

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheet in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses: Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint. Complete one worksheet or one for each county if you are a joint judicial district.

financia de la constanta	THE THE LATE OF CHARLES SEE AND EXPLORED CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY.		arolar alserice	<u> </u>
Judi	cial District Number: 23 County: Berks	Class o	of County	3
1.	List the existing magisterial districts in your judicial district 23-1-01, 23-1-02, 23-1-03, 23-1-05, 23-1-06, 23-2-01, 23-2-02, 23-2-03, 23-3-05, 23-3-06, 23-3-07, 23-3-09. MD-23-0-01 is the Berks County C MD-23-0-02 is Reading Central Court or the preliminary hearing court for Reading.	. 23-2-04, 23-3-01, 2 entral Arraignment C	ourt or Nigh	t Court.
Case	load Analysis			
	Average total caseloads:	Avg for Judicial Dist		Class of County
3	Compare the difference between the caseload average	A. O,000 Difference (2A - 2B)	B. Ranking	Total
J.	of your judicial district to the class of county.	581	4th	out of 10
4.	Is your judicial district caseload average at the lower end or range when compared to the other judicial districts in your		No	
Prop	osed Actions			
5.	Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?			Yes
	If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for reestablish	ment (no change	s).	W
	23-1-01, 23-1-02, 23-1-03, 23-1-05, 23-1-06, 23-2-01, 23-3-01, 23-3-02, 23-3-03, 23-3-04, 23-3-05, 23-3-06,			04,
6.	Are any magisterial district proposed for realignment?	we to the		No
	If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for realignment	t (changes).	į.	
	<u> </u>			
7.	Are any magisterial districts proposed for elimination?		8	No
	If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for elimination.		*	
	e e			
			N.	



Additional Workload Factors	
8. Do you have a night court operating within the judicial district?	Yes
9. Do you have a central court within your judicial district?	Yes
10. Do you have any special programs that will entail effort by the MDJs such as truancy programs or drug, DUI, veteran, or mental health diversion programs? If YES, briefly explain the types of programs.	No
Final Checklist	
11. Was a request for public comment posted?	Yes
12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both?	Both
13. Were media outlets notified?	Yes
14. Were public comments received?	Yes
15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission?	Yes
16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts?	Yes
17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable?	No
18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county?	Yes
19. Additional Remarks	
Clarification of answer to #14. During the public comment period, we received a condition of answer to #14. During the public comment period, we received a condition of the second of t	
Verification of Submission	
20. Date submitted to AOPC: 2/17/2022	
21. President Judge Name: Thomas G. Parisi	
Signature Tomes G. Parisi	



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	isterial District Court Number:	23-1-01	Cou	ınty:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablis	h	2. Eff	ective date	e: 1/1/20)23
Case	eload Analysis						
	2 ASS 39 A	Avg for Magisterial Dist	rict A	lvg for Jud	icial District	Avg for Class of Coun	
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 5,570	В.	B. 5,500		C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
		gisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.			70	8th	out of 17
5.	5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this		Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below	
٥.	magisterial district to your class of c			,	651		13 %
						-	

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average caseload is within approximately 1% of the judicial district average and within 13% of the class of county average.

Workload Analysis

-01-		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 48,053	B. 45,564
Q	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	2,489	5 %

If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your
judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial
district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an
unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average workload is within 5% of the judicial district's average workload.



Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:	
Ann L. Young	
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:	
600 East Penn Avenue, Suite 5, Wernersville, PA 19565	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.	
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.	
Route 422, Route 222, Route 724	
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Adamstown Borough, Heidelberg Township, Lower Heidelberg Township, Robesd Spring Borough, South Heidelberg Township, Spring Township, Wernersville Borough, South Heidelberg Township, Spring Township, Wernersville Borough	
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	isterial District Court Number:	23-1-02	С	ount	y: Bei	ks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestab	lish	2	2. Effectiv	e date	: 1/1/20)23
Case	eload Analysis							
		Avg for Magisterial L	District	Avg f	g for Judicial District		Avg for Class of Count	
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 5,838	E	3.	5,500		C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload avera	ge of t	his	Difference (3	A - 3B)	Ranking	Tota
	magisterial district to your judicial o	가게 있는 네트스() : [1] 가게 되었습니다. 그리고 있어서 말라면 가득하게 되지 않다는 그리와 되었다		338		6th	out of 17	
5.	5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this		his [Difference (3	A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below	
٦.	magisterial district to your class of c							19 %
10.00							IA TO THE STATE OF	to the country

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This magisterial district has the sixth highest average caseload in the county.

Workload Analysis

	2	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7. Averag	Average total workloads:	A. 60,572	в. 45,564
8.	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	15,008	33 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district. The district's average workload is 33% above the judicial district's average workload. However during the period of review, 2014-2019, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic filings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic filings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, however, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. In this magisterial district, from 2014 to 2019, non-traffic filings decreased by 59% and traffic filings decreased by 78%. It is anticipated that these filings will remain consistent with the numbers recorded for 2019. As a result, the decreases in the non-traffic and traffic filings will result in a more equitable workload for this magisterial district in comparison to others in the judicial district over the next ten year period.



Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:	
Carissa L. Johnson	NO. 1 W. W. W. T
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:	
1150 Muhlenberg Street, Reading, PA 19602	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.	
Reading P.D.	
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.	
Route 422	
 List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). I for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. 	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
City of Reading Wards 2, 3, 10, 16	
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Community	
20. Additional Comments:	



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	23-1-03	Co	unty:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	ective date	e: 1/1/20	023			
Case	eload Analysis						
	33 34 35 34 379	Avg for Magisterial Dis	trict	Avg for Jud	icial District	Avg for Class of County	
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 7,961	В.	5,500		c.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	e of thi	S Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
		district to your judicial district caseload average.			2461	3rd	out of 17
5	Compare the difference between th	hotwoon the caseland average of this		Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.					3042		62 %

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This magisterial district has the third highest average caseload in the county.

Workload Analysis

		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 94,451	B. 45,564
8.	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	48,887	107 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district. The district's average workload is twice the judicial district's average workload. However during the period of review, 2014-2019, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic filings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic filings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, however, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. While the decriminalization of parkings did not impact this magisterial district, the change in the truancy laws did. In this magisterial district, from 2014 to 2019, non-traffic filings decreased by 81%. It is anticipated that these filings will remain consistent with the numbers recorded for 2019. As a result, the decreases in the non-traffic filings will lead to a more equitable workload for this magisterial district in comparison to others in the judicial district over the next ten year period. Magisterial District 23-1-03 includes City of Reading Wards 8, 9, 11, and 12. The magisterial district covers only 0.7 square miles yet it includes the county's largest high school and Reading City Hall. With the significant decrease in non-traffic filings impacting the district's caseload and workload going forward, the Court recommends that Magisterial District 23-1-03 be reestablished as it currently exists.



Magisterial District Information				
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Kyley L. Scott Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date			
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:				
Berks County Services Center, 3rd Floor, 633 Court Street, Reading,	PA 19601			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	No*			
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes			
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes			
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure			
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.				
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Reading P.D.				
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. N/A				
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For Realignment Orders submitted in the past. City of Reading Wards 8, 9, 11, 12	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>			
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above?	Yes			
If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).				
20. Additional Comments:				
#12: see No. 433 Magisterial District Rules Docket, 3/29/19				



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	sisterial District Court Number:	23-1-05		Cour	ity:	Berks					
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestabl	ish		2. Eff	ective date	e: 1/1/20)23			
Case	eload Analysis										
	D 4 DH 115	Avg for Magisterial D	istrict	Av	g for Judicial District		Avg for Class of Count				
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 8,065		B. 5,500		,500	C.	4,919			
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload avera	ge of	this	Differer	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total			
magisterial district to your judicial dis				2	2565	1st	out of 17				
5.	E. Compare the difference between the caseland average of this			Compare the difference between the caseload average of thi		mnare the difference between the caseload average of th		Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below
٥.	magisterial district to your class of c		_		;	3146		64 %			

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This magisterial district has the highest average caseload in the county.

Workload Analysis

11.00	AMORA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 72,588	B. 45,564
8	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	27,024	59 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district. The district's average workload is 59% above the judicial district's average workload. However during the period of review, 2014-2019, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic filings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic filings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, however, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. In this magisterial district, from 2014 to 2019, non-traffic filings decreased by 64% and traffic filings decreased by 80%. It is anticipated that these filings will remain consistent with the numbers recorded for 2019. As a result, the decreases in the non-traffic and traffic filings will result in a more equitable workload for this magisterial district in comparison to others in the judicial district over the next ten year period.



Magisterial District Information				
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Alvin B. Robinson Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date			
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:				
633 Court Street, 1st Floor, Reading, PA 19601				
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes			
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes			
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes			
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure			
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.				
 List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Reading P.D. 				
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Route 222, Route 183, Route 12				
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). If for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>			
City of Reading Wards 6, 7, 15, 19				
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes			
20. Additional Comments:				



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	isterial District Court Number:	23-1-06	C	oun	ty:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablis	sh		2. Effe	ective date	e: 1/1/20)23
Case	eload Analysis							
	5 Po 55 035	Avg for Magisterial Dis	trict	Avg	for Judi	cial District	Avg for	Class of County
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 6,806	В	3.	5,	500	C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	e of th	his	Differer	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial o				•	1306	5th	out of 17
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	e of th	his -	Differer	nce (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below
٥.	Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.			•	1887		38 %	

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This magisterial district has the 5th highest caseload average in the county.

Workload Analysis

			Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
	7.	Average total workloads:	A. 49,031	B. 45,564
Q		Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
	0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	3,467	8 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average workload is within 8% of the judicial district's average workload.



Magisterial District Information					
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Dean R. Patton Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date				
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:	Mandatory Retrement Date				
3200 Reading Crest Avenue, Reading, PA 19605	2				
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes				
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure				
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.					
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Laureldale Borough P.D.; Muhlenberg Township P.D.	,				
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.					
Route 222; Route 61; Route 12; Kutztown Road					
 List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). I for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. 	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>				
Laureldale Borough; Muhlenberg Township					
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes				
20. Additional Comments:					



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	sisterial District Court Number:	23-2-01	C	ounty	: Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestab	lish	2	. Effective date	e: 1/1/20)23
Case	eload Analysis						
-2400		Avg for Magisterial	District	Avg fo	r Judicial District	Avg for	Class of County
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 5,754	ı	В.	5,500	C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload avera	age of t	his Di	fference (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial d		_		254	7th	out of 17
5.	Compare the difference between th	on the caselead average of this		his Di	fference (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below
٥.	Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.			835		17 %	
	AND CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT	The second of th				D	ANTENNA I

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This magisterial district has the 7th highest caseload average in the county.

