

**IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA** No. 100 MAP 2022

---

**No. 447 MD 2022**

---

Republican National Committee, National Republican Senatorial Committee,  
National Republican Congressional Committee, Republican Party of Pennsylvania,  
David Ball, James D. Bee, Debra A. Biro, Jesse D. Daniel,  
Gwendolyn Mae DeLuca, Ross M. Farber, Connor R. Gallagher,  
Lynn Marie Kalcevic, Linda S. Kozlovich, William P. Kozlovich,  
Vallerie Siciliano-Biancaniello, and S. Michael Streib,

Petitioners,

v.

Leigh M. Chapman, in her official capacity as Acting Secretary of the  
Commonwealth; Jessica Mathis, in her official capacity as Director of the  
Pennsylvania Bureau of Election Services and Notaries;  
and All 67 County Boards of Elections  
(See back of cover for list of County Respondents),

Respondents.

---

**PETITIONERS' NOTICE OF APPEAL**

---

**GALLAGHER GIANCOLA LLC**

Kathleen A. Gallagher  
PA #37950  
Russell D. Giancola  
PA #200058  
436 Seventh Avenue, 31st Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
412.717.1900 (Phone)

**DILLON, McCANDLESS, KING,  
COULTER & GRAHAM, LLP**

Thomas W. King, III  
PA #21580  
Thomas E. Breth  
PA #66350  
128 W. Cunningham Street  
Butler, PA 16001  
724.283.2200 (Phone)

*Counsel for Petitioners*

Adams County Board of Elections; Allegheny County Board of Elections;  
Armstrong County Board of Elections; Beaver County Board of Elections;  
Bedford County Board of Elections; Berks County Board of Elections;  
Blair County Board of Elections; Bradford County Board of Elections;  
Bucks County Board of Elections; Butler County Board of Elections;  
Cambria County Board of Elections; Cameron County Board of Elections;  
Carbon County Board of Elections; Centre County Board of Elections;  
Chester County Board of Elections; Clarion County Board of Elections;  
Clearfield County Board of Elections; Clinton County Board of Elections;  
Columbia County Board of Elections; Crawford County Board of Elections;  
Cumberland County Board of Elections; Dauphin County Board of Elections;  
Delaware County Board of Elections; Elk County Board of Elections;  
Erie County Board of Elections; Fayette County Board of Elections;  
Forest County Board of Elections; Franklin County Board of Elections;  
Fulton County Board of Elections; Greene County Board of Elections;  
Huntingdon County Board of Elections; Indiana County Board of Elections;  
Jefferson County Board of Elections; Juniata County Board of Elections;  
Lackawanna County Board of Elections; Lancaster County Board of Elections;  
Lawrence County Board of Elections; Lebanon County Board of Elections;  
Lehigh County Board of Elections; Luzerne County Board of Elections;  
Lycoming County Board of Elections; McKean County Board of Elections;  
Mercer County Board of Elections; Mifflin County Board of Elections;  
Monroe County Board of Elections; Montgomery County Board of Elections;  
Montour County Board of Elections; Northampton County Board of Elections;  
Northumberland County Board of Elections; Perry County Board of Elections;  
Philadelphia County Board of Elections; Pike County Board of Elections;  
Potter County Board of Elections; Schuylkill County Board of Elections;  
Snyder County Board of Elections; Somerset County Board of Elections;  
Sullivan County Board of Elections; Susquehanna County Board of Elections;  
Tioga County Board of Elections; Union County Board of Elections;  
Venango County Board of Elections; Warren County Board of Elections;  
Washington County Board of Elections; Wayne County Board of Elections;  
Westmoreland County Board of Elections; Wyoming County Board of Elections;  
and York County Board of Elections,

Respondents.

