



HUNTINGDON COUNTY MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT REESTABLISHMENT PLAN 2022

HUNTINGDON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS



Introduction

Huntingdon County's 20th Judicial District was advised by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts of its requirements under 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 1503, to participate in the reestablishment of the Huntingdon County Magisterial District Courts. This event was last undertaken in 2012, when Magisterial District Court 20-3-03 was eliminated.

The following factors were taken into consideration during the development of this plan:

- Guidelines set forth by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts
- Criminal case filings and workload, non-traffic case filings and workload, private criminal filings and workload, private summary filings and workload, traffic filings and workload, landlord / tenant filings and workload, all from 2014-2019.
- Municipality populations
- Facility limitations

This proposal will be posted on the Huntingdon County Court Website at www.huntingdoncountycourt.net as well as at the Huntingdon County Courthouse and all Huntingdon County Magisterial District Courts for 30 days. (January 1, 2022 until January 31, 2022)

Public comment can be received at:

Huntingdon County Court Administration

Angela Robinson, District Court Administrator

223 Penn Street, Courthouse

Huntingdon, PA 16652

OR

huntingdoncountycourts@huntingdoncounty.net



BACKGROUND

Huntingdon County is a class 6 county with 3 Magisterial District Courts. Huntingdon County ranks 21st out of 24 of the class 6 counties in filings and workload of the Magisterial District Courts. Huntingdon County is a large rural county with a land area of 875 square miles and population of 44,092 according to 2020 census data.

In 2012 Huntingdon County participated in the reestablishment evaluation of the Magisterial District Courts. It was recommended by the District Court Administrator and President Judge, at that time, to eliminate Magisterial District Court 20-3-03 and realign Magisterial District Courts 20-3-01, 20-3-02, and 20-3-04. The recommendation was adopted by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

DATA EVALUATION

Case filings statistics by case type for a six-year period (2014-2019) for each magisterial district within your judicial district, for each year and also an average for the six-year period. The statistics are broken down by municipality for criminal, traffic, non-traffic and private summary filings, but we are not able to provide a breakdown by ward within a municipality. Civil, landlord-tenant and miscellaneous docket statistics are only available by magisterial district. Miscellaneous docket data will be provided for 2017-2019 and also an average for the three-year period. Because of the judicial emergency, the 2020 statistics were excluded from the averaging since they are likely not representative of the typical filings in each district.

Average filings per magisterial district are provided by case type by district for each county and class of county statewide.

Workload statistics for each of your magisterial districts, which are a reflection of how much judge time is required to handle the mix of case types each district has. It is calculated by using case weights for each case type which were derived from an empirical study of how much judge time, on average, is needed to handle each type of case. Actual case filings are then adjusted by the weights derived from the study, which enables you to better balance each MDJ's workload, not just the case filings.

All of the data evaluated was provided by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts.

Judicial District Summary Worksheet – Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheet in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint. Complete one worksheet or one for each county if you are a joint judicial district.

Judicial District Number:	20	County:	Huntingdon	Class of County:	6
<p>1. List the existing magisterial districts in your judicial district (##-#-##):</p> <p>20-3-01 20-3-02 20-3-04</p>					
Caseload Analysis					
2. Average total caseloads:		<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>		<i>Avg for Class of County</i>	
		A.	2,230	B.	3,230
3. Compare the difference between the caseload average of your judicial district to the class of county.		<i>Difference (2A - 2B)</i>		<i>Ranking</i>	<i>Total</i>
		-1000		21	out of 24
4. Is your judicial district caseload average at the lower end of the caseload range when compared to the other judicial districts in your class of county?				Yes	
Proposed Actions					
5. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment? If YES , list the magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment (no changes). 20-3-04					Yes
6. Are any magisterial district proposed for realignment? If YES , list the magisterial districts proposed for realignment (changes). 20-3-01 20-3-02					Yes
7. Are any magisterial districts proposed for elimination? If YES , list the magisterial districts proposed for elimination.					No

Additional Workload Factors	
8. Do you have a night court operating within the judicial district?	No
9. Do you have a central court within your judicial district?	Yes
10. Do you have any special programs that will entail effort by the MDJs such as truancy programs or drug, DUI, veteran, or mental health diversion programs? If YES, briefly explain the types of programs.	No
Final Checklist	
11. Was a request for public comment posted?	Yes
12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both?	Both
13. Were media outlets notified?	Yes
14. Were public comments received?	No
15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission?	Yes
16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts?	Yes
17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable?	Yes
18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county?	Yes
19. Additional Remarks	
Verification of Submission	
20. Date submitted to AOPC: 2/11/2022	
21. President Judge Name: George N. Zanic	
Signature	



Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	20-3-01	County:	Huntingdon
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Realign		2. Effective date: 3/1/2022	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 2,692	B. 2,230	C. 3,230
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		462	1st out of 3
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-538	-17 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 17,389	B. 19,621	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-2,232	-11 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information																											
<p>10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">Douglas L. Gummo</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;"><i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i> <i>Birthdate</i> <i>Term Expiration Date</i> <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i></p>																											
<p>11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">7561 Bridge Street, Alexandria, PA 16611</p>																											
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes																										
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes																										
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes																										
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.	No/Not Sure																										
<p>16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">Pennsylvania State Police</p>																											
<p>17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">William Penn Highway (SR 22)</p>																											
<p>18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-left: 20px; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Alexandria Borough</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Jackson Township</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Oneida Township</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Warriors Mark Township</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Barree Township</td> <td>Lincoln Township</td> <td>Penn Township</td> <td>West Township</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Birmingham Borough</td> <td>Logan Township</td> <td>Petersburg Borough</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Franklin Township</td> <td>Marklesburg Borough</td> <td>Porter Township</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Miller Township</td> <td>Spruce Creek Township</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hopewell Township</td> <td>Morris Township</td> <td>Walker Township</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>				Alexandria Borough	Jackson Township	Oneida Township	Warriors Mark Township	Barree Township	Lincoln Township	Penn Township	West Township	Birmingham Borough	Logan Township	Petersburg Borough		Franklin Township	Marklesburg Borough	Porter Township			Miller Township	Spruce Creek Township		Hopewell Township	Morris Township	Walker Township	
Alexandria Borough	Jackson Township	Oneida Township	Warriors Mark Township																								
Barree Township	Lincoln Township	Penn Township	West Township																								
Birmingham Borough	Logan Township	Petersburg Borough																									
Franklin Township	Marklesburg Borough	Porter Township																									
	Miller Township	Spruce Creek Township																									
Hopewell Township	Morris Township	Walker Township																									
<p>19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above?</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-left: 20px; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Alexandria Borough</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Jackson Township</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Oneida Township</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Warriors Mark Township</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Barree Township</td> <td>Lincoln Township</td> <td>Penn Township</td> <td>West Township</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Birmingham Borough</td> <td>Logan Township</td> <td>Petersburg Borough</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Franklin Township</td> <td>Marklesburg Borough</td> <td>Porter Township</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hopewell Township</td> <td>Miller Township</td> <td>Spruce Creek Township</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Morris Township</td> <td>Walker Township</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Alexandria Borough	Jackson Township	Oneida Township	Warriors Mark Township	Barree Township	Lincoln Township	Penn Township	West Township	Birmingham Borough	Logan Township	Petersburg Borough		Franklin Township	Marklesburg Borough	Porter Township		Hopewell Township	Miller Township	Spruce Creek Township			Morris Township	Walker Township		No		
Alexandria Borough	Jackson Township	Oneida Township	Warriors Mark Township																								
Barree Township	Lincoln Township	Penn Township	West Township																								
Birmingham Borough	Logan Township	Petersburg Borough																									
Franklin Township	Marklesburg Borough	Porter Township																									
Hopewell Township	Miller Township	Spruce Creek Township																									
	Morris Township	Walker Township																									
20. Additional Comments:																											
<p>Henderson Township would be removed from 20-3-01 and moved into the 20-3-02. This move would make the caseload and workload more equitable but also better serve Huntingdon County residents. Henderson Township is located closer to 20-3-02 than 20-3-01.</p>																											



Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	20-3-02	County:	Huntingdon
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Realign		2. Effective date: 3/1/2022	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 2,018	B. 2,230	C. 3,230
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-212	2nd out of 3
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-1212	-38 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 22,245	B. 19,622	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		2,623	+13 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information	
<p>10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rufus S. Brenneman</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i> <i>Birthdate</i> <i>Term Expiration Date</i> <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i></p>	
<p>11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">233 Penn Street, Bailey Building, Huntingdon, PA 16652</p>	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.	No/Not Sure
<p>16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Pennsylvania State Police, Huntingdon Borough Police</p>	
<p>17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">William Penn Highway (SR 22)</p>	
<p>18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Brady Township Huntingdon Borough Juniata Township Mapleton Borough Mill Creek Borough Smithfield Township Union Township</p>	
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	No
<p style="text-align: center;">Brady Township Henderson Township Huntingdon Borough Juniata Township Mapleton Borough Mill Creek Borough Smithfield Township Union Township</p>	
20. Additional Comments:	
<p style="text-align: center;">Henderson Township would be removed from 20-3-01 and moved into the 20-3-02 jurisdiction. This move would make the caseload and workload more equitable but also better serve Huntingdon County Residents. Henderson Township is located closer to 20-3-02 than 20-3-01.</p>	



Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	20-3-04	County:	Huntingdon
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 3/1/2022	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 1,980	B. 2,230	C. 3,230
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-250	3 out of 3
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-1250	-39 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
<p>This district court is located in the most rural part of the county. All magisterial district judges rotate through the county's central court which helps provide a more equitable workload distribution.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 19,230	B. 19,622	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-392	-2 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Lisa M. Covert			
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
550 Cromwell Street, Orbisonia, PA 17243			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Pennsylvania State Police, Mount Union Police Department			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
SR 522, Pennsylvania Turnpike			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Broad Top City Borough	Cromwell Township	Saltito Borough	Three Springs Borough
Carbon Township	Dublin Township	Shade Gap Borough	Todd Township
Cass Township	Dudley Borough	Shirley Township	Wood Township
Cassville Borough	Mount Union Borough	Shirleysburg Borough	
Clay Township	Orbisonia Borouah	Springfield Township	
Coalmont Borough	Rockhill Borough	Tell Township	
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Huntingdon County Magisterial District Courts Filings & Workload Averages

Average Filings (Caseload)

	Criminal	Non-Traffic	Private Criminal	Private Summary	Traffic	Civil	Landlord/Tenant	Misc. Docket	Annual Average Filings per Court
20-3-01	171	361	3	8	2002	91	16	40	2692
20-3-02	347	383	3	2	1122	81	41	39	2018
20-3-04	246	272	6	64	1115	181	66	30	1980
Huntingdon County Total	255	338	4	24	1413	117	41	36	2230
Class 6 Counties	263	379	5	57	2257	165	53	51	3230
Statewide Average	415	545	7	91	3002	225	186	79	4551

A six year average (2014-19) is provided for all case types EXCEPT Miscellaneous Docket, which is the average of three years (2017-19).

Average Workload

	Criminal	Non-Traffic	Private Criminal	Private Summary	Traffic	Civil	Landlord/Tenant	Misc. Docket	Annual Average Workload per Court
20-3-01	6284	3872	27	84	4565	1023	232	1303	17389
20-3-02	12742	4115	32	16	2559	912	599	1271	22245
20-3-04	9044	2915	65	688	2543	2040	958	978	19230
Huntingdon County Average	9357	3634	41	263	3222	1325	596	1184	19622

Average Annual Workload per MDC/County = Total Workload/# of years (6, 3 for MD)/# of commissioned MDJs

Magisterial District Court 20-3-01 Case Dispositions and Hearing Rates

MDJ Gummo	New Criminal Cases	215	Private Criminal	3	Private Summary	8	Traffic	2093
2018	dismissed	1	waiver	1	Dismissed	0	Dismissed	13
	*held for court	19	withdrawn	1	Guilty Plea	9	Guilty Plea	1857
	waiver	171					*Trial Guilty	61
	warrant	4					*Trial Not Guilty	25
	*other	1					*Other	11
	withdrawn	13					Withdrawn	30
	Hearing Percentage	9.30%			0.00%		0.00%	
Non-Traffic	316	Civil	113	PFA			13	
*Dismissed	9	default judgment Plaintiff	69	Granted	13			
Guilty Plea	274	*dismissed	14	Denied	0			
Warrant	12	*Hearing for Defendant	2					
*Trial Guilty	16	*Hearing for Plaintiff	7					
*Trial Not Guilty	11	settled	10					
*Other	5	*other	2					
Withdrawn	7	withdrawn	16					
	13.00%		20.40%					

MDJ Gummo	New Criminal Cases	173	Private Criminal	1	Private Summary	11	Traffic	2207
2019	dismissed	0	waiver	1	Dismissed	0	*Dismissed	13
	*held for court	20	withdrawn	0	Guilty Plea	6	Guilty Plea	2093
	waiver	151	other	1	warrant	1	*Trial Guilty	34
	warrant	0			withdrawn	1	*Trial Not Guilty	35
	*other	1					*Other	5
	withdrawn	5					Withdrawn	51
							warrant	73
Hearing Percentage	12.13%			0.00%		0.00%		3.94%
Non-Traffic	297	Civil	108	PFA			18	
*Dismissed	26	default judgment Plaintiff	29	Granted	16			
Guilty Plea	217	*dismissed	14	Denied	2			
Warrant	17	*Hearing for Defendant	3					
*Trial Guilty	16	*Hearing for Plaintiff	6					
*Trial Not Guilty	11	settled	16					
*Other	2	*other	2					
Withdrawn	6	withdrawn	7					
	18.51%		23.14%					

