## 

Informational materials

January 22, 2010

Melissa Sickmund, Ph.D. Chief of Systems Research

National Center for Juvenile Justice

# PA Databook-- http://www.ncjjservehttp.org/padatabook/

## Pennsylvania Electronic Juvenile Justice Databook

#### Select a county:

State of Pennsylvan 💌

Home

Health Economic

Population

Education

AbuseNeglect
Reported Crime

Juvenile Court Cases

Custody

Data Analysis Tools

County Maps Feedback



The Pennsylvania Electronic Juvenile Justice Databook (Databook) provides access to a broad range of county level data tables that describe the status of access to a broad range of county level data tables that describe the status of youth across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The contents of the Databook are organized into 9 chapters and each chapter contains numerous data tables. To begin using the Databook, simply click on the topic of interest and select the data table that meets your needs.

### 

- Population data tables updated through 2008
- Arrest data tables updated through 2008
- Reported Crime data tables updated through 2008
- County Maps updated to their most recent data year
- Health data on deaths and suicides updated through 2007
- Pregnancy rates updated through 2007
- Create custom analyses with our Data Analysis Tools for Population and Homicide data
- Check out PCCD's Female Services Subcommittee website

#### Fast Stat

Updated September 2009

After years of decline, the juvenile Property Crime Index arrest rate increased in 2007 and 2008. Most of this increase was related to the growth in larceny-theft arrests. See more arrest data or check out the latest man

# Pennsylvania Electronic Juvenile Justice Databook

#### Select a county State of Pennsylvan 😽

Population

Health

Economic Education

AbuseNeglect

Reported Crime

Arrests

Juvenile Court Cases Custody

Data Analysis Tools County Maps

Feedback





Pennsylvania: 33

25 to 35 (21)


35 or above (19)

### County Maps

Journal Pini

Available versions: | 1996 | 2005

Delinquency dispositions per 1,000 youth ages 10-17, 2005



## Pennsylvania Electronic Juvenile Justice Databook

### Select a county:

Luzerne County

Home

₹.

Available years: | 1991-95 | 1996-00 | 2001-2005

Selection page Commond Prant

Display for: | By Gender | By Age

### Disposition of Substantiated Cases in Luzerne County 2001-05

	2001	2002	203	2004	2005
Total Cases Disposed		585	572	Ż	456
Withdrawn or dismissed	_	14	Ŋ	_	0
Referral	28	28	26	<u>+</u>	Š,
Informal		0	0	<u></u>	0
Consent decree	O)	0	0	ω	0
Probation	179	150	103	139	174
Placement	134	311	326	235	217
Other	36	8	8	8	20

readable data files]. Data source: Special analysis of unpublished data collected by the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission [machine-



Feedback County Maps Data Analysis Tools


Custody

Arrests

Jusaiis Cout Cases

Reported Crime Abuse/Neglect Education Economic Health Population

# Statistical Briefing Book--http://www.ojjdp.ncjrs.gov/ojstatbb/



### What's New

Characteristics

200

Publications

Date Applyate

Matiemal Date Sets

18-23 E E E E E

1600

Ask a

remiles as Vintims

eriles as of ende

cture & Parcers Enfoycement &

OULDP has recently released the following bulletins


- The annual bulletin, Juvenile Arrests 2008 summarizes juvenile crimes known to the police and arrests made during 2008. In 2008, U.S. law enforcement agencies arrested an estimated 2.11 million persons younger than age 18, a 3-percent decline over 2007.
- The latest Crimes Against Children Series bulletin,
  Juveniles Who Commit Sex Offenses Against Minors,
  describes the characteristics of the juvenile sex offender
  population corning to the attention of law enforcement.
  According to this bulletin juveniles account for more than
  one-third (36%) of those known to police to have
  committed sex offenses against minors.

luwer les un

ales in Cour

ente Reentry

The juvenile Violent Crime Index arrest rate fell for the second consecutive year and is down 5% since 2006.



