that recommendation?

JUDGE UHLER: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. WILLIAMS: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding court hiring practices.

The Court Administrator of Pennsylvania should undertake a
national review to determine best practices for hiring -- for
court hiring policies and present the findings of that study to
the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for review.

Is there a motion to adopt that recommendation?

JUDGE GIBBONS: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. HOROHO: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding continuing Supreme Court oversight. It is the recommendation of the Commission that continuing oversight of the Luzerne County Juvenile Justice System be maintained.

Is there a motion to adopt that recommendation?

MR. WILLIAMS: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. HOROHO: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding the use of data and statistics. It is recommended to ensure that adequate resources are available for the Juvenile Court Judges Commission to collect appropriate juvenile justice data and conduct additional data analysis. And second, that enhanced data collection and data sharing among various entities that collect data regarding the juvenile justice system.
Is there a motion to adopt those recommendations?

MR. HOROHO: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. LEGG: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding stating dispositional reasons on the record. It is recommended that we revise the statutes and Rules of Court to require judges to state on the record how a juvenile disposition furthers the goals of the Juvenile Act.

Is there a motion to adopt that recommendation?

MR. LISTENBEE: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

JUDGE UHLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: To reduce or eliminate the practice of shackling. The Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention Committee of the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency should study and recommend ways to reduce or eliminate shackling in juvenile courtrooms.

Is there a motion to adopt that recommendation?

JUDGE UHLER: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. LISTENBEE: Second, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All those in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding juvenile placement decisions. The recommendation -- two recommendations. First, to implement the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative as a detention assessment instrument, and the endorsement of the modifications of the Juvenile Court Judges Commission standards governing the use of secure detention.

Is there a motion to adopt those recommendations?

MR. LISTENBEE: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. ALLEN: Second.
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.
(All Commission members indicate aye.)
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding Youth Level of Services Initiative. The recommendation is to expand as a pilot program the Youth Level of Service Case Management Inventory Risk/Needs Instrument and the employment of valid research and other evidence-based risk assessment instruments.
Is there a motion to adopt that recommendation?
JUDGE WOODRUFF: So moved.
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?
MR. WILLIAMS: Second.
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.
(All Commission members indicate aye.)
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding appellate rights. To develop a form advising juveniles of their right to appeal and seek other post-dispositional relief. And second, to develop internet-based resources explaining how the post-dispositional
process works and how parents and children can get assistance.

Is there a motion to adopt those recommendations?

MR. HOROHO: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. LISTENBEE: Second, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding appellate review. There is a recommendation that there be expedited appellate review, meaning that the Superior Court resolve cases within 90 days of filing in certain identified categories. And second, the creation of a statewide office to provide assistance in appeals under the Juvenile Act.

Is there a motion to adopt those recommendations?

JUDGE UHLER: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. ALLEN: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)
CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding nunc pro tunc relief.

The recommendation is that the Superior Court and the Supreme Court give enhanced allowance to nunc pro tunc relief, meaning that appeals that may not otherwise be timely would be considered for review and disposition.

Is there a motion to adopt that recommendation?

MR. LISTENBEE: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

JUDGE UHLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding the County Commissioners.

The Commission recommends that we encourage County Commissioners to attend the County Commissioner's Association of Pennsylvania Academy for Excellence in County Government, and to promote enhanced understanding of the respective roles and obligations of county level and court officials and county executive officials that the Pennsylvania Court management and the County Commissioner's Association of Pennsylvania engage in...
joint trainings and meetings.

MR. WILLIAMS: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Is there a motion to adopt that, Mr. Williams?

MR. WILLIAMS: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Second?

MR. ALLEN: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Regarding the Pennsylvania Department of Education. The recommendations are that the zero tolerance policy should be discouraged, that there be enhanced understanding of the roles and obligations among educational law enforcement and juvenile justice stakeholders, that enhanced cooperation among educational law enforcement and juvenile justice stakeholders be promoted to maintain safety and security within the schools, that the status of juvenile -- children involved in the juvenile justice system be kept confidential, and that the educational curriculum for children in placement should be reviewed and assured of its quality.

