

#### JUDGES CHAMBERS COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CRAWFORD COUNTY

THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN F. SPATARO PRESIDENT JUDGE MARK D. STEVENS JUDGE FRANCIS J. SCHULTZ JUDGE

CRAWFORD COUNTY JUDICIAL CENTER 359 E. CENTER STREET MEADVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA 16335-2696 TELEPHONE (814) 333-7497

FACSIMILE (814) 337-8198

February 22, 2022

Mr. Joseph Mittleman Director, Judicial District Operations and Programs Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19101

Re: Magisterial District Redistricting for Crawford County, Pennsylvania

Dear Mr. Mittleman:

Please find enclosed herewith the original plan for redistricting of Magisterial Districts in Crawford County. This plan reestablishes the boundaries of all Magisterial Districts within the 30<sup>th</sup> Judicial District.

I have enclosed the requisite Judicial District Summary Worksheet as well as a Magisterial District Summary Worksheet for each of the current Magisterial Districts. I have also enclosed the notice of Decennial Magisterial District Reestablishment which, along with the aforementioned forms and a map of the current districts was made available to the public on January 18, 2022 until February 21, 2022.

During that time period the plan was available for public review at the offices of all four Magisterial Districts, the Crawford County Court Administrator's Office, the Law Library, the Crawford County Commissioners' Office, as well as on the Crawford County website. Additionally, I sent out press releases to all area media outlets to make it known to the public the proposed plan was available for public review and comment.

The Meadville Tribune published an article regarding the posting on Monday, January 31, 2022. I have attached that for your review. No public comment was received.

Our Court Administrator has worked extensively on this project in conjunction with me, the Magisterial District Judges and other officials. I believe this is the most appropriate plan for the 30<sup>th</sup> Judicial District. All of our Magisterial District Judges have expressed their support.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours, 5 days 6 Jøhn F. Spataro, PJ

Ecopy reestablishment@pacourts.us w/enclosures

Enclosures: Judicial District Summary Worksheet Magisterial District Summary Worksheets Map showing current/proposed Magisterial Districts Notice of Decennial Magisterial District Reestablishment Posting for Public Comment Proof of Posting including Meadville Tribune Article from January 31, 2022

JFS/be

#### Judicial District Summary Worksheet – Reestablishment 2021-2022

Judio	ial District Number:	30 -	County:	Crawford	•	Class of	County:	6	-
1.	List the existing magiste 30-2-01 30-3-01 30-3-03 30-3-06	erial distr	icts in your	judicial district	(##-#-##	):			
Case	load Analysis					-			
2.	Average total caseload	s:			Avg for . A.	Judicial Distric 3,470	ct Avg for 0 B.	3,230	
3.	Compare the differenc of your judicial district					e (2A - 2B) 40	Ranking 10th o	out of	Total 24 🔽
4.	Is your judicial district range when compared						No		-
Prop	osed Actions								
5.	Are any magisterial dis If <b>YES</b> , list the magister 30-2-01 30-3-01 30-3-03 30-3-06					o changes	5).	Yes	
6.	Are any magisterial dis				nt (chan	ges).		No	-
7.	Are any magisterial dis If <b>YES</b> , list the magiste				n.			No	-

No	<b>•</b>
No	•
No	•
	No

If YES, briefly explain the types of programs.

**Final Checklist** 

		_
11. Was a request for public comment posted?	Yes	-
12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both?	Both	-
 13. Were media outlets notified?	Yes	-
14. Were public comments received?	No	
 15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission?	Yes	-
16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts?	Yes	-
17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable?	Yes	•
18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county?	Yes	-

