

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
EASTERN DISTRICT**

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	No. 523 EAL 2019
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal
v.	:	from the Order of the Superior Court
	:	
	:	
ERIC ROGERS,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 12th day of February, 2020, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issues, as stated by petitioner, are:

- (1) Should not this Court overrule or limit *Commonwealth v. Jones*, 826 A.2d 900 (Pa. Super. 2003), and *Commonwealth v. Dear*, 492 A.2d 714 (Super. 1985) the cases relied upon by the Superior Court, that deal with the Rape Shield Law and that prevented petitioner from exercising his rights to cross-examination and to present a defense pursuant to the United States and Pennsylvania Constitutions in a case involving consensual prostitudinal sex?

- (2) Did not the lower court err when it denied petitioner’s motion to allow introduction of the complainants’ arrests and convictions for prostitution as *Commonwealth v. Jones*, 826 A.2d 900 (Pa. Super. 2003), and *Commonwealth v. Dear* are distinguishable, where the defense was consensual sex pursuant to prostitution transactions inasmuch as the exclusion of such evidence violated the [petitioner’s] rights to cross-examination and to present a defense pursuant to the United States and Pennsylvania Constitutions?

- (3) Did not the panel err in concluding that petitioner waived his challenge to the weight of the evidence claim because his 1925(b) statement was

too vague to allow the trial court to identify the issues raised on appeal, where a detailed post-sentence motion was litigated and the trial court addressed the issue in its opinion?