Is there a motion to adopt those recommendations?

MR. HOROHO: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Is there a second?
MR. LISTENBEE: Second, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All in favor say aye.

(All Commission members indicate aye.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Any opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: All recommendations having been adopted, the record will note that the vote was unanimous on all recommendations.

It strikes me reading those, just those cold recommendations, that they arise out of a very dramatic and sad chapter, and that to fully understand what those recommendations are I recommend all of you to the first part of the report, which summarizes exactly what happened in Luzerne County to give rise to those recommendations.

And so after nine months of work, including now 12 days of public hearings, seven days of private work sessions, twice a week conference calls, and hours and hours of research and preparation by the members of this Commission, the work of this Commission is essentially completed.

We have summarized the facts surrounding the juvenile justice scandal as those facts were developed at our public hearings. Based on those facts we have now adopted more than 43 specific recommendations covering 20 subject areas.
Where all this goes now is out of our hands. We have every confidence, however, that the Governor, the Chief Justice, and the legislature will thoughtfully consider our recommendations and take appropriate action.

The statute which created this Commission provided that the Commission will cease to exist as of June 30. However, each of us individually will continue to be available to provide all appropriate assistance to any entities working to address the issues we have identified in our report.

Before we adjourn I want to thank all the members of the Commission who have performed so admirably to meet the very ambitious deadlines set for us last August. You have all performed, in my view, a genuine service to the citizens of Pennsylvania, and more important to the children of Pennsylvania.

I've served on a lot of Commissions and Committees over the years, but never have I been associated with a group of people who have taken their work so seriously and put so much effort into it. And thank you to all of you.

I also want to thank those from the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts who have provided the administrative support for the Commission. And without their help this work simply could not have been done. Tom Darr, the Deputy Court Administrator of Pennsylvania, who was responsible for coordination among the three branches of government that
created this Commission; Nicholene DiPasquale, Director of
Judicial Services, who coordinated the logistical arrangements
for 20 days of hearings and meetings, as well as doing the
print and layout design for our report; Stu Ditzen, who did a
masterful job in editing the report and condensing hours and
hours of testimony into a readable and very compelling account;
and Darren Breslin, counsel to the Commission who provided
invaluable legal and organizational structure and assistance.

In addition I think I want to -- it's important to
recognize three other people who felt so strongly about
righting the wrongs of injustice that they worked to assist us
as volunteers and provided hours and hours of service at no --
at no cost. Bill Fisher, who was retired Assistant District
Attorney in Philadelphia, who performed incredibly valuable
investigative work in Luzerne County; and Art Stroyd and Bill
Stickman of the Law Firm of DelSole, Cavanaugh and Stroyd in
Pittsburgh, who represented the Commission twice in litigation
before the Pennsylvania Supreme Court and were fully prepared
to go a third time. So thank you to those people as well.

As has been the custom in all of our conference calls,
I'll now turn to the other members of the Commission and find
out if there's anything else anybody wants to say before we
adjourn for the last time.

MR. LISTENBEE: Your Honor, if I may. I would just
like to say that as a Commissioner I would like to thank you
for the leadership you provided us. This has been an extremely
difficult challenge, but Judge Cleland was always there and
provided guidance and helped us reach consensus on some
extremely difficult issues.

He did an awful lot of writing and helped us
understand some of the complex issues involved. I'd just like
to thank you very much for your work, sir.

CHAIRMAN CLELAND: Thank you. With that, thank you to
all of you. I see many familiar faces in the audience who have
attended so many of our hearings, and I appreciate your support
and interest. And I will miss seeing some of you as well. So
thank you. And with that, we are adjourned.

(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 10:23 a.m.)
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