## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	: No. 739 CAP
Appellee v.	<ul> <li>Appeal from the Judgment of</li> <li>Sentence entered April 23, 1990 in</li> <li>the Court of Common Pleas,</li> <li>Philadelphia County, Criminal</li> <li>Division at No. CP-51-CR-1031751-</li> <li>1988. (Nunc Pro Tunc appeal rights</li> </ul>
HENRY DANIELS,	reinstated on April 28, 2017.)
Appellant	:

## **CONCURRING STATEMENT**

## JUSTICE WECHT

## DECIDED: October 15, 2020

In *Commonwealth v. Reid*, 235 A.3d 1124 (Pa. 2020), a majority of a special panel of this Court determined that the Supreme Court of the United States' decision in *Williams v. Pennsylvania*, \_\_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_\_, 136 S.Ct. 1899 (2016), could not serve as a basis to establish timeliness for purposes of the Post Conviction Relief Act. I joined the dissent in *Reid*, and I continue to believe that it correctly explained why Reid had properly established jurisdiction in the PCRA court. This disagreement notwithstanding, *Reid* is now on the books. Accordingly, I am constrained to join the Court's order to quash the instant appeal.