

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
WESTERN DISTRICT**

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| CITY OF PITTSBURGH, | : | No. 293 WAL 2018 |
| | : | |
| Respondent | : | |
| | : | |
| v. | : | Petition for Allowance of Appeal from |
| | : | the Order of the Commonwealth Court |
| | : | |
| | : | |
| | : | |
| FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, FORT | : | |
| PITT LODGE NO. 1, | : | |
| | : | |
| Petitioner | : | |

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 16th day of January, 2019, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, as stated by petitioner, is:

Did the Commonwealth Court ignore this Supreme Court's existing mandate to defer to a grievance arbitrator's straightforward interpretation of contract language by mislabeling her award the construction of an equitable result, thereby weakening the general assembly's intent for Act 111 awards to create a final and binding resolution of contract disputes?