2012 Population Estimate: 81,184

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2

2012 Criminal	No. of Cases	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	471	
New Cases Filed in 2012	1,008	
Reopened Cases	30	
Cases Available for Disposition	1,509	
Disposed by:		
Withdrawn/Dismissed	31	2.9%
ARD	122	11.4%
Guilty Plea	648	60.4%
Non-Jury Trial	0	
Jury Trial	14	1.3%
Inactive	52	4.8%
Other	206	19.2%
Total Cases Disposed	1,073	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	436	






Age of Pend as of 12/3	es	
0-60 Days	194	44.5%
61-120 Days	89	20.4%
121-180 Days	60	13.8%
181-240 Days	47	10.8%
241-300 Days	20	4.6%
301-360 Days	6	1.4%
Over 360 Days	20	4.6%
Total	436	100.0%

Data provided by CPCMS

Cases Awaiting Sentencing

Criminal New Cases Filed, 2005-2012

56



Last Modified: October 21, 2013 Page 1

2012 Population Estimate: 81,184

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Criminal - New Cases by Case Type



Case Type	Felony	Misdemeanor	Summary /Ungraded	Total
Person	46	85	0	131
Property	183	199	1	383
Drug	69	52	0	121
Weapon	6	5	0	11
Public Order	19	54	0	73
Motor Vehicle - DWI/DUI	0	287	0	287
Motor Vehicle - Other	0	2	0	2
Other	0	0	0	0
Total	323	684	1	1,008
	32.0%	67.9%	0.1%	100.0%

Data provided by CPCMS

Page 2

2012 Population Estimate: 81,184


Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Civil	Civil Action	Other	Total			
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	1,065	590	1,655			
Docketed Cases	381	341	722			
Arbitration Appeals	2	0	2	Age of Pendi	ng Case	
Transferred In	1	0	1	as of 12/3	•	
Returned to Active	1	1	2	(Civil Actio	n Only)	
Cases Available for Processing	1,450	932	2,382	0-6 months	170	16.5%
Disposed By:				7-12 months	95	9.2%
•	404	450	0.1.1	13-18 months	61	5.9%
Default Judgments	164	150	314	19-24 months	53	5.1%
Cases Decided by Arbitration Boar	rd 11	2	13	25-30 months	54	5.2%
Dispositive Motions	0	0	0	31-36 months	56	5.4%
Settlements	0	0	0	37-42 months	89	8.6%
Moved to Inactive Status	3	1	4			5.4%
Transferred/Withdrawn	9	9	18	43-48 months	56	
Administrative Purge	26	10	36	49-54 months	47	4.6%
Other (O)	202	120	322	55-60 months	45	4.4%
Jury Trial	2	0		61-66 months	38	3.7%
•			2	67-72 months	39	3.8%
Non-Jury Trial	1	0	1	Over 72 months	229	22.2%
Total Cases Disposed	418	292	710	Total	1,032	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	1,032	640	1,672			

(O) - Overinclusive, includes cases disposed by Dispositive Motion and Settlement.



Note: (1) Prior to June 2010, docketed cases under the "Other" category were not reported. The inclusion of these cases starting from June 2010 may contribute to an increase in docketed cases from 2009 to 2010. (2) A sharp increase or decrease may reflect changes in practice or counting methods.

Last Modified: November 1, 2013

2012 Population Estimate: 81,184

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Civil - Docketed Cases Listed by Case Type

TORT	103	14.3%	REAL PROPERTY	209	28.9%
(does not include mass tort)			Ejectment*	11	
Intentional	2		Eminent Domain/Condemnation	5	
Malicious Prosecution	0		Ground Rent	0	
Motor Vehicle	57		Landlord/Tenant Dispute	1	
Nuisance	0		Mortgage Forclosure:	•	
Premise Liability	24		Residential*	131	
Product Liability	4		Commercial*	8	
Slander/Libel/Defamation	1		Partition of Real Property*	3	
Other	15		Quiet Title*	22	
MASS TORT	0		Other*	28	
Asbestos	0		CIVIL APPEALS	72	10.0%
Tobacco	0		- Administrative Agencies		
Toxic Tort - DES	0		Board of Assessment*	0	
Toxic Tort - Implant	0		Board of Elections*	3	
Toxic Waste	0		Dept. of Transportation*	9	
Other	0		Zoning Board*	0	
PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY	9	1.2%	Statutory Appeal - Other*	0	
Dental	0		Other*	60	
Legal	0		MISCELLANEOUS	61	8.4%
Medical Malpractice	9		Common Law/		
Other	0		Statutory Arbitration*	0	
CONTRACT			Declaratory Judgment*	4	
CONTRACT (does not include judgments)	268	37.1%	Mandamus*	0	
Buyer Plaintiff	7		Non-Domestic Relations		
Debt Collection: Credit Card	128		Restraining Order*	0	
Debt Collection: Other	106		Quo Warranto*	0	
Employment Dispute:	100		Replevin*	5	
Employment Dispute: Discriminatio	0		Other*	52	
Employment Dispute: Other	3				
Other	24		TOTAL DOCKETED CASES:	722	100%
Othor	27				

