## [J-62-2016] IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

JOHN J. DOUGHERTY,		No. 6 EAP 2015
Арр		Appeal from the Judgment of the Superior Court entered on 8/14/14 at
٧.	:	No. 1333 EDA 2012 affirming the order entered on 4/11/12 in the Court of
KAREN HELLER,	:	Common Pleas, Civil Division, Philadelphia County at No. 00699
Арр	pellee :	December Term 2009
		ARGUED: May 9, 2016

## **CONCURRING STATEMENT**

## SENIOR JUDGE LEADBETTER

**DECIDED:** June 14, 2016

I join in the thoughtful opinion of the court. I write separately simply to emphasize that, with respect to the second prong of the collateral order doctrine, appellant's asserted claims of harm are simply too speculative to evaluate. Had the deposition gone forward and some concrete and substantial invasion of privacy occurred, application of the doctrine might have been in order. However, in the present posture it is impossible to say that appellant has any interest at all to protect, let alone one "too important to be denied review."