## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	: No. 384 EAL 2016
Petitioner v.	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Superior Court
JAWAYNE K. BROWN,	
Respondent	
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	: No. 385 EAL 2016
Petitioner v.	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Superior Court
RICHARD BROWN,	
Respondent	
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	: No. 386 EAL 2016
Petitioner v.	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Superior Court
AQUIL BOND,	
Respondent	

## <u>ORDER</u>

PER CURIAM

**AND NOW**, this 28<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2017, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**, **LIMITED TO** the following issue:

Should a claim barring retrial on the basis of double jeopardy pursuant to <u>Commonwealth v. Smith</u>, 615 A.2d 321 (Pa. 1992), require factual findings made by the original trial judge, or a hearing based on further testimony, regarding the intent of the prosecutor?

Allocatur is **DENIED** as to the remaining issue.