

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
WESTERN DISTRICT**

IN RE: FORTIETH STATEWIDE : Nos. 75, 77-82, 84-89, and 104 WM
INVESTIGATING GRAND JURY : 2018
:
:
:

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 2nd day of August, 2018, the Honorable John M. Cleland, as the special master in these appeals assigned to oversee the preparation of an interim grand jury report, is also designated to address and resolve the petitioners' objections to the redacted briefs submitted by Office of Attorney General in the above-captioned matters.

In considering those objections, Judge Cleland is to determine whether the challenged passages constitute specific or contextual references particular to the petitioners, which could allow for a discernment of their identity. Those passages are to be redacted from the briefs. As one point of specific direction, initials should not be substituted for names, since this approach could suggest an association between allegations of misconduct and a case caption employing a petitioner's initials, thus potentially giving rise to public speculation concerning identity.

Judge Cleland shall transmit the amended version of the redacted briefs to this Court as soon as practicable. In keeping with this Court's July 6 directive, the parties are cautioned against instigating unnecessary ancillary litigation regarding the production of redacted versions of the briefs.

The instant order is unsealed.