Children's Exposure to Violence: A Comprehensive National Survey presents findings from the National Survey of Children's Exposure to Violence survey. The survey measured the past-year and life-time exposure to violence for children age 17 and vounger

### Did You Know?

Students are more apt to be victims of serious violent crime away from school than at or on the way to school. [Read more]

Murder is most common among the oldest and the youngest juveniles. In 2006, 35% of juvenile murder victims were under age 6 and 48% were ages 15–17. [Read more]



### Easy Access to Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions

May 5, 2009

Chaz Puzzanchera, TJ Sładky Melissa Sickmund

National Center for Juvenile Justice

3

### Outline

- A bit of history—This is not new territory
- What the selection screen (variables) will look like
- Tech talk / discussion

### established in the last century! (i.e., ~10 years ago) The framework for a web-based EZA was

- Part of the PA Electronic Juvenile Justice Databook project with funds provided by PCCD
- access to county specific data covering the years 1991-1998 NCJJ developed a PC-based software program that provided
- Several hundred CDs were produced and shared with JCJC and PCCD
- Long-term goal at that time was to migrate this to the web

### Easy Access to Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions: 1991 - 1998

A data analysis package developed for the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency by the National Center for Juvenile Justice

State and County Coss	

The second of th	Section 2 of the sectio
And the second s	The second secon

Exit Program

📶 Data Selection and Analysis Request for 🗆 APA 98

□ X

Year of Disposition by Charge at disposition

Emt Close

I D X

<u>Graph</u> O <u>G</u> rouped bar O <u>S</u> tacked bar
--

Total	1998	1997	1996	1995	194	1993	1992	1991	A THE CONTROL OF THE
		5	0.0	11:	20.0	0.1:			
CKI GOLOGIA					27.0				Ottense
28 E	27%	2.9%	3.8%	3.2%	4.9%	5.6%	4.0%	<b>4.1%</b>	
7.0%	5.4%	5.0%	7.6%	7.3%	5,6%	9.1%	78.01	5.9%	assaur.
28.6	10.7%	11.5%	8.5%	10.1%	10.5%	10.9%	8,3%	7.1%	assauk
1.1%	1.3%	1.8%	0.2%	1.1%	1.2%	1.2%	1.1%	0.9%	sex oriense
					2.2%				ouense
7.1%	5.6%	5.8%	6.7%	5.6%	6.7%	7.7%	8.4%	12.9%	The sales of the s



Crosstalo output display

### variables for analysis) Planned content for EZA selection screen (i.e.,

County 1
----------

### variables for analysis), continued Planned content for EZA selection screen (i.e.,

Selection criteria
Charge/offense Type
□ Criminal homicide       □ Burglary       □ Weapons, in school       □ Alleged         □ Violent sex offense       □ Theft       □ Weapons, not in school       □ Substantiated         □ Robbery       □ Arson       □ Obstruction of justice       □ Substantiated         □ Simple assault       □ Trespassing       □ Disorderly conduct       □ Disorderly conduct         □ Non-payment of       □ Non-payment of       □ Union         □ Other person offense       □ Property       □ Other traffic         □ Drugs, Felony       □ Other public order         □ Unknown       □ Unknown
Disposition Placement type
□ Withdrawn/Dismissed       □ Transfer to criminal       □ Boot camp       □ Day treatment         □ Referral       □ Other       □ Institution       □ Wilderness         □ Consent decree       □ Continued in placement       □ Foster       □ Transferred to another       □ Private secure       □ YFC         □ Placement       □ Drug & Alcohol       □ Other

### variables for analysis) Planned content for EZA selection screen (i.e., Continued

Selection chiteria		
Alleged charge/offense		
□Criminal homicide □Violent sex offense □Robbery □Aggravated assault □Simple assault □Nonviolent sex offense □Other person offense	UBurglary DLarceny-theft UArson UVand/Crim mischief UTrespassing UFraud UReceiving stolen prop UDrugs, Felony UDrugs, Misdemeanor	UWeapons, in school UWeapons, not in school UWeapons, not in school USerruction of justice UDisorderly conduct UNon-payment of summary fines UDUI UOther traffic UOther public order Unknown
Disposition	Placement type	
Withdrawn/Dismissed   Referral   Informal   IConsent decree   Probation   Placement   ITransfer for criminal proceedings   IOther	Boot camp  Resident  Group  Foster  Secure  Drug & Alcohol	Day treatment  Doutward bound  Undependent living  Other