Workload Analysis

7.		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	
	Average total workloads:	A. 48,802	в. 45,564	
8.	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below	
U.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	3,238	7 %	

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average workload is within 7% of the judicial district's average workload.



Magisterial District Information	
Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Priscilla Campos Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:	
441 Morgantown Road, Reading, PA 19611	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Reading P.D.	
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.	
Route 422; Route 222; Route 10; Route 625	
 List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). If for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. 	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
City of Reading Wards 1, 4, 5, 18	
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	sisterial District Court Number:	23-2-02	Co	unty:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestabli	sh	2. Eff	fective date	e: 1/1/20)23
Case	eload Analysis		7.01				
50		Avg for Magisterial Di	strict	Avg for Jua	licial District	Avg for (Class of County
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 5,114	В.	5	,500	C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averas	e of th	is Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Tota
	magisterial district to your judicial o	하다. 하다 항공 (이 나이를 하는 것을 하다 하는 것 같아 없다.) 이 없는데 이번 보다.		901	-386	9th	out of 17
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	e of th	is Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below
٥.	Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.			195		4 %	
					Language West Company	District Control of the Control of t	Contract of the Contract of th

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average caseload is within 4% of the average caseload for the class of county and the average caseload is not at the low end of the average caseload range.

Wor	kload	Anal	ysis
		17 25 25 25 25 2	

04132		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 44,231	в. 45,564	
2	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below	
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-1,333	-3 %	

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average workload is within 3% of the judicial district's average workload.



Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Eric J. Taylor	
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:	
401 Walnut Street, West Reading, PA 19611	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.	
West Reading Borough P.D.; Wyomissing Borough P.D.	
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.	
Route 422; Route 222; Business Route 422 (Penn Avenue)	
 List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For Realignment Orders submitted in the past. 	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
West Reading Borough; Wyomissing Borough	
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	isterial District Court Number:	23-2-03	Cou	nty:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	ective date	e: 1/1/20)23			
Case	eload Analysis						
	Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District			Avg for Class of County			
3.	Average total caseloads: A. 4,880 B.		5	,500	C.	4,919	
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial o				-620	10th	out of 17
5.	Compare the difference between th	a batwaen the caseland average of this		Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below
٥.	magisterial district to your class of c				-39		8 %

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average caseload is only 11% below the average caseload to for judicial district and less than 1% below the average caseload for our class of county.

Worl	kload	Ana	lysis
------	-------	-----	-------

		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 42,125	B. 45,564	
R	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below	
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-3,439	-8%	

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average workload is within 8% of the judicial district's average workload.



Magisterial District Information						
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Sandra L. Fegley Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date					
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:						
6112 Perkiomen Avenue, Birdsboro, PA 19508						
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes					
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes					
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes					
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure					
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.					
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.						
Central Berks Regional P.D.; Exeter Township P.D.						
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.						
Central Berks Regional P.D.; Exeter Township P.D.						
 List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). If for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. 	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>					
Exeter Township; Lower Alsace Township; Mount Penn Borough; S	t. Lawrence Borough					
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes					
20. Additional Comments:						



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	23-2-04	C	ounty:	Berks		
Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective d							023
Case	eload Analysis						
500		Avg for Magisterial District Avg		Avg for J	udicial District	Avg for Class of County	
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 3,822	В	3.	5,500	c.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	e of t	his Diffe	erence (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial d			- 1	-1678	14th	out of 17
5.	5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this		his Diffe	erence (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below	
Э.	magisterial district to your class of c				-1097		-22 %

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

From 2014 through 2019, case flings have remained relatively stable in this magisterial district. Non-traffic and landlord/tenant filings decreased slightly toward the end of the review period, while civil filings increased. There is no indication that this magisterial district will experience a continual or steady decrease in filings over the next ten years. Moreover, any increase in filings will lessen the deviation from the average caseload in the judicial district resulting in a more equitable caseload.

Workload Analysis

		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial Distric	
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 30,467	B. 45,564	
8.	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below	
υ.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-15,097	-33 %	

If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your
judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial
district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an
unwarranted inequity among the judges.

When considering all seventeen magisterial districts within Berks County, the average workload for Magisterial District 23-2-04 is 33% below the average workload of the judicial district. However, during the period of review, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic filings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic filings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. Therefore, if the average workloads for the magisterial districts in the City of Reading are removed from the analysis, the average workload for the district courts (outside the City of Reading) totals 37,030. Using that average workload, the average workload for MDC 23-2-04 is only 18% below the average workload indicating a more equitable workload. The Court is recommending that this magisterial district be reestablished as it currently exists.



