Judicial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheet in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and completed form to SharePoint. Complete one worksheet or one for each county if you are a joint judicial district.

Judic	ial District	Number:	33	County:	Armstrong	Class of	County:	6
1.	33-3-01 33-3-02 33-3-03 33-3-04	ting magisto	erial di	stricts in your	judicial district ((##-#-##):		
Case	load Analy	/sis						
						Avg for Judicial Distr	ict Avg for	Class of Coun
2.	Average to	tal caseload	s:			A. 2,655	В.	3,230
2	Compare t	he difference	e hetw	veen the case	load average	Difference (2A - 2B)	Ranking	Tota
٥.				class of coun		-575	15th	out of 24
4.	Is your jud	licial district n compared	caselo to the	oad average a other judicia	t the lower end of I districts in your	of the caseload r class of county?	No	
Prop	osed Acti	ons						
	Aro any m	agisterial di	stricts	proposed for	reestablishment	.7		Yes
	33-3-01 33-3-02 33-3-03 33-3-04							
6.	Are any m	agisterial di	strict p	proposed for r	ealignment?			No
	If YES, list	the magiste	erial dis	stricts propose	ed for realignme	ent (changes).		
7.	Are any m	agisterial di	stricts	proposed for	elimination?			No
	If YES , list	the magiste	erial di	stricts propos	ed for eliminatio	on.		



8. Do y		
	you have a night court operating within the judicial district?	No
9. Do y	you have a central court within your judicial district?	No
tru	you have any special programs that will entail effort by the MDJs such as ancy programs or drug, DUI, veteran, or mental health diversion programs? YES, briefly explain the types of programs. See Number 19.	No
Final Ch	ecklist	
11. Wa	as a request for public comment posted?	Yes
12. Me	ethod of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both?	Both
13. We	ere media outlets notified?	Yes
14. We	ere public comments received?	No
15. Dic	d you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission?	Yes
16. Dic	d you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts?	Yes
17. Dic	d you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable?	Yes
18. Dic	d you confer with the MDJs in your county?	Yes
L9. Addi	tional Remarks	
nealth dividivert app truancy ca Veterans	ard to number 10 above our MDJ's do not have formal truancy, drug, D.U.I., Version programs. However, our elected District Attorney has an ADA assigned propriate cases for special consideration and disposition at the MDJ level. For ease may be continued and the juvenile referred to the YES program or WhyT may be deferred to the Veteran Treatment Court at the Common Pleas level and participate in the informal disposition of cases if the ADA deems cases	ed to the MDJs to or example, a Fry . Likewise, I. The MDJs all
Verifica	tion of Submission	
	te submitted to AOPC: 3/4/2022 resubmitted 5-19-22	
20. Da		

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Ma	gisterial District Court Number:	33-3-01	Cou	nty: A	RMSTR	ONG -
1	. Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 2/28/2022		
Cas	seload Analysis					MEN EN
	20 30 30 30 30	Avg for Magisterial District	t Av	g for Judicial District	Avg for	Class of County
3	. Average total caseloads:	A. 3,628	В.	2,655	C.	3,230
4.	. Compare the difference between th magisterial district to your judicial of			Difference (3A - 3B) 973	Ranking	Total out of 4
5	. Compare the difference between th			Difference (3A - 3C)		ove/Below
J.	magisterial district to your class of c			398		12%
Wo	rkload Analysis					The state of the s
		mel a man and a man a	Avg	for Magisterial District	Avg for	Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:		Α.	32,479	В.	26,691
8.	Compare the difference between the	average total	L	Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below	
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial district.		5,788	3	22 %
9.	If this magisterial district's average we judicial district average workload a district, please explain (summarize you unwarranted inequity among the judicial Armstrong County has a geographical are offices/courtrooms that are strategically located from North to South by the Allegh bridges are centrally located and within 5	and you are proposed our response from the ges. ea of 653.20 sq. miles a pocated in the county to leny River and has thre	ing to e plan and con better e bridg	o reestablish this) why this does no nesists of four Magist serve its citizens. A les that cross the Al	ot resulterial Dis Armstron	terial t in an tricts with

their geographic area. There is not a central court.



