

Honorable Norman A. Krumenacker, III
President Judge

Kenneth B. Nicodemus, Esq.
Law Clerk

Karen A. Hogue
Executive Assistant



Cambria County Court of Common Pleas
Cambria County Courthouse
200 South Center Street
Ebensburg, PA 15931

Phone: (814) 472-1415
Fax: (814) 472-1498
E-mail: nakadmin@co.cambria.pa.us

February 25, 2022

Mr. Joseph Mittleman
Director
Judicial District Operations & Programs
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts
1515 Market Street, Suite 1414
Philadelphia, PA 19102

RE: Magisterial District Reestablishment

Dear Mr. Mittleman:

We have completed our assessment of the magisterial district offices and have decided not to reestablish any office. We come to this conclusion since over the last eight years we have closed two offices.

We currently have eight magisterial districts spread out across the County. It would be an inconvenience for the public and police to have to travel any increased distances than what they do already. Reasonable drive times in a rural county are important for processing cases and getting law enforcement back on the streets in a timely matter.

If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Norman A. Krumenacker, III".

Norman A. Krumenacker, III
President Judge

NAKIII:kh`

Judicial District Summary Worksheet – Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheet in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint. Complete one worksheet or one for each county if you are a joint judicial district.

Judicial District Number:	47	County:	Cambria	Class of County:	4
<p>1. List the existing magisterial districts in your judicial district (##-##-##):</p> <p>47-1-01, 47-1-02, 47-1-03, 47-3-01, 47-3-03, 47-3-05, 47-3-06, 47-3-07</p>					
Caseload Analysis					
2. Average total caseloads:		<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>		<i>Avg for Class of County</i>	
		A.	2,909	B.	4,150
3. Compare the difference between the caseload average of your judicial district to the class of county.		<i>Difference (2A - 2B)</i>		<i>Ranking Total</i>	
		-1241		9th out of 9	
4. Is your judicial district caseload average at the lower end of the caseload range when compared to the other judicial districts in your class of county?				Yes	
Proposed Actions					
5. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?				Yes	
<p>If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment (no changes).</p> <p>47-1-01, 47-1-02, 47-1-03, 47-3-01, 47-3-03, 47-3-05, 47-3-06, 47-3-07</p>					
6. Are any magisterial district proposed for realignment?				No	
<p>If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for realignment (changes).</p>					
7. Are any magisterial districts proposed for elimination?				No	
<p>If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for elimination.</p> <p>We closed two District Courts down over the last ten years.</p>					

Additional Workload Factors	
8. Do you have a night court operating within the judicial district?	No
9. Do you have a central court within your judicial district?	No
10. Do you have any special programs that will entail effort by the MDJs such as truancy programs or drug, DUI, veteran, or mental health diversion programs? If YES , briefly explain the types of programs. DUI, Day Reporting Center, Veterans Court and Mental Health diversion programs.	Yes
Final Checklist	
11. Was a request for public comment posted?	Yes
12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both?	Both
13. Were media outlets notified?	Yes
14. Were public comments received?	Yes
15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission?	Yes
16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts?	Yes
17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable?	Yes
18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county?	Yes
19. Additional Remarks	
As previously stated, we closed two District Courts over the last ten years which has left our citizens traveling a longer distance to utilize the services of the District Courts.	
Verification of Submission	
20. Date submitted to AOPC: 2/25/2022	
21. President Judge Name: Norman A. Krumenacker, III	
Signature 	



Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number: 47-1-01		County: Cambria	
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 1/1/2023	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 2,142	B. 2,909	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-767	6th out of 8
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-2008	-48 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
This district is within the City of Johnstown which encompasses only two Magisterial District Justices.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 30,394	B. 26,724	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		3,670	13 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Michael Musulin	[REDACTED]	1/4/26	12/31/22
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
110 Franklin Street, Johnstown, PA 15901			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Johnstown PD, PA State PD			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
Rt. 271, Rt. 403, Rt. 56			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
City of Cambria, City of Johnstown (Wards 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, and 21), Conemaugh Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			



Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	47-1-02	County:	Cambria	
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 1/1/2023		
Caseload Analysis				
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>	
	A. 2,752	B. 2,909	C. 4,150	
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking</i>	<i>Total</i>
		-157	4th	out of 8
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>	
		-1398	-33 %	
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.				
This area encompasses a large retail area of Cambria County and has several major highways.				
Workload Analysis				
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>		
	A. 33,048	B. 26,724		
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>	
		6,324	23 %	
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.				
This district is a very big district and covers a lot of area.				

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Susan Gindlesperger <i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	[REDACTED] <i>Birthdate</i>	12/31/23 <i>Term Expiration Date</i>	12/31/31 <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location: 701 Belmont Street, Johnstown, PA 15904			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. West Hills Regional, Upper Yoder Township, Ferndale, Richland, Stonycreek Township and Geistown Borough			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Rt. 219, Rt. 271, Rt. 56			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. Ferndale Borough, Geistown Borough, Scalp Level Borough, Southmont Borough, Westmont Borough, Richland Township (Wards 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 11), Stonycreek Township, Upper Yoder Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number: 47-1-03		County: Cambria	
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 1/1/2023	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 2,198	B. 2,909	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-711	5th out of 8
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-1952	-47 %
<p>6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</p> <p>This area encompasses the City of Johnstown which has a very high criminal caseload rate.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>		<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>
	A. 33,969	B. 26,724	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		7,245	27 %
<p>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</p> <p>This area encompasses the City of Johnstown which has a very high criminal caseload rate.</p>			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Kevin Price <i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	[REDACTED] <i>Birthdate</i>	12/31/28 <i>Term Expiration Date</i>	12/31/23 <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location: 110 Franklin Street, Suite 202, Johnstown, PA 15901			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes		
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes		
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes		
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.	No/Not Sure		
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Johnstown PD, Conemaugh Twp PD, Dale Boro PD			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Rt. 56, Rt. 403			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. Daisytown, Dale Borough, Johnstown Wards 5, 6, 7, 8 and 17 and Lorain Borough			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes		
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number: 47-3-01		County: Cambria	
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 1/1/2023	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	Avg for Class of County
	A. 3,883	B. 2,909	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		Difference (3A - 3B)	Ranking Total
		974	1st out of 8
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		Difference (3A - 3C)	% Above/Below
		-267	-6 %
<p>6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">This area encompasses a large area and has a major highway that is patrolled very heavily by the state police.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	
	A. 25,882	B. 26,724	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
		-842	-3 %
<p>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</p>			

Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:	
Mary Ann Zanghi <i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<div style="background-color: black; width: 50px; height: 15px; display: inline-block;"></div> <i>Birthdate</i>
1/4/26 <i>Term Expiration Date</i>	12/31/32 <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location: 200 Adams Avenue, Suite 2, Mineral Point, PA 15942	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your response below.	No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. PA State PD, Johnstown PD, East Taylor, East Conemaugh, Jackson Twp., Vintondale, Nanty Glo	
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Rt. 271, Rt. 22	
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. Brownstown Boro, East Conemaugh Boro, East Taylor Twp., Franklin Boro, Jackson Twp., Lower Yoder Twp., Middle Taylor Twp., Nanty Glo Boro, Vintondale Boro and West Taylor Twp.	
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number: 47-3-03		County: Cambria	
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 1/1/2023	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 3,442	B. 2,909	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		533	2nd out of 8
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-708	-17 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
<p>This area encompasses a large area and has a major highway that is patrolled very heavily by the state police.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 23,991	B. 26,724	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-2,733	-10 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
John Prebish, Jr.	██████████	12/31/28	12/31/44
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
730 Portage Road, Cresson, PA 16630			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
PA State PD, Portage Boro, Cresson Boro, Cresson Twp., Ashville Boro, Gallitzin Boro, Gallitzin Twp., Loretto Boro, Sankertown Boro, Tunnelhill Boro			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
Rt. 22, Rt. 53, Rt. 36			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Allegheny Twp., Ashville Boro, Cassandra Boro, Chest Springs Boro, Cresson Boro, Cresson Twp., Gallitzin Boro, Gallitzin Twp., Lilly Boro, Loretto Boro, Munster Twp., Portage Boro, Portage Twp., Sankertown Boro, Tunnelhill Boro, Washington Twp.			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number: 47-3-05		County: Cambria	
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 1/1/2023	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 2,040	B. 2,909	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-869	7th out of 8
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-2110	-50 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
<p>This a very rural area and it encompasses a lot of territory. Transportation to this area is what we are looking at to keep the citizens from having to travel a long ways.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 21,472	B. 26,724	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-5,252	-19 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:	
Michael Zungali <i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<div style="background-color: black; width: 50px; height: 15px; display: inline-block;"></div> <i>Birthdate</i>
12/31/28 <i>Term Expiration Date</i>	12/31/27 <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location: 207 5th Avenue, Hastings, PA 16646	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your response below.	No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Patton, Hastings, Elder Twp., Northern Cambria Boro, Susquehanna Twp, PA State PD	
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Rt. 219, Rt. 36, Rt. 271	
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. Barr Twp., Chest Twp., Clearfield Twp., Dean Twp., Elder Twp., Hastings Boro, Northern Cambria Boro, Patton Boro, Reade Twp., Susquehanna Twp., West Carroll Twp., and White Twp.	
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	47-3-06	County:	Cambria
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 1/1/2023	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	Avg for Class of County
	A. 1,942	B. 2,909	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		Difference (3A - 3B)	Ranking Total
		-967	8th out of 8
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		Difference (3A - 3C)	% Above/Below
		-2208	-53 %
<p>6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">This area encompasses a large area and has a major highway that is patrolled very heavily by the state police.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	
	A. 20,290	B. 26,724	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
		-6,434	-24 %
<p>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</p>			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Richard Varner <i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	[REDACTED] <i>Birthdate</i>	12/31/23 <i>Term Expiration Date</i>	12/31/30 <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location: 112 Mary Drive, Sidman, PA 15955			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Adams Twp., Conemaugh Boro, Croyle Twp., South Fork Boro, Summerhill Boro, Ehrenfeld Boro, Summerhill Twp., Richland Twp.			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Rt. 160, Rt. 53, Rt. 219			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. Adams Twp., Conemaugh Twp., Croyle Twp., Ehrenfeld Boro, Richland Twp., South Fork Boro, Summerhill Borough, Summerhill Twp., South Fork Boro, Wards 3, 7 and 8 and Wilmore Boro			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number: 47-3-07		County: Cambria	
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 1/1/2023	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	Avg for Class of County
	A. 3,065	B. 2,909	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		Difference (3A - 3B)	Ranking Total
		156	3rd out of 8
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		Difference (3A - 3C)	% Above/Below
		-1085	-26 %
<p>6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">This area has a very large retail area, encompasses a lot of territory and has a major highway that is patrolled very heavily.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	Avg for Magisterial District	Avg for Judicial District	
	A. 24,750	B. 26,724	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		Difference (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
		-1,974	-7 %
<p>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</p>			

Magisterial District Information	
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information: Vacant/Assigned to Sr. Judge 12/31/23 <i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i> <i>Birthdate</i> <i>Term Expiration Date</i> <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>	
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location: 401 Candlelight Drive, Suite 120, Ebensburg, PA 15931	
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?	No*
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your response below.	No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Cambria Twp., Blacklick Twp., Northern Regional, Ebensburg Boro, PA State PD	
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Rt. 219, Rt. 22, Rt. 422	
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past. Blacklick Twp., Cambria Twp., Carrolltown Boro, East Carroll Twp. and Ebensburg Boro	
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).	Yes
20. Additional Comments:	

William J. Valko
Court Administrator
(814) 472-1551
Fax (814) 472-8393

Cathy Cicero
Deputy Asst. – Civil
(814) 472-1561



Nicole Arrington
Deputy Asst. – Criminal
(814) 472-1560

Jacalyn Moran
Deputy Asst. – Accounts
(814) 472-1553

**Court of Common Pleas of Cambria County
CAMBRIA COUNTY COURTHOUSE
Court Administrator's Office
200 Center Street
Ebensburg, PA 15931**

JANUARY 25, 2022 – PRESS RELEASE

Courts are required to evaluate and, if needed, reestablish the boundaries and caseloads of the Magisterial District Courts within the Judicial District every ten years in the year following the Federal Census pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A. §1503. Cambria County has eight Magisterial District Courts within the 47th Judicial District. Each Magisterial District in Cambria County has been evaluated on population, caseload and workload measures. Based upon that evaluation, the Court proposes no changes thereby reestablishing those eight Magisterial District Courts as they currently exist in Cambria County and will submit a proposal to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court recommending the same.

The proposed reestablishment plan for Cambria County will be available for thirty days thereby allowing for public comment. The plan can be viewed in the Cambria County Court Administrator's Office and within the eight Magisterial District Courts, as well as on the Cambria County website www.cambriacountypa.gov under Courts/Court Administration and Courts/Magisterial District Judges.

Public comments may be mailed to the Cambria County Court Administrator's Office, 200 South Center Street, Ebensburg, PA 15931 or may be emailed to redistrict@co.cambria.pa.us no later than February 24, 2022. All public comments must be in writing and include the full name and address of the sender. Comments on the proposed reestablishment will be included with the final proposal that will be forwarded to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for approval.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCE FILES

- ▶  Americans with Disabilities Act (Title II)
- ▶  Application for Transcript
- ▶  Continuance Requests
- ▶  COVID Orders
- ▶  Language Access Plan (LAP) (Request for Interpreter)
- ▶  Local Rules of Procedure
- ▶  Public Access Procedure
- ▼  Day Reporting Center
 -  brochure
 -  orientation-book
- ▼  **Magisterial District Reestablishment**
 -  Magisterial District Reestablishment Public Comment
 -  Judicial District Summary Publication
 -  Magisterial District Summary Worksheet Reestablishment 47-1-01
 -  Magisterial District Summary Worksheet Reestablishment 47-1-02
 -  Magisterial District Summary Worksheet Reestablishment 47-1-03
 -  Magisterial District Summary Worksheet Reestablishment 47-3-01
 -  Magisterial District Summary Worksheet Reestablishment 47-3-03
 -  Magisterial District Summary Worksheet Reestablishment 47-3-05
 -  Magisterial District Summary Worksheet Reestablishment 47-3-06
 -  Magisterial District Summary Worksheet Reestablishment 47-3-07

Phone-Costs & Fines:

(814) 472-1551

Phone-Law Library:

(814) 472-1501

Fax: (814) 472-8393

Hours: Monday – Friday 9 A.M. to 4 P.M.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCE LINKS

- [Public Records Forms](#)
- [Public Records Policies](#)

MAP / DIRECTIONS



Redistrict

From: Terry L Conrad <t.conrad@atlanticbb.net>
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2022 10:19 AM
To: redistrict@co.cambria.pa.us
Subject: [CAUTION] Judicial District Reestablishment

When I open the Judicial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022 online it appears Page 2 of 2 is missing.

Please send me Page 2 of 2.

Thank you,

Terry

Redistrict

From: Redistrict <redistrict@co.cambria.pa.us>
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 10:18 AM
To: 'Terry L Conrad'
Subject: RE: [CAUTION] Judicial District Reestablishment

Page 2 of the Judicial District Summary Worksheet was not included with the packet of information as it is a checklist of questions to be completed after February 24, 2022, regarding how the Magisterial District Summary Reestablishment Worksheets were posted and any comments that were received.

