

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
EASTERN DISTRICT**

IN THE INTEREST OF: N.B.-A., A MINOR	:	No. 120 EAL 2019
	:	
	:	
PETITION OF: E.A., MOTHER	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Superior Court

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 6th day of May, 2019, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is  
**GRANTED**. The issues, as stated by petitioner, are:

- (1) Did the Superior Court err by affirming the trial court's finding that Mother was a perpetrator of child abuse in the absence of clear and convincing evidence that she intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly caused or created a likelihood of sexual abuse through a recent act or failure to act?
- (2) Did the Superior Court commit an error of law by applying 23 Pa.C.S. § 6381(d) to find that DHS established a prima facie case that Mother was responsible for the abuse perpetrated against N.B.-A. where another individual had been identified as the direct perpetrator?
- (3) Did the Superior Court commit an abuse of discretion by finding that Mother failed to rebut the prima facie presumption that she was a perpetrator of child abuse pursuant to 23 Pa.C.S. § 6381(d)?