#### 19. Additional Remarks

#### Verification of Submission

20. Date submitted to AOPC: 2/22/2022

21. President Judge Name: John F. Spataro

Signature

Judicial District Summary Worksheet – Reestablishment 2021-2022 rev. 1/10/22

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	30-2-01	Co	oun	ty:	Crawford			•
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish	n	•	2. Eff	ective date	: 2/28	\$/2022	
Case	Caseload Analysis								
		Avg for Magisterial Distri	ict	Avg	for Judi	cial District	Avg fo	or Class of (	County
3.	A. 2,973 B.						C.	3,230	C
4.	4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this Difference (3A - 3B) Ranking To								Total
	magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average497 3rd 🔽 out of 4								of <b>4</b>
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of th	his -	Differei	nce (3A - 3C)	%	Above/Belo	w
	magisterial district to your class of c	_				-257		-8.	%
	6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. While the caseload for this district is relatively low, the corresponding workload is relatively high since this court ranks first in average cases of the three highest workload per case type filings, 40% higher than the next highest court. Another reason the workload is relatively high is due to the types of payments and window work this office processes. Adding more case filings will only then increase that workload. The City of Meadville has seen an increase of 5% on rental properties since the time of 2010 to 2020. The increase in rental properties will/have increase(d) the number of landlord/tenant filings. Within the jurisdiction of this Court is Meadville Medical Center and the PA State Police Crime lab. Law enforcement officers are requiring search warrants on medical records and electronic devices on a continued basis at this Court.								
Wor	kload Analysis								
7	Average total workloads:			Avg	for Mag	isterial District	Avg	for Judicial	District
1.	Average total workloads.			A. 31,057		в.	28,08	32	
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		D	Differenc	e (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below		ow
	workloads of this magisterial district		ict.		2	,975		10	.%
9.	<ol> <li>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</li> </ol>								

10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ)	Information:			
Samuel V. Pendolino	Birthdate	1/2/28	12/31/42	nt Date
Magisterial District Judge Name 11. Magisterial District Court Inform 894 Diamond Park, Ste. 1 Me	ation - Physical Loc		Mandatory Retireme	ni Dali
12. Is this court within the boundari	es of the magisteria	al district?	Yes	-
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the	e boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes	-
14. Are all portions of the magisteria	al district contiguou	IS?	Yes	-
15. To the best of your knowledge, a	are there any plann	ed developments	No/Not Sure	-
such as a mall, highway expansion increase in the case filings for the c			esponse below.	
16. List any police departments loca Meadville City Police Departm			artment	
<ul> <li>17. List any major highways within t</li> <li>18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitted</li> <li>City of Meadville</li> <li>West Mead Township</li> </ul>	or this magisterial d		For a list, click <u>HER</u>	<u> </u>
19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalities If <b>NO</b> , please list all proposed m			Yes	Ţ
20. Additional Comments:				
While the workload for this district filings, we might expect to see som a Small Amount of Marijuana takes Filings to Non Traffic filings, with a	ne minor decrease in s effect and cases ch	this as a Meadville City O arged under that ordinanc	rdinance for Possess	ion of

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	30-3-01	Cou	nty:	Crawford			•
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish	-	2. Eff	ective date:	2/28/20	22	
Caseload Analysis								
	Avg for Magisterial District         Avg for Judicial District         Avg for Class of						ass of Cou	nty
3.	3. Average total caseloads: A. 3,076 B. 3,470 C. 3,						3,230	
4.	4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this Difference (3A - 3B) Ranking Tot							
	magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average394 2nd 🔽 out of 4							ł
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differei	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abov	e/Below	
	magisterial district to your class of c	ounty caseload ave	rage.		-154		-5 %	i i
Wor	rkload Analysis							
7.	Average total workloads:		Avg		isterial District 3,066		udicial Dist 28,082	
	Compare the difference between the			Differenc	e (7A - 7B)	B. <sup>4</sup> % Abo	ve/Below	
8.	Compare the difference between the workloads of this magisterial district	-			5,016		-18 %	6
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges. While this magisterial district has seen the second highest case filings, it has a high percentage of the Traffic and Non Traffic cases, which have two of the lowest relative workloads. Due to the trend of new housing starts all throughout the Meadville to Conneaut Lake corridor and beyond, we expect the number of Landlord Tenant cases to rise markedly, and those cases have a higher workload than Non Traffic and a much higher workload than Traffic cases. We would also expect that over time, with more people living in this area, the number of criminal cases would increase, which would also affect workload numbers greatly as that is the highest workload factor of all the case types.								