-----Original Message-----

From: Terry L Conrad [<mailto:t.conrad@atlanticbb.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2022 10:19 AM
To: redistrict@co.cambria.pa.us
Subject: [CAUTION] Judicial District Reestablishment

When I open the Judicial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022 online it appears Page 2 of 2 is missing.

Please send me Page 2 of 2.

Thank you,

Terry

Redistrict

From: Terry L. Conrad <t.conrad@atlanticbb.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:03 AM
To: redistrict@co.cambria.pa.us
Subject: [CAUTION] Reestablishment of Magisterial District Courts
Attachments: Mag. Districts.pdf

Attached are my comments on the proposed reestablishment plan for Magisterial Districts in Cambria County.

Thank you,
Terry

Terry L. Conrad
510 State Street
Johnstown, PA 15905

Subject: Reestablishment of Magisterial District Courts

To whom it may concern,

I have reviewed the proposed reestablishment of Magisterial Districts for Judicial District 47, Cambria County and offer the following comments:

- The Press Release indicates the Magisterial Districts were evaluated on *population*, caseload and workload measures. None of the worksheets have population for the district.
- Using the 2020 Caseload Statistics of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania to evaluate the Magisterial Districts I would want to see:
 - Cases pending
 - Age of pending cases
- Judicial District Summary Worksheet
 - Caseload Analysis
 - 2. Average total caseloads:
 - A. 2909 (Avg for Judicial District)
 - Where did this number come from?
 - My spreadsheet indicates the average for all the Magisterial Districts is 2683.
 - 3. Difference in caseload average for Class 4 County is too large, especially if the Avg for Judicial District is corrected to 2683.
- All Magisterial District Summary Worksheets
 - Caseload Analysis
 - 3. Average total caseloads
 - B. 2909 (Avg for Judicial District)
 - See comment above
 - The average for all Magisterial Districts is 2683.
 - Since the Pennsylvania State Police (PSP) has jurisdiction in the Commonwealth, they should be listed on all of the worksheets.
- Magisterial District Court Number 47-1-01
 - Magisterial District information
 - 18. Current municipalities
 - The only municipality is the City of Johnstown; the Wards are part of the City of Johnstown. The Wards should be shown as a subset of the City of Johnstown. Showing Cambria City and Wards 15 & 16 is redundant, etc.

- Magisterial District Court Number 47-1-02
 - Magisterial District information
 - 17. Major highways
 - Add Rt 403
 - 18. Current municipalities
 - The municipality is Richland Twp; the Wards are part of Richland Twp. The Wards should be shown as a subset of Richland Twp.

- Magisterial District Court Number 47-1-03
 - Caseload Analysis
 - 6. This area does *not* encompass the City of Johnstown; it encompasses part of the City of Johnstown.
 - 9. This area does *not* encompass the City of Johnstown; it encompasses part of the City of Johnstown.
 - Magisterial District information
 - 16. Police departments
 - Why is Conemaugh Twp PD listed?
 - Why is Dale Boro PD listed?
 - Add Stonycreek Twp PD - provides service to Daisytown Boro & Lorain Boro (although this may change)?
 - 17. Major highways
 - Add Rt 756

- Magisterial District Court Number 47-3-01
 - Magisterial District information
 - 16. Police departments
 - Add West Hills Regional PD - provides service to Brownstown Boro & Lower Yoder Twp.

- Magisterial District Court Number 47-3-06
 - Magisterial District information
 - 16. Police departments
 - Should be Conemaugh Twp not Conemaugh Boro.
 - 18. Current municipalities
 - The municipality is Richland Twp; the Wards are part of Richland Twp. The Wards should be shown as a subset of Richland Twp.