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that Petitioners, Republican National Committee; National Republican Senatorial Committee; National Republican Congressional Committee; Republican Party of Pennsylvania; David Ball; James D. Bee; Debra A. Biro; Jesse D. Daniel; Gwendolyn Mae Deluca; Ross M. Farber; Connor R. Gallagher; Lynn Marie Kalcevic; Linda S. Kozlovich; William P. Kozlovich; Vallerie Siciliano-Biancaniello; and S. Michael Streib, appeal to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court from the Memorandum Opinion and Order entered in this matter on September 29, 2022. The Memorandum Opinion and Order have been entered on the docket, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Pursuant to Rules 904 and 1911 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure, the complete transcript of the status conference and hearing conducted on September 22, 2022 on the Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction Under Pa. R.A.P. 1532 has been ordered. *See Ex. B.*

Pursuant to Pa. R.A.P. 909 and 910, Petitioners are filing concurrently with this Notice of Appeal a Jurisdictional Statement, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit C.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 30, 2022

/s/ Kathleen A. Gallagher  
Kathleen A. Gallagher  
PA I.D. #37950

Russell D. Giancola  
PA. I.D. #200058  
GALLAGHER GIANCOLA LLC  
436 Seventh Avenue, 31st Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Phone: (412) 717-1900  
[kag@glawfirm.com](mailto:kag@glawfirm.com)  
[rdg@glawfirm.com](mailto:rdg@glawfirm.com)

Thomas W. King, III  
PA #21580  
Thomas E. Breth  
PA #66350  
DILLON, McCANDLESS, KING,  
COULTER & GRAHAM, LLP  
128 W. Cunningham St.  
Butler, PA 16001  
Phone: (724) 283.2200  
[tking@dmkcg.com](mailto:tking@dmkcg.com)  
[tbreth@dmkcg.com](mailto:tbreth@dmkcg.com)

*Counsel for Petitioners*

Exhibit A  
to Notice of Appeal  
(Docket)

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 1 of 79

September 30, 2022



CAPTION

Republican National Committee; National Republican Senatorial Committee; National Republican Congressional Committee; Republican Party of Pennsylvania; David Ball; James D. Bee; Debra A. Biro; Jesse D. Daniel; Gwendolyn Mae Deluca; Ross M. Farber; Connor R. Gallagher; Lynn Marie Kalcevic; Linda S. Kozlovich; William P. Kozlovich; Vallerie Siciliano-Biancaniello; S. Michael Streib, Petitioners

v.

Leigh M. Chapman, in her official capacity as Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth; Jessica Mathis, in her official capacity as Director of the Pennsylvania Bureau of Election Services and Notaries; Adams County Board of Elections; Allegheny County Board of Elections; Armstrong County Board of Elections; Beaver County Board of Elections; Bedford County Board of Elections; Berks County Board of Elections; Blair County Board of Elections; Bradford County Board of Elections; Bucks County Board of Elections; Butler County Board of Elections; Cambria County Board of Elections; Cameron County Board of Elections; Carbon County Board of Elections; Centre County Board of Elections; Chester County Board of Elections; Clarion County Board of Elections; Clearfield County Board of Elections; Clinton County Board of Elections; Columbia County Board of Elections; Crawford County Board of Elections; Cumberland County Board of Elections; Dauphin County Board of Elections; Delaware County Board of Elections; Elk County Board of Elections; Erie County Board of Elections; Fayette County Board of Elections; Forest County Board of Elections; Franklin County Board of Elections; Fulton County Board of Elections; Greene County Board of Elections; Huntingdon County Board of Elections; Indiana County Board of Elections; Jefferson County Board of Elections; Juniata County Board of Elections; Lackawanna County Board of Elections; Lancaster County Board of Elections; Lawrence County Board of Elections; Lebanon County Board of Elections; Lehigh County Board of Elections; Luzerne County Board of Elections; Lycoming County Board of Elections; McKean County Board of Elections; Mercer County Board of Elections; Mifflin County Board of Elections; Monroe County Board of Elections; Montgomery County Board of Elections; Montour County Board of Elections; Northampton County Board of Elections; Northumberland County Board of Elections; Perry County Board of Elections; Philadelphia County Board of Elections; Pike County Board of Elections; Potter County Board of Elections; Schuylkill County Board of Elections; Snyder County Board of Elections; Somerset County Board of Elections; Sullivan County Board of Elections; Susquehanna County Board of Elections; Tioga County Board of Elections; Union County Board of Elections; Venango County Board of Elections; Warren County Board of Elections; Wayne County Board of Elections; Westmoreland County Board of Elections; Wyoming County Board of Elections; and York County Board of Elections, Respondents

CASE INFORMATION

Initiating Document: Petition for Review

Case Status: Active

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 2 of 79

September 30, 2022



Case Processing Status: September 26, 2022 Awaiting Respondent Paperbooks

Journal Number:

Case Category: Miscellaneous Case Type(s): Equity Election

CONSOLIDATED CASES RELATED CASES

COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner Streib, S. Michael

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.
Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC
Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.
Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP
Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp 128 W Cunningham St Butler, PA 16001-5742
Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III
Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP
Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al 128 W Cunningham St Butler, PA 16001-5742
Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David
Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC
Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31 Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 3 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner **Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 4 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Petitioner**                      **Republican Party of Pennsylvania**

Pro Se:                              No

IFP Status:

Attorney:                      Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm:                      Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address:                        436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No:                      (412) 717-1920                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm:                      Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address:                        Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
    128 W Cunningham St  
    Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No:                      (724) 283-2200                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm:                      Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address:                        Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
    128 W Cunningham St  
    Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No:                      (724) 283-2200                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm:                      Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address:                        436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No:                      (412) 717-1900                      Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 5 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner Republican National Committee

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 6 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Petitioner**                    **National Republican Senatorial Committee**

Pro Se:                                No

IFP Status:

Attorney:                        Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm:                        Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address:                         436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No:                        (412) 717-1920                        Fax No:

Attorney:                        Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm:                        Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address:                         Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
    128 W Cunningham St  
    Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No:                        (724) 283-2200                        Fax No:

Attorney:                        King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm:                        Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address:                         Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
    128 W Cunningham St  
    Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No:                        (724) 283-2200                        Fax No:

Attorney:                        Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm:                        Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address:                         436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No:                        (412) 717-1900                        Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 7 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Petitioner**                      **National Republican Congressional Committee**

Pro Se:                              No

IFP Status:

Attorney:                      Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm:                      Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address:                        436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No:                      (412) 717-1920                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm:                      Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address:                        Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
    128 W Cunningham St  
    Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No:                      (724) 283-2200                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm:                      Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address:                        Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
    128 W Cunningham St  
    Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No:                      (724) 283-2200                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm:                      Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address:                        436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No:                      (412) 717-1900                      Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 8 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner Kozlovich, William P.

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 9 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner Kozlovich, Linda S.

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 10 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner Kalcevic, Lynn Marie

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.
Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC
Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.
Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP
Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp 128 W Cunningham St Butler, PA 16001-5742
Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III
Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP
Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al 128 W Cunningham St Butler, PA 16001-5742
Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David
Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC
Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31 Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 11 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner **Gallagher, Connor R.**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 12 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner Farber, Ross M.

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 13 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner **Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 14 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner Daniel, Jesse D.

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 15 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner **Biro, Debra A.**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
 128 W Cunningham St  
 Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 16 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Petitioner **Bee, James D.**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
Address: 436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Phone No: (412) 717-1920 Fax No:

Attorney: Breth, Thomas E.  
Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
Address: Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
128 W Cunningham St  
Butler, PA 16001-5742  
Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: King, Thomas W., III  
Law Firm: Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
Address: Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
128 W Cunningham St  
Butler, PA 16001-5742  
Phone No: (724) 283-2200 Fax No:

Attorney: Giancola, Russell David  
Law Firm: Gallagher Giancola LLC  
Address: 436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Phone No: (412) 717-1900 Fax No:

**Miscellaneous Docket Sheet**

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 17 of 79

September 30, 2022

**COUNSEL INFORMATION****Petitioner**      **Ball, David**

Pro Se:                      No

IFP Status:

Attorney:                      Gallagher, Kathleen A.  
 Law Firm:                      Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address:                        436 Seventh Ave 31st Fl  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No:                      (412) 717-1920                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      Breth, Thomas E.  
 Law Firm:                      Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP  
 Address:                        Dillon Mccandless King Coulter & Graham Llp  
    128 W Cunningham St  
    Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No:                      (724) 283-2200                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      King, Thomas W., III  
 Law Firm:                      Dillon McCandless King Coulter & Graham LLP  
 Address:                        Dillon Mccandless Et Al  
    128 W Cunningham St  
    Butler, PA 16001-5742  
 Phone No:                      (724) 283-2200                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      Giancola, Russell David  
 Law Firm:                      Gallagher Giancola LLC  
 Address:                        436 Seventh Ave Fl 31  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
 Phone No:                      (412) 717-1900                      Fax No:

**Amicus Curiae**      **Lawyers Democracy Fund**

Pro Se:                      No

IFP Status:

Attorney:                      Hicks, Ronald Lee, Jr.  
 Law Firm:                      Porter Wright Morris & Arthur, LLP  
 Address:                        Porter Wright Morris & Arthur Llp  
    6 Ppg Pll Fl 3  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No:                      (412) 235-1464                      Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 18 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Intervenor **Pennsylvania Democratic Party**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Levine, Clifford B.  
 Law Firm: Dentons Cohen & Grigsby  
 Address: Dentons Cohen & Grigsby P.c.  
 625 Liberty Ave  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No: (412) 297-4998 Fax No:

Attorney: Greenberg, Kevin Michael  
 Law Firm: Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
 Address: Greenberg Traurig Llp  
 1717 Arch St Ste 400  
 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 Phone No: (215) 988-7800 Fax No:

Attorney: Roseman, Adam R.  
 Law Firm: Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
 Address: Greenberg Traurig Llp  
 1717 Arch St Ste 400  
 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 Phone No: (215) 988-7826 Fax No:

Attorney: Elliot, Peter Poggi  
 Law Firm: Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
 Address: 1717 Arch St Ste 400  
 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 Phone No: (215) 972-5921 Fax No:

Attorney: Babbitt, Christopher E.  
 Address: Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
 1875 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20006  
 Phone No: (202) 663-6000 Fax No:

Attorney: Volchok, Daniel S.  
 Address: Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
 1875 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20006  
 Phone No: (202) 663-6000 Fax No:

Attorney: Waxman, Seth P.  
 Address: WilmerCutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
 1875 Pennsylvania Ave N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20006  
 Phone No: (202) 663-6000 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 19 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Intervenor Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC)

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Bonin, Adam Craig  
 Address: 121 S Broad St  
 Ste 400  
 Philadelphia, PA 19107  
 Phone No: (267) 242-5014 Fax No:

Attorney: Ghormoz, Claire Blewitt  
 Law Firm: Dilworth Paxson LLP  
 Address: 1500 Market St Ste 3500 E  
 Philadelphia, PA 19102  
 Phone No: (570) 947-1824 Fax No:

Attorney: Ford, Timothy James  
 Law Firm: Dilworth Paxson LLP  
 Address: 1500 Market St Ste 3500  
 Philadelphia, PA 19102  
 Phone No: (215) 575-7017 Fax No:

Attorney: Nkwonta, Uzoma N.  
 Law Firm: Perkins Coie LLP  
 Address: 700 13th Street, N.W.  
 Suite 800  
 Washington, DC 20005-3960  
 Phone No: (202) 654-6200 Fax No: (202) 654-6211

Attorney: Atkins, Alexander F.  
 Law Firm: Elias Law Group, LLP  
 Address: 10 G Street NE, Suite 600  
 Washington, DC 20002

Attorney: Lorenzo, Daniela  
 Law Firm: Elias Law Group, LLP  
 Address: 10 G Street NE, Suite 600  
 Washington, DC 20002

Attorney: Baxenberg, Justin  
 Law Firm: Elias Law Group, LLP  
 Address: 10 G Street NE, Suite 600  
 Washington, DC 20002



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 20 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

## Intervenor Democratic National Committee

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Levine, Clifford B.  
 Law Firm: Dentons Cohen & Grigsby  
 Address: Dentons Cohen & Grigsby P.c.  
 625 Liberty Ave  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No: (412) 297-4998 Fax No:

Attorney: Babbitt, Christopher E.  
 Address: Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
 1875 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20006  
 Phone No: (202) 663-6000 Fax No:

Attorney: Volchok, Daniel S.  
 Address: Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
 1875 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20006  
 Phone No: (202) 663-6000 Fax No:

Attorney: Waxman, Seth P.  
 Address: WilmerCutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
 1875 Pennsylvania Ave N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20006  
 Phone No: (202) 663-6000 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 21 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Intervenor Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC)

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Bonin, Adam Craig  
 Address: 121 S Broad St  
 Ste 400  
 Philadelphia, PA 19107  
 Phone No: (267) 242-5014 Fax No:

Attorney: Ghormoz, Claire Blewitt  
 Law Firm: Dilworth Paxson LLP  
 Address: 1500 Market St Ste 3500 E  
 Philadelphia, PA 19102  
 Phone No: (570) 947-1824 Fax No:

Attorney: Ford, Timothy James  
 Law Firm: Dilworth Paxson LLP  
 Address: 1500 Market St Ste 3500  
 Philadelphia, PA 19102  
 Phone No: (215) 575-7017 Fax No:

Attorney: Nkwonta, Uzoma N.  
 Law Firm: Perkins Coie LLP  
 Address: 700 13th Street, N.W.  
 Suite 800  
 Washington, DC 20005-3960  
 Phone No: (202) 654-6200 Fax No: (202) 654-6211

Attorney: Atkins, Alexander F.  
 Law Firm: Elias Law Group, LLP  
 Address: 10 G Street NE, Suite 600  
 Washington, DC 20002

Attorney: Lorenzo, Daniela  
 Law Firm: Elias Law Group, LLP  
 Address: 10 G Street NE, Suite 600  
 Washington, DC 20002

Attorney: Baxenberg, Justin  
 Law Firm: Elias Law Group, LLP  
 Address: 10 G Street NE, Suite 600  
 Washington, DC 20002

**Miscellaneous Docket Sheet****Docket Number: 447 MD 2022****Page 22 of 79****September 30, 2022****COUNSEL INFORMATION****Respondent York County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
 Law Firm: Babst Calland  
 Address: Babst Calland Et Al  
 330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
 State College, PA 16803  
 Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan  
 Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
 Address: Two Gateway Center  
 603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper  
 Address: 603 Stanwix Street  
 Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent Wyoming County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Wyoming County Board of Elections  
 Address: 1 Courthouse Square  
 Tunkhannock, PA 18657

**Respondent Westmoreland County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Guidy, Melissa Ann  
 Law Firm: Westmoreland County Solicitor's Office  
 Address: 527 Austin St  
 Greensburg, PA 15601  
 Phone No: (724) 244-7200 Fax No:

**Respondent Wayne County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Wayne County Board of Elections  
 Address: 925 Court Street  
 Honesdale, PA 18431

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 23 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent** Washington County Board of Elections

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Mathews, Lauren Lynn  
Law Firm: Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
Address: 500 Grant St Ste 4900  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Phone No: (412) 904-7721 Fax No:

Attorney: Grimm, Jana Phillis  
Law Firm: Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP  
Address: Vorys Sater Seymour And Pease Llp  
500 Grant St Ste 4900  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-2502  
Phone No: (412) 298-3293 Fax No:

**Respondent** Warren County Board of Elections

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Warren County Board of Elections  
Address: Warren County Courthouse  
204 4th Avenue  
Warren, PA 16365

**Respondent** Venango County Board of Elections

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
Law Firm: Babst Calland  
Address: Babst Calland Et Al  
330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
State College, PA 16803  
Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan  
Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
Address: Two Gateway Center  
603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper  
Address: 603 Stanwix Street  
Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Miscellaneous Docket Sheet****Docket Number: 447 MD 2022****Page 24 of 79****September 30, 2022****COUNSEL INFORMATION**

**Respondent**                      **Union County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                              No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                      DeWald, Jonathan Lee  
     Law Firm:                      McNerney, Page, Vanderlin & Hall  
     Address:                        McNerney Page Et Al  
     433 Market St  
     Williamsport, PA 17701  
     Phone No:                      (570) 326-6555                      Fax No:

**Respondent**                      **Tioga County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                              No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                      Furman, Christopher P.  
     Address:                        1010 Western Avenue, Suite 200  
     Pittsburgh, PA 15233

**Respondent**                      **Susquehanna County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                              No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                      Furman, Christopher P.  
     Address:                        1010 Western Avenue, Suite 200  
     Pittsburgh, PA 15233

**Respondent**                      **Sullivan County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                              Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                        Sullivan County Board of Elections  
     Address:                        Sullivan County Courthouse  
     Main & Muncy Streets  
     Laporte, PA 18626-0157

**Respondent**                      **Somerset County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                              Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                        Somerset County Board of Elections  
     Address:                        300 North Center Avenue  
     Suite 340  
     Somerset, PA 15501

**Respondent**                      **Snyder County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                              Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                        Snyder County Board of Elections  
     Address:                        Snyder County Courthouse  
     9 West Market Street  
     Middleburg, PA 17842

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 25 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent**            **Schuylkill County Board of Elections**  
Pro Se:                    Yes  
IFP Status:  
    Pro Se:                    Schuylkill County Board of Elections  
    Address:                    420 North Centre Street  
                                      Pottsville, PA 17901

