


# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)



## 2012 Criminal No. of Cases

Cases Pending 1/1/2012	31
New Cases Filed in 2012	82
Reopened Cases	5
<b>Cases Available for Disposition</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>Disposed by:</b>	
Withdrawn/Dismissed	14 15.6%
ARD	9 10.0%
Guilty Plea	41 45.6%
Non-Jury Trial	6 6.7%
Jury Trial	1 1.1%
Inactive	1 1.1%
Other	18 20.0%
<b>Total Cases Disposed</b>	<b>90 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>28</b>
Cases Awaiting Sentencing	5




## Age of Pending Cases as of 12/31/2012

0-60 Days	5	17.9%
61-120 Days	7	25.0%
121-180 Days	6	21.4%
181-240 Days	5	17.9%
241-300 Days	1	3.6%
301-360 Days	1	3.6%
Over 360 Days	3	10.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Data provided by CPCMS

**Criminal New Cases Filed, 2005-2012**




# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667

Class of County: 8th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
(Forest and Warren combined)



## 2012 Criminal - New Cases by Case Type




Case Type	Felony	Misdemeanor	Summary /Ungraded	Total
Person	15	14	0	29
Property	8	5	0	13
Drug	3	1	0	4
Weapon	0	0	0	0
Public Order	0	6	0	6
Motor Vehicle - DWI/DUI	0	30	0	30
Motor Vehicle - Other	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>82</b>
	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>68.3%</b>		<b>100.0%</b>

Data provided by CPCMS

# Forest County


2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)



2012 Civil	Civil Action	Other	Total
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	25	36	61
Docketed Cases	30	26	56
Arbitration Appeals	0	0	0
Transferred In	0	0	0
Returned to Active	0	0	0
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>117</b>
Disposed By:			
Default Judgments	5	4	9
Cases Decided by Arbitration Board	1	0	1
Dispositive Motions	0	0	0
Settlements	3	2	5
Moved to Inactive Status	0	1	1
Transferred/Withdrawn	0	0	0
Administrative Purge	0	0	0
Other	6	9	15
Jury Trial	0	0	0
Non-Jury Trial	0	0	0
<b>Total Cases Disposed</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>86</b>

Age of Pending Cases as of 12/31/2012 (Civil Action Only)		
0-6 months	11	27.5%
7-12 months	12	30.0%
13-18 months	8	20.0%
19-24 months	5	12.5%
25-30 months	4	10.0%
31-36 months	0	
37-42 months	0	
43-48 months	0	
49-54 months	0	
55-60 months	0	
61-66 months	0	
67-72 months	0	
Over 72 months	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


Docketed Cases, 2003-2012



Note: Prior to June 2010, docketed cases under the "Other" category were not reported. The inclusion of these cases starting from June 2010 may contribute to an increase in docketed cases from 2009 to 2010.

# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)



## 2012 Civil - Docketed Cases Listed by Case Type

<b>TORT</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
<i>(does not include mass tort)</i>		
Intentional	7	
Malicious Prosecution	0	
Motor Vehicle	0	
Nuisance	0	
Premise Liability	0	
Product Liability	1	
Slander/Libel/Defamation	0	
Other	8	
<b>MASS TORT</b>	<b>0</b>	
Asbestos	0	
Tobacco	0	
Toxic Tort - DES	0	
Toxic Tort - Implant	0	
Toxic Waste	0	
Other	0	
<b>PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
Dental	0	
Legal	0	
Medical Malpractice	1	
Other	0	
<b>CONTRACT</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>23.2%</b>
<i>(does not include judgments)</i>		
Buyer Plaintiff	0	
Debt Collection: Credit Card	9	
Debt Collection: Other	2	
Employment Dispute:		
Employment Dispute: Discriminatio	1	
Employment Dispute: Other	0	
Other	1	

<b>REAL PROPERTY</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>23.2%</b>
Ejectment*	1	
Eminent Domain/Condemnation	0	
Ground Rent	0	
Landlord/Tenant Dispute	0	
Mortgage Forclosures:		
Residential*	8	
Commercial*	1	
Partition of Real Property*	0	
Quiet Title*	2	
Other*	1	
<b>CIVIL APPEALS</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
<i>- Administrative Agencies</i>		
Board of Assessment*	0	
Board of Elections*	0	
Dept. of Transportation*	2	
Zoning Board*	0	
Statutory Appeal - Other*	0	
Other*	1	
<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17.9%</b>
Common Law/		
Statutory Arbitration*	0	
Declaratory Judgment*	0	
Mandamus*	0	
Non-Domestic Relations		
Restraining Order*	1	
Quo Warranto*	0	
Replevin*	2	
Other*	7	
<b>TOTAL DOCKETED CASES:</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>100%</b>


### Of the Docketed Cases:

Pro Se Filings: 0                      Class Action Suits: 0                      MDJ Appeals: 0

\* Civil Other

# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)




## 2012 Protection From Abuse

**No. of  
Petitions**


Cases Pending 1/1/2012	0
New Cases Filed in 2012	18
<i>Of these, Petitions Requesting Temporary Orders: 18 (100.0%)</i>	
<i>Temporary Orders DENIED: 0 (0.0%), Temporary Orders GRANTED: 18 (100.0%)</i>	
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>18</b>
Processed By:	
Temporary Order DENIED After Ex Parte Hearing and No Evidentiary Hearing Scheduled	0
Temporary Order DISMISSED After Evidentiary Hearing and No Final Order Entered	0
Final Order DENIED After Evidentiary Hearing Before a Judge	1 5.6%
Final Order GRANTED After Evidentiary Hearing Before a Judge	3 16.7%
Plaintiff Did Not Appear For Evidentiary Hearing	0
Final Order by STIPULATION OR AGREEMENT Between the Parties	11 61.1%
Petition WITHDRAWN by Plaintiff	3 16.7%
TRANSFERRED to Another Jurisdiction	0
DECEASED Party	0
Other	0
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>18 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>0</b>

**PFA New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**




# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)




<b>2012 Spousal &amp; Child Support</b>	<b>No. of Petitions</b>	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	5	
New Cases Filed in 2012	14	
Dockets Transferred In (+)	0	
Dockets Transferred Out (-)	2	
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>17</b>	
Processed By:		
Judge	0	
Intake Officer	10	62.5%
Hearing Officer	6	37.5%
Other	0	
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>1</b>	

**Spousal & Child Support New Cases Filed, 2004-2012**





# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)




## 2012 Custody/Partial Custody/Visitation

	No. of Petitions	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	4	
New Cases Filed in 2012	18	
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>22</b>	
Processed By:		
Judge	0	
Non-Judicial Officer	5	33.3%
Other	10	66.7%
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>7</b>	




Note: Clearance Rate = Cases Processed / New Cases Filed




# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)



<b>2012 Divorce</b>	<b>No. of Petitions</b>	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	28	
New Cases Filed in 2012	15	
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>43</b>	
Processed By:		
Contested Before a Judge	11	91.7%
Contested Before a Master	0	
Uncontested	0	
Other	1	8.3%
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>31</b>	

**Divorce New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**




Note. Due to a high number of out-of-district petitions, Cameron and Potter Counties have a larger inventories than other 8th class counties. Therefore, it is not meaningful to compare Cameron and Potter Counties with other 8th class counties.



# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)




## 2012 Juvenile Dependency - Active Dependent Inventory

	Abuse/ Neglect	Status Offenses	Total
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	0	0	0
New Petitions Filed in 2012	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
<b>Total Petitions Available for Processing</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Processed by:			
Adjudicated Dependent			
Judge	0	0	0
Master	0	0	0
Adjudicated NOT Dependent			
Judge	0	0	0
Master	0	0	0
Withdrawn/Dismissed/Inactive	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
<b>Total Petitions Processed</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Data provided by CPCMS


Abuse/Neglect and Status Offenses New Cases Filed, 2003-2012



Note: Dependency data for 2002-2010 were collected manually. Dependency data for 2011 and 2012 were provided by CPCMS.

# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)



## 2012 Juvenile Dependency - Adjudicated Dependent Inventory

	Abuse/ Neglect	Status Offenses	Total
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	4	0	4
New Adjudicated Dependent Cases	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
<b>Total Adjudicated Dependent Cases</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
<b><u>Court Supervision Terminated:</u></b>			
Family Reunification	0	0	0
Adoption	0	0	0
Placement with a Relative/Guardian	3 100.0%	0	3 100.0%
Aged Out	0	0	0
Other Permanency Outcomes*	0	0	0
Other**	0	0	0
<b>Total Adjudicated Dependent Cases Terminated</b>	<b>3 100.0%</b>	<b>0 100.0%</b>	<b>3 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>


Data provided by CPCMS

\* Other Permanency Outcomes include: adjudicated delinquent, death due to natural causes, death due to negligence, emancipation, permanent living arrangement, and parent has come forward.

