Judicial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheet in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint. Complete one worksheet or one for each county if you are a joint judicial district.

Judic	ial District Number: 60 County: Pike	Class o	of Co	ounty:	6	*
1.	List the existing magisterial districts in your judicial district 60-3-01, 60-3-02, 60-3-03 and 60-3-04	(##-#-##):				
Case	load Analysis					
2.	Average total caseloads:	Avg for Judicial Dis	trict	Avg for B.	Class of Co 3,230	
3.	Compare the difference between the caseload average of your judicial district to the class of county.	Difference (2A - 2B) -941	201	nking th	out of 24	otal 1 🔻
4.	Is your judicial district caseload average at the lower end range when compared to the other judicial districts in you		Ye	S		_
Prop	osed Actions					
	If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for reestablis 60-3-01, 60-3-02, 60-3-03 and 60-3-04	hment (no chang	es).			
6.	Are any magisterial district proposed for realignment? If YES , list the magisterial districts proposed for realignment	ent (changes).			No	7
7.	Are any magisterial districts proposed for elimination? If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for elimination.	on.	· ·		No	144



We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and	8. Do you have a night court operating within the judicial district?	No	V
truancy programs or drug, DUI, veteran, or mental health diversion programs? If YES, briefly explain the types of programs. 60-3-01 and 60-3-04 have informal truancy programs. Final Checklist 11. Was a request for public comment posted? 12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both? 13. Were media outlets notified? 14. Were public comments received? 15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission? 16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts? 17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable? 18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county? 19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	9. Do you have a central court within your judicial district?	Yes	_
11. Was a request for public comment posted? 12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both? 13. Were media outlets notified? 14. Were public comments received? 15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission? 16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts? 17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable? 18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county? 19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	truancy programs or drug, DUI, veteran, or mental health diversion programs? If YES, briefly explain the types of programs.	Yes	~
12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both? 13. Were media outlets notified? 14. Were public comments received? 15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission? 16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts? 17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable? 18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county? 19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	Final Checklist		
13. Were media outlets notified? 14. Were public comments received? 15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission? Yes 16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts? Yes 17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable? Yes 18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county? Yes 19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	11. Was a request for public comment posted?	Yes	_
14. Were public comments received? 15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission? 16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts? Yes 17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable? Yes 18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county? Yes 19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both?	Electronic	Y
15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission? 16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts? 17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable? 18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county? Yes 19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	13. Were media outlets notified?	Yes	•
16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts? 17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable? 18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county? 19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	14. Were public comments received?	No	-
17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable? 18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county? 19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission?	Yes	•
18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county? 19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts?	Yes	_
19. Additional Remarks We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable?	Yes	Ţ
We propose to reestablish all of our Magisterial Districts Courts in their current format and numbers. Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county?	Yes	
Verification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	19. Additional Remarks		
20. Date submitted to AOPC: 3/1/2022	numbers.		
			1:30
21. President Judge Name: Gregory H. Chelak			
	21. President Judge Name: Gregory H. Chelak		

REESTABLISHMENT OF

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURTS

OF PIKE COUNTY



BY GREGORY H. CHELAK, PRESIDENT JUDGE PIKE COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Following a review of all documents and information provided by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) related to the caseload and workload of the Magisterial District Offices in Pike County and following the directions of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court regarding evaluation of Magisterial District Judge caseloads and workloads, it is the recommendation of this Court that Magisterial District Courts 60-3-01, 60-3-02, 60-3-03 and 60-3-04 be reestablished in their present structure.

Based on this recommendation, Pike County would maintain four (4)

Magisterial District Courts. Those Courts would be comprised of the following:

- 1. Magisterial District Court 60-3-01 (Eastern Pike County): comprised of the Boroughs of Matamoras and Milford, Westfall Township and Milford Township;
- 2. Magisterial District Court 60-3-02 (Western Pike County): comprised of Blooming Grove Township, Greene Township and Palmyra Township;
- 3. Magisterial District Court 60-3-03 (Central/Northern Pike County): comprised of Dingman Township, Lackawaxen Township and Shohola Township; and
- 4. Magisterial District Court 60-3-04 (Southern Pike County): comprised of Delaware Township, Lehman Township and Porter Township.

The Magisterial District Offices would be located in the following existing Magisterial District Court facilities:

Magisterial District Court 60-3-01 would retain its current offices located at 102 East John Street, Milford, Milford Borough, Pike County, Pennsylvania.

Magisterial District Court 60-3-02 would retain its current offices located at 106 Shook Road, Suite 100, Hawley, Palmyra Township, Pike County, Pennsylvania.

Magisterial District Court 60-3-03 would retain its current offices located at 502 Little Walker Road, Shohola, Shohola Township, Pike County, Pennsylvania.

Magisterial District Court 60-3-04 would retain its current offices located at 213 Silver Lake Road, Dingmans Ferry, Delaware Township, Pike County, Pennsylvania.

The Magisterial District Judges, as presently constituted and duly elected, shall continue to serve as follows:

Magisterial District Judge Deborah Fischer in Magisterial District Court 60-3-01;

Magisterial District Judge Randy S. Schmalzle in Magisterial District Court 60-3-02;

Magisterial District Judge Alan B. Cooper in Magisterial District Court 60-3-03; and

Magisterial District Judge Paul D. Menditto in Magisterial District Court 60-3-04.

The above recommendation is made after consideration of the guidelines submitted by the Supreme Court to the Pike County Court of Common Pleas. In making our recommendation, the Court has taken into consideration the following factors:

- Pike County has been one of the fastest growing counties in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for over two (2) decades;
- The increase in population has led to an increase in caseload and workload of the Magisterial District Courts of the Pike County over that time period;
- 3. Each Magisterial District Court experiences fluctuations in caseload tied to various factors including commercial development, community association activity, local police departments and expanding commercial activities, all of which are difficult to accurately anticipate and predict;
- 4. An increase in future caseload and workload of all Magisterial District

 Courts is expected, however, as a result of the recent influx of new

 residents to Pike County;

- The influx of new residents is a result of the Covid-19 pandemic and the relocation of many former New York and New Jersey residents to Pike County;
- 6. During 2021, Pike County recorded 5,501 house and land sale deed transactions which will result in a significant increase in population and which is not reflected in the 2020 U.S. Census Bureau population figures;
- 7. Pike County experienced a similar population increase from 2000 to 2010 as a result of, *inter alia*, the September 11, 2001 Terrorism Incident when the County's population increased from 46,443 to 60,317, an increase of approximately 23% over the span of that decade;
- 8. Located in the northeast corner of our Commonwealth, Pike County is a long-standing vacation area for many residents of the states of New Jersey and New York;
- In addition, Pike County has experienced increases in its population over the past two (2) decades primarily as a result of residents of New Jersey and New York relocating;
- 10.It is reasonably expected that this trend will continue into the future based on future unanticipated events which will likely create the circumstances for further population growth;

- 11. Further, the Covid pandemic has increased the number of people who work remotely which also lends itself to population growth for Pike County; and
- 12. The expected population increase as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic is presently unknown, but is likely to result in greater demand for services in the county including court related services as did occur during the decade of 2000 through 2010.

In conclusion, the Pike County Court of Common Pleas respectfully recommends that the Magisterial District Courts of Pike County be REESTABLISHED in their current format which will include Magisterial District Courts 60-3-01, 60-3-02, 60-3-03 and 60-3-04 as set forth above. Our recommendation for Reestablishment meets the following requirements:

- 1. All municipalities within the MDJ districts must be contiguous;
- 2. Each physical MDJ Office must be located in the MDJ District;
- 3. The Magisterial District Judge must sit in the district in which he or she resides;
- 4. While municipalities may be split along lines of Voting Districts, smaller divisions of the municipality are not allowed; and

5. No County MDJ Districts should have a total workload which is 15% higher or lower than the workload of any other district in the judicial district unless a departure is recommended upon a finding of a lack of an unwarranted inequity among the Magisterial District Judges in the judicial district.

The Court believes that Pike County needs four (4) Magisterial District Courts to handle existing filings and also for at least the next ten (10) years. Although there are variations in caseload and workload among the current Magisterial District Court, we find the existing boundaries of those districts to most equitably balance workload of those offices considering other relevant factors including driving distances, Central Court duties and night/weekend duties.

As set forth on the Magisterial District Summary Worksheet for 60-3-01, that district falls on the lower end of caseload average in comparison to our class of county caseload average. It is necessary, however, to maintain 60-3-01 since that district is the host of the Pike County Criminal Central Court, is located in the county seat and is located in the district with the Delaware Valley School District and the greatest amount of commercial activities in Pike County.

While the average total caseload and workload of Magisterial District Court

60-3-03 is greater than 15% below the average caseload workload of the district and/or class of county averages, we submit that this district will likely experience increased demand for court services as a result of the anticipated population increases therein with Dingman Township being the most populous municipality in Pike County and Shohola Township and Lackawaxen Township being prime areas for future residential development due to their low current density and availability of raw land for future development.

In addition, it would be impracticable to realign 60-3-03 in the other existing districts due to, *inter alia*, the large physical size of Pike County. While the municipalities in 60-3-03 can be realigned into one or more of the remaining MDJ districts, any such realignment would certainly result in a reduction in the quality of service. Given the extremely large geographical land mass of Pike County, any re-alignment of MDJ 60-3-03 would create an even greater driving distance for the residents of the affected municipalities (Dingman, Lackawaxen and Shohola Townships) and all other court users than presently exists.