10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ)	Information:			
Adam D. Stallard		1/2/28	12/31/59	
Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirem	ent Dat
11. Magisterial District Court Inform 6121 US Hwy 6 Linesville, P		ation:		
12. Is this court within the boundar	ies of the magisteria	l district?	Yes	-
13. Is the MDJ's residence within th	ne boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes	-
14. Are all portions of the magister	s?	Yes	-	
15. To the best of your knowledge,	are there any plann	ed developments	Yes	
such as a mall, highway expans		· · · ·		
increase in the case filings for t			-	
The Conneaut Lake area expects both single family dwellings and m	•			
16. List any police departments loc	ated within this mag	isterial district.		
Linesville Police Department	; Conneaut Lake F	Regional Police Depar	tment	
17. List any major highways within I-79	this magisterial distr	ict.		
18. List the <b>current</b> municipalities for Realignment Orders submit	-	strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HEF</u>	RE
Conneaut Lake Borough; Co	nneautville Boroug	h; Linesville Borough;	Springboro Boro	ugh;
		allowfield Township: (	Greenwood Town	ship;
Beaver Township; Conneaut		· · · ·		
Beaver Township; Conneaut North Shenango Township; I	Pine Township; Sad	dsbury Township; Sou	Ū	
Beaver Township; Conneaut	Pine Township; Sao Summerhill Towns	dsbury Township; Sou hip; Summit Townshij	Ū	p;
Beaver Township; Conneaut North Shenango Township; I Township; Spring Township;	Pine Township; Sad Summerhill Towns West Shenango To	dsbury Township; Sou hip; Summit Township wnship	Ū	p;
Beaver Township; Conneaut North Shenango Township; I Township; Spring Township; West Fallowfield Township;	Pine Township; Sad Summerhill Towns West Shenango To s the same as above	dsbury Township; Sou hip; Summit Townshi wnship ?	p; Union Townshi	p;
Beaver Township; Conneaut North Shenango Township; I Township; Spring Township; West Fallowfield Township; V 19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalitie	Pine Township; Sad Summerhill Towns West Shenango To s the same as above	dsbury Township; Sou hip; Summit Townshi wnship ?	p; Union Townshi	р; [
Beaver Township; Conneaut North Shenango Township; I Township; Spring Township; West Fallowfield Township; V 19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalitie	Pine Township; Sad Summerhill Towns West Shenango To s the same as above	dsbury Township; Sou hip; Summit Townshi wnship ?	p; Union Townshi	р; [
Beaver Township; Conneaut North Shenango Township; I Township; Spring Township; West Fallowfield Township; V 19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalitie	Pine Township; Sad Summerhill Towns West Shenango To s the same as above	dsbury Township; Sou hip; Summit Townshi wnship ?	p; Union Townshi	p;
Beaver Township; Conneaut North Shenango Township; I Township; Spring Township; West Fallowfield Township; V 19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalitie	Pine Township; Sad Summerhill Towns West Shenango To s the same as above	dsbury Township; Sou hip; Summit Townshi wnship ?	p; Union Townshi	p;
Beaver Township; Conneaut North Shenango Township; I Township; Spring Township; West Fallowfield Township; V 19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalitie	Pine Township; Sad Summerhill Towns West Shenango To s the same as above	dsbury Township; Sou hip; Summit Townshi wnship ?	p; Union Townshi	p;

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	30-3-03	Cou	nty:	Crawford				-
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish	-	2. Eff	ective date:	: 2/28	3/202	22	
Caseload Analysis									
		Avg for Magisterial Distric	ct Av	g for Jud	icial District	Avg f	for Clas	ss of Co	ounty
3.	3. Average total caseloads:         3,356         3,470         C.         3,						,230		
4.	4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this								Total
	magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average114 1st 💽 out of 4							4	
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	%	Above,	/Belov	v
	magisterial district to your class of c	ounty caseload aver	rage.		126			3.9	%
Woi	Workload Analysis								
			Av	g for Ma	gisterial District	Avg	for Jud	dicial D	District
7.	Average total workloads:		A.	2	25,311	В.	2	8,08	2
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Differen	ce (7A - 7B)	9	6 Abov	e/Belo	w
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distr	ict.	-2	2,771			-10	%
9.	<ul> <li>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</li> </ul>								