## Look and feel of proposed EZA

Modeled after design we use for various the "Data Analysis Tools" button http://www.ojjdp.ncjrs.gov/ojstatbb/ and click Visit the online Statistical Briefing Book Federally-funded web applications:

Will include methods and glossary

Juvenile Populations: 1990-2008 Home Population Profiles State Comparisons County Comparisons Data Source 1 5 (S) 2 (George C) Š Ú N N Male ☐ Female Select a year:

Selection criteria® (Opens a pop-up window) Check the age(s) of interest below, or use the pull down menus to the right to quickly select an age range  $\square$ [] @ 7 0 □ Black White 9 II 10 ∏ Asian 15 17 16 ☐ 18 to 20 \_\_\_\_25 & over 1 21 to 24 Age range Lower Age Approprie Hispanic Non Hispanic **的具用图**值 Upper Age

ONDP TOUDP Statistical Briefing Book I Census Bureau

Row Variable:

County

Column Variable:

Sex

Show table Step Selectors

2008

Select a state:

Alabama

Help

Referration tenses    Person   Person   Property   Polic Order   Public Order   P	Radel White Black American Indian Asian/NHPI Waived Released Placed Cher	Temporal Property of the Control of	Age at Referral    12   15     13   17     14   >17     Adjudicated   Not Adjudicated		teria®  11993   1997   200  11994   11998   200  11995   11999   200  11996   2000   200  11996   2000   200  11996   2000   200
				Statistics: 1985-2005	Easy Access to Juvenile Court Statistics: 1985-2005  Home: Analyze Delinquency Cases   School   Lossy   Heb   Lossy   Column V.
Frowersd Sci   Cognos Logan ≥ scol WebNa alyze Delinque 2		S → P.A. → ELEANZ	ina Indanio Codo	idirace a treeta Zuch	
G southwest airlines	<b>☆</b>		election.asp	田は View Nationy Bookmanks Ipons Lipp ・ C 米 公 「の http://www.ojjdp.ncjrs.gov/ojstatbb/ezajcs/asp/selection.asp	He Balt Wew HEARY Bookmarks Inns Her

### the FBI's Supplementary Hamicide Reports: 1980-2006 Home Victim Crosstabs Known Offender Crosstabs Helhods About EASHR

Unknown	Family Acquaintance Stranger	Victory D frender		1984 1990 1985 1991	1982 1988 1983 1989	1986	Selection unterio@(Opers a por up	
Personal Other/unknown	Firearm Knife Blunt object	S S D E C SPO C SPO S SPO S Spo S SPO S Spo Spo S Spo		1596 2002 1597 2003		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(Anthur dir disc is suedo)	Row varable Year of incident
	One Two or more Unknown	O No.			2006	4   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1		Column Varroble Age of victim Age of Victim Clea
25 to 49 50 & older Unknown	118 to	6	50 & older Unknown	18 to 24 25 to 49	6 to 11 12 to 14 15 to 17	0 to 5		Date
	Male Female	Characteristics of Oldest Offer			Female Unknown	M A	richaraceur)	State United States
Asian/Nat. Hawaiian/Pac Isi Unknown		ldest Offender		Unknown	Black  Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native  Asian/Nat. Hawaiian/Pac Isl	white	etus ort Victor	standard sta

Facility (Pharacteristics    1 - 10 residents	Most screens Detailed Offenses  General Offenses  General Offenses  Person offenses  Person offenses  Person offenses  Cominal homicide  Prug offenses  Robbery  Technical violations  Status offenses  Status offenses  Other person  Other person	Diffender Characteristics   Sex	us of Juveniles in Resi	★ ** G ** 1.0 http://www.ojiqp.ncjrs.gov/ojstatbb/ezacjrp/asp/selection.asp 
Deteritor Center  Shelter  Reception/Diagnostic Center  Group Home	Property offenses    Drug offenses   Drug offenses     Drug trafficking     Drug trafficking     Drug trafficking     David that     David th	Multie		
☐ Locks ☐ Unknown	Es Technical violations ficking Status offenses ug offenses			() -   Cirl southwest airlines   Freatz

#### see differences from one state or county to another per population Presenting rates makes it easier to

private facilities a lot. facilities and which use don't; which rely on state with high commitment For example, in this table rates and which ones it is easy to see states

Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Databook

ateca a yeom | 2006 🕶

Facility Operation for Committed Residents by State, 2006 Rate per 100,000 juveniles

wyaming	Wisconsin	west virginia	Washington	Virginia	Vermont	( Carl	- # 0 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	T	Tennessee	South Dakota	South Carolina		Rhode Island	Pannsylvania Pannsylvania	Original	Oklahoma	Ohio		North Carolina	Zes York	New Mexico	New Jersey		New Hampshire	Nevada	Nebraska	Montana	Missouri.	Mississippi	Minnesota	Michigan	Massachusetts	Maine	nuisiana	Zeriser Zeriser	C-WOI		indiana	Illinois	Idaho	Hawaii	**************************************	Florida	District of Columbia	Connecticut	Colorado	California	Arkansas	Arizona	Alaska	Alabama	United States	State of Offense		Committed youth
0	204	230	143	37£	59		1 00 00 00 00	260	158	(B)	196	1	292	9 P	260	<u> </u>	225	(328)	)[	221	14	(2)		129	201	126	8 8	145	(a	)E	205	2112	115	18 S	5 <b>6</b>	25.5		260	146	219	9) <u>;</u>		(	172	107		). B	305	153	234	244	285	Total		1
192	107	123	109	118	19	1	2 t	17.	B G	199	91		) 12 12 12 13 14	3) 5	i q	- - - -	130	Ę		202	(E)	);;		61	125	£ 1	ÿ (	3	58	38	37	28	104	78	12.4	2 12		131	115	121	<u>6</u>		51	42	6 6	140	7.5	ο c	4 4	145	104	52	facility	2	
	14	Ь	28	55	<b>c</b> 3		o i	40	ω	20			o .	. 1	2 4	Ji	72	ď	) 4	· N	60	ω		0	සි :	N O	o !	22	ĠŢ	73	35	0	o :	8.	o 6	n H	***************************************	23	13	60	<b>a</b>	,	<u>1</u> 4	0 (	o ca	ω	TT4	114	; <b>8</b>	0	N	34	facility	<u> </u>	Facilit
	83	106	7	Oh.	4		9 4	B (	g (	(E)	105	1	g (	<b>)</b> ;	3 (	3	23		);	: E	i i	15	***************************************	æ	13 E	78 5	e c	ω		104	133	84	<b>:</b>	8 8	6, 5	0 7		100	is	မ္ဆ	2 4	1		125	0 0	173	(4	<u> </u>	ω 4	89	139	8	total	Private facility placing agency	Facility Operation
192	D.	8	ω	4	16	i	7 1	1 1	20	253	68		80 .	LL)	i	સ		129	1	<u>ب</u>	15	7		35	أستر	<b>.</b> &	22 .	<b>5</b>	c	4 (	20	69	ю	75 1	3 2	i t	;	ю	7	3	F 1	ń	108	125		1 23	٠	. G	) 0	89	45	25	State	y placing	
<b>å</b>	77	8	4	0	0		ا م	9 ;	<b>a</b>	ප	37		- <sup>1</sup>	204	Ŋ,	5	tt	40	3 5	. α	۱ .	N		7	۲;	32 i	4	N)		. 8	113	14	vo	o 1	¥	2 %		98	Ħ	0	o 8	10	131	o 6	n vo	£ .	ų	n q	2 23	0	92	50	Cocal	agency	