Magisterial District Informatio	n			
 Magisterial District Judge (MD. J. Gary DeComo Magisterial District Judge Name 	J) Information: Birthdate	12/31/23 Term Expiration Date	12/31/27 Mandatory Fe	tirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Infor	mation - Physical Locatio	on: 206 Fifth Avenue Ford City, PA 162	226	
12. Is this court within the bounda	ries of the magisterial d	strict?	Yes	•
13. Is the MDJ's residence within t	he boundaries of the ma	agisterial district?	Yes	-
14. Are all portions of the magiste	rial district contiguous?		Yes	-
15. To the best of your knowledge			No/Not Sure	
such as a mall, highway expanincrease in the case filings for			esponse belov	•
16. List any police departments loc Ford City Boro PD ; Freeport I			PD; South Buffa	lo Twp PD
17. List any major highways within Rt. 66/Rt. 2				
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for Realignment Orders submit	tted in the past.			
Cadogan Township; Ford C Township; Manorville Borou		-		anor
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities of NO , please list all proposed r		cally).	Yes	•
20. Additional Comments:				

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

	sisterial District Court Number:	33-3-02	Cour	nty:	Arms	strong	
Proposed plan for this magisterial di		strict: Reestabli	sh	2. Effective date: 2/28/		: 2/28/2	2022
Case	eload Analysis						
		Avg for Magisterial Distric	t Av	g for Judicie	al District	Avg for	Class of Count
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 2,712	B.	2,6	55	C.	3,230
4.	Compare the difference between the magisterial district to your judicial of				2 (3A - 3B) 57	Ranking 2nd	out of 4
	Compare the difference between th	o casalaad ayaraga	of this	Differenc	e (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below
5.	Compare the difference between the magisterial district to your class of c			-5	18		-16%
Wol	rkload Analysis		Avg	g for Magisi	terial District	Avg for	r Judicial Distri
	rkload Analysis Average total workloads:		Avg	32	terial District	Avg for	ludicial Distri
7.	Average total workloads:	a average total	Α.	32	,059	B.	
	Average total workloads:		Α.	32 Difference	,059	B.	26,691



	sterial District Information				
10.	Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) James H. Owen Magisterial District Judge Name	Information: Birthdate	12/31/23 Term Expiration Date	12/31/23 Mandatory Retir	ement Da
11.	Magisterial District Court Inform 128 South Grant Avenue Kittanning, PA 16201	nation - Physical Loc	atiOn:		
12.	Is this court within the boundar	ies of the magisteria	al district?	No*	
13.	Is the MDJ's residence within th	ne boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes	
14.	Are all portions of the magister	ial district contiguou	s?	Yes	
15.	To the best of your knowledge,	are there any plann	ed developments	No/Not Sure	
16	increase in the case filings for t			soponise below.	
10.	East Franklin Twp PD; Kittanning			n Boro. PD	
17.	List any major highways within Rt.66; Rt. 28; Rt. 422; Rt. 26	_	rict.		
18.	List the current municipalities for Realignment Orders submitted Applewold Boro.; Brady's Berarker City Boro.; Perry Twick Franklin Twp.; West Kittanni	ted in the past. end Twp.; East Fra p.; Rayburn Twp.;	inklin Twp.; Hovey Tw Sugarcreek Twp.; Wa	– p.; Kittanning Iر	— Boro.;
19.	Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities of the proposed municipaliti			Yes	
D. <i>A</i>	Additional Comments:				10