Magisterial District Information						
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:						
David L. Yoch						
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date					
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:						
1786 Welsh Road, Mohnton, PA 19540						
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes					
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes					
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes					
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure					
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.					
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Cumru Township P.D.; Mohnton P.D.; Shillington Borough P.D. Although Reading P.D. is not located within this Magisterial District. Kenhorst Borough has contracted within	Reading P.D. to provide police coverage in the Borough.					
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.						
Route 176; Route 422; Route 222; Route 724; Route 625; Route 10						
 List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). If for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. 	For a list, click HERE					
Cumru Township; Kenhorst Borough; Mohnton Borough; Shillington	Borough					
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes					
ii NO, piease list ali proposed municipalities (alphabetically).						
20. Additional Comments:						



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	23-3-01		Cour	ity:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reest	ablish		2. Eff	ective date	e: 1/1/20)23
Case	eload Analysis	,						
	Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District				icial District	Avg for Class of Count		
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 4,00	06	В.	5	,500	C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload av	erage of	this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Tota
	magisterial district to your judicial o			THE WAY BY		1494	13th	out of 17
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caselnad avi	erage of	this	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below
٥.	magisterial district to your class of c					-913		-19%
		The same of the sa						

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

From 2014 through 2019, case flings remained relatively consistent in this magisterial district except for a marked increase in traffic filings. Traffic filings increased by 89% from 2014-2019. There is no indication that this magisterial district will experience a continual or steady decrease in average caseload over the next ten years. To the contrary, the opening of a casino and plans for housing developments in Caernarvon and Robeson Townships will likely result in increased filings in this magisterial district. An increase in filings will lessen the deviation from the average caseload in the judicial district resulting in a more equitable caseload.

Workload Analysis

001	25 A1 OHA2 148	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 26,509	B. 45,564
8.	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-19,055	-42 %

If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your
judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial
district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an
unwarranted inequity among the judges.

When considering all seventeen magisterial districts within Berks County, the average workload for Magisterial District 23-3-01 is 42% below the average workload of the judicial district. However, during the period of review, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic fillings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic fillings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. Therefore, if the average workloads for the magisterial districts in the City of Reading are removed from the analysis, the average workload for the district courts (outside the City of Reading) totals 37,030. Using that average workload figure, the average workload for MDC 23-3-01 is 23% below the average workload figure indicates a more equitable workload for this magisterial district. Moreover, when considering the growth in the area and the possibility of additional filings that may result result, the Court is not recommending any change to this magisterial district. The Magisterial District Judges in the county requested that the magisterial districts remain the same and no concern was raised regarding workload equity among the districts. As a result, the Court recommends that Magisterial District 23-3-01 be reestablished as it presently exists.



Magisterial District Information					
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: David E. Glass Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date				
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:					
321 North Furnace Street, Suite 180, Birdsboro, PA 19508					
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes				
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	Yes				
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your remarkable of the following information regarding growth in the magisterial district that could impact caseload: 1.) Gasino opening in 2021 2.) New housing development in Morganicum (Caernarvon Township). 3.) New housing going in Robeson Township.	esponse below.				
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.					
Birdsboro Borough P.D.; Brecknock Township P.D.; Caernarvon Township P.D.; Robeson Tow	rnship P.D.				
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.					
Route 76; Route 176; Route 724; Route 10; Route 345; Route 23	11-				
 List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). If for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. 	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>				
Birdsboro Borough; Brecknock Township; Caernarvon Township, New Morgan Borough; Robeson Township; Union Township					
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes				
20. Additional Comments:					



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	sisterial District Court Number:	23-3-	-02	Cour	ity:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective d)23
Case	eload Analysis							
	Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District				Avg for Class of Count			
3.	Average total caseloads:	A.	4,197	В.	5,	500	C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e case	load average o	fthis	Differer	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial d		하시 그리 하시 그 자식도 된다. 이번 정점에 드라	A 91 W 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	1303	12th	out of 17
5.	Compare the difference between th	0 0350	load average o	fthic	Differer	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below
٥.	magisterial district to your class of c					-722		-15 %

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average caseload is in the middle of the range of average caseloads in the judicial district and only 15% below the class of county average caseload.

Workload Analysis

		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 29,568	B. 45,564	
8.	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below -35 %	
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-15,996		

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

When considering all seventeen magisterial districts within Berks County, the average workload for Magisterial District 23-3-02 is 35% below the average workload of the judicial district which is outside the acceptable deviation of +/- 15%. However, during the period of review, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic fillings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic fillings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. Therefore, if the average workloads for the magisterial districts in the City of Reading are removed from the analysis, the average workload for the district courts (outside the City of Reading) totals 37,030. Using that average workload for analysis purposes, the average workload is only 16% below the adjusted average workload.

A review of the filings from 2014 - 2019 shows some fluctuations in flings but no trends.

The Magisterial District Judges in the county requested that the magisterial districts remain the same and no concern was raised regarding workload equity.

Therefore, after consideration of the workload analyses (calculation with and without the magisterial districts in the City of Reading), no indication that a decrease in flings will occur in the foreseeable future, and the request by the Magisterial District Judges to keep the magisterial districts as they exist currently, the Court recommends that Magisterial District 23-3-02 be reestablished as it currently exists.



