


# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2




<b>2012 Criminal</b>	<b>No. of Cases</b>	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	146	
New Cases Filed in 2012	563	
Reopened Cases	33	
<b>Cases Available for Disposition</b>	<b>742</b>	
<b>Disposed by:</b>		
Withdrawn/Dismissed	15	2.8%
ARD	92	17.0%
Guilty Plea	379	70.2%
Non-Jury Trial	4	0.7%
Jury Trial	3	0.6%
Inactive	38	7.0%
Other	9	1.7%
<b>Total Cases Disposed</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>202</b>	
Cases Awaiting Sentencing	46	



<b>Age of Pending Cases as of 12/31/2012</b>		
0-60 Days	92	45.5%
61-120 Days	45	22.3%
121-180 Days	19	9.4%
181-240 Days	17	8.4%
241-300 Days	8	4.0%
301-360 Days	7	3.5%
Over 360 Days	14	6.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Data provided by CPCMS

**Criminal New Cases Filed, 2005-2012**




# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



## 2012 Criminal - New Cases by Case Type



Case Type	Felony	Misdemeanor	Summary /Ungraded	Total
Person	37	28	2	67
Property	85	123	1	209
Drug	22	39	0	61
Weapon	4	0	0	4
Public Order	10	28	0	38
Motor Vehicle - DWI/DUI	0	184	0	184
Motor Vehicle - Other	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>563</b>
	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


Data provided by CPCMS

# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2




2012 Civil	Civil Action	Other	Total
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	572	899	1,471 (R)
Docketed Cases	483	938	1,421
Arbitration Appeals	8	0	8
Transferred In	0	0	0
Returned to Active	0	0	0
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>1,063</b>	<b>1,837</b>	<b>2,900</b>
Disposed By:			
Default Judgments	218	534	752
Cases Decided by Arbitration Board	10	1	11
Dispositive Motions	0	0	0
Settlements	46	64	110
Moved to Inactive Status	0	0	0
Transferred/Withdrawn	6	7	13
Administrative Purge	12	95	107
Other	155	198	353
Jury Trial	5	0	5
Non-Jury Trial	8	4	12
<b>Total Cases Disposed</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>903</b>	<b>1,363</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>934</b>	<b>1,537</b>

### Age of Pending Cases as of 12/31/2012 (Civil Action Only)

0-6 months	174	28.9%
7-12 months	129	21.4%
13-18 months	108	17.9%
19-24 months	89	14.8%
25-30 months	102	16.9%
31-36 months	1	0.2%
37-42 months	0	
43-48 months	0	
49-54 months	0	
55-60 months	0	
61-66 months	0	
67-72 months	0	
Over 72 months	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

(R) - Revised


Docketed Cases, 2003-2012



Note: Prior to June 2010, docketed cases under the "Other" category were not reported. The inclusion of these cases starting from June 2010 may contribute to an increase in docketed cases from 2009 to 2010.

# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



## 2012 Civil - Docketed Cases Listed by Case Type

<b>TORT</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>6.8%</b>
<i>(does not include mass tort)</i>		
Intentional	3	
Malicious Prosecution	1	
Motor Vehicle	62	
Nuisance	1	
Premise Liability	19	
Product Liability	1	
Slander/Libel/Defamation	2	
Other	8	
<b>MASS TORT</b>	<b>0</b>	
Asbestos	0	
Tobacco	0	
Toxic Tort - DES	0	
Toxic Tort - Implant	0	
Toxic Waste	0	
Other	0	
<b>PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
Dental	0	
Legal	1	
Medical Malpractice	0	
Other	1	
<b>CONTRACT</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>26.9%</b>
<i>(does not include judgments)</i>		
Buyer Plaintiff	3	
Debt Collection: Credit Card	234	
Debt Collection: Other	127	
Employment Dispute:		
Employment Dispute: Discriminatio	0	
Employment Dispute: Other	0	
Other	18	

<b>REAL PROPERTY</b>	<b>845</b>	<b>59.5%</b>
Ejectment*	76	
Eminent Domain/Condemnation	14	
Ground Rent	0	
Landlord/Tenant Dispute	2	
Mortgage Foreclosure:		
Residential*	662	
Commercial*	42	
Partition of Real Property*	6	
Quiet Title*	27	
Other*	16	
<b>CIVIL APPEALS</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>3.4%</b>
<i>- Administrative Agencies</i>		
Board of Assessment*	9	
Board of Elections*	0	
Dept. of Transportation*	25	
Zoning Board*	1	
Statutory Appeal - Other*	0	
Other*	13	
<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
Common Law/		
Statutory Arbitration*	0	
Declaratory Judgment*	4	
Mandamus*	2	
Non-Domestic Relations		
Restraining Order*	0	
Quo Warranto*	0	
Replevin*	3	
Other*	38	
<b>TOTAL DOCKETED CASES:</b>	<b>1,421</b>	<b>100%</b>