10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ)	Information:			
Lincoln S. Zilhaver		1/4/26	12/31/40	
Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retiremen	t Da
11. Magisterial District Court Inform 10996 Perry Highway Meadv	-	ation:		
12. Is this court within the boundar	ies of the magisteria	I district?	Yes	
13. Is the MDJ's residence within th	e boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes	0.00
14. Are all portions of the magister	al district contiguou	s?	Yes	0.00
15. To the best of your knowledge,	are there any plann	ed developments	No/Not Sure	
such as a mall, highway expans				
increase in the case filings for t	his office? If YES, pl	ease summarize your re	esponse below.	
				_
16. List any police departments loca	ated within this mag	isterial district.		
Cambridge Springs Police Dept; Vernor	n Township Police Dept			
17. List any major highways within I-79	this magisterial distr	ict.		
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submiti		strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>	
Cambridge Springs Borough	n; Saegertown Bor	ough; Venango Borou	ugh; Woodcock	
Borough; Cambridge Towns				
Township; Venango Townsł	nip; Vernon Towns	hip; Woodcock Town	ship	
19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalities	s the same as above	?	Yes	[
If NO, please list all proposed m	unicipalities (alphab	petically).		
). Additional Comments:				

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	30-3-06	C	Coun	ty:	Crawford			•
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish	ı	-	2. Eff	ective date	: 2/28	/2022	
Case	eload Analysis				1				
		Avg for Magisterial Distr	rict	Avg	for Judi	icial District	Avg fo	or Class og	f County
3.	3. Average total caseloads: 2,512 B.						C.	3,23	30
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of	this	Differei	nce (3A - 3B)	Rankin	g	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average958 4th 💽 out of 4								of 4
5.	5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this Difference (3A - 3C) % Above/Below							low	
	magisterial district to your class of c					-718		-2	2%
6.	<ol> <li>If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</li> </ol>								
	This court does have the lowest number of case filings in the judicial district, however the relative workload for the number of cases remains high. Furthermore, we expect an increase in NT cases as a Titusville City rental registration program takes effect. City of Titusville is also increasing its police force this year, which should increase the number of case filings. Finally, this district has the largest area in square miles of all the districts, but lowest caseload due to the rural nature of the majority of the municipalities within the district. The neighboring contiguous municipalities are also rural, such that adding more would not significantly increase the number of case filings. Instead it would add travel distance and time to constituents.								
Wor	kload Analysis					Sec.			
7	Average total workloader			Avg	for Mag	isterial District	Avg f	or Judicio	al District
/.	Average total workloads:			A.	2	4,566	В.	28,0	082
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Ĺ	Differenc	e (7A - 7B)	%	Above/B	elow
	workloads of this magisterial district		rict.	c	-3	3,516		-1	2 %
9.	9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.								

Magisterial District Information					
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Amy L. Nicols Magisterial District Judge Name	Information: Birthdate	1/3/28 Term Expiration Date	12/31/37 Mandatory Retireme	ent Date	
11. Magisterial District Court Inform 324 W. Central Ave. Titusville	172	ation:			
12. Is this court within the boundari	ies of the magisteria	l district?	Yes		
13. Is the MDJ's residence within th	Yes				
14. Are all portions of the magisteri	s?	Yes			
15. To the best of your knowledge,		No/Not Sure	-		
such as a mall, highway expansi increase in the case filings for th	•	•	esponse below.		
16. List any police departments loca Titusville City Police; Cochra	-	isterial district.			
17. List any major highways within t	his magisterial distr:	ict.			
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitt	-	strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HER</u>	Ē	
City of Titusville; Blooming Va Hydetown Borough; Spartans Bloomfield Township; East Fa Creek Township; Randolph T Township; Steuben Township	sburg Borough; To airfield Township; I ownship; Richmor	wnville Borough; Athe East Mead Township; d Township; Rome T	ens Township; Fairfield Township	p; Oil	
19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalities If <b>NO</b> , please list all proposed m			Yes	•	
20. Additional Comments:					





#### **Decennial Magisterial District Reestablishment**

Every ten (10) years in the year following the federal census, the Pennsylvania Constitution requires President Judges throughout the Commonwealth to analyze the workload of magisterial districts within their county and to compare those statistics to magisterial districts within the county and similar population-sized counties. President Judges are required to report to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, so that the Pennsylvania Supreme Court can make a determination as to how to best use judicial resources available for the citizens of this Commonwealth.