Magisterial District Information				
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:				
Michael G. Hartman				
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Da	te Mandatory Retirement Date			
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:				
100 Schaeffer Street, Suite 2, Boyertown, PA 19512				
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes			
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes			
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes			
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure			
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.				
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.				
Bally Borough P.D.; Douglass Township Berks P.D.; Eastern Berks Regional P.D.				
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.				
Route 100; Route 73; Route 562				
 List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically) for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. 	. For a list, click <u>HERE</u>			
Bally Borough; Bechtelsville Borough; Boyertown Borough; Coleb	rookdale Township;			
Douglass Township; Earl Township; Hereford Township; Washing	ton Township			
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes			
20. Additional Comments:				



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

sisterial District Court Number:	23-3-03	Co	unty:	Berks		
Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablis	sh	2. Ef	fective date	e: 1/1/20)23
eload Analysis		,				
	Avg for Magisterial Dis	trict	Avg for Jud	licial District	Avg for 0	Class of County
Average total caseloads:	A. 2,925	В.	5	,500	C.	4,919
Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	e of th	Differe	ence (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
이 마음이 하게 되었다. 아무리는 그들은 아이는 아들은 사람들이 되었다면 하는 사람들이 모든 아이를 가지 않는데 얼마나 없다면 하는데	일시 - 뭐든데게 하다다가 하는데 하다니 - 글로만 나가 없으면 하다		(FeC)	-2575	17th	out of 17
Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	of thi	Differe	ence (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below
	ne caseload average of this			-1994		-41%
	Proposed plan for this magisterial distance of the second	Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablist Read Analysis Average total caseloads: Compare the difference between the caseload average magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average Compare the difference between the caseload average magisterial district to between the caseload average magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average compare the difference between the caseload average compare compare the difference between the caseload average compare com	Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish Pload Analysis Average total caseloads: Average total caseloads: Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.	Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective to a proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective to a proposed plan for this magisterial district as a proposed plan for this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. 2. Effective to a proposed plan for this magisterial district plan for thi	Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective date Pload Analysis Average total caseloads: Ave	Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective date: 1/1/20 Pload Analysis Ava for Magisterial District Ava for Judicial District Ava for Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. Ava for Magisterial District Ava for Judicial District Ava for Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this Difference (3A - 3C) % Above 100 Abov

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

From 2014 through 2019, case flings have remained relatively stable in this magisterial district. There is no indication that this magisterial district will experience a continual or steady decrease in filings over the next ten years. Moreover, any increase in filings will lessen the deviation from the average caseload in the judicial district resulting in a more equitable caseload. This magisterial district has the lowest average caseload in the County. Despite the average caseload, the Court is recommending that the magisterial district be reestablished as it currently exists for the following reasons: 1.) the Magisterial District Judges requested no changes to the magisterial districts; 2.) there is no trend indicating that case filings will decrease over the next ten year period; 3.) this magisterial district covers 134 square miles. It is one of the largest magisterial districts in the 23rd Judicial District based on its square mileage and it extends from the Lehigh County line almost through to Chester and Montgomery counties. The Court does not wish to increase the size of the magisterial district.

Workload Analysis

	2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 25,157	B. 45,564
8	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-20,407	-45 %

If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your
judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial
district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an
unwarranted inequity among the judges.

When considering all seventeen magisterial districts within Berks County, the average workload for Magisterial District 23-3-03 is 45% below the average workload of the judicial district which is outside the acceptable deviation of ++ 15%. However, during the period of review, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic filings (trusney) and/or high numbers of traffic filings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. Therefore, if the average workload for the magisterial districts in the City of Reading are removed from the analysis, the average workload for the district courts (outside the City of Reading) totals 37,030. Using that average workload for analysis purposes, the average workload for MDC 23-3-03 is 32% below the adjusted average workload.

The Magisterial District Judges in the county requested that the magisterial districts remain the same and no concern was raised regarding workload equity.

A review of the filings from 2014 - 2019 shows some fluctuations in flings but no trends.

This magisterial district covers 134 square miles. It is one of the largest magisterial districts in the 23rd Judicial District based on its square mileage and it extends from the Lehigh County line almost through to Chester and Montgomery counties. The Court does not wish to increase the size of the magisterial district.

Therefore, after consideration of the workload analyses (calculation with and without the magisterial districts in the City of Reading), no indication that a decrease in flings will occur in the foreseeable future, and the request by the Magisterial District 23-3-03 be reestablished as it currently exists.



Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Steven M. Chieffo	
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location: 26 Scholl Drive, Oley, PA 19547	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	Yes
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your related to the case filings for the case fi	esponse below.
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Amity Township P.D.; Central Berks Regional P.D.	
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.	
Route 12; Route 422; Route 662; Route 73; Route 562	
 List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). If for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. 	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Alsace Township; Amity Township; District Township; Longswamp Township; Pike Township; Rockland Township; Ruscombmanor Township Borough	A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	23-3-04	Co	unty:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablis	sh	2. Eff	fective date	e: 1/1/20)23
Case	eload Analysis						
		Avg for Magisterial Dis	trict	Avg for Jud	licial District	Avg for (Class of County
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 3,671	671 _{B.}		,500	C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	e of thi	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
20	magisterial district to your judicial d	그는 그리는 나는 이렇게 하는 것이다는 나가는 나를 살았다.		9	-1829	15th	out of 17
5	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below
J.	magisterial district to your class of c	the caseload average of this			-1248		-25 %
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	e of thi	Differe	ence (3A - 3C)		

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

From 2014 through 2019, case flings remained relatively consistent in this magisterial district except that non-traffic filings decreased and traffic filings increased at the end of the review period. There is no indication that this magisterial district will experience a continual or steady decrease in average caseload over the next ten years. Moreover, any increase in filings will lessen the deviation from the average caseload in the judicial district resulting in a more equitable caseload.

