## [J-108-2020][M.O. – Dougherty, J.] IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, : No. 9 EAP 2020

Appellant : Appeal from the Judgment of Superior

Court entered on 10/7/19 at No. 1392EDA 2017 affirming the order entered

v. : on 4/5/17 in the Court of Common

Pleas, Philadelphia County, Criminal Division, at No. MC-51-CR-0005268-

2017

CARLOS PEREZ,

: ARGUED: December 1, 2020

Appellee :

## **CONCURRING OPINION**

JUSTICE SAYLOR DECIDED: April 29, 2021

I join the majority opinion.

I write separately only to highlight my point of view that additional clarification of the "prima facie" standard governing preliminary hearings in Pennsylvania is needed. See Commonwealth v. McClelland, \_\_\_\_ Pa. \_\_\_\_, 233 A.3d 717, 742-43 (2020) (Saylor, C.J., concurring and dissenting) (favoring a unitary probable cause standard applicable to both the determination whether a crime has been committed and commission by the defendant); 1 Commonwealth v. Ricker, 642 Pa. 367, 381-82, 170

Because the use of the term "prima facie" in the applicable Rules of Criminal Procedure seems facially incongruent with a "probable cause" standard, I take the position that (continued...)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Notably, the majority opinion reinforces the application of a probable cause standard relative to commission by the defendant, see Majority Opinion, slip op. at 17, and the fact that a crime was committed is undisputed in the present case.

A.3d 494, 503 (2017) (Saylor, C.J., concurring) (discussing this Court's varying expressions of the standard of evidentiary sufficiency applicable at preliminary hearings).

I read the majority opinion as reasonably refraining from addressing the incongruity in the rule-based delineation of a *prima facie* standard meant in whole or in part to connote probable cause, in light of the more limited argumentation presented by the parties.

Justice Todd joins this concurring opinion.

(...continued)
modifications to the applicable Rules of Criminal Procedure are warranted. *Accord*, *McClelland*, \_\_\_\_ Pa. at \_\_\_\_, 233 A.3d at 742-43 (Saylor, C.J., concurring and dissenting).