

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL	:	No. 243 DB 2018
Petitioner	:	
v.	:	Attorney Registration No. 83343
THOMAS M. CUSACK, III	:	
Respondent	:	(Lancaster County)

ORDER

AND NOW, this 7th day of June, 2019, in accordance with Rule 215(g), Pa.R.D.E., the three-member Panel of the Disciplinary Board having reviewed and approved the Joint Petition in Support of Discipline on Consent filed in the above captioned matter; and it is

ORDERED that the said THOMAS M. CUSACK, III, of Lancaster County be subjected to a PUBLIC REPRIMAND by the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania as provided in Rule 204(b) and Rule 205(c)(7) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be placed on PROBATION for a period of two (2) years subject to the following conditions:

Conditions of Probation:

1. Respondent shall abstain from using alcohol, drugs, or any other mind-altering chemical except under the strict supervision of a prescribing physician;
2. Respondent shall regularly attend therapy sessions with Pamela Michael or another licensed professional counselor at least monthly or on such shorter intervals as prescribed by Ms. Michael or a successor counselor;

3. Respondent shall authorize Ms. Michael or any successor counselor to make written reports directly to the Board Prothonotary verifying attendance at therapy sessions;
4. A sobriety monitor shall be appointed to monitor Respondent in accordance with Disciplinary Board Rule 89.293(c);
5. Respondent shall furnish his sobriety monitor with his licensed professional counselor's name, address and telephone number and shall authorize Ms. Michael or any successor counselor to communicate with his sobriety monitor concerning his treatment;
6. Respondent shall immediately authorize and direct Ms. Michael or any successor counselor to immediately provide a written report of facts and circumstances to the Board Prothonotary at any time when, in the estimation of that counselor, Respondent is in violation of the conditions of his probation or Respondent's behavior or material failure to conduct himself in cooperation with any aspect of the prescribed therapy regimen indicates that he is, or may be, in jeopardy of becoming mentally unfit to engage in the practice of law;
7. Respondent shall undergo any counseling, out-patient or in-patient treatment, prescribed by a physician or alcohol counselor;
8. Respondent shall file quarterly written reports with the Board;
9. With the sobriety monitor, Respondent shall:
 - a) meet at least twice a month;
 - b) maintain weekly telephone contact;
 - c) provide the necessary properly executed written authorizations to verify his compliance with the required substance abuse treatment; and

- d) cooperate fully.
10. The appointed sobriety monitor shall:
- a) monitor Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of the order imposing probation;
 - b) assist Respondent in arranging any necessary professional or substance abuse treatment;
 - c) meet with Respondent at least twice a month, and maintain weekly telephone contact with Respondent;
 - d) maintain direct monthly contact with Respondent's licensed professional counselor;
 - e) file quarterly written reports with the Board; and
 - f) immediately report to the Board any violations by the Respondent of the terms and conditions of the probation.


Failure to comply with the above Conditions shall be grounds for reconsideration of the matter under the specific provision of Enforcement Rule 203(b)(2) and Disciplinary Board Rule 87.53(b)

BY THE BOARD:


Board Chair

TRUE COPY FROM RECORD

Attest:


Marcee D. Sloan
Asst. Secretary of the Board
The Disciplinary Board of the
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

**BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL	:	No. 243 DB 2018
Petitioner	:	
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	Attorney Registration No. 83343
THOMAS M. CUSACK, III	:	
Respondent	:	(Lancaster County)

PUBLIC REPRIMAND

Thomas M. Cusack, you stand before the Disciplinary Board, your professional peers and members of the public for the imposition of a Public Reprimand. It is an unpleasant task to publicly reprimand one who has been granted the privilege of membership in the bar of this Commonwealth. Yet as repugnant as this task may be, it has been deemed necessary that you receive this public discipline.

Mr. Cusack, you are being reprimanded for your misconduct in two separate matters. In the first matter, on May 18, 2018, in the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, you entered a plea of guilty to DUI: Highest Rate of Alcohol (BAC .16+) – First Offense and DUI: General Impairment/Incapable of Driving Safely – First Offense. You were sentenced to incarceration for a period of time served to six months and a fine of \$1,000.00. You failed to report your conviction to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel.

In the second matter, in and around 2016 -2017, you represented Gail Lorenzo in divorce and related proceedings. By Order dated September 26, 2017, and effective thirty days thereafter, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania placed you on administrative suspension for nonpayment of your annual attorney registration fee. Thereafter, on

November 15, 2017, you appeared on behalf of your client at a status conference before the court; however, the court refused to hold the conference due to your administrative suspension. Opposing counsel filed a Petition to Disqualify Counsel in order to remove you from the matter. You did not contest the Petition and the court granted the Petition by order dated December 5, 2017.

As a result of your conduct, you have violated the following Rules of Professional Conduct ("RPC") and Pennsylvania Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement ("Pa.R.D.E."):

1. RPC 5.5(b)(2) – A lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction shall not hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in this jurisdiction
2. RPC 8.4(a) – It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to...attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct.
3. RPC 8.4(b) – It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as lawyer in other respects.
4. RPC 8.4(d) – It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.
5. Pa.R.D.E. 203(b)(1) – Conviction of a crime is grounds for discipline.
6. Pa.R.D.E. 214(a) – An attorney convicted of a crime shall report the fact of such conviction within 20 days to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel.
7. Pa.R.D.E. 217(j)(4)(iii) – A formerly admitted attorney is specifically prohibited from...performing any law-related services for any client who in the past was represented by the formerly admitted attorney.

8. Pa.R.D.E. 217(j)(4)(iv) – A formerly admitted attorney is specifically prohibited from...representing himself or herself as lawyer or person of similar status.


It is my duty to reprimand you for your misconduct. We note that you have no history of discipline in over twenty years as a practicing lawyer. You have acknowledged that you have a substance abuse problem and have received treatment for alcoholism. You are currently meeting with a therapist for individual counseling. You have accepted responsibility for your misconduct and have consented to probation for a period of two years with a sobriety monitor and conditions. Failure to comply with the conditions of probation shall be grounds for reconsideration of this matter. Since you are currently on administrative suspension status, your probation will not commence until you are reinstated to active status.

Please be aware that any subsequent violations on your part can only result in further discipline and perhaps more severe sanctions. We sincerely hope that you will conduct yourself in such a manner that future disciplinary action will be unnecessary.

Mr. Cusack, your conduct in this matter is now fully public. This Public Reprimand is a matter of public record.

As you stand before the Board today, we remind you that you have a continuing obligation to abide by the Rules of Professional Conduct and Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement. This Public Reprimand is proof that Pennsylvania lawyers will not be permitted to engage in conduct that falls below professional standards. Be mindful that any future dereliction will subject you to disciplinary action.

This Public Reprimand shall be posted on the Disciplinary Board's website at www.padisciplinaryboard.org




Designated Member
The Disciplinary Board of the
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

Administered by a designated panel of three Members of The Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on September 12, 2019.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The undersigned, Respondent in the above proceeding, herewith acknowledges that the above Public Reprimand was administered in his presence and in the presence of the designated panel of The Disciplinary Board at the Board offices located at the 1601 Market Street, Suite 3320, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on September 12, 2019.



Thomas M. Cusack

**BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL,	:	No. 243 DB 2018
Petitioner	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	Attorney Reg. No. 83343
THOMAS M. CUSACK, III,	:	
Respondent	:	(Lancaster County)

**JOINT PETITION IN SUPPORT
OF DISCIPLINE ON CONSENT
PURSUANT TO Pa.R.D.E. 215(d)**

Petitioner, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (hereinafter, "ODC") by Paul J. Killion, Chief Disciplinary Counsel, and Daniel S. White, Disciplinary Counsel, and Respondent, Thomas M. Cusack, III, (hereinafter "Respondent"), respectfully petition the Disciplinary Board in support of discipline on consent, pursuant to Pennsylvania Rule of Disciplinary Enforcement ("Pa.R.D.E.") 215(d), and in support thereof state:

1. ODC, whose principal office is situated at the Pennsylvania Judicial Center, 601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 2700, P.O. Box 62485, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 17106, is invested, pursuant to Pa.R.D.E. 207, with the power and duty to investigate all matters involving alleged misconduct of an attorney admitted to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and to prosecute all disciplinary proceedings brought in accordance with the various provisions of the aforesaid Enforcement Rules.

FILED 05/10/2019 The Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

2. Respondent, Thomas M. Cusack, III, was born on December 25, 1959, and was admitted to practice law in the Commonwealth on April 19, 1999. Respondent is on administrative suspension and his current public mailing address is 2148 Embassy Drive, Suite 220, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, 17603¹. Respondent is subject to the disciplinary jurisdiction of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court.

SPECIFIC FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS ADMITTED

3. Respondent's affidavit stating, *inter alia*, his consent to the recommended discipline is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

Criminal Conviction

4. On October 11, 2017, Respondent was operating a vehicle in the area of Oregon Pike in Manheim Township, Pennsylvania, while under the influence of alcohol.