We also note that the caseload of Magisterial District Court 60-3-04 falls at the lower end of the county and class of county averages, but this district consists of large rural areas of land and is located in the southern end of Pike County. On the other hand, the workload of this district is higher than the average workload

of our district. In a similar manner to other districts, we believe that any realignment of 60-3-04 would result in a reduction in the quality of service provided and greater driving distances for all court users.

In addition, a reduction of Pike County's four (4) MDJs to three (3) MDJs or less would result in an increase of on call service on weeknights and weekends for the remaining MDJs including addressing matters that the Court of Common Pleas would ordinarily handle during normal business hours. Further, we have recently commenced operation of the Pike County Criminal Central Court which is designed for operation of four (4) MDJs rotating service in Central Court. Any reduction in the number of our MDJs would result in a further strain on the time of the remaining MDJs to meet the demands of their respective judicial districts.

A_OPC

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	isterial District Court Number:	60-3-01	C	oun	ty:	Pike		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablis	h		2. Eff	ective date	2:	
Caseload Analysis								
Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District Avg for Class							Class of County	
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 2,537		В.	2,	,289	c.	3,230
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	oft	his	Differei	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial o	_				248	2nd	out of 4
ς.	Compare the difference between th	e caceload average	of t	hic	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below
J,	magisterial district to your class of c	_	_		-693		-21 %	

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the petition that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

MDJ 60-3-01 is the host court for our Pike County Criminal Central Court;

MDJ 60-3-01 is located in the county seat of Pike County and in the jurisdiction with the greatest amount of commercial operations;

MDJ 60-3-01 is located in the district in which the Delaware Valley School District, a Class 6A school, is located:

This office is needed to continue existing services including Central Court and night/weekend duties.

Workload Analysis

	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7. Average total workloads:	A. 16,790	_{в.} 17,903
8. Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	. % Above/Below
workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-1,113	-6 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the petition) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

In addition, a reduction of Pike County's four (4) MDJs to three (3) MDJs or less would result in an increase of on call service on weeknights and weekends for the remaining MDJs. Further, we have recently commenced operation of the Pike County Criminal Central Court which is designed for operation of four (4) MDJs rotating service in Central Court. Any reduction in the number of our MDJs would result in a further strain on the time of the remaining MDJs to meet the demands of their respective judicial districts.

Ŧ



Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Deborah Fischer Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:	
102 East John Street, Milford PA	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.	
Milford Police Department, Eastern Pike Regional Police Department, Delaware V	alley School Police
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.	
Route 6/209, Route 84	
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Matamoras Borough	
Milford Borough Milford Township	
Westfall Township	. ,
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	



Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	60-3-02	Cou	nty: Pike				
Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablisl	ı	2. Eff	ective date	:		
Caseload Analysis							
	Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District					Class of County	
3. Average total caseloads:	A. 3,339		2	2,289		3,230	
4. Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total	
,	magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.				1st	out of 4	
5. Compare the difference between th	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below			
magisterial district to your class of c	· ·			109		3 %	
						·	

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the petition that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

Workload Analysis		
	Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial I	
7. Average total workloads:	A. 22,684	B. 17,903
8. Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B) % Abo	% Above/Below
workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	4,781	27 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent *higher or lower than* your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (*summarize your response from the petition*) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

A reduction of Pike County's four (4) MDJs to three (3) MDJs or less would result in an increase of on call service on weeknights and weekends for the remaining MDJs. Further, we have recently commenced operation of the Pike County Criminal Central Court which is designed for operation of four (4) MDJs rotating service in Central Court. Any reduction in the number of our MDJs would result in a further strain on the time of the remaining MDJs to meet the demands of their respective judicial districts.



Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Inform	nation:		
Randy Schmalzle	2/1/63	1/4 26	1/1/38
Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Information -	- Physical Location:		
106 Shook Rd, Suite 100, Hawley,	PA		
12. Is this court within the boundaries of t	he magisterial distr	ict?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boun	ndaries of the magis	terial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial distr	rict contiguous?		Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are the		No/Not Sure	
such as a mall, highway expansion or gincrease in the case filings for this office	-	•	esponse below.
16. List any police departments located wi	ithin this magisteria	l district.	
Blooming Grove PA State Police			
17. List any major highways within this ma	ngisterial district.		
Route 6, Route 84			
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this in the for Realignment Orders submitted in the force of the contract of the con	_	(alphabetically). I	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Blooming Grove Township			
Greene Township Palmyra Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the sa			Yes
If NO , please list all proposed municipa	alities (alphabetical	ly).	
20. Additional Comments:			
		_	

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	60-3-03	Cou	nty:	Pike		
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Eff	ective date	e:	
Caseload Analysis			<u> </u>			
	Avg for Magisterial Distric	t Av	g for Jud	icial District	Avg for	Class of County
3. Average total caseloads:	A. 1,628	В.	2	,289	C.	3,230
4. Compare the difference between th	e caseload average o	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
magisterial district to your judicial o	_		,	-661	4th	out of 4
5. Compare the difference between th	e caselnad average c	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below
magisterial district to your class of c	-		-1602		-50 %	
C If this modistarial district is at the la		1		•	<u> </u>	

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the petition that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

MDJ 60-3-03 is the most populous districts of Pike County;

MDJ 60-3-03 has a vast geographical service area which would make it difficult to realign and continue to provide efficient judicial services to the residents of the affected municipalities;

MDJ 60-3-03 has low overall density which lends itself to future residential development which could be impacted by population surges which Pike County has experienced over the past 22 years; MDJ 60-3-03 is centrally located to serve the needs of the residents.

Workload Analysis

	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7. Average total workloads:	A. 13,647	_{В.} 17,903
8. Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-4,256	-24 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the petition) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

In addition, a reduction of Pike County's four (4) MDJs to three (3) MDJs or less would result in an increase of on call service on weeknights and weekends for the remaining MDJs. Further, we have recently commenced operation of the Pike County Criminal Central Court which is designed for operation of four (4) MDJs rotating service in Central Court. Any reduction in the number of our MDJs would result in a further strain on the time of the remaining MDJs to meet the demands of their respective judicial districts.

Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Alan Cooper Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:	
502 Little Walker Rd, Shohola, PA	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an	No/Not Sure
increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.	
Blooming Grove PA State Police	
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Route 6	
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). I for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Dingman Township Lackawaxen Township Shohola Township	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	sisterial District Court Number:	60-3-	04	Cou	nty:	Pike		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict:	Reestablish		2. Eff	ective date	2:	
Case	eload Analysis				-1			
****		Avg for	Magisterial District	Av	g for Jud	icial District	Avg for 0	Class of County
3.	Average total caseloads:	Α.	1,655	В.	2	,289	c.	3,230
	Compare the difference between th	e case	load average o	f this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
٠٠.	magisterial district to your judicial of					-634	3rd	out of 4
-	C		ladd ayaraga a	fthic	Differe	ence (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below
Compare the difference between the caselo magisterial district to your class of county ca						-1575		-49 %

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the petition that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

MDJ 60-3-04 accounts for the greatest amount of the 60th Judicial District's criminal cases; MDJ 60-3-04 accounts for a significant amount of protection from abuse proceedings many of which commence with an emergency filing with the MDJ;

MDJ 60-3-04 has been operating an informal truancy court for the past 10 years;

MDJ 60-3-04 is a very rural district located on the southern end of Pike County;

Realignment of any portion of MDJ 60-3-04 would result in less efficient judicial services for the residents.

Workload Analysis

7.	Average total workloads:	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
		A. 18,492	_{В.} 17,903
8.	Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	Difference (7A - 7B).	% Above/Below
		589	3 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the petition) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

In addition, a reduction of Pike County's four (4) MDJs to three (3) MDJs or less would result in an increase of on call service on weeknights and weekends for the remaining MDJs. Further, we have recently commenced operation of the Pike County Criminal Central Court which is designed for operation of four (4) MDJs rotating service in Central Court. Any reduction in the number of our MDJs would result in a further strain on the time of the remaining MDJs to meet the demands of their respective judicial districts.

Ŧ



Magisterial District Information				
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:				
Paul Menditto				
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date			
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:				
213 Silver Lake Road, Dingmans Ferry, PA				
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes			
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes			
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes			
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure			
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your response below.				
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.				
Blooming Grove PA State Police				
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.				
Route 6				
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click <u>HERE</u> for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. Delaware Township Lehman Township Porter Township				
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes			
20. Additional Comments:				

Pike County Court System

HOME ABOUT MDI COURTS TURORINFO NEWSMEDIA PROSEFORMS PUBLICACCESS LANGUAGEACCESS CONTACT

TO GET UPDATED
INFORMATION ON HOW THE
CORONA VIRUS IS
EFFECTING OUR COURTS,
PLEASE VISIT OUR
NEWS MEDIA PAGE.



Court of Common Pleas - 60th Judicial District

Pike County is one of 60 separate judicial districts that comprise Pennsylvania's unified judicial system. As one of three equal independent branches of state government, Pennsylvania's Unified Judicial System's role is to preserve the rule of law and guarantee the rights and liberties of citizens. The 60 Judicial District encompasses the geographical boundaries of Pike County. The Court consists of one President Judge, one Senior Judge, and covers four Magisterial Districts. Common Pleas Judges serve ten year terms and are elected officials employed by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

RECENT NEWS

Proposed MDJ Office Reestablishment
Local Rules of Judicial Administration
News Media Page
Court Of Common Pleas ADA Policy
MDJ Office Press Release
State Of The Court 2009-2011
State Of The Court 2004-2009