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and u bload the

Ma	gisterial District Court Number:	33-3-03	Cou	nty:	Arm	nstrong	
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestabli	sh	E STATE OF S		e· 2/28/	
	eload Analysis				ve dut	c. 2/20/	2022
		Avg for Magisterial District	Av	g for Judicial I	District	Ava for	Class of County
3.	. Average total caseloads:	A. 2,653	В.	2,65		C.	3,230
4.	Compare the difference between the magisterial district to your judicial of	e caseload average of	fthis	Difference (.		Ranking	7
5.	Compare the difference between the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of compared to the magisterial district to your class of the magisterial distric	e caseload average of	this	Difference (:	3A - 3C)		out of 4
Wor	kload Analysis						
_			Avg	for Magisteria	ıl District	Avg for	Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:		A.	25,10	13	В.	26,691
8.	Compare the difference between the	average total		Difference (7A - 7B)		% Ab	ove/Below
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial district.		-1,588			-6%
	If this magisterial district's average w judicial district average workload and district, please explain (summarize you unwarranted inequity among the judge Armstrong County has a geographical are offices/courtrooms that are strategically located from North to South by the Alleghe bridges are centrally located and within 5 town courtrooms, staff and separate scheduler geographic area. There is not a centrally	nd you are proposing response from the ges. It as of 653.20 sq. miles are cated in the county to be eny River and has three miles of each other. MD tules to better serve the	ng to plan) nd con etter s bridge	why this sists of four	does not magistizens. An sthe Al	ot resulterial Distrmstrong	rerial in an cricts with County is All three

Mag	isterial District Information	on			
10.	Magisterial District Judge (MI James A. Andring Magisterial District Judge Name	DJ) Information: Birthdate	1/2/28 Term Expiration Date	12/31/38 Mandatory Re	tirement Dat
11.	Magisterial District Court Info 241 Market Street Leechburg, PA 15656	rmation - Physical Location			orement but
12.	Is this court within the bound	aries of the magisterial d	istrict?	Yes	\
13.	Is the MDJ's residence within	the boundaries of the m	agisterial district?	Yes	-
14.	Are all portions of the magiste	erial district contiguous?		Yes	-
15.	To the best of your knowledge such as a mall, highway expar increase in the case filings for	sion or gas drilling that v	vill likely cause an	No/Not Sure	
	List any police departments lo Apollo Boro. PD; Gilpin Twp. PD List any major highways within Rt.66; Alt. Rt. 66; Rt. 56	; Kiskiminetas Twp. PD; Le	echburg Boro. PD; Pa	rks Twp. PD	
18.	List the <u>current</u> municipalities for Realignment Orders submi Apollo Boro.; Bethel Twp.; Boro.; Parks Twp.	tted in the past.			
	Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalition of the proposed in the propo		cally).	Yes	
20. A	dditional Comments:				

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and u bload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:		33-3-04	Cou	nty:	/: Armstrong			
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict:Reestabli	i.sh 2. Effective date: 2/28			: 2/28/	3/2022	
Cas	eload Analysis							
1100		Avg for Magisterial Distric	t Av	g for Judicia	l District	Avg for	Class of Coun	
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 1,631	В.	2,6	55	C.	3,230	
4.	Compare the difference between the magisterial district to your judicial of	•		Difference		Ranking 4th	out of 4	
_				Difference	9555.44	L	ove/Below	
5.	Compare the difference between the magisterial district to your class of compared to the compa			-15		70716	-50%	
Woi	eastern portion of Armstrong County. The n to the District Court; and the most northern p District 33-3-04 does not have any bridges then to hear most of the cases that have be of impropriety. MDJ District 33-3-04 has a corkload Analysis	point has an approximate hat cross the Allegheny F een recused by the other	travel ti River in it three Ma	me of 30 m ts geograpl agisterial D	inutes to E nical area. istrict Judg	District Co MDJ Dis ges, due	ourt. MDJ strict 33-3-04	
			Avg	for Magiste	erial District	Avg fo	Judicial Disti	
7.	Average total workloads:		A.	17,	121	В.	26,691	
8.	Compare the difference between the workloads of this magisterial district			0.00 -9,5		% A	-36 %	
9.	If this magisterial district's average we judicial district average workload a district, please explain (summarize younwarranted inequity among the judicial Armstrong County has a geographical armstrong County	and you are propo our response from th liges.	sing to ne plan	y <u>reestal</u>) why thi	olish thi s does r	s magi	sterial	

divided from North to South by the Allegheny River and has three bridges that cross the Allegheny. All three bridges are centrally located and within 5 miles of each other. MDJ offices in Armstrong County utilize their own courtrooms, staff and separate schedules to better serve the citizens and agencies that are located in

their geographic area. There is not a central court.