# What we can do: barebones approach

- Will be developed in SQL 2005 along with ASP.NET
- Will run against quasi-live data (produced quarterly)
- Provide transition plan
- Completed by end of 2009 calendar year if begun in June—allow 6–8 months to develop

### Contingencies/questions

- includeJ Content of EZA defined well in advance [we are working with JCJC to get specifics of what variables/values to
- Years to include, offense categories, disposition codes
- Review previous documentation [e.g. methods]
- Other questions

# Possible Enhancements (a la carte features)

- Additional variable coding options, e.g., a summary and detailed offense code option for analysis purposes
- Create a public/private facility flag for placement facilities

# From FL's 2007-08 Comprehensive Accountability Report

Program Area	Total Releases	ĝ	Completions	% 0	% Success
Low Risk Residential Programs	962	850	(888)	5%	57%
Moderate Risk Residential Programs	ÇN ÇN ÇN	<u>.</u>	197%)	ş G	22
High Risk Residential Programs	**************************************	1,566	(880)	7%	on of
Maximum Risk Residential Programs	Š	60	(50%)	55%	67%
Todi Residental Programs	218			2	7,3

							Completions	tions			
High Risk Residential Programs	oram	<b>נט</b> ו							aberavy		
	(	'		1				Average	Prior	Average	
	EDO	Percent _		Total	Percent	Percent	Percent	Age at	Seriousness	Length	Sections
Program Name Releases ODP <sup>1</sup>	Releases	000	<b>*</b>	Percent	Male	Black	Hispanic	Admission	Index	of Stay	Rate
Fenale											
Desoto - Female	ស៊ី	8	Š	100%	980	50%	17%	9	20. <i>a</i>	Ü	67%
Monlicello New Life	40	13%	36	288	2%	388	7	ភ្ជុំ	213	787	67%
Orange Intensive Halfway House <sup>2</sup>	器	24%		45%	0%	2542	2%	あり	-A -CO	296	87 87 88
Verron Place Female*	27	37%	8	74%	2	40%	10%	Úñ bo	24.2	Ŋ	800%
Subtotal		200	Š	75%	820	44%	4	<b>1</b> 0.3	20.6	240	\$69%

**ODP** = offenses during placement

# From FL's 2007-08 Comprehensive Accountability Report

to other commitment programs. to provide a program rank based on its effectiveness and cost relative Program Accountability Measures score is calculated for each program

	_	Observed							
		Success	Percent	Expected Success	ssacon	Cost per	Effectiveness	Cost	PAM
Program Type/Program Name	<b>*</b>	Rate	Difference *	Kange	en en	Completion	Category	Category	Score
Female Bight Niso Residonda									
Umailla Girls Academy**	26	22	<u>\$</u>	72.3% -	75.9%	\$39,258	Effective	Moderate	8
Orange Intensive Halfway House **	4	82%	62	71.4%	76.2%	\$72,256	Highly Effective	9	3
Monticello New Life	38	57%	<u>5</u>	70.1% -	73.1%	\$45,672	Below Average	Moderate	8
Vemon Place-Female **	20	60%	9.0	- %0.96	73.5%		Least Effective	Low	ধ
DeSoto Dual Diagnosis Correctional Facility - Fernale	23	9. 1. 1.	ස්ත දිය	69.1%	73.3%	\$109,574	Least Effective		đ

### 

Statistical Briefing Book

http://www.ojjdp.ncjrs.gov/ojstatbb/

**Data Analysis Tools** 

http://www.ojjdp.ncjrs.gov/ojstatbb/dat.html

- http://www.ncjjservehttp.org/padatabook/ Pennsylvania Electronic Juvenile Justice Databook
- http://www.ojjdp.ncjrs.gov/ojstatbb/dmcdb/index.htm National Disproportionate Minority Contact Databook
- Florida DJJ web site

http://www.djj.state.fl.us/Research/index.html