Workload Analysis

	3 (25) 28 (25) 86	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7. Average total workloads:		A. 30,663	B. 45,564
8.	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
٥.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-14,901	-33 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

When considering all seventeen magisterial districts within Berks County, the average workload for Magisterial District 23-3-04 is 33% below the average workload of the judicial district. However, during the period of review, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic filings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic filings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. Therefore, if the average workloads for the magisterial districts in the City of Reading are removed from the analysis, the average workload for the district courts (outside the City of Reading) totals 37,030. Using that average workload figure, the average workload for MDC 23-3-04 is 17% below the average workload for the district courts outside the City of Reading. Falling only 17% below that average workload figure indicates a more equitable workload for this magisterial District 23-3-04 includes three boroughs and two townships and covers 52 square miles. Also located in this magisterial district is Kutztown University. The University can impact the filings in the district (even if only for a portion of the year). The Magisterial District Judges in the county requested that the magisterial districts remain the same and no concern was raised regarding workload equity among the districts. As a result, the Court recommends that Magisterial District 23-3-04 be reestablished as it presently exists.



Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Gail M. Greth	
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:	
110 West Arch Street, Suite 118, Fleetwood, PA 19522	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.	
Fleetwood Borough P.D.; Kutztown P.D.; Kutztown University Police; Richmond Township P.D.	
 List any major highways within this magisterial district. Route 222; Route 662 	
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). If for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.	For a list, click HERE
Fleetwood Borough; Kutztown Borough; Lyons Borough; Maxatawn Township	y Township; Richmond
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	isterial District Court Number:	23-3-05		Cour	ity:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reesta	ablish		2. Eff	ective date	: 1/1/20)23
Case	eload Analysis							
	Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District					icial District	Avg for Class of Count	
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 4,56	7	В.	5	,500	c.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload ave	erage of	this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Tota
88	magisterial district to your judicial d			_5529M7237		-933	11th	out of 17
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload ave	rage of	this	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ove/Below
٥.	magisterial district to your class of c	e caseload average of this			-352		-7 %	

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district's average caseload is in the middle of the range of average caseloads in the judicial district and only 7% below the class of county average caseload.

Workload A	nalysis
------------	---------

5255	u w 10552 M	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 25,127	B. 45,564
8.	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
Ü.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-20,437	-45 %

If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your
judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial
district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an
unwarranted inequity among the judges.

When considering all seventeen magisterial districts within Berks County, the average workload for Magisterial District 23-3-05 is 45% below the average workload of the judicial district. However, during the period of review, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic filings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic filings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. Therefore, if the average workloads for the magisterial districts in the City of Reading are removed from the analysis, the average workload for the district courts (outside the City of Reading) totals 37,030. Using that average workload, the average workload for MDC 23-3-05 is 32% below the average workload. The MDJs in the county requested that the magisterial districts remain the same and no concern was raised regarding workload equity.



Magisterial District Information					
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Informa	tion:				
Brian K. Strand		Town Fundanting Onto	M		
	rthdate	Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date		
11. Magisterial District Court Information - F					
2739 Bernville Road, Leesport, PA 1	9533				
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the	e magisterial distr	ict?	Yes		
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the bound	aries of the magis	terial district?	Yes		
14. Are all portions of the magisterial distric	t contiguous?		Yes		
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there	[2] 2 [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2	: 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	No/Not Sure		
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.					
16. List any police departments located with	nin this magisteria	l district.			
Bern Township P.D.; Northern Berks Regional P.D.	ı.				
17. List any major highways within this magi	17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.				
Route 222; Route 183; Route 73; Route 61					
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this m for Realignment Orders submitted in the		alphabetically). F	or a list, click <u>HERE</u>		
Bern Township; Centerport Borough Township; Ontelaunee Township	n; Centre Townsl	nip; Leesport Bo	rough; Maidencreek		
 Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the sam If NO, please list all proposed municipali 		y).	Yes		
20. Additional Comments:					



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	sisterial District Court Number:	23-3-06	Cou	nty:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	ective date	e: 1/1/20	023			
Case	eload Analysis						
	Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District					Avg for Class of Count	
3.	Average total caseloads:	caseloads: 7,722 B.		5	,500	C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial o	——————————————————————————————————————		:	2222	4th	out of 17
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below
٥.	magisterial district to your class of c			:	2803		57 %
			Manufacture of the second		men commence	1	14 50 12 14 15

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This magisterial district has the 4th highest average caseload in the county.

Workload Analysis

		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 38,668	B. 45,564	
8.	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	Difference (7A - 7B)	
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-6,896	-15 %	

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

The average workload for this magisterial district is within 15% of the average workload for the judicial district and therefore does not indicate an inequitable workload.



Magisterial District Information					
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:					
Kim L. Bagenstose					
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date				
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:					
61 North Third Street, 2nd Fl., Hamburg, PA 19526					
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes				
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your response below. Perry Township is considering two housing developments along Route 61 - 154 townhouses and 14 three-story apartment buildings for a total of 297 multi-familty apartments. Hamburg Borough - the school building on State Street has been converted into 50 Section 8 housing units and has been filled with tenants since January, 2021. Windsor/ Perry Townships - numerous warehouses have been and are still being built along Route 61. Tilden Township - warehouse approved.					
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Hamburg Borough P.D.; Tilden Township P.D.					
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.					
Interstate 78; Route 61; Route 662					
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click <u>HERE</u> for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.					
Albany Township; Greenwich Township; Hamburg Borough; Lenhartsville Borough; Perry Township; Shoemakersville Borough; Tilden Township, Windsor Township					
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes				
20. Additional Comments:					
20. Additional Comments:					



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	sisterial District Court Number:	23-3-07	Cou	nty:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective		ective date	e: 1/1/20)23		
Case	eload Analysis						
Avg for Mag		Avg for Magisterial Dis	trict A	vg for Jud	for Judicial District Avg for Class of Coun		Class of County
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 3,458	В.	5	,500	C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	e of this	Differe			Total
	magisterial district to your judicial o			1			out of 17
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload averag	e of this	100 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000		ove/Below	
٥.	1	-				-30 %	
	magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		1	1461			

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

From 2014 through 2019, case flings have remained relatively stable in this magisterial district. There is no indication that this magisterial district will experience a continual or steady decrease in filings over the next ten years. Moreover, any increase in filings will lessen the deviation from the average caseload in the judicial district resulting in a more equitable caseload. This magisterial district has the second lowest average caseload in the County. Despite the average caseload, the Court is recommending that the magisterial district be reestablished as it currently exists for the following reasons: 1.) the Magisterial District Judges requested no changes to the magisterial districts; 2.) there is no trend indicating that case filings will decrease over the next ten year period; 3.) this magisterial district covers 171 square miles and the Court does not wish to increase the size of the magisterial district.

Workload Analysis

		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 19,561 _{B.} 45,564	
Q	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	-26,003	-57 %

If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your
judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial
district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an
unwarranted inequity among the judges.

When considering all seventeen magisterial districts within Berks County, the average workload for Magisterial District 23-3-07 is 57% below the average workload of the judicial district. However, during the period of review, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic filings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic filings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. Therefore, if the average workloads for the magisterial districts in the City of Reading are removed from the analysis, the average workload for the district courts (outside the City of Reading) totals 37,030. Using that average workload, the average workload for MDC 23-3-07 is 38% below the average workload indicating a more equitable workload. This magisterial district includes two boroughs and eight townships and covers 171 square miles. It is recommended that the district be reestablished as it currently exists to avoid expanding the current size of the district. Additionally, the Magisterial District Judges in the county requested that the magisterial districts remain the same and no concern was raised regarding workload equity.



Magisterial District Information					
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:					
Andrea J. Book	Mandatan Rationard San				
Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date				
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:					
7191 Bernville Road, Bernville, PA 19506					
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes				
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure				
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re	esponse below.				
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.					
Bernville Borough P.D.; Bethel Township P.D.; Tulpehocken Township P.D.; Womelsdorf Boro	ugh P.D.				
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.					
Interstate 78; Route 422; Route 419; Route 183; Route 501					
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click <u>HERE</u> for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.					
Bernville Borough; Bethel Township; Jefferson Township; Marion Township; North Heidelberg Township; Penn Township; Tulpehocken Township; Upper Bern Township; Upper Tulpehocken Township; Womelsdorf Borough; Strausstown Borough					
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes				
20. Additional Comments:					



Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Mag	isterial District Court Number:	23-3-09	Cou	nty:	Berks		
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective dat			e: 1/1/20	023		
Case	eload Analysis						
	Avg for Magisterial District A		g for Judicial District		Avg for Class of County		
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 8,053	В.	5	,500	C.	4,919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Total
magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average				2553	2	out of 17	
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	this Difference (3A - 3C) % Above/Below		ove/Below	
magisterial district to your class of co		-		9	3134		64 %
					CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF		

 If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This magisterial district has the second highest average caseload in the county.

Workload Analysis

		Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:	A. 53,838	B. 45,564
Q	Compare the difference between the average total	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
0.	workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	8,274	18 %

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this magisterial district because the district average workload is only 18% above the judicial district's average workoad. Furthermore, during the period of review, magisterial districts within the City of Reading had high numbers of non-traffic filings (truancy) and/or high numbers of traffic filings (parking). Toward the end of the review period, however, those numbers started to decrease due to changes in law and the decriminalization of parking violations in the City of Reading. In this magisterial district, from 2014 to 2019, non-traffic filings decreased by 52% and traffic filings decreased by 84%. Those decreases in filings will result in an even more equitable workload for this magisterial district over the next ten year period,



Magisterial District Information					
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Tonya A. Butler Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement Date				
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:					
2312 Fairmont Avenue, Suite 500, Reading, PA 19605					
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes				
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes				
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments	No/Not Sure				
such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your re	esponse below.				
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Reading Police Department					
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Route 222; Route 61					
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click <u>HERE</u> for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.					
City of Reading Wards 13, 14, 17					
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes				
20. Additional Comments:					

City Map

MDJ Listing

FAQ's

Links

All perks county employees and anyone entering county buildings must wear a mask of they will be denied entrance. If you have questions related to COVID-19, you can visit the www.dovourpartberks.com website for more information.