Magisterial District Informat	ion			
10. Magisterial District Judge (N Kevin L. McCausland Magisterial District Judge Name	ADJ) Information: Birthdate	12/31/23 Term Expiration Date	12/31/44 Mandatory R	etirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Inf 720 Main Street Rural Valley, PA 16249	formation - Physical Loca	ition:		
12. Is this court within the boun	ndaries of the magisteria	district?	Yes	_
13. Is the MDJ's residence withi	n the boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes	-
14. Are all portions of the magis	sterial district contiguous	5?	Yes	_
15. To the best of your knowled	ge, are there any planne	d developments	No/Not Sur	
such as a mall, highway expl increase in the case filings fo	or this office? If YES , ple	ase summarize your r	esponse below	<i>i</i> .
List any police departments Elderton Boro. PD; Rural		sterial district.		
17. List any major highways with Rt.85; Rt. 839; Rt 422	nin this magisterial distri	ct.		
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for Realignment Orders subtraction Atwood Boro.; Boggs Tw. Boro.; Kittanning Twp.; M. Redbank Twp.; Rural Val Twp.; Wayne Township	mitted in the past. p.; Burrell Twp.; Cowal ladison Twp.; Mahonin	nshannock Twp.; Da g Twp.; Pine Twp.; F	yton Boro.; E	Elderton
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipaling lf NO , please list all proposed		tically).	Yes	•
20. Additional Comments:				

ARMSTRONG COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL **PROOF OF PUBLICATION**

The undersigned, an appointed agent of ARMSTRONG COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL having been duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that ARMSTRONG COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL is the officially designated legal newspaper in and for the Courts of Armstrong County, Pennsylvania, owned and published each Thursday continuously since October 7, 1965 by the Armstrong County Bar Association at Kittanning, Armstrong County, Pennsylvania, that the notice hereto securely attached, exactly as printed or published, appear in the ARMSTRONG COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL issue or issues dated: January 20, 2022; January 27, 2022 FEBRUARY 3, 2000

Affiant further states that he is not interested in the notice or advertising and that all of the allegations in the foregoing statement as to time, place and character of publication are true.

ARMSTRONG COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL

Sworn to and subscribed before me this

3 80 day of Lebru

RECORDER OF DEEDS

ARMSTRONG COUNTY, PA First Monday of Jane

of Center Valley Mutual Fire Insurance Company, for the purpose of electing officers and directors for the ensuing year and transacting such other business that may come before the meeting, will be held at the Manor Township Municipal Building, 306 Byron Street, McGrann, PA 16236 on Thursday, January 20, 2022 at 7:00 p.m.

Lori A. Boback Secretary

INVITATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

The President Judge of each Judicial District in Pennsylvania, including the undersigned, has been directed to submit a proposal to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts concerning reestablishemnt, realignment, and/or elimination of Magisterial Judicial Districts within the Judicial Districts within the Judicial District. The plan to be submitted will maintain the current districting. Prior to submission, comment is invited.

The undersigned is therefore inviting public comment on the following issues:

- Shall all four (4) of Armstrong County's Magesterial Districts be reestablished "as is."
- 2) Shall any two (2) or more of Armstrong County's four (4) Magesterial Districts be realigned?
- 3) Shall the number of Magesterial Districts in Armstrong County be reduced from four(4) to three (3) through attrition, upon the death or retirement of any current Magesterial District Judge?

Comments on these issues must be in writing. The name and address of the commentor must be legible. The comment should also state the position of the commentor, such as township supervisor, chief of police, business owner, resident, etc.

The written comments will be forward to the AOPC together with the recommendations of the undersigned. A written comment may be mailed or hand delivered to the Office of the Court Administrator, Armstrong County Courthouse, 500 E. Market Street, Suite 2000, Kittanning, PA 16201. Comments may also be emailed to the Court Administrator @ sadavis@co.armstrong.pa.us,

Comments must be received by February 7, 2022.

