IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

RICHARD ROST & JOYCE ROST, : No. 309 EAL 2014

Respondent

: Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the

: Order of the Superior Court

:

FORD MOTOR COMPANY.

٧.

:

Petitioner

<u>ORDER</u>

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 6th day of November, 2014, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**, **LIMITED TO** the issues set forth below. Allocatur is **DENIED** as to all remaining issues. The issues, as stated by Petitioner, are:

- (1) Whether—contrary to <u>Howard</u>, <u>Betz</u>, and <u>Gregg</u>—a plaintiff in an asbestos action may satisfy the burden of establishing substantial-factor causation by an expert's "cumulative-exposure" theory that the expert concedes is simply an "any-exposure" theory by a different name[?]
- (2) Whether the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas' mandatory practice of consolidating unrelated asbestos cases—even where the defendants suffer severe prejudice as a result—is consistent with the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure and Due Process; whether consolidation in this case was proper; and whether the Superior Court has the authority to review a trial court's case-consolidation decisions in asbestos cases[?]