IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
WESTERN DISTRICT

FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE FORT PITT LODGE NO. 1,	:	No. 313 WAL 2017
Petitioner	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Commonwealth Court
v.	:	
CITY OF PITTSBURGH,	:	
Respondent	:	

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 13th day of March, 2018, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issues, as stated by Petitioner, are:

1. Did the Commonwealth Court err when it erroneously concluded that the Act 111 Award did not deviate from the Act 47 Plan when the plan required a compensation package that was sufficiently competitive with those available to police officers in comparable political subdivisions, but the award failed to prove one?

2. Did the Commonwealth Court err when it erroneously concluded that the Act 111 Award did not deviate from the Act 47 Plan, and therefore never addressed the award's failure to determine if it (1) does not exceed the limits on expenditures set for the FOP Contract by the Act 47 Plan, (2) does not jeopardize the financial stability of the city, and (3) is consistent with the policy objectives set forth in Act 47 to relieve the financial distress of the city[?]

3. Did the Commonwealth Court err when it erroneously concluded that the Act 111 Award did not violate Section 252 of Act 47 despite the fact that the expenditure limits in the Act 47 Plan were arbitrary, capricious, and established in bad faith?