James J. Panchik President Judge Thirty-Third Judicial District Armstrong County, Pennsylvania

> IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ARMSTRONG COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

> > CIVIL DIVISION LAW

No. 2021-1380-CIVIL QUIET TITLE

Robert H. Schall and Shirley A. Schall, husband and wife, Plaintiffs

Henry Glenn Shaffer and Reba Elizabeth Shaffer, aka Reba Shaffer, aka Reba Schaffer, his wife, their Feirs and assigns, Bernajean Rosborough, her heirs and assigns, and Donna Cravener Heckart, her heirs and assigns, Defendants

INMPORTANT NOTICE

NOTICE TO: Unknown heirs of Henry Glenn Shaffer and Reba Elizabeth St affer aka Reba Shaffer aka Reba Schaffer, his wife, Bernajean Rosborough and Donna Cravener Heckart, their heirs, assigns and all persons claiming any right, title, claim or increst to property located in Plumcreek Township, containing 6.037 acres, otherwise known as tax parcel number 38-182.00-01-50. YOU ARE IN DEFAULT BECAUSE YOU HAVE FAILED TO FILE A COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE. UNLESS YOU ACT WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS FROM THE

Proof of Publication of Notice in Leader Times

	Inder the Act of July 9, 1976, P.L. 877, No. 160
State of Pennsylvania	
County of Armstrong	
Paul Tatarko, Ad	Ivertising Sales Repof West Penn Media, a corporation of
the Commonwealth of Pennsylvan being duly sworn, deposes and say published at Kittanning, County ar date the Leader Times has been re	ia, publisher of the Leader Times, of the County State aforesaid, as that the Leader Times, a newspaper of general circulation and State aforesaid, was established January 10, 1898, since which gularly issued in said county, and that the printed notice or that the same as printed and published in the regular editions and
	1/14 and the 21sth day of January, 2022
Leader Times, a newspaper of gen affiant is not interested in the subje	an officer duly authorized by West Penn Media, publisher of the eral circulation to verify the foregoing statement under oath, and ect matter of the foresaid notice or advertisement, and that all tents as to time, place and character of publication are true.
INVITATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT The President Judge of each Judicial District in Pennsylvania, including the undersigned, has been directed to submit a proposal to the Administrative Office	Phillip
of Pennsylvania Courts concerning reestablishment, re- alignment, and/or elimination of Magisterial Judicial Dis- tricts within the Judicial District.	Sworn to and subscribed before me this 21516 (2013)
The undersigned is therefore inviting public comment on the following issues:	Chilla A gerallen
(1) Shall all four (4) Armstrong County's Magisterial Districts be reestablished "as is."	My Commission Expires: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - Notary Sea: JULIA A JORDAN - Notary Public
(2) Shall any two (2) or more of Armstrong County's four (4) Magisterial Districts be realigned?	Armstrong County Statement of Advertising 666 Sammission Expires Jun 28, 2024 Commission Number 1080564
(3) Shall the number of Magisterial Districts in Armstrong County be reduced from four (4) to three (3) through attrition, upon the death or retirement of any current Magisterial District Judge?	To Leader Times
Comments to these issues must be in writing. The name and address of the commentor must be legible. The comment should also state the position of the commentor, such as township supervisor, chief of police business owner, resident, etc.	Probating same\$
The written comments will be forward to the AOPC together with the recommendations of the undersigned. A written comment may be mailed or hand delivered to the Office of the Court Administrator, Armstrong County Courthouse, 500 E. Market Street, Suite 200, Kittanning PA 16201. Comments may also be emailed to the Court Administrator @ sadavis@co.armstrong.pa.us	hereby acknowledges receipt of the aforesaid notice and publication cost and certifies that
Comments must be received by February 21, 2022.	

1/14, 1/21

Hon. James J. Panchik President Judge Thirty-Third Judicial District Armstrong County, Pennsylvania

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: RELOCATION OF

: NO. 444

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 33-3-02

.

WITHIN THE THIRTY-THIRD JUDICIAL

MAGISTERIAL RULES DOCKET

DISTRICT OF THE COMMONWEALTH

OF PENNSYLVANIA

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 24th day of July, 2020, upon consideration of the Petition for Relocation of Magisterial District Court 33-3-02 within the Thirty-third Judicial District (Armstrong County) of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the Petition, which provides for the relocation of Magisterial District 33-3-02 to 128 South Grant Avenue, Kittanning, Pennsylvania, outside of the boundaries of the magisterial district from which the judge is elected, to be effective August 1, 2020, is granted.

A True Copy Patricia Nicola As Of 07/24/2020

Chief Clerk
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania