

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
EASTERN DISTRICT**

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	No. 363 EAL 2020
	:	
Petitioner	:	
	:	
v.	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal
	:	from the Order of the Superior Court
	:	
	:	
	:	
RYAN POWNALL,	:	
	:	
Respondent	:	

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 20th day of April, 2021, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issues, as stated by petitioner are:

- (1) Did the Superior Court err when it held that it did not have jurisdiction over the Commonwealth’s appeal under the collateral order doctrine where the appeal raised only the facial constitutionality of a broadly applicable statute that in no way implicated the question of [Respondent’s] guilt or innocence?
- (2) Did the Superior Court improperly depart from this Court’s precedent by holding that the Commonwealth may invoke its right to an interlocutory appeal under Pa.R.A.P. 311(d) only where it arises from an order that excludes, suppresses, or precludes the Commonwealth’s evidence?
- (3) Did the Superior Court improperly depart from this Court’s precedent and the General Assembly’s Rules of Statutory Construction by stating that it could not properly construe a statute to give effect [to] legislative intent?