\*\* Other includes cases where court supervision is terminated due to transfer out of jurisdiction, withdrawal and administrative closure.

# Forest County


2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)




## 2012 Juvenile Delinquency

	No. of Cases
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	2
New Cases Filed in 2012	4
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>6</b>
Processed By:	
Judge	1 20.0%
Non-Judicial Officer	0
Other	4 80.0%
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>5 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>1</b>

Juvenile Delinquency New Cases Filed, 2003-2012




Clearance Rate, 2003-2012




Note: Clearance Rate = Cases Processed / New Cases Filed

Cases Pending at the End of Year, 2003-2012




# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)




<b>2012 Accounts</b>	<b>No. of Cases</b>	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	1	
New Cases Filed in 2012	5	
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>6</b>	
Processed By:		
Contested before a Judge	1	50.0%
Contested before a Non-judicial Officer	0	
Uncontested	0	
Other	1	50.0%
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>4</b>	

**Accounts New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**




# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)



<b>2012 Adoptions</b>		<b>No. of Cases</b>
Cases Pending 1/1/2012		1
New Cases Filed in 2012		2
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>		<b>3</b>
Processed By:		
Contested before a Judge	Granted	2 100.0%
	Denied	0
Uncontested	Granted	0
	Denied	0
Other		0
<b>Cases Processed</b>		<b>2 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>		<b>1</b>


**Adoptions New Cases Filed, 2008-2012**



Note: Prior to 2008, each petition was counted as one new case, regardless of the number of children listed on the petition. Starting in 2008, each child listed on a petition is counted as a separate case. Therefore, statistics since 2008 should not be compared to statistics prior to 2008.


# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)




<b>2012 Relinquishments/Terminations</b>	<b>No. of Cases</b>
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	0
New Cases Filed in 2012	2
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>2</b>
Processed By:	
Contested before a Judge	1 50.0%
Uncontested	0
Other	1 50.0%
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>2 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>0</b>

**Relinquishments/Terminations New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**




# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)




<b>2012 Appointment of Guardians</b>	<b>No. of Cases</b>
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	0
New Cases Filed in 2012	1
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>1</b>
Processed By:	
Contested before a Judge	0
Uncontested	0
Other	1 100.0%
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>1 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>0</b>

**Appointment of Guardians New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**



# Forest County

2012 Population Estimate: 7,667  
 Class of County: 8th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2  
 (Forest and Warren combined)



## 2012 Jury Yield and Utilization

### Jury Yield

Qualification Questionnaires/Summonses Mailed:	561	A		
Returned Undeliverable:	28	B	5.0%	Of Qualification Questionnaires/Summonses Mailed
Not Responded to:	30	C	5.3%	
the Number of Prospective Jurors:				
Disqualified:	63	D	11.2%	
Exempted:	45	E	8.0%	
Excused:	180	F	32.1%	
Deferred to New Term:	0	G		
Deferred from Previous Term:	0	H		
<b>Total Number of Qualified Jurors Available for Service:</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	

$I = A - B - C - D - E - F - G + H$

### Jury Utilization

the Number of Jurors:			
Reporting for Service:	158	73.5%	Of Jurors Qualified and Available
Told Not to Report for Service:	67	31.2%	
Sent to Courtroom for Jury Selection (Voir Dire):	158	100.0%	Of Jurors Reporting for Service
Impanelled or Sworn (jurors/alternates):	98	62.0%	
Removed for Cause/Hardship:	0		
Removed by Peremptory Challenge:	0		

### Jury Costs

Total Annual Budget for Juror Operations:	\$16,539.00	J
Average Cost Per Juror:	\$29.48	K

$K = J/A$

In mid-2011, AOPC began utilizing the National Center for State Courts' Jury Managers' Toolbox for collecting jury data. In 2012, the counties began providing additional jury data which included information about the summoning practices in the counties. With this additional data, we can now see not only how many citizens come to our courthouses every day in anticipation of serving on a jury, but the number of citizens actually summonsed and the number of those summonsed who are exempt, excused or disqualified from service. With this wider view of the jury process, we can determine the efficiency with which our courts operate, and with the assistance of the Jury Managers' Toolbox find guidance in streamlining the jury summonsing practices.