5. A police officer stopped Respondent because he was operating this vehicle at night without activating the headlights.

6. During the course of the traffic stop, the police officer determined that Respondent was under the influence of alcohol and arrested him on suspicion of DUI.

7. The police officer transported Respondent to the Manheim Township Police Department, where Respondent submitted to a breath test.

8. The results of this breath test indicated that Respondent's BAC was 0.289%.

9. On February 5, 2018, a Criminal Information was filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, charging Respondent with, *inter alia*, DUI: Highest Rate of Alcohol (BAC .16+) – First Offense and DUI: General Impairment/Incapable of Driving Safely – First Offense.

¹ Respondent currently receives his mail at 301 S. Prince Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, 17603.

10. On March 13, 2018, the Honorable Margaret C. Miller issued a bench warrant for Respondent's arrest.

11. On April 30, 2018, the Honorable Donald R. Totaro issued a bench warrant for Respondent's arrest.

12. On May 18, 2018, Respondent appeared at the Lancaster County Court of Common Pleas, at which time, *inter alia*:

- a. Respondent was represented by Stephen William Grosh, Esquire;
- b. Respondent pled guilty to DUI: Highest Rate of Alcohol (BAC .16+) – First Offense and DUI: General Impairment/Incapable of Driving Safely – First Offense; and
- c. the Court sentenced Respondent to incarceration for a period of time served to six (6) months and a fine in the amount of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00).

13. Respondent failed to report this criminal conviction to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel.

14. In or before July of 2018, Respondent was released on parole.

Unauthorized Practice of Law

15. On or about December 20, 2013, on behalf of Gail J. Lorenzo, Patrick A. Deibler, Esquire, filed a Complaint in Divorce against Daniel M. Lorenzo in the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, docketed at CI-13-11919 (hereinafter the "Divorce Proceedings").

16. This Complaint in Divorce included a count for custody of the two minor children of Mr. Lorenzo and Ms. Lorenzo.

17. On or about September 30, 2015, Respondent entered an appearance on Ms. Lorenzo's behalf in the Divorce Proceedings.

18. By Order dated December 4, 2015, Mr. Lorenzo and Ms. Lorenzo were divorced.

19. On or about July 25, 2016, Ms. Lorenzo filed a *pro se* Petition for Modification of Custody Order (hereinafter the "July 25, 2016 Petition for Modification").

20. On or about August 23, 2016, Shawnee S. Soto-Ortiz, Esquire, filed a Petition to Schedule Hearing on Mr. Lorenzo's behalf.

21. On or about August 24, 2016, Respondent again entered an appearance on Ms. Lorenzo's behalf.

22. On November 18, 2016, a hearing was held on the July 25, 2016 Petition for Modification.

23. On December 15, 2016, the Court entered an Opinion and Order disposing of the July 25, 2016 Petition for Modification.

24. By Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania dated September 26, 2017, and effective thirty (30) days thereafter (hereinafter the "September 26, 2017 Order"), Respondent was administratively suspended from the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

25. By letter dated September 26, 2017, Suzanne E. Price, Attorney Registrar, advised Respondent of the September 26, 2017 Order and enclosed, *inter alia*, copies of Rules 217 and 219, Pa.R.D.E.

26. By Order dated September 28, 2017, an evidentiary custody hearing in the Divorce Proceedings was scheduled for December 13, 2017.

27. By Order dated October 16, 2017, a status conference was scheduled for November 15, 2017.

28. Respondent appeared for the November 15, 2017 status conference, but the Court refused to hold the conference due to Respondent's administrative suspension.

29. By email to Respondent dated November 29, 2017, Elijah Martinez, Ms. Soto-Ortiz's legal assistant, provided Respondent with a Petition to Disqualify Counsel for Conflict (hereinafter the "Petition to Disqualify") which Ms. Soto-Ortiz intended to file on December 4, 2017. This petition sought Respondent's disqualification.

30. By email to Ms. Soto-Ortiz dated December 3, 2017, at 6:17 PM, Respondent stated that "[he] hereby concede[s] to [her] petition [Respondent] no longer represent[s] [Ms. Lorenzo]."

31. By email to Mr. Martinez dated December 3, 2017, at 6:54 PM, Respondent stated that "[t]he petition is hereby conceited *[sic]*. [Respondent] no longer represent[s] [Ms. Lorenzo]."

32. By email to Ms. Soto-Ortiz dated December 3, 2017, at 6:57 PM, Respondent stated "[p]lease be advised that [he] no longer represent[s] [Ms. Lorenzo]."

33. On December 4, 2017, Ms. Soto-Ortiz filed the Petition to Disqualify.

34. By Order dated December 5, 2017, the Court granted the Petition to Disqualify.

**SPECIFIC RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND RULES OF DISCIPLINARY
ENFORCEMENT VIOLATED**

35. With respect to his representation of Ms. Lorenzo, Respondent violated RPC 5.5(b)(2), which states that "[a] lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction shall not hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in this jurisdiction."

36. With respect to his representation of Ms. Lorenzo, Respondent violated RPC 8.4(a), which states, in pertinent part, that “[i]t is professional misconduct for a lawyer to...attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct.”

37. With respect to his criminal conviction, Respondent violated RPC 8.4(b), which states that “[i]t is professional misconduct for a lawyer to commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects.”

38. With respect to his representation of Ms. Lorenzo, Respondent violated RPC 8.4(d), which states that “[i]t is professional misconduct for a lawyer to engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.”

39. With respect to his criminal conviction, Respondent violated Pa.R.D.E. 203(b)(1), which states that “[c]onviction of a crime” is a ground for discipline.

40. With respect to his criminal conviction, Respondent violated Pa.R.D.E. 214(a), which states that “[a]n attorney convicted of a crime shall report the fact of such conviction within 20 days to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel.”

41. With respect to his representation of Ms. Lorenzo, Respondent violated Pa.R.D.E. 217(j)(4)(iii), which states, in pertinent part, that “a formerly admitted attorney is specifically prohibited from...performing any law-related services for any client who in the past was represented by the formerly admitted attorney.”

42. With respect to his representation of Ms. Lorenzo, Respondent violated Pa.R.D.E. 217(j)(4)(iv), which states, in pertinent part, that “a formerly admitted attorney is specifically prohibited from...representing himself or herself as a lawyer or person of similar status.”

SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION FOR DISCIPLINE
PUBLIC REPRIMAND WITH PROBATION

43. A public reprimand is appropriate discipline for unauthorized practice of law

while on administrative suspension that is limited to one client matter. *Office of Disciplinary Counsel v. Mezrow*, 152 DB 2014 (2015). A private reprimand with probation consisting of a sobriety monitor is appropriate in cases involving criminal convictions for driving under the influence. See, e.g., *Office of Disciplinary Counsel v. Anonymous [Lewis]*, 212 DB 2010 (2012).

44. Respondent has no history of discipline in over twenty (20) years as a member of the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

45. Respondent has acknowledged that he has a substance abuse problem and has received the following treatment for alcoholism:

- a. inpatient drug and alcohol treatment at the Malvern Institute from February 5, 2018, through March 8, 2018, when he was discharged following his successful completion of the inpatient program;
- b. treatment at The Retreat from March 14, 2018, through March 30, 2018;
- c. PA Detox program at Retreat Premier Addiction Treatment Centers between April 10, 2018, and April 25, 2018, when he completed inpatient treatment; and
- d. PA Sub Acute Rehab at Retreat Premier Addiction Treatment Centers between May 22, 2018, and June 13, 2018.

46. Respondent is currently in individual therapy with Pamela Michael of TW Ponessa & Associates.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner and Respondent respectfully request that, pursuant to Pennsylvania Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement 215(e) and 215(g), a three member panel of the Disciplinary Board review and approve the Joint Petition in Support of Discipline on Consent

and impose upon Respondent a public reprimand with probation for a period of two years subject to the following conditions:

Conditions of Probation:

1. Respondent shall abstain from using alcohol, drugs, or any other mind altering chemical except under the strict supervision of a prescribing physician;
2. Respondent shall regularly attend therapy sessions with Pamela Michael or another licensed professional counselor at least monthly or on such shorter intervals as prescribed by Ms. Michael or a successor counselor;
3. Respondent shall authorize Ms. Michael or any successor counselor to make written reports directly to the Board Prothonotary verifying attendance at therapy sessions;
4. A sobriety monitor shall be appointed to monitor Respondent in accordance with Disciplinary Board Rule § 89.293(c);
5. Respondent shall furnish his sobriety monitor with his licensed professional counselor's name, address and telephone number and shall authorize Ms. Michael or any successor counselor to communicate with his sobriety monitor concerning his treatment;
6. Respondent shall immediately authorize and direct Ms. Michael or any successor counselor to immediately provide a written report of facts and circumstances to the Board Prothonotary at any time when, in the estimation of that counselor, Respondent is in violation of the conditions of his probation or Respondent's behavior or material failure to conduct

himself in cooperation with any aspect of the prescribed therapy regimen indicates that he is, or may be, in jeopardy of becoming mentally unfit to engage in the practice of law;

7. Respondent shall undergo any counseling, out-patient or in-patient treatment, prescribed by a physician or alcohol counselor;
8. Respondent shall file quarterly written reports with the Board;
9. With the sobriety monitor, Respondent shall:
 - (a) meet at least twice a month;
 - (b) maintain weekly telephone contact;
 - (c) provide the necessary properly executed written authorizations to verify his compliance with the required substance abuse treatment; and
 - (d) cooperate fully; and
10. The appointed sobriety monitor shall:
 - (a) monitor Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of the order imposing probation;
 - (b) assist Respondent in arranging any necessary professional or substance abuse treatment;
 - (c) meet with Respondent at least twice a month, and maintain weekly telephone contact with Respondent;
 - (d) maintain direct monthly contact with Respondent's licensed professional counselor;
 - (e) file quarterly written reports with the Board; and
 - (f) immediately report to the Board any violations by the Respondent of the terms and conditions of the probation.

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL


PAUL J. KILLION

Attorney Reg. No. 20955

Chief Disciplinary Counsel

5/10/19

DATE



DANIEL S. WHITE, ESQUIRE

Disciplinary Counsel

Attorney Registration Number 322574

Office of Disciplinary Counsel

District II Office

820 Adams Avenue, Suite 170

Trooper, PA 19403

(610) 650-8210

5/6/19

DATE




THOMAS M. CUSACK, III

Respondent

VERIFICATION


The statements contained in the foregoing *Joint Petition In Support of Discipline on Consent Pursuant to Pa.R.D.E. 215(d)* are true and correct to the best of my knowledge or information and belief and are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S.A. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

5/10/19
DATE



DANIEL S. WHITE, ESQUIRE
Disciplinary Counsel

5/6/19
DATE



THOMAS M. CUSACK, III
Respondent

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL, : No. 243 DB 2018
Petitioner :
:
v. :
: Attorney Reg. No. 83343
THOMAS M. CUSACK, III, :
Respondent : (Lancaster County)

AFFIDAVIT

UNDER RULE 215(d), Pa.R.D.E.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA:
COUNTY OF LANCASTER:

THOMAS M. CUSACK, III, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and hereby submits this affidavit consenting to the recommendation of a public reprimand with probation for a period of two years subject to conditions in conformity with Pa.R.D.E. 215(d) and further states as follows:

1. He is an attorney admitted in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, having been admitted to the bar on or about April 19, 1999.

2. He desires to submit a Joint Petition in Support of Discipline on Consent Pursuant to Pa.R.D.E. 215(d).

3. His consent is freely and voluntarily rendered; he is not being subjected to coercion or duress, and he is fully aware of the implications of submitting this affidavit.

4. He is aware that there is presently pending a proceeding regarding allegations that he has been guilty of

misconduct as set forth in the Joint Petition in Support of Discipline on Consent Pursuant to Pa.R.D.E. 215(d) to which this affidavit is attached.

5. He acknowledges that the material facts set forth in the Joint Petition are true.

6. He submits the within affidavit because he knows that if charges predicated upon the matter under investigation were filed, or continued to be prosecuted in the pending proceeding, he could not successfully defend against them.


7. He acknowledges that he is fully aware of his right to consult and employ counsel to represent him in the instant proceeding. He has not retained, consulted and acted upon the advice of counsel in connection with his decision to execute the within Joint Petition.


It is understood that the statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S.A. §4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

Signed this 6 day of May, 2019.


THOMAS M. CUSACK, III

Sworn to and subscribed
before me this 06 day
of May, 2019.


Notary Public



**BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL,	:	No. 243 DB 2018
Petitioner	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	Attorney Reg. No. 83343
THOMAS M. CUSACK, III,	:	
Respondent	:	(Lancaster County)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am this day serving the foregoing document upon all parties of record in this proceeding in accordance with the requirements of 204 Pa. Code §89.22 (relating to service by a participant).

First Class Mail, as follows:

Thomas M. Cusack, III
301 S. Prince Street
Lancaster, PA 17603

Dated: 5/10/19



DANIEL S. WHITE
Disciplinary Counsel
Office of Disciplinary Counsel
District II Office
820 Adams Avenue, Suite 170
Trooper, PA 19403
(610) 650-8210
Attorney Reg. No. 322574

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: Office of Disciplinary Counsel

Signature: 

Name: Daniel S. White

Attorney No. (if applicable): 322574