Magisterial District Court 20-3-02 Case Dispositions and Hearing Rates


MDJ Brennema New Criminal Cases		496	Private Criminal	0	Private Summary	5	Traffic	1026
2018	*dismissed	6	waiver	0	Dismissed	3	*Dismissed	12
	*held for court	54	withdrawn	0	Guilty Plea	1	Guilty Plea	885
	waiver	412			Trial Guilty	1	*Trial Gully	58
	warrant	15					*Trial Not Guilty	16
	*other	1					*Other	1
	withdrawn	8					Withdrawn	44
							warrant	22
	Hearing Percentage	12.30%		0.00%		20.00%		6.92%
	Non-Traffic	290	Civil	119	PFA	10		
	*Dismissed	6	default judgment Plaintiff	49	Granted	10		
Guilty Plea	218	*dismissed	14	Denied	0			
Warrant	1	*Hearing for Defendant	10					
*Trial Guilty	34	*Hearing for Plaintiff	20					
*Trial Not Guilty	8	settled	11					
*Other	1	*other	4					
Withdrawn	70	withdrawn	13					
	16.90%		40.34%					

MDJ Brennema New Criminal Cases		356	Private Criminal	0	Private Summary	2	Traffic	1383
2019	dismissed	5	waiver	0	Dismissed	0	Dismissed	21
	held for court	27	withdrawn	0	Guilty Plea	0	Guilty Plea	1117
	waiver	317			Trial Guilty	2	Trial Guilty	66
	warrant	6					Trial Not Guilty	20
	other	0					Other	4
	withdrawn	10					Withdrawn	46
	Hearing Percentage	8.99%		0.00%		100.00%		8.03%
	Non-Traffic	331	Civil	90	PFA	9		
	Dismissed	11	default judgment Plaintiff	38	Granted	9		
	Guilty Plea	201	dismissed	7	Denied	0		
Warrant	14	Hearing for Defendant	1					
Trial Guilty	65	Hearing for Plaintiff	13					
Trial Not Guilty	11	settled	5					
Other	0	other	4					
Withdrawn	59	withdrawn	10					
	26.28%		27.78%					

Magisterial District Court 20-3-04 Case Dispositions and Hearing Rates


MDJ Covert	New Criminal Cases	241	Private Criminal	7	Private Summary	52	Traffic	1087
2018	dismissed	1	waiver	5	Dismissed	5	Dismissed	12
	held for court	21	withdrawn	1	Guilty Plea	31	Guilty Plea	981
	waiver	193	Dismissed	1	warrant	1	Trial Guilty	37
	warrant	15	Held for Court	1	Trial Guilty	12	Trial Not Guilty	12
	other	0			other	2	Other	6
	withdrawn	8			withdrawn	1	Withdrawn	22
			9.13%		28.57%		36.54%	
	Non-Traffic	224	Civil	183	PFA	15		
	Dismissed	13	default judgment Plaintiff	119	Granted	14		
	Guilty Plea	165	dismissed	5	Denied	1		
	Warrant	6	Hearing for Defendant	0				
	Trial Guilty	25	Hearing for Plaintiff	10				
	Trial Not Guilty	13	settled	5				
	Other	0	other	0				
	Withdrawn	9	withdrawn	41				
		22.77%		8.20%				

MDJ Covert	New Criminal Cases	239	Private Criminal	6	Private Summary	34	Traffic	1193
2019	dismissed	5	waiver	4	Dismissed	4	Dismissed	13
	held for court	24	withdrawn	0	Guilty Plea	28	Guilty Plea	1039
	waiver	222	dismissed	2	Trial Guilty	5	Trial Guilty	58
	warrant	6			other	1	Trial Not Guilty	23
	other	0			withdrawn	1	Other	0
	withdrawn	6					Withdrawn	24
			12.13%		33.33%		29.41%	
	Non-Traffic	278	Civil	173	PFA	7		
	Dismissed	12	default judgment Plaintiff	103	Granted	7		
	Guilty Plea	193	dismissed	8	Denied	0		
	Warrant	5	Hearing for Defendant	11				
	Trial Guilty	30	Hearing for Plaintiff	10				
	Trial Not Guilty	26	settled	3				
	Other	0	other	0				
	Withdrawn		withdrawn	30				
		24.46%		16.76%				



Magisterial District Court 20-3-01 Judge Douglas Gummo
Magisterial District Court 20-3-02 Judge Rufus Breneman
Magisterial District Court 20-3-04 Judge Lisa Covert

Magisterial District Court
2012-2021



Magisterial District Court 20-3-01 Judge Douglas Gummo
Magisterial District Court 20-3-02 Judge Rufus Brenneman
Magisterial District Court 20-3-04 Judge Lisa Covert

**Proposed
2022 Magisterial District Court
Realignment**