---

**Respondent**            **Potter County Board of Elections**  
Pro Se:                    Yes  
IFP Status:  
    Pro Se:                    Potter County Board of Elections  
    Address:                    1 North Main Street  
                                      Coudersport, PA 16915

---

**Respondent**            **Pike County Board of Elections**  
Pro Se:                    Yes  
IFP Status:  
    Pro Se:                    Pike County Board of Elections  
    Address:                    506 Broad Street  
                                      Pike County Administration Building  
                                      Milford, PA 18337-1535

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 26 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent Philadelphia County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Smith, Ryan Blake  
Law Firm: City of Philadelphia  
Address: 1515 Arch St 15th Fl  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
Phone No: (269) 873-8008 Fax No:

Attorney: Field, Benjamin Hirsch  
Law Firm: City of Philadelphia  
Address: City Of Phila Law Department  
1515 Arch St Fl 15  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
Phone No: (215) 683-5024 Fax No:

Attorney: McGrath, Sean James  
Law Firm: City of Philadelphia  
Address: 1515 Arch St  
15th Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
Phone No: (215) 683-5444 Fax No:

Attorney: Pfautz, Michael Wu-Kung  
Law Firm: City of Philadelphia  
Address: City Of Philadelphia Law Dept  
1515 Arch St Fl 15  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
Phone No: (215) 683-5233 Fax No:

Attorney: Thomson, Aimee Diane  
Law Firm: City of Philadelphia  
Address: 1515 Arch St Fl 15  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
Phone No: (215) 683-5439 Fax No:

**Respondent Perry County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Lavery, Frank J., Jr.  
Law Firm: Lavery Law PC  
Address: 225 Market St Ste 304  
Po Box 1245  
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1245  
Phone No: (717) 233-6633 Fax No:

Attorney: Norfleet, Andrew W.  
Address: Lavery Law  
225 Market Street Suite 304 Po Box 1245  
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1245  
Phone No: (717) 233-6633 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 27 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent Northumberland County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
 Law Firm: Babst Calland  
 Address: Babst Calland Et Al  
 330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
 State College, PA 16803  
 Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan  
 Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
 Address: Two Gateway Center  
 603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper  
 Address: 603 Stanwix Street  
 Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent Northampton County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Santee, Richard Eugene  
 Law Firm: Shay, Santee, Kelhart & Deschler LLC  
 Address: Shay Santee Kelhart & Deschler LLC  
 44 E Broad St Ste 210  
 Bethlehem, PA 18018-5920  
 Phone No: (610) 691-7000 Fax No:

Attorney: Taylor, Brian J.  
 Law Firm: King, Spry, Herman, Freund & Faul, LLC  
 Address: King Spry Herman Et Al  
 1 W Broad St Ste 700  
 Bethlehem, PA 18018-5783  
 Phone No: (610) 209-5101 Fax No:

Attorney: Vargo, Michael John  
 Law Firm: Spitale Vargo Madsen & Blair  
 Address: 680 Wolf Ave  
 Easton, PA 18042  
 Phone No: (610) 258-3757 Fax No: (610) 438-4139

**Respondent Montour County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Montour County Board of Elections  
 Address: 435 East Front Street  
 Danville, PA 17821



**Miscellaneous Docket Sheet****Docket Number: 447 MD 2022****Page 28 of 79****September 30, 2022****COUNSEL INFORMATION****Respondent Montgomery County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Herron, Maureen E.  
 Address: Solicitor's Office  
 Po Box 311  
 Norristown, PA 19404  
 Phone No: (610) 278-3033

Fax No:

Attorney: Marlatt, John Amos  
 Law Firm: Montgomery County Solicitor's Office  
 Address: PO Box 311  
 Norristown, PA 19404  
 Phone No: (610) 278-3033

Fax No:

**Respondent Monroe County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Fareri, James V.  
 Law Firm: McFall, Layman & Jordan, P.C.  
 Address: 712 Monroe Street  
 Stroudsburg, PA 18360-0511  
 Phone No: (570) 421-9090

Fax No:

Attorney: Dunn, John B.  
 Law Firm: Monroe County Solicitor's Office  
 Address: Commissioners' Office  
 Administration building  
 Quaker Alley  
 Stroudsburg, PA 18360-1603  
 Phone No: (570) 421-7720

Fax No:

**Respondent Mifflin County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Mifflin County Board of Elections