## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT

SAFE AUTO INSURANCE COMPANY	M.D. Appeal Dkt. 26 MAP 2018 : No. 791 MAL 2017
V.	<ul> <li>Petition for Allowance of Appeal from</li> <li>the Order of the Superior Court</li> </ul>
RENE ORIENTAL-GUILLERMO, RACHEL DIXON, PRISCILA JIMENEZ, LUIS JIMENEZ, ALLI LICONA AVILA AND IRIS VELAZQUEZ	
PETITION OF: PRISCILA JIMENEZ & LUIS JIMENEZ	:

## <u>ORDER</u>

## PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 4th day of June, 2018, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is

**GRANTED**. The issues, as stated by Petitioners, are:

- a. Did the Superior Court err as a matter of law in finding that the unlisted resident driver exclusion in a Personal Auto Policy is valid and enforceable and not violative of the terms and provisions of the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S.A. § 1701, *et. seq.*?
- b. Did the Superior Court err as a matter of law in finding that the unlisted resident driver exclusion in a Personal Auto Policy is valid and enforceable and not violative of the public policy of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as embodied in § 1786 of the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S.A. § 1786, which implicitly directs that all permissive users of an insured vehicle be insured under the owner's insurance policy?