In conformance with the guidelines provided by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, an analysis of each magisterial district court within Crawford County has been performed with respect to caseload, workload, population, and other known factors including planned development, infrastructure and public services. The caseload and workloads of our magisterial district courts were then compared with those of other sixth-class counties in Pennsylvania.

As a result of this analysis, a proposal is planned to be submitted to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court recommending that all of the magisterial districts shall be reestablished as they currently exist in Crawford County.

More information regarding the plan may be found in the Crawford County Court Administrator's Office, the Law Library, the Commissioners' Office, any of the Crawford County Magisterial District Courts, or on the following link: https://www.crawfordcountypa.net/Courts/Pages/home.aspx.

Public Comment on the proposed reestablishment will be received by the Court Administrator's Office. Comments should be received by February 21, 2022. Comments may be mailed to Crawford County Court Administrator's Office at 359 E. Center St, Meadville, PA 16335 or may be emailed to CourtAdministration@co.crawford.pa.us

#### **REGION, COUNTY, WEATHER & FROM PAGE 1**



The Meadville Tribune

#### **Today's Forecast**

		A MARKEN AND AND A MARKEN AND AND A MARKEN AND AND A MARKEN AND AND AND A MARKEN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	S
8 a.m. Partly cloudy 8	1 p.m. Partly sunny 26	4 p.m. Mostly sunny 30	9 p.m. Mostly cloudy 24
	Tomorrow'	s Forecast	
S			0
8 a.m. Nostly cloudy 18	1 p.m. Cloudy/breezy 35	4 p.m. Partly.cloudy 42	9 p.m. Mostly cloudy 34
	Extended	Forecast	
E	×. 6	5 0	





This is a Pittsburgh Transit Authority bus that was on the Fern Kollow Bridge in Pittsburgh when it collapsed Friday morning.

# Mayor vows disaster emergency declaration after bridge falls

PITTSBURGH (AP) declaration of disaster emergency for the city in light of last week's collapse of a bridge in a city park.

Mayor Ed Gainey said the declaration would increase availability of federal funds and speed cleanup and reconstruction of the Frick Park bridge, improving coordination between the state transportation department and the the bridge, authorizing state city.

Gainey said the declara-The mayor of Pittsburgh has tion would be in effect for announced plans to sign a the next few days but called on council members to extend it. He also he said the city planned to be a party to the National Transportation

Safety Board's investigation into the collapse. On Friday, Gov. Tom Wolf had signed a proclamation of disaster emergency for

speeding reconstruction of

es and personnel to manage the emergency and waiving the usual "time-consuming bidding and contract procedurès.

Federal investigators continued work Sunday at the collapsed bridge, which they have vowed to put "under a microscope" to determine the cause. Five vehicles and a city bus were on the bridge at the Allegheny County aimed at time of the pre-dawn collapse. City officials said three people were taken to hospiagencies to use all resourc- tals with non-life-threatening

injuries and other people, including some first responders, were treated at the scene for minor injuries. The collapse ruptured gas lines that ran along the bridge, causing a large gas leak and the subsequent evacuation of several families from their homes.

The collapse came hours before President Joe Biden arrived in the city to promote his \$1 trillion infrastructure law, which has earmarked about \$1.6 billion for Pennsylvania bridge maintenance.

# Magisterial district judge plan open for public comment

#### MEADVILLE TRIBUNE

Crawford County's plan for reestablishing the magisterial district judge boundaries is now open for public comment.

No boundary changes are proposed for the four magisterial districts that serve the county, according to the Crawford County Court Administrator's Office.

Every 10 years in the year following the federal census, the Pennsylvania Constitution requires president judges throughout the state to analyze the workload of magisterial districts within their county.

The analysis compares statistics to magisterial districts within the county and those of similar population-sized counties.

President judges are required to re- Crawford County. port to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts. The reports allow the Pennsylvania Supreme Court tomake a determination as to how to best use judicial resources available for the citizens.

An analysis of each magisterial district court within Crawford County has been performed with respect to caseload, workload, population and other known factors including planned development, infrastructure and public services. Statistics then were compared with other sixth-class counties in Pennsylvania.

The proposed plan to be submitted to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court recommends all four magisterial districts be reestablished as they currently exist in

More information regarding the plan may be found in the Crawford County Court Administrator's Office, the Law Library, the Commissioners' Office or any of the county magisterial district courts.

It also may be found online at crawfordcountypa.net/Courts/Pages/home. aspx; click on the "magisterial redistricting link" at the top of the page.

Public comment on the proposed reestablishment may be made to the Court Administrator's Office. Comments should be received by Feb. 21. Comments may be mailed to Crawford County Court Administrator's Office, 359 E. Center St., Meadville, PA 16335, or may be emailed to Court Administration@ co.crawford.pa.us.

XO.
3
22
ŏ
4
3
8
0
3
ž
3
5
2
ė
2
č
3
2
B
1
p
Ũ
1

us Sheal 🚺 Paceura 🛐 Pa Arzamey Look U. S Pa Conterence of St... 🌌 Anywho 😒 4th Floor Secure Co... 🐉 Social Distancing R. 🔽 MSN | Outbook Off... S New Too 😁 Disciplinary Board L. S CGCF Innexe list caaly S PACMBank 🤇

CRAWFORD COUNTY, FENNSYLVANIA	A							- A + @
Crawford County Home Page	DEPARTMENT DIRECTORY RESIDENTS GOVERNMENT VETERANS SERVICES	RESIDENTS	GOVERNMENT	VETERANS	SERVICES	PUBLIC SAFETY BUSINESS	BUSINESS	
COURTS AN	D LEGAL							三日



County Home Page
 Counts & Legal General Info

# COURT INFORMATION

- > County Local Rules
- > Court Forms & Information
- Interpreter Services & Disability
  - Accommodations
    > Public Access Policies
- > Pennsylvania Courts Anti-Discrimination
  - Policy
    - > Jury Duty
- > Trial Information and Calendars

# DEPARTMENT UNKS

# Magisterial Redistricting

# SPECIAL CORONAVIRUS ANNOUNCEMENT

The Court continues to monitor developments on the spread of the coronavirus and any potential impact on Court operations. We are communicating regularly with the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts and county officials and we are monitoring the latest information released through the Pennsylvania Department of Health (DOH) and Centers for Disease Control (CDC).

As this situation continues to develop, we will look to these and other resources for guidance on any adjustments needed to protect the health and safety of Court users and Court employees.

Jurors and Court users can also find additional information in our COVID-19 FAQs.

Cessation of Local Judicial Emergency.

#### Heidi Shiderly

From:	Sam Bowes
Sent:	Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:43 AM
То:	Heidi Shiderly
Cc:	Information Technology DG
Subject:	RE: Posting of Crawford Magisterial Redistricting/Reestablishment Plan

Hi Heidi,

This is now on the website.

Thank you

Sam

From: Heidi Shiderly
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:32 AM
To: Sam Bowes
Cc: Information Technology DG
Subject: Posting of Crawford Magisterial Redistricting/Reestablishment Plan

Hi Sam.

Could you please put the attached up on the county website, Courts and Legal page, under a new link named Magisterial Redistricting?

Thank you!

Heidi A. Shiderly, Esq. Court Administrator Crawford County Judicial Center 359 E. Center St. Meadville, PA 16335 (814)333-7498 hshiderly@co.crawford.pa.us

Information contained in this e-mail is considered confidential. If you have received this e-mail in error, please respond immediately so the message can be forwarded to the appropriate party.