ATTENTION: FOR MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT OFFICE HOURS, PLEASE CLICK HERE OR THE MDJ LISTING IN THE LEFT NAVIGATION BOX

Mission Statement

👍 🐻 Polycom® RealPresence... 💹 covid-19 Resources for H... 📅 Free Hotmail 🞵 MSN.com - Hotmail, Outl... 🞒 N3072 [10.240.1 🧗 Suggested Sites 🕶

It is the mission of the Berks County Magisterial District Judge System to seek justice, to foster public trust and confidence in an independent judiciary, and to provide high quality courteous service to all users of the magisterial district courts by processing cases and resolving disputes in a manner that reflects the system's commitment to open access to the courts, fairness, impartiality, administrative efficiency, fiscal responsibility and public accountability.

About Department

The seventeen magisterial district judges and two centralized magisterial district courts in Berks County are under the supervision and administrative control of the President Judge. Each magisterial district judge is elected to serve within his/her magisterial district for a six-year term. The Berks County Central Arraignment Court and Reading Central Court are the two centralized courts established within the minor judiciary system. The Berks County Central Arraignment Court, located on the 1st floor of the Berks County Courthouse, serves as an after-hours emergency duty court insuring the continual availability of at least one issuing authority in the Twenty-third Judicial District. The Reading Central Court, also located on the 1st floor of the Berks County Courthouse, was established to efficiently dispose of preliminary hearings in misdemeanor and felony cases filed in any of the five magisterial districts within the City of Reading.

Magisterial district judges are included in Pennsylvania's Unified Judicial System. Magisterial district judges have jurisdiction over civil claims where the disputed amount is less than \$12,000.00, landlord/tenant disputes, summary offenses (traffic and non-traffic offenses), and hold preliminary hearings in misdemeanor and felony cases. They grant emergency relief under the Protection From Abuse Act and the Older Adult Protective Service Act. Additionally. magisterial district judges issue arrest warrants, conduct preliminary arraignments, set and accept bail, issue search warrants, and perform marriage ceremonies.

Magisterial district courts are connected to the statewide-automated Magisterial District Judge System, which came online in 1992. This records management system assists the courts in case processing and financial accounting.

Special Courts Administration provides administrative support to the magisterial district judges by preparing the Magisterial District Judge System's operating budget, administering facility and equipment needs, assisting in personnel management, providing staff training and

Reestablishment of Berks County Magisterial Districts

The proposal for the reestablishment of the Berks County Magisterial Districts has been prepared for submission to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts. The proposal by President Judge Thomas G. Parisi recommends that all magisterial districts remain the same.

An electronic copy of the summary worksheets may be found on the website for the Berks County Magisterial District Judge System. Copies of the proposal are also available for review at all Magisterial District Judge offices as well as Reading Central Court on the 1st Floor of the Berks County Courthouse. Comments on the proposal must be submitted no later than February 14, 2022 to:

> Special Courts Administration First Floor, Courthouse 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601

Magisterial District Summary Worksheets for Berks County Reestablishment 2021-2022



































From: Greth, Gail
To: Phillips, Faith
Cc: Parisi, Thomas
Subject: MDJ Re-districting

Date: Wednesday, February 9, 2022 4:16:12 PM

Faith:

I am open to scheduling a meeting to further discuss the re-districting concerns which I forwarded to you and the reasons why I believe my caseload will not be increasing and will possibly be decreasing.

There are other areas of the State where municipalities are split between two magisterial district judges. Has anyone suggested this for our county? This could be a possible solution to my situation. For example, if Greenwich Township were split between myself 23-3-04 and Judge Bagenstose 23-3-06 I would have jurisdiction over a portion of Interstate 78. Another possible solution is to split Maidencreek Township between myself and Judge Strand 23-3-05 to balance the caseload out. I do understand that there are other factors to consider.

If you wish to wait to address this matter until a later time I am not opposed to this, however, I want to be on record as having expressed concerns about my low caseload while we're in the midst of our redistricting process.

Thank you,

Gail Greth

From: Phillips, Faith
To: Weber, Steve

Subject: FW: request for increase in caseload for 23-3-04 Date: Thursday, February 3, 2022 1:17:00 PM

Attachments: image001.gif

Faith I. Phillips

Special Courts Administrator
23rd Judicial District of Pennsylvania
633 Court Street
Reading, PA 19601
610.478.6456, ext. 3530
610.478.6608 (Fax)

From: Greth, Gail < GGreth@countyofberks.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 1:15 PM

To: Phillips, Faith <FPhillips@countyofberks.com> **Cc:** Parisi, Thomas <TParisi@countyofberks.com> **Subject:** request for increase in caseload for 23-3-04

Faith:

I reviewed the redistricting proposal. I see no increase in my jurisdictional area, 23-3-04, in the 10 year proposal. We have an opportunity to even out the caseloads and I do not understand why we are not making changes. For example, Judge Bagenstose 23-3-06 and Judge Patton 23-1-06 each average more than double my caseload, 8,000+ cases annually. I have one of the lowest caseloads in the County, 3,000+ cases. I have expressed concerns about my low caseload in comparison to other offices in the past. I suggested resolutions.

I am only in the courtroom presiding over cases three days per week and I believe my caseload will continue to drop. I do not want to see this because the Judge's position will become a part time one. The citizens deserve a full time Judge and the media across the State is criticizing Judges who do not work full time.

I would appreciate it if this matter could addressed in order that my caseload increases. I am available to discuss this at your convenience.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Gail M. Greth

Magisterial District Judge 23-3-04 110 W Arch Street Suite 118 Fleetwood PA 19522