

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	No. 39 MAL 2019
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from
	:	the Order of the Superior Court
v.	:	
	:	
	:	
TRISTAN STAHLEY,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 24<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2019, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED, LIMITED TO** the issues set forth below. Allocatur is **DENIED** as to all remaining issues. The issues, rephrased for clarity, are:

- (1) Did the Superior Court err in concluding that *Commonwealth v. Batts*, 163 A.3d 410 (Pa. 2017), did not announce a substantive rule of law, as that concept was refined in *Montgomery v. Louisiana*, 136 S. Ct. 718 (2016), or a watershed procedural rule?
- (2) Did the trial court fail to consider Stahley’s rehabilitative potential and many of the “hallmark features” of youth, as required by *Miller v. Alabama*, 567 U.S. 460 (2012), rendering his sentence of life without the possibility of parole illegal?