### Of the Docketed Cases:

Pro Se Filings: 38 2.7%      Class Action Suits: 0      MDJ Appeals: 49 3.4%

\* Civil Other

# Pike County


2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



## 2012 Protection From Abuse


	<b>No. of Petitions</b>
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	36
New Cases Filed in 2012	293
<i>Of these, Petitions Requesting Temporary Orders: 293 (100.0%)</i>	
<i>Temporary Orders DENIED: 84 (28.7%), Temporary Orders GRANTED: 209 (71.3%)</i>	
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>329</b>
Processed By:	
Temporary Order DENIED After Ex Parte Hearing and No Evidentiary Hearing Scheduled	2 0.8%
Temporary Order DISMISSED After Evidentiary Hearing and No Final Order Entered	0
Final Order DENIED After Evidentiary Hearing Before a Judge	9 3.6%
Final Order GRANTED After Evidentiary Hearing Before a Judge	63 25.3%
Plaintiff Did Not Appear For Evidentiary Hearing	30 12.0%
Final Order by STIPULATION OR AGREEMENT Between the Parties	57 22.9%
Petition WITHDRAWN by Plaintiff	88 35.3%
TRANSFERRED to Another Jurisdiction	0
DECEASED Party	0
Other	0
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>249 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>80</b>

**PFA New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**




# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



<b>2012 Spousal &amp; Child Support</b>	<b>No. of Petitions</b>	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	135	
New Cases Filed in 2012	848	
Dockets Transferred In (+)	31	
Dockets Transferred Out (-)	14	
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>1,000</b>	
Processed By:		
Judge	49	5.6%
Intake Officer	823	94.4%
Hearing Officer	0	
Other	0	
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>872</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>128</b>	

**Spousal & Child Support New Cases Filed, 2004-2012**




# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899

Class of County: 6th



No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2




## 2012 Custody/Partial Custody/Visitation

No. of Petitions

Cases Pending 1/1/2012	99
New Cases Filed in 2012	165
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>264</b>
Processed By:	
Judge	58 33.7%
Non-Judicial Officer	113 65.7%
Other	1 0.6%
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>172 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>92</b>




Note: Clearance Rate = Cases Processed / New Cases Filed




# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



<b>2012 Divorce</b>	<b>No. of Petitions</b>	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	280	
New Cases Filed in 2012	198	
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>478</b>	
Processed By:		
Contested Before a Judge	0	
Contested Before a Master	27	14.8%
Uncontested	150	82.4%
Other	5	2.7%
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>296</b>	


**Divorce New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**





# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2




## 2012 Juvenile Dependency - Active Dependent Inventory



	Abuse/ Neglect	Status Offenses	Total
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	7	0	7
New Petitions Filed in 2012	22	1	23
Other	0	0	0
<b>Total Petitions Available for Processing</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>30</b>
Processed by:			
Adjudicated Dependent			
Judge	17	1	18
Master	0	0	0
Adjudicated NOT Dependent			
Judge	0	0	0
Master	0	0	0
Withdrawn/Dismissed/Inactive	9	0	9
Other	2	0	2
<b>Total Petitions Processed</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>

Data provided by CPCMS

Abuse/Neglect and Status Offenses New Cases Filed, 2003-2012




Note: Dependency data for 2002-2010 were collected manually. Dependency data for 2011 and 2012 were provided by CPCMS.

# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



## 2012 Juvenile Dependency - Adjudicated Dependent Inventory



	Abuse/ Neglect	Status Offenses	Total
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	31	0	31
New Adjudicated Dependent Cases	17	1	18
Other	0	0	0
<b>Total Adjudicated Dependent Cases</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>49</b>
<b><u>Court Supervision Terminated:</u></b>			
Family Reunification	1 4.3%	0	1 4.3%
Adoption	3 13.0%	0	3 13.0%
Placement with a Relative/Guardian	12 52.2%	0	12 52.2%
Aged Out	4 17.4%	0	4 17.4%
Other Permanency Outcomes*	3 13.0%	0	3 13.0%
Other**	0	0	0
<b>Total Adjudicated Dependent Cases Terminated</b>	<b>23 100.0%</b>	<b>0 100.0%</b>	<b>23 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>26</b>

Data provided by CPCMS

\* Other Permanency Outcomes include: adjudicated delinquent, death due to natural causes, death due to negligence, emancipation, permanent living arrangement, and parent has come forward.


\*\* Other includes cases where court supervision is terminated due to transfer out of jurisdiction, withdrawal and administrative closure.

# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899

Class of County: 6th

No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



## 2012 Juvenile Delinquency

**No. of Cases**

Cases Pending 1/1/2012 **78**

New Cases Filed in 2012 **84**

**Cases Available for Processing 162**


Processed By:

Judge	67	83.8%
Non-Judicial Officer	0	
Other	13	16.3%


**Cases Processed 80 100.0%**

**Cases Pending 12/31/2012 82**

Juvenile Delinquency New Cases Filed, 2003-2012




Clearance Rate, 2003-2012




Note: Clearance Rate = Cases Processed / New Cases Filed

Cases Pending at the End of Year, 2003-2012



# Pike County


2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



<b>2012 Accounts</b>	<b>No. of Cases</b>
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	17 (R)
New Cases Filed in 2012	6
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>23</b>
Processed By:	
Contested before a Judge	0
Contested before a Non-judicial Officer	0
Uncontested	4 100.0%
Other	0
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>4 100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>19</b>


(R) - Revised

**Accounts New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**



# Pike County


2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



<b>2012 Adoptions</b>		<b>No. of Cases</b>	
Cases Pending 1/1/2012		21 (R)	
New Cases Filed in 2012		18	
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>		<b>39</b>	
Processed By:			
Contested before a Judge	Granted	1	5.6%
	Denied	0	
Uncontested	Granted	16	88.9%
	Denied	1	5.6%
Other		0	
<b>Cases Processed</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>		<b>21</b>	

(R) - Revised


**Adoptions New Cases Filed, 2008-2012**



Note: Prior to 2008, each petition was counted as one new case, regardless of the number of children listed on the petition. Starting in 2008, each child listed on a petition is counted as a separate case. Therefore, statistics since 2008 should not be compared to statistics prior to 2008.

# Pike County


2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



2012 Relinquishments/Terminations	No. of Cases
Cases Pending 1/1/2012	14 (R)
New Cases Filed in 2012	15
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>	<b>29</b>
Processed By:	
Contested before a Judge	1     9.1%
Uncontested	9     81.8%
Other	1     9.1%
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>11    100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>	<b>18</b>


(R) - Revised

**Relinquishments/Terminations New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**



# Pike County


2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



<b>2012 Appointment of Guardians</b>		<b>No. of Cases</b>
Cases Pending 1/1/2012		5 (R)
New Cases Filed in 2012		8
<b>Cases Available for Processing</b>		<b>13</b>
Processed By:		
Contested before a Judge		0
Uncontested	1	100.0%
Other		0
<b>Cases Processed</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Cases Pending 12/31/2012</b>		<b>12</b>


(R) - Revised

**Appointment of Guardians New Cases Filed, 2003-2012**



# Pike County

2012 Population Estimate: 56,899  
 Class of County: 6th  
 No. of Common Pleas Court Judgeships: 2



## 2012 Jury Yield and Utilization

### Jury Yield

Qualification Questionnaires/Summonses Mailed:	2,800	A		
Returned Undeliverable:	27	B	1.0%	Of Qualification Questionnaires/Summonses Mailed
Not Responded to:	31	C	1.1%	
the Number of Prospective Jurors:				
Disqualified:	870	D	31.1%	
Exempted:	0	E		
Excused:	445	F	15.9%	
Deferred to New Term:	364	G	13.0%	
Deferred from Previous Term:	260	H	9.3%	
<b>Total Number of Qualified Jurors Available for Service:</b>	<b>1,323</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>47.3%</b>	

$I = A - B - C - D - E - F - G + H$

### Jury Utilization

the Number of Jurors:			
Reporting for Service:	782	59.1%	Of Jurors Qualified and Available
Told Not to Report for Service:	153	11.6%	
Sent to Courtroom for Jury Selection (Voir Dire):	440	56.3%	Of Jurors Reporting for Service
Impanelled or Sworn (jurors/alternates):	140	17.9%	
Removed for Cause/Hardship:	84		
Removed by Peremptory Challenge:	170		

### Jury Costs

Total Annual Budget for Juror Operations:	\$68,800.00	J
Average Cost Per Juror:	\$24.57	K

$K = J/A$

In mid-2011, AOPC began utilizing the National Center for State Courts' Jury Managers' Toolbox for collecting jury data. In 2012, the counties began providing additional jury data which included information about the summoning practices in the counties. With this additional data, we can now see not only how many citizens come to our courthouses every day in anticipation of serving on a jury, but the number of citizens actually summonsed and the number of those summonsed who are exempt, excused or disqualified from service. With this wider view of the jury process, we can determine the efficiency with which our courts operate, and with the assistance of the Jury Managers' Toolbox find guidance in streamlining the jury summoning practices.