Of the Docketed Cases:

Pro Se Filings: 30 4.2% Class Action Suits: 1 0.1% MDJ Appeals: 57 7.9%

^{*} Civil Other

2012 Population Estimate: 81,184


Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Protection From Abuse	No. of Petitions	i
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	5	
New Cases Filed in 2012	110	
Of these, Petitions Requesting Temporary Orders: 110 (100.0%) Temporary Orders DENIED: 5 (4.5%), Temporary Orders GRANTED: 105 (95.5%)		
Cases Available for Processing	115	
Processed By:		
Temporary Order DENIED After Ex Parte Hearing and No Evidentiary Hearing Scheduled	5	4.5%
Temporary Order DISMISSED After Evidentiary Hearing and No Final Order Entered	0	
Final Order DENIED After Evidentiary Hearing Before a Judge	14	12.7%
Final Order GRANTED After Evidentiary Hearing Before a Judge	40	36.4%
Plaintiff Did Not Appear For Evidentiary Hearing	4	3.6%
Final Order by STIPULATION OR AGREEMENT Between the Parties	45	40.9%
Petition WITHDRAWN by Plaintiff	1	0.9%
TRANSFERRED to Another Jurisdiction	0	
DECEASED Party	1	0.9%
Other	0	
Cases Processed	110	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	5	

PFA New Cases Filed, 2003-2012



Page 5

2012 Population Estimate: 81,184


Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Spousal & Child Support	No. of Petitions	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	233	
New Cases Filed in 2012	1,677	
Dockets Transferred In (+)	29	
Dockets Transferred Out (-)	9	
Cases Available for Processing	1,930	
Processed By:		
Judge	85	4.8%
Intake Officer	1,678	95.0%
Hearing Officer	0	
Other	3	0.2%
Cases Processed	1,766	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	164	

Spousal & Child Support New Cases Filed, 2004-2012




2012 Population Estimate: 81,184

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2




2012 Custody/Partial Custody/Visitation	No. of Petitions	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	293	
New Cases Filed in 2012	597	
Cases Available for Processing	890	
Processed By:		
Judge	251	43.2%
Non-Judicial Officer	78	13.4%
Other	252	43.4%
Cases Processed	581	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	309	




Note: Data for 2005 are not available.

Clearfield

Last Modified: November 1, 2013



Note: Clearance Rate = Cases Processed / New Cases Filed



2012 Population Estimate: 81,184


Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Divorce	No. of Petitions	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	339	
New Cases Filed in 2012	209	
Cases Available for Processing	548	
Processed By:		
Contested Before a Judge	20	9.7%
Contested Before a Master	4	1.9%
Uncontested	171	82.6%
Other	12	5.8%
Cases Processed	207	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	341	

Divorce New Cases Filed, 2003-2012



2012 Population Estimate: 81,184

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2




2012 Juvenile Dependency - Active Dependent Inventory

CASE SYSTEM SYSTEM	Abuse/ Neglect	Status Offenses	Total
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	2	1	3
New Petitions Filed in 2012	34	25	59
Other	1	0	1
Total Petitions Available for Processing	37	26	63
Processed by:			
Adjudicated Dependent			
Judge	27	21	48
Master	0	0	0
Adjudicated NOT Dependent			
Judge	0	0	0
Master	0	0	0
Withdrawn/Dismissed/Inactive	1	0	1
Other	5	2	7
Total Petitions Processed	33	23	56
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	4	3	7

Data provided by CPCMS

Abuse/Neglect and Status Offenses New Cases Filed, 2003-2012



Note: Dependency data for 2002-2010 were collected manually. Dependency data for 2011 and 2012 were provided by CPCMS.

Last Modified: September 15, 2013

2012 Population Estimate: 81,184

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Juvenile Dependency - Adjudicated Dependent Inventory

CASE	Abuse/ Neglect		Status Offenses	;	Total	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	169		31		200	
New Adjudicated Dependent Cases	27		21		48	
Other	4		2		6	
Total Adjudicated Dependent Cases	200		54		254	
Court Supervision Terminated:						
Family Reunification	1	1.8%	4	15.4%	5	6.2%
Adoption	1	1.8%	0		1	1.2%
Placement with a Relative/Guardian	33	60.0%	19	73.1%	52	64.2%
Aged Out	3	5.5%	0		3	3.7%
Other Permanency Outcomes*	3	5.5%	0		3	3.7%
Other**	14	25.5%	3	11.5%	17	21.0%
Total Adjudicated Dependent Cases Terminated	55	100.0%	26	100.0%	81	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	145		28		173	

Data provided by CPCMS

Last Modified: September 15, 2013 Page 10

^{*} Other Permanency Outcomes include: adjudicated delinquent, death due to natural causes, death due to negligence, emancipation, permanent living arrangement, and parent has come forward.

^{**} Other includes cases where court supervision is terminated due to transfer out of jurisdiction, withdrawal and administrative closure.


2012 Population Estimate: 81,184

Class of County: 6th


No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2




2012 Juvenile Delinquency	No. of Cases	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	186	
New Cases Filed in 2012	171	
Cases Available for Processing	357	
Processed By:		
Judge	93	66.0%
Non-Judicial Officer	28	19.9%
Other	20	14.2%
Cases Processed	141	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	216	



Note: A sharp increase or decrease may reflect changes in practice or counting methods.



Note: Clearance Rate = Cases Processed / New Cases Filed



2012 Population Estimate: 81,184


Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Accounts	No. of Cases	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	4	
New Cases Filed in 2012	106	
Cases Available for Processing	110	
Processed By:		
Contested before a Judge	1	1.0%
Contested before a Non-judicial Officer	1	1.0%
Uncontested	103	98.1%
Other	0	
Cases Processed	105	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	5	

Accounts New Cases Filed, 2003-2012



2012 Population Estimate: 81,184


Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Adoptions		No. of Cases	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012		40	
New Cases Filed in 2012		32	
Cases Available for Processing		72	
Processed By:			
Contested before a Judge	Granted	0	
	Denied	0	
Uncontested	Granted	32	100.0%
	Denied	0	
Other		0	
Cases Processed		32	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012		40	

Adoptions New Cases Filed, 2008-2012



Note: Prior to 2008, each petition was counted as one new case, regardless of the number of children listed on the petition. Starting in 2008, each child listed on a petition is counted as a separate case. Therefore, statistics since 2008 should not be compared to statistics prior to 2008.

2012 Population Estimate: 81,184


Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Relinquishments/Terminations	No. of Cases	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	67	
New Cases Filed in 2012	44	
Cases Available for Processing	111	
Processed By:		
Contested before a Judge	13	40.6%
Uncontested	19	59.4%
Other	0	
Cases Processed	32	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	79	

Relinquishments/Terminations New Cases Filed, 2003-2012



2012 Population Estimate: 81,184


Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Appointment of Guardians	No. of Cases	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	1	
New Cases Filed in 2012	18	
Cases Available for Processing	19	
Processed By:		
Contested before a Judge	0	
Uncontested	17	94.4%
Other	1	5.6%
Cases Processed	18	100.0%
Cases Pending 12/31/2012	1	

Appointment of Guardians New Cases Filed, 2003-2012



2012 Population Estimate: 81,184

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



Of Jurors

Qualified and


Available

Of Jurors Reporting for


Service

2012 Jury Yield and Utilization

Jury Yield



Jury Utilization



Jury Costs

Total Annual Budget for Juror Operations:	\$79,478.00	J
Average Cost Per Juror:	\$33.95	K
K=J/A		•

Removed by Peremptory Challenge:

In mid-2011, AOPC began utilizing the National Center for State Courts' Jury Managers' Toolbox for collecting jury data. In 2012, the counties began providing additional jury data which included information about the summonsing practices in the counties. With this additional data, we can now see not only how many citizens come to our courthouses every day in anticipation of serving on a jury, but the number of citizens actually summonsed and the number of those summonsed who are exempt, excused or disqualified from service. With this wider view of the jury process, we can determine the efficiency with which our courts operate, and with the assistance of the Jury Managers' Toolbox find guidance in streamlining the jury summonsing practices.

404

Last Modified: November 1, 2013 Page 16