## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL, : No. 2669 Disciplinary Docket No. 3

;

Petitioner : No. 187 DB 2019

v. : Attorney Registration No. 30018

:

NEIL I. MITTIN, : (Montgomery County)

.

Respondent

# **ORDER**

# **PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 11<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2020, upon consideration of the Verified Statement of Resignation, Neil I. Mittin is disbarred on consent from the Bar of this Commonwealth, retroactive to December 8, 2019. See Pa.R.D.E. 215. Respondent shall comply with all of the provisions of Pa.R.D.E. 217 and pay costs to the Disciplinary Board pursuant to Pa.R.D.E. 208(g).

A True Copy Patricia Nicola As Of 06/11/2020

Attest: Chief Clerk Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

# BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE MATTER OF : No. 2669 Disciplinary Docket No. 3

NEIL I. MITTIN : No. 187 DB 2019

: Attorney Registration No. 30018

: (Montgomery County)

# RESIGNATION UNDER Pa.R.D.E. 215

Neil I. Mittin, hereby tenders his unconditional resignation from the practice of law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in conformity with Pa.R.D.E. 215 ("Enforcement Rules") and further states as follows:

- 1. He is an attorney formerly admitted in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, having been admitted to the bar on or about October 18, 1979. His attorney registration number is 30018. By Order dated November 8, 2019, effective December 8, 2019, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania placed Respondent on temporary suspension.
- 2. He desires to submit his resignation as a member of said bar.
- 3. His resignation is freely and voluntarily rendered; he is not being subjected to coercion or duress and he is fully aware of the implications of submitting this resignation.

FILED
05/28/2020
The Disciplinary Board of the
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

- 4. He acknowledges that he is fully aware of his right to consult and employ counsel to represent him in the instant proceeding. He has retained, consulted with and acted upon the advice of counsel in connection with his decision to execute the within resignation.
- 5. He is aware that there are presently pending disciplinary proceedings instituted against him pursuant to Rule 214, Pa.R.D.E. relating to his criminal conviction in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in the case of United States v. Neil I. Mittin, #2:19-cr-00418.
- 6. He acknowledges that the material facts which form the basis for his criminal matter are true and that he entered a plea of guilty on September 11, 2019 to one count of mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341. A true and correct copy of the Transcript of Arraignment-Plea Before The Honorable Michael M. Baylson United States District Judge is attached hereto and marked Exhibit A.
- 7. He submits the within resignation because he knows that he could not successfully defend himself against the charges of professional misconduct set forth in the attached Exhibit.
- 8. He is fully aware that the submission of this Resignation Statement is irrevocable and that he can only apply for reinstatement to the practice of law pursuant to the provisions of Enforcement Rule  $218\,(b)$  and (c).

- 9. He is aware that pursuant to Enforcement Rule 215(c) the fact that he has tendered his resignation shall become a matter of public record immediately upon delivery of the resignation statement to Disciplinary Counsel or to the Board Prothonotary.
- 10. Upon entry of the order disbarring him on consent, he will promptly comply with the notice, withdrawal, resignation, trust account, and cease-and-desist provisions of Enforcement Rule 217 (a), (b), (c) and (d).
- 11. After entry of the order disbarring him on consent, he will file a verified statement of compliance as required by Enforcement Rule 217(e)(1).
- 12. He is aware that the waiting period for eligibility to apply for reinstatement to the practice of law under Enforcement Rule 218(b) shall not begin until he files the verified statement of compliance required by Enforcement Rule 217(e)(1), and if the order of disbarment contains a provision that makes the disbarment retroactive to an earlier date, then the waiting period will be deemed to have begun on that earlier date.
- 13. He requests that his disbarment be made retroactive to December 8, 2019, the effective date of the temporary suspension Order. He is advised that the Office of Disciplinary Counsel does not oppose his request. He understands that the decision to grant his request lies solely within the discretion of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

It is understood that the statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S., Section 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

Signed this 6 Th day of March, 2020.

Neil I. Mittin

WITNESS:

Joseph G. Poluka/dK

Mr. Mittin emailed to me this signed, but not witnessed, Resignation statement. I attest to the fact that the signature is known to me as that of Mr. Mittin.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 19-CR-00418-1 MMB vs. NEIL I. MITTIN, Philadelphia, PA September 11, 2019 Defendant. 10:13 a.m.

> TRANSCRIPT OF ARRAIGNMENT-PLEA BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL M. BAYLSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

#### APPEARANCES:

For the Government: LOUIS D. LAPPEN, ESQUIRE

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

615 Chestnut Street

Suite 1250

Philadelphia, PA 19106

For the Defendant: JOSEPH G. POLUKA, ESQUIRE

JOEL C. SHAPIRO, ESQUIRE

BLANK ROME

130 North 18th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Audio Operator: JANICE LUTZ

DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING, LLC

P.O. Box 129

Gibbsboro, New Jersey 08026 Office: (856) 435-7172 Fax: (856) 435-7124

Email: dianadoman@comcast.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

Exhibit A

1	<u>INDEX</u>					
2	ARRAIGNMENT:				PAC	<u>GE</u>
3	By Courtroom Deputy					4
4	PLEA COLLOQUY:				PAG	<u> 3E</u>
5	By Judge Baylson	5,	14,	28,	39,	44
6	COLLOQUY VS. RESTITUTION:				PAG	<u> 3E</u>
7	By Mr. Poluka					9
8	By Mr. Lappen					9
9	MAXIMUM SENTENCE:				PAG	<u>GE</u>
10	By Mr. Lappen					14
11	ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE CHARGED:				PA	<u>GE</u>
12	By Mr. Lappen				;	28
13	COLLOQUY					
14	Ref: Possible separate hearing on loss				PAG	<u>GE</u>
15	By Mr. Poluka				4	43
16	By Mr. Lappen				4	43
17	FINDINGS BY THE COURT:				PAG	<u>GE</u>
18	By Judge Baylson				4	44
19	<u>PLEA</u> :				PAC	<u>GE</u>
20	By the defendant				4	45
21	BAIL:				PAG	<u>GE</u>
22	By Judge Baylson				4	46
23						
24						
25						

1	(The following was heard at 10:13 a.m.)			
2	THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.			
3	MR. LAPPEN: Good morning, Your Honor.			
4	MR. POLUKA: Good morning, Your Honor.			
5	THE COURT: Please be seated. All right, we are			
6	here this morning for what I am told will be an arraignment			
7	and a plea of guilty by the United States vs. Neil Mittin			
8	M-I-T-T-I-N. Present for the United States is Louis Lappen			
9	and present for the defendant is Mr. Joseph Poluka and Joel			
10	Shapiro. And from the Pretrial Services, Christopher Narcisi.			
11	MR. NARCISI: Good morning, Your Honor.			
12	THE COURT: Okay, all right, good morning. Let's			
13	proceed by swearing in the defendant.			
14	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.			
15	NEIL I. MITTIN, DEFENDANT, SWORN			
16	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Please state your			
17	full name and spell your last name for the record.			
18	THE DEFENDANT: Neil I. Mittin, M-I-T-T-I-N.			
19	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Mittin, good morning.			
20	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, sir.			
21	THE COURT: I understand that you that this is			
22	your first appearance and that in addition to being arraigned,			
23	you intend to plead guilty to an information, is that correct?			
24	Is that right, Mr. Poluka?			
25	MR. POLUKA: It is, Your Honor.			

Colloquy

4

THE COURT: Okay. Now, what I've done in other instances, Mr. Poluka, but it's up to you and Mr. Lappen, is I would first have the arraignment and then -- to which the defendant can plead not quilty, and then I would proceed with the colloguy for the guilty plea. Is that okay with you, or do you want to do it differently?

MR. POLUKA: Your Honor, that's fine. I've seen it done all kind of different ways. That's perfectly acceptable.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, so let's do the arraignment.

MR. POLUKA: That's fine.

THE COURT: Yes, is that all right, Mr. Lappen?

MR. LAPPEN: Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right, let's do the arraignment.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Neil Mittin, you have been charged in Information Number 19-418 with mail fraud in violation of 18 USC 1341. As to Count 1 of this information, how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

THE COURT: Okay, all right. All right, Mr. Mittin, I understand that there's no plea agreement here, this is an open plea?

MR. LAPPEN: No, Your Honor, there is a plea agreement.

THE COURT: Oh, there is. All right, I stand

# Plea Colloquy by the Court corrected. Okay. Okay, you're right. Thank you. (Pause in proceedings) THE COURT: Okay, do you understand that I'm going to be asking you a number of questions about your intention to plead guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And do you understand that you must answer those questions truthfully? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Do you understand that if you don't answer the questions truthfully, you can be prosecuted for making false statements or for perjury? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: If you don't understand one of my questions, will you tell me that before you answer it? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And do you understand that at any time you want to talk to Mr. Poluka, just tell me that and I'll stop the questioning so you can discuss anything you want with him in private? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

21

THE COURT: Okay.

23 PLEA COLLOQUY

BY THE COURT: 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

Where were you born?

Plea Colloquy by the Court

- 1 A Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 2 O All right. And how far did you go in school?
- 3 A I graduated law school.
- Q Okay. And have you ever been treated for drug or alcohol
- 5 addiction or for mental illness?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Okay. Are you under any kind of -- are you under any
- 8 medical care at this time?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Are you taking any medicine?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | Q What kind of medicine?
- 13 A I have been diagnosed with Graves' disease because of
- 14 thyroid problems so and that causes in my particular case
- optical problems, so I see double --
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A -- when I look at things --
- 18 Q All right.
- 19 A -- cannot see them because the tissues behind my eyes
- 20 have become swollen. That's why my eyes are bulging now. So
- 21 I'm on a medication to -- to help control that; however, it
- 22 hasn't worked to the satisfaction after undergoing radiation
- 23 treatments, so I'm tentatively scheduled to have surgery on my
- 24 eyes sometime in November, so that's the -- the major thing
- 25 I'm being treated for.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A I do take medication for other things.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A I have --
- 5 Q How do you feel today just aside from the medication.
- 6 A Fine --
- 7 Q All right.
- 8 A I mean fine --
- 9 Q All right.
- 10 A -- I feel fine. My vision sometimes is a problem and I
- 11 have to look away, but absent that, I can understand
- 12 everything.
- 13 Q Okay. All right, now you signed a plea agreement in this
- 14 case, is that correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- THE COURT: And who has the original plea agreement?
- MR. POLUKA: Mr. Lappen does.
- THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lappen, show it to the
- 19 defendant, please.
- 20 BY THE COURT:
- 21 Q All right, look at page 11. Is that your signature?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q All right. And then there's an acknowledgment of rights.
- 24 Did you sign that as well?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- Q Okay. Now, did you read these documents before you signed them?
  - A Yes, I read them with Mr. Poluka.
- 4 Q And did you have a chance to discuss them with Mr.
- 5 Poluka?

- 6 A I did.
- Q And did you discuss them with him and did you have the opportunity to ask him any questions you wanted to about the
- 9 document?
- 10 A I did, and I also discussed them with my other counsel --
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A -- Joel Shapiro.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 THE COURT: Now, and I want to go through the plea 15 agreement. Just put it in front of the defendant.
- 16 BY THE COURT:
- Q All right, in paragraph one, it states that you -- you
- 18 the defendant, agree to plead guilty to an information,
- 19 waiving prosecution by indictment charging you with one count
- of mail fraud in violation of Federal law, and also not to
- 21 contest forfeiture is set forth in the notice of forfeiture
- 22 charging criminal forfeiture, and all of these arise out of
- 23 your scheme to defraud your law firm of fees to which your law
- 24 firm was entitled by referring cases of the law firm to
- 25 outside lawyers to resolve the cases and share the proceeds

with you. Is that correct?

2

MR. POLUKA: Your Honor, if I may?

3

THE COURT: Yes.

4

MR. POLUKA: It is correct except the not contest

5

forfeiture. I've had extensive discussions with Mr. Lappen

6

about the interplay between forfeiture and restitution in this case and there is a tweak or fix if you will as to that issue.

7

Maybe it is the right time --

8

MR. LAPPEN: Your Honor --

10

MR. POLUKA: -- for Mr. Lappen --

11

MR. LAPPEN: -- Your Honor, there's no tweak,

12

there's no fix. He is not -- he's not contesting forfeiture.

13

All we're doing, to clarify the record, is that at some point

14

Your Honor is going to determine what the loss is that's

15

appropriate in this case -- the finding of what the loss is that he caused to the victims, and when Your Honor determines

16 17

what that loss is -- because that is contested, the parties

18

haven't agreed on that, the Government will have available to

19

it the tools of forfeiture and restitution as set forth in the

We do not intend -- and I'll be clear for the

20

plea agreement to collect on that number.

21 22

defendant -- we do not intend to double recover. So for

23

example, if Your Honor were to find that the loss is \$4.2

24

million, we are not going to try to get \$8.4 million or

25

anything above the \$4.2 million --

THE COURT: Okav.

2 3

MR. LAPPEN: -- but we are going to use restitution and forfeiture as necessary to obtain the loss and repay the victims --

4

THE COURT: Okay.

5 6

MR. LAPPEN: -- as Your Honor determines.

7

THE COURT: All right. Well, that --

8

MR. LAPPEN: That's a clarification.

9

THE COURT: -- that sounds understandable to me. Is

10

that agreed, Mr. Poluka?

11

MR. POLUKA: It is, Your Honor. Maybe tweak is not the right word, but in my view it is a modification because

12 13

we've had extensive discussions, we do not want to see the

14

Government try to double collect in any way, shape or form.

Whatever loss the Court determines we are --

whatever it is between 1.3 and 4.2 million which is set forth

in the plea agreement, that money should go to the victim law

agreement, the information contains a notice of forfeiture on

prepared by the Government and which your client signed, is he

agreed not to contest forfeiture, which is what I read to him.

page seven, okay? Now, the -- what the plea agreement

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POLUKA: It is correct, Your Honor, but -- it is

THE COURT: Okay. Well, for purposes of the plea

Is that correct?

firm and not to the US Treasury.

correct, but again, let's just say the Court found that the loss was 1.3 million. What the agreement is with the Government is that would be the total penalty. It wouldn't be

1.3 of restitution and 1.3 of forfeiture, so yes --

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lappen just agreed to that.

MR. POLUKA: Okay.

THE COURT: But he signed a plea agreement that he's not going to contest forfeiture, so I need to know if he agrees he's not going to -- if he's not going to agree to that, then I'm not going to approve the plea agreement.

MR. POLUKA: He agrees to it, Your Honor, as clarified by Mr. Lappen, yes.

THE COURT: All right.

#### BY THE COURT:

Q So -- well, Mr. Lappen did not say anything that annulled the agreement not to contest forfeiture. So my question, Mr. Mittin, you talked to Mr. Poluka about this, but this paragraph clearly says that you've agreed not to contest forfeiture, so I want to know whether you agree with that and I'm not going to accept a qualification on it.

MR. POLUKA: All right, let us have a minute. (Pause in proceedings)

MR. POLUKA: Okay, Your Honor, we're prepared to proceed.

THE COURT: All right.

### BY THE COURT:

- Q So do you agree as stated here not to contest forfeiture?
- 3 A As stated by counsel, no. No, I won't contest forfeiture
- 4 based upon the information provided by Mr. Lappen to the
- 5 Court.

1

- 6 Q Okay. All right, now I want to be sure that you
- 7 understand the procedure that will apply, assuming I accept
- 8 the guilty plea. The Probation Department will do a
- 9 presentence report about this offense and your background and
- 10 you're required to cooperate in providing information, do you
- 11 understand that?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q And do you understand that you'll get a copy of that
- 14 report, as will the Government, and you have then 14 days to
- 15 review it with your attorney and make objections to anything
- 16 in the report that you think is untrue or unfair, do you
- 17 understand that?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q And do you understand I will then have a sentencing
- 20 hearing and at which time I will review the presentence report
- 21 and any objections that were filed by you or the Government
- 22 and I will rule on that objections, do you understand that?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q All right. And then I will hear from you and your
- 25 counsel and any witnesses you want to prepare and I'll hear

- from the Government and determine what sentence to impose, do vou understand that?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- Q Now, do you understand that if you are unhappy with any of my rulings or you don't agree with them, that that's not grounds to withdraw your guilty plea?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q So do you understand that if you plead guilty today,
  9 you're pleading guilty for all time?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- Q And you understand that your lawyer and the Government lawyer can ask me to do certain things, but I don't have to do anything of them, do you understand that?
- 14 A Yes, sir.

16

17

18

19

20

- Q All right. Now, do you also understand as set forth in paragraph two that at the time of sentencing, the Government can make whatever recommendation as to imprisonment, fines, forfeiture, restitution and other matters which the Government deems appropriate, and your counsel has the same opportunity, do you understand that?
- A May I have a moment to speak to counsel?
- 22 0 Sure.
- (Pause in proceedings)
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Okay. All right. And do you understand that the

Lappen - Maximums / Plea Colloquy by the Court Government has the opportunity to bring to my attention all facts relevant to sentencing including any background facts or to correct any inaccuracies in the presentence report, and you have the same opportunity, do you understand that?

Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

All right. And do you --

THE COURT: -- I want Mr. Lappen to recite what is the maximum sentence in this case.

MR. LAPPEN: Yes, Your Honor, for the one count in which the defendant is charged which is mail fraud, the maximum penalty is 20 years in prison, a three-year period of supervised release, a \$250,000 fine and a \$100 special assessment. The maximum restitution is as much as \$4.2 million and forfeiture maximum is also not greater than \$4.2 million.

THE COURT: All right.

BY THE COURT: 17

- So do you understand, Mr. Mittin, that that's the maximum sentence?
- 20 Yes, sir. Α
- 21 All right. Now, has anybody given you any promises as to what sentence I will impose? 22
- 23 No, sir. Α
- 24 Do you understand no one can give you such a promise?
- 25 Correct, sir --Α

- Q All right.
- 2 A -- yes.

- Q Now, have you discussed the Sentencing Guidelines with your attorney?
- 5 A I have, sir.
- Q All right. Do you understand that the presentence report will contain a calculation of the Sentencing Guidelines?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q And do you understand that in a case of this nature the
  10 sentence -- the presentence report will indicate what is
  11 referred to as "the amount of the loss," which roughly or is
  12 -- intends -- is intended to reflect the amount of money that
- the victim lost due to your crime, do you understand that?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- Q All right. Now, I understand in this case there may be a dispute as to the amount of the loss, do you understand that?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- Q So as part of the sentencing hearing, I will most likely receive evidence about that and then I have to make a finding as to what the amount of the loss is, do you understand that?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. Now, because you pled guilty, you may not have the opportunity to appeal -- file an appeal from my determination about that, do you understand that?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

### Plea Colloquy by the Court

- 1 Q There's a waiver of appeal in this plea agreement, isn't that correct?
  - A Yes.

3

- Q All right. So the plea agreement states very specifically that as part of your agreement with the Government -- this is paragraph 14 on page eight -- that you're giving up any right to appeal or collaterally attack your conviction, sentence or any other matter that arises in this case, is that correct?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q All right. Now, that -- the way I read this, that
  12 probably includes any decision I make as to the amount of the
  13 loss, do you understand that?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. Now, do you understand the amount of the loss directly relates to the guideline offense level that I have to find as part of the sentencing proceeding, do you understand that?
- 19 A Yes, sir.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. All right. Now, do you also understand that at the time of sentencing, that I have to consider not only the guideline sentencing range, but I also have to consider other sentencing factors such as deterrence, the seriousness of the crime, the need for punishment, public safety, prospects for rehabilitation and things of that nature, do you understand

- 1 that?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q And have you discussed those with Mr. Poluka as well?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. All right. Now, do you also understand that the sentence will contain a period of supervised release which is a form of parole that while after you're released from prison, you will get a -- you will be under the supervision of the --
- 9 of a probation officer, do you understand that?
- 10 A Can I have a moment, Your Honor?
- 11 0 Sure.
- 12 (Pause in proceedings)
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- Q And do you understand that if you violate the terms of supervised -- supervised release, you may get additional
- 16 prison time?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q All right. Now, in paragraph five, you're agreeing to --
- 19 to fully disclose all income, assets, liabilities and
- 20 financial interests held directly or indirectly which were
- 21 held in your own name or the name of a relative, spouse,
- 22 tenants by the entirety, associate, another person or entity
- 23 or in trust or in any other format, whether held in this
- country or outside this country, is that correct?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

1 Q Okay.

MR. POLUKA: And I would add, Your Honor, if I may, that that statement has already been submitted to the US Attorney's Office.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

#### BY THE COURT:

Q So in paragraph (a), you're going to submit a completed financial statement of the debtor to the US Attorney's Office, that's already been done?

A Yes, sir.

MR. POLUKA: It has.

THE COURT: Okay.

#### BY THE COURT:

Q All right, and then you authorize the US Attorney to obtain a credit report, you also agree to submit a financial deposition or interview prior to sentencing and provide all documents, you agree not to transfer, assign, dispose, remove, et cetera, any property that you have with the effect of hindering, delaying or defrauding the United States, and you may not devalue any property worth more than \$1,000 before sentencing, you agree to execute any documents necessary to release any funds held wherever and to comply with the paragraph of this plea agreement — or no — if you fail to comply with the — with this paragraph of the plea agreement or any of your other misrepresentations, then the Government

\*

may elect to void this agreement or argue that you're not entitled to any downward adjustment, do you understand that?

A Yes, as per what we indicated on the financial statement

Q Okay.

A -- that we've already provided.

Q All right. Now, paragraph six says that you agree to pay a fine as determined by the Court and restitution as much as \$4,200,000 as determined by the Court, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

form --

Q All right. And as I understand from the discussion, that that amount may be disputed by you, but you understand that I have the power and the duty to make a finding as to the amount of loss and how much is -- should be a fine or restitution, but not to double count, is that -- is that understood?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. All right. And you agree in paragraph seven that forfeiture, restitution, fine, assessment, tax interest or other payments do not constitute extraordinary acceptance of responsibility or provide any basis to seek a downward departure or variance from the applicable Sentencing Guideline range, except that you may elect to seek a downward variance based on your intention to pay substantial restitution prior to sentencing, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

- Q Okay. You also agree to pay a special assessment of \$100, correct?
  - A Yes, sir.

Now, with respect to the forfeiture which is set forth in paragraph nine, you agree to forfeit your right, title and interest in an amount to be determined by the Court of as much as \$2 million, which represents the proceeds that you obtained from the offense of mail fraud charged in Count 1 of the indictment, is that correct?

(Pause in proceedings)

- A Yes, sir.
- Now, the last sentence in this paragraph says as follows: "The defendant agrees that due to your acts or omissions, all of these proceeds are not currently available to the Government for forfeiture, and that the Government is entitled to the forfeiture of substitute assets because one or more of the conditions in 21 US Code Section 853(p) have been met," is that correct?

(Pause in proceedings)

- A Yes, sir.
  - Q All right. Now, paragraph (b), do you agree to the entry of a preliminary order of forfeiture -- that you already agreed to that, but I'm going to sign that.
  - THE COURT: Has that been prepared, Mr. Lappen? Is that --

1 MR. LAPPEN: Your Honor, we will submit one 2 following this hearing. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 3 BY THE COURT: 4 5 And you understand that in paragraph (9)(c), the 6 Government is going to recommend to the Attorney General that 7 any payments by you towards the money judgment be remitted or 8 restored to eligible victims of the offense? 9 THE COURT: Now, does that mean there's going to be 10 a money judgment in addition to any criminal penalties? 11

MR. LAPPEN: It -- it means that there -- there can It depends -- I mean, we've been discussing with defense counsel the payment of restitution in advance of sentencing, so depending on how much money we get, we -- there may be that, or he may end up paying it through restitution.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LAPPEN: The point is that both of those vehicles are available to us.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. POLUKA: Said another way, Your Honor, we're trying to work it out.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

BY THE COURT:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Paragraph ten, you agree to waive any claims, defenses or challenging arising under the double jeopardy or excessive

Plea Colloquy by the Court

1 fine clauses of the Eighth Amendment, correct?

A I do, sir. Yes, sir.

Q All right. (11), I've already asked you about but I'll repeat it. You may -- you understand you may not withdraw your plea because the Court declines to follow any recommendation, motion or stipulation of the parties to this agreement, correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right. Now, then paragraph 12 contains certain stipulations. First, that the base offense level is seven, that under the other provisions of law set forth here, that the base offense level should be increased by at least 14 levels on the following basis set forth in this document, is that correct?

(Pause in proceedings)

MR. POLUKA: Your Honor, one -- one second.

(Pause in proceedings)

A Based -- based on my conversation with counsel, yes, sir.

Q All right. Now, then this paragraph goes on and says that you're -- you're going to argue -- you've agreed that you and your counsel will argue that the gain to you is approximately \$1,340,000, but the Government is going to argue that the loss caused in furtherance of your criminal activity can reasonably be determined to be as much as \$4,200,000 which would result in an 18 level increase to the base offense

level, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

- Q Okay. All right. And, all right, you understand that it's indicated as I said before that your guideline range that would be the recommended prison sentence under the guidelines, will be calculated based on the amount that I determine is the loss, do you understand that?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q Okay. You agree under paragraph (c) that another guideline provision that is applicable is that you abused the position of private trust and or you used a special skill in a manner significantly facilitating the commission and concealment of the offense resulting in a two level increase.
  - MR. POLUKA: Yes, yes, Your Honor, but there's another sentence. We -- we likely will oppose that adjustment and that is in the plea agreement --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. POLUKA: -- in the last sentence of that paragraph.

THE COURT: All right.

#### BY THE COURT:

Q Paragraph (d), you're going to -- the Government has agreed you're entitled to a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility and also an additional one-level downward adjustment because you timely notified the Government

- of your intent to plead guilty, is that correct?
  - A Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

- Q Paragraph 13, you understand under this plea agreement, you agree not to commit any Federal, State or local crime between today and the date of your sentencing and but if you do so, then the Government has certain options as set forth in paragraph 13, do you understand that?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q All right. Paragraph 14, I mentioned before that you're giving up any right to appeal or collaterally attack your conviction. By collateral attack, you understand I'm talking about a post-conviction petition or habeas corpus, do you understand that?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
  - Q Now, do you understand, Mr. Mittin, that the significance of this paragraph is that after I impose sentence, there's nothing you can do except serve the sentence, do you understand that?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And you're giving up any right to appeal, unless
  the Government appeals or unless I impose an illegal sentence,
  do you understand that?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- Q And by collateral attack, it means you can't file a habeas corpus petition or a post-conviction petition for any

- reason other than alleging that you had -- alleging and
  showing that you had incompetent counsel, do you understan
- 2 showing that you had incompetent counsel, do you understand
- 3 that?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Now, are you fully satisfied with Mr. Poluka's
- 6 representation of you?
- 7 A Yes, and Mr. Shapiro.
- 8 Q And Mr. -- and yes, and your co-counsel, okay. All
- 9 right, and you understand that if you do file an appeal of
- 10 collateral attack, that that may constitute a breach of this
- 11 plea agreement?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q Okay. All right, and you're -- all right, now did
- 14 anybody use any force, violence or threats to get you to plead
- 15 guilty?
- 16 A No, sir.
- 17 Q Why do you want to plead quilty?
- 18 (Pause in proceedings)
- 19 A I want to at this point in time, Your Honor.
- 20 Q I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.
- 21 A I want to at this point in time.
- 22 Q Why?
- 23 A I did something I wasn't supposed to do.
- 24 Q Well, did you recognize that you were committing a crime?
- 25 A At the time that I did it, no. Now looking back, yes,

1 sir.

- Q Okay. Do you understand that by entering this plea, this may deprive you of valuable civil rights such as the right to vote, hold public office, serve on a jury, possess a firearm or hold a professional license?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q All right. Have you ever been on supervision for a crime before such as probation, parole or supervised release?
  - A No.
- Q I want to be sure that you understand all of your constitutional rights. Do you understand that you're presumed innocent until you're proven guilty by the Government beyond a reasonable doubt?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q Do you understand that you have the right to the assistance of a lawyer at every stage of the proceedings including before trial, during trial and after trial and for any appeals to Higher Courts and if you cannot afford a lawyer, we will appoint one for you free of charge?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and persist in that plea and have your case tried by either a jury of 12 people or a Judge sitting alone?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Do you understand that you have the right to a jury of

1 your peers pulled from the residence of the District of this 2 Court and you would get to select who was on the jury?

Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

15

16

18

21

22

23

24

- Do you understand that in order to find you guilty, the verdict of the jury must be unanimous, that means all 12 jurors must agree that you were proven quilty by the Government beyond a reasonable doubt?
- 8 Yes, sir.
  - Do you understand you could obtain a subpoena or court order to make witnesses come to Court and testify during a trial on your behalf?
- 12 Α Yes, sir.
  - Do you understand that if you are found guilty, you could appeal such a finding of guilt to a Higher Court which would set aside or modify the finding of guilt or give you a new trial?
- 17 Α Yes, sir.
- Do you understand that at a trial, you have the right to confront and cross-examine, that is be in the courtroom and 19 20 face, see, hear and question the Government's witnesses against you?
  - Yes, sir. Α
    - Do you understand that at a trial you do not have to testify or take the witness stand if you do not want to, and if you don't take the witness stand, the prosecutor cannot

Lappen - Elements of Crime / Plea Colloquy by the Court 28 comment on or make reference to your failure to testify?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you understand that by entering this guilty plea, there will be no trial and that you would be giving up all of the rights that I just told you about and admitting that you're guilty?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right.

THE COURT: Mr. Lappen, would you please relate the elements of the crime charged, mail fraud, and what the Government would have to prove if the case had gone to trial?

MR. LAPPEN: Yes, Your Honor. The elements are for mail fraud, (1), that the defendant knowingly devised a scheme to defraud, that is to deprive another of money or property by fraud including by false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises or omissions concerning a material fact; (2), the defendant did so with the intent to defraud; and (3), that in advancing, furthering or carrying out the scheme, the defendant used the mails or a private or commercial interstate carrier, or caused the mails or a private or commercial interstate carrier to be used.

THE COURT: All right.

#### BY THE COURT:

Q Do you understand, Mr. Mittin, that's what the Government would have to prove if you pled not guilty and the case went

Lappen - Elements of Crime / Plea Colloquy by the Court 29 to trial?

A Yes, sir.

- Q And do you understand because you're pleading guilty, the Government doesn't have to prove anything?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Now, I have to include in this colloquy a summary of the evidence the Government believes it can prove if the case had gone to trial. I can have Mr. Lappen relate that or if you have read that document and with your lawyer's advice, you're willing to agree to those statements, I can incorporate that by reference.

MR. POLUKA: We agree, Your Honor, with one -- with one exception, if I may.

THE COURT: All right, I saw there's a footnote to that effect.

MR. POLUKA: Yeah. So, Your Honor, as the Court has already alluded to several times, there's a -- there's a dispute as to whether gain or loss is the appropriate measure for restitution in this case. The number varies from 1.3 to 4.2 million.

Part of the issue, Your Honor, is which cases are within the scope of the fraud, which cases referred out are within the scope of the fraud and which are not. The cases which are clearly of sufficient factual basis for the crime to which my client is pleading today are as follows, this is what

Lappen - Elements of Crime / Plea Colloquy by the Court 30 happened. The case came into the law firm, a case card for that case -- and they were to our knowledge, Your Honor, they were all personal injury cases.

1.5

There was a reference in the information to non-personal injury cases. Our knowledge is -- what I'm about to say refers only to personal injury cases. The case was opened by Mr. Mittin at the victim law firm.

Expenses in furtherance of that case were charged to the law firm, the defendant marked that case closed within the law firm's records, the case was then referred out to the attorney referred to in the information by the initials H.L., and then Mr. Mittin received a referral fee for those cases.

That is to what, Your Honor, Mr. Mittin is pleading guilty today. The dispute on the loss is other cases, other referrals where those facts are not present.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lappen, any comment on that?

MR. LAPPEN: Well first of all, it is true that the -- the factual basis that's sets forth in the change of plea memo or the plea memo is what we are talking about here that's incorporated in the record, so I want to make sure that's clear. I've gone over that with counsel.

He -- he agrees with everything in there, and I understand his client does, except that he wants to challenge loss in part on the basis that some of the cases that the

\_ \_

Government believes are part of the fraud, defendant does not agree are part of the fraud, so I don't -- I'm not going to accept his complete characterization of the fraud as he articulates it.

I would rather say that what is set forth as a fraud in the plea memo is accurate with the exception that he's going to challenge whether there are -- whether some of the cases that were not personal injury cases were part of that fraud. That will all be ironed out at the time of sentencing. He has certainly admitted to a sufficient factual basis to establish the elements of the offense --

THE COURT: All right. And exactly --

MR. LAPPEN: -- and we will address that.

THE COURT: -- what does the Government allege was the mailing that took place here?

MR. LAPPEN: Your Honor, the mailing is the mailing that is set forth in the information and it is summarized here. I will go through that. That is a check that was mailed to the defendant's home.

So in committing this fraud, when he stole the cases from the law firm and then sent them out to outside attorneys, the outside attorneys resolved those cases and then paid Mittin a fee and the way they did that was in many cases by sending him via the United State Mail or a private interstate carrier, his share of those fees to his home.

So the one that we allege in the information is that on January 3rd, 2017, H.L., which is one of those outside attorneys, used the mail or a commercial interstate carrier to send the defendant a check from his office in New Jersey to the defendant's home in Huntingdon Valley, Pennsylvania.

The check was drawn on the attorney trust account of H.L. and Associates. It was dated January 3rd, 2017 for \$65,148.50 made payable to Neil Mittin. The check represented compensation for the fraud referral of a personal injury matter on behalf of the client law firm that the defendant was not authorized to remove from the law firm.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I see that. That's on page six of the information.

## BY THE COURT:

Q So do you admit those facts, Mr. Mittin?

MR. POLUKA: Your Honor, may we have one moment?
THE COURT: Yes, sure.

#### (Pause in proceedings)

MR. POLUKA: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. POLUKA: -- if I could just back up for -- before he answers that question. The reason for the narrower scope, so to speak, of the plea for the cases which we assert are within the scope of the fraud -- and I understand what Mr. Lappen said and I don't disagree with him, is if there is a

parallel civil suit, again, which we're trying and hoping to 1 avoid, obviously anything that Mr. Mittin agrees to today 2 would be an admission hence the narrower category of cases to 3 which we're agreeing --4 THE COURT: Well --5 MR. POLUKA: -- are part of the fraud. 6 7 THE COURT: -- I appreciate that but, you know, he needs to admit that he committed the mail fraud that is set 8 forth on page eight of the information. That's what Mr. 9 Lappen specifically referred to. 10 MR. POLUKA: On page -- you mean paragraph eight? 11 12 THE COURT: Wait a minute. I think it's on page eight. 13 14 MR. POLUKA: Or do you mean --THE COURT: Yes, page --15 MR. POLUKA: -- the mail fraud count itself? 16 17 THE COURT: Sorry, no, page six. Page six. So that would be, for the record, page 18 MR. LAPPEN: 19 six of the plea memo, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: No, it's page six of the information. 21 MR. LAPPEN: Okay, it's also --22 MR. POLUKA: Yes. MR. LAPPEN: -- yes --23 MR. POLUKA: May I --24

MR. LAPPEN: -- page six of both --

MR. POLUKA: Yes.

MR. LAPPEN: -- of both, as it turns out.

THE COURT: Page six is entitled "Mail Fraud" so paragraph 23 of the information.

MR. POLUKA: Your Honor, the answer is yes, but Mr. Mittin should answer that question --

THE COURT: Yes, well --

MR. POLUKA: -- obviously not me.

THE DEFENDANT: As to that paragraph, yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, now here's the question I have. So, Mr. Lappen, paragraph -- the mail fraud count which has just been read, paragraph 23, that just alleges one mailing, correct?

MR. LAPPEN: That's correct.

THE COURT: Now, the Government -- but if I understand the plea agreement correctly, the defendant is agreeing that there were other acts and omissions that he committed and all of his entire conduct would be considered relevant conduct which would be applicable under the Sentencing Guidelines and also provide a basis for the imposition of sentence, is that correct?

MR. LAPPEN: That's correct. And I also think it's important that the record is clear and that Your Honor ask the defendant if he's admitting to the facts that are set forth in the factual basis section --

1

THE COURT: Yes, I --

2

MR. LAPPEN: -- of the plea memo --

3

THE COURT: I agree with that.

4

MR. LAPPEN: -- with the small and very minor carve

5

those that do not arise in the personal injury context, but

out that he's not agreeing to any specific cases, particularly

6 7

that the crime as is described there, is accurate and it's

8

what he did.

correct.

9

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Poluka, I think that's

10

11

MR. POLUKA: I think it is, Your Honor. I'm not sure I would agree with the characterization of "small." I

12

mean, that's -- will be for the Court to determine what the

13 14

gain or loss is, I -- I would disagree with that

15

characterization.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and in the scope of the plea are the ones where the case was opened at the law firm, costs were charged to the law firm, the cases were removed to one attorney -- not multiple attorneys. The information refers to multiple attorneys.

But the -- the cases to which are clearly fraudulent

Those personal injury cases were referred to one person by the initials in the information and those costs were never paid back to the law firm and my client received a referral fee for those cases.

THE COURT: All right.

BY THE COURT:

- Q Well, first of all, Mr. Mittin, have you read the Government's plea memorandum?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q All right. So I need to -- do you understand that the information just alleges one mailing, the one that Mr. Lappen read on page six, paragraph 23 --
- A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q -- do you understand that?
- 10 A Yes.
  - Q But do you understand that your guilty plea and your plea agreement reflect an agreement by you that you are going to be held responsible for a series of events that are set forth in the plea agreement and that will be calculated as part of the presentence report that include many more offenses -- crimes, in addition to what's set forth in paragraph 23?
  - A As to those files that were referred to the attorney by the initials of H.L.

MR. POLUKA: Your Honor, if I may, Mr. Mittin clearly understands that the Government only needs to charge one mailing. He understands that there's multiple mailings that would support obviously a much larger loss than \$65,000. The issue is and where is it in that range between the 1.3 million and 4.2 million.

THE COURT: Well --

1

MR. LAPPEN: And if I --

2

THE COURT: Well look here's the --

3

MR. LAPPEN: -- may I address --

4

THE COURT: Usually --

5

MR. LAPPEN: -- the mailing issue?

6 7

when a person is accused of a series of offenses, there's a

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: Just a minute. Just a minute. Usually

separate count for each offense, that's the usual practice.

Now, you're not doing that here, correct?

MR. LAPPEN: If I may, Your Honor, that actually -that's partially true. If we -- if we had charged this as an indictment, we might have had three mailings, four mailings, maybe ten mailings. We would never charge every single -almost never would charge every single mailing, nor would there necessarily be a mailing to correspond with every part of the crime. We just -- there just needs to be a mailing or even multiple mailings.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that, but I --

MR. LAPPEN: The point is there's a huge --

THE COURT: -- but the defendant has to understand that under the concept of relevant conduct, which I'm sure Mr. Poluka has explained to him, when it comes time for sentencing, he's going to be held responsible and he's -- I want -- he needs to admit and agree that he understands by entering into this guilty plea to this single count of mail

fraud, he is agreeing that he's going to be sentenced on a broad range of mailings and other cases which he says were limited to personal injury cases.

MR. LAPPEN: That is true, and I would say to Your Honor --

THE COURT: And he's also limiting it to those personal injury cases that were referred to this lawyer whose initials are H.L. Is that correct?

MR. LAPPEN: Well, you've -- let me back up to what you said initially.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. LAPPEN: So it is true that he's responsible for -- for relevant conduct, but before one even gets into the concept of relevant conduct, the crime is everything that he did, and then there has to be a mailing. So what is described in the information is part of what he's charged with, so he's charged with doing all those things, and having at least one mailing in furtherance of it.

So you are right, Your Honor, that's there's issues of relevant conduct, and that he has to admit to the crime, at least enough to establish all the elements of the crime as we've charged in the information.

And the -- the largest part of his fraudulent activity as we allege, did involve that particular lawyer and it did involve the personal injury cases in the way in which

1.5

they're described in the information and the way in which they're described in the change of plea memo. So not surprisingly, I believe this is getting overly complicated for no good reason.

All the defendant has to do is say I did what it said in the -- in the information, I did what it says in the change of plea memo and the facts, but I reserve my right to contest at the time of sentencing some number of cases that would not fit into a category of personal injury cases that were referred to H.L., and we can address of all that at sentencing.

THE COURT: Okay, so all right, that's fair enough.

BY THE COURT:

Q So, Mr. Mittin, let me phrase the question like this with what Mr. Lappen said and I'll try and put it clearly to you, but you can discuss this -- consult with Mr. Poluka. Do you understand that you are pleading guilty to this information and that you are also acknowledging that you admit the facts in the change of plea memorandum, that you admit committing all of those acts set forth there, except that you want to reserve the right to object to an abuse of trust enhancement, and you also don't admit to committing any mail fraud with regard to non-personal injury cases that you're -- you're asserting that your mail fraud conduct -- the criminal conduct was limited to your referrals of personal injury cases to the

lawyer identified as H.L. Now, is that -- do you admit that?

A Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with that, Mr. Lappen?

MR. LAPPEN: Yes, Your Honor. And then the rest of
it can absolutely be addressed at sentencing.

THE COURT: All right.

#### BY THE COURT:

1.3

Q Now, so you understand, Mr. Mittin, just to be clear, that when it comes time to be sentenced, the presentence report will be clear that it is going to be best -- it's going to be based on a course of conduct of you reflecting all of these personal injury cases referred to H.L. and that the offense level will be based on those and my sentence can be based on those as well, do you understand that?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right. Okay.

MR. LAPPEN: And before -- I have one request, Your Honor, given the nature of this unusual plea hearing, is Your Honor did go into some detail about the appellate waiver with the defendant --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LAPPEN: -- I would like to go into explicit detail about the appellate waiver with the defendant so there is no question on the record that he understands exactly what he's waiving.

THE COURT: All right, well, Mr. Poluka, have a look
at page nine of the plea agreement.

THE DEFENDANT: Which paragraph? If I may ask counsel which paragraph do you want to turn to?

MR. POLUKA: It's paragraph 14 --

THE COURT: It's paragraph 14.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, paragraph 14.

THE COURT: Well, I think I covered paragraph 14 but --

MR. LAPPEN: Well it is -- it's the rest of paragraph 14. Your Honor definitely covered it to a degree, but there's --

THE COURT: All right, well let's --

MR. LAPPEN: -- there are specific provisions --

Q Let me just read it to you. So look at -- do you have that in front of you, Mr. Mittin?

A I do, sir.

BY THE COURT:

Q So the last sentence on paragraph -- on page eight reads as follows: "As part of this knowing and voluntary waiver of the right to appeal or collaterally attack the conviction and sentence, the defendant expressly waives the right to raise on appeal or in a collateral review any argument that (1), the statute to which you pled guilty is unconstitutional; and (2), the admitted conduct does not fall within the scope of the

statute." Is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

- Q All right. And then on page nine, paragraph (a), I said this before, that if the Government appeals, you can appeal; (b), that if the Government does not appeal, then you can file an appeal only if your sentence exceeds the maximum -- that's what I referred to before as an illegal sentence. Sub (2), challenging a decision by the sentencing judge to impose an upward departure pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines. That means that as long as I sentence you up -- no greater than the -- the top guideline range, you can't appeal. But if I were to give you a sentence greater than the maximum guideline range, you could appeal.
- 14 A I understand that, Your Honor.
  - Q All right. Then (3), challenging the decision by the sentencing judge to impose an upward variance above the sentencing guideline range, that's the same thing.
- 18 A Yes, sir.
  - Q And then (4), that your attorney was constitutionally ineffective. I just covered that because you're thoroughly satisfied with Mr. Poluka's representation of you, as I'm sure he has done -- he's an outstanding lawyer, I know that personally. But you're fully satisfied with him, correct?
  - A And Mr. Shapiro, yes, Your Honor.
- 25 Q And Mr. Shapiro, yes. Okay. All right.

1

THE COURT: Does that --

2

MR. LAPPEN: Yes, thank you very much, Your Honor.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, it occurs to me that what -- I don't know how this is going to turn out, but I think that I need to set a date for a hearing on the amount of loss, unless you can some to some agreement about it, prior to the actual sentencing because I may want to take it under advisement for a few days or have briefs or things like that.

MR. POLUKA: One or either of us, Your Honor, were going to suggest that today. We may need a separate hearing on loss and we'll try to avoid it. Whether the Court wants to tickle a date or not we'll leave to the Court. We would like to work it out, but if we can't, it may require a separate hearing.

MR. LAPPEN: Right. And actually, the way that it may be the most efficient for the Court is there may be some briefing that we could do in advance of a hearing to identify an issue that if Your Honor were to decide it in a particular way, it would eliminate a lot of the factual presentation at a hearing. In other words, there's a -- there's a threshold legal issue about whether certain deductions from loss would even be allowed. If Your Honor would find that those deductions would be allowed, then we would have a hearing and we would address exactly what those deductions should be. it may be that we could start off by briefing that issue and

# Findings by the Court

then moving --

THE COURT: All right, well --

MR. LAPPEN: -- to a separate hearing date and then Your Honor could have a -- you know, you could sentence then or if you wanted a third date for the sentencing, we could do that.

THE COURT: All right, well I'm not opposed to that, but don't you think the presentence report should be prepared before any of that takes place?

MR. LAPPEN: Definitely. Definitely.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right, we'll set that. All right, let me -- I have a couple more questions.

BY THE COURT:

Q Do you understand, Mr. Mittin, that you have the right to have had these charges submitted to a Grand Jury of 16 to 23 people and at least 12 of these people would have had to find probable cause you committed this offense in order for you to be charged with indictment, are you voluntarily giving up this right and agreeing to let the Government bring charges against you in an information?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right.

THE COURT: And he signed the waiver?

THE DEFENDANT: I did.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right, I'm going

to find the defendant is alert, competent, capable of entering an informed plea, that this is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis of fact containing each of the essential elements of the offenses pled to. I therefore accept the plea and he arraigned on the information, one count of mail fraud.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Neil Mittin, you previously pled not guilty to Information Number 19-418 charging you with mail fraud in violation of 18 USC 1341 as to Count 1 of this information, how do you plead now, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

MR. LAPPEN: And, Your Honor, if I may --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LAPPEN: -- beg Your Honor's indulgence, one more request so this record is clear. At the beginning of this hearing, Your Honor asked the defendant about medication and health issues and he went to some length to explain what his current situation is.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LAPPEN: Would Your Honor mind asking him now, did he -- given the fact that he has those issues, we just went through this long hearing, was he able to understand and comprehend everything, despite the fact that he has those health and medical issues?

THE COURT: Okay, all right --

## Findings by the Court

THE DEFENDANT: I understand it, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: You do understand what's going on? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Poluka, you are an outstanding 4 member of the bar here, a former AUSA as I'm well aware and 5 based on your representation of the client and Mr. Shapiro, 6 I'll ask you the same question, are you satisfied that he's --7 MR. POLUKA: I am, Your Honor. I appreciate the 8 Court's comments. We've had extensive discussions with Mr. 9 Mittin. He's been lucid, he's asked the right questions and 10 we have no question whatsoever that he is competent to discuss 11 12 everything that we've discussed today and to enter a plea 13 knowingly. THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 14 15 MR. LAPPEN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, now I have to set bail, Mr. 16 17 Mittin. Do you have a passport? 18 THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir. 19 THE COURT: All right, is it with you? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: All right, well you'll surrender it to the gentleman here from Pretrial Services. 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right, I'll set \$50,000 bail and you 24

can sign your own recognizance. There will be a number of

Findings by the Court conditions that will apply to pretrial release that the 1 Pretrial Services will advise you of including no travel out 2 3 of this district without permission. And do you possess any kind of firearm or other dangerous weapon? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I'd like to be able to 6 travel to New Jersey. My daughter and grandchildren live in New Jersey. 7 8 THE COURT: All right. Okay, New --9 THE DEFENDANT: I'm asking if I can travel to

Pennsylvania and New Jersey.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: New Jersey, I'll --

MR. POLUKA: Yeah, Your Honor, we had discussed this with the Government and the Pretrial Services that travel limited to the States of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, if Mr. Mittin wants to travel outside those states, he should get permission --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. POLUKA: -- if that's okay with the Court.

THE COURT: All right, do you understand that?

MR. LAPPEN: That's correct, no objection from the Government.

THE COURT: All right, do you have any -- do you own any kind of gun or any other kind of firearm?

MR. LAPPEN: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right, and you -- part of the

### Findings by the Court

conditions is that you not possess any kind of gun or other dangerous weapon. And you'll have to report to Pretrial Services, as they will require. Okay, all right, well the -- I think we have to allow approximately close to 90 days for the presentence report, probably what, Janice, isn't it usually circulated within 60 days?

This one may take a little longer so let's assume that the presentence report is prepared before the Christmas holidays. I would like to -- well, let me -- all right, look -- just one second. I thought I had a 2020 calendar here.

(Pause in proceedings)

THE COURT: Okay, I think we should -- I'm going to make a special effort to make sure we have the presentence report prepared and circulated by the middle of December.

That's -- that should give you plenty of time. I'd like to have any briefs filed by Friday, January 3rd. I'll put this in a separate order.

And then I'd like to have the hearing on January 7th and I'm going to set January 14th for the sentencing. So the briefs will be due on the 3rd, and then we'll have an evidentiary — unless there's an agreement, then whatever are here we're going to have on January 7th and I'll set aside the entire day for that.

And then the sentencing will be on January 14th and I'll set aside one hour for that. I will intend to -- my

intention will be to make findings -- I don't know if I'll 1 2 make findings before the sentencing date or at the sentencing 3 date, but that's the -- that's the schedule, okay? All right, anything further from counsel? 4 5 MR. LAPPEN: Nothing from the Government. 6 MR. POLUKA: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay, thank you very much. Court is 8 adjourned. 9 (Proceeding concluded, 11:09 a.m.) 10 11 12 13 CERTIFICATION 14 15 I, Diane Gallagher, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the 16 17 official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 18 above-entitled matter. Digitally signed by Diane Gallagher

Disc cn=Diane Gallagher, o, ou,
email=dianadoman@comcast.net, c=US 19 Date: 2019.10.25 13:23:22 -04'00' 20 10/25/19 DIANE GALLAGHER DATE 21 DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING, LLC 22 23

24

# **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I certify that this filling complies with the provisions of the Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: Harold E. Ciampoli, Jr.

Signature:

Name:

Harold E. Ciampoli, Jr.

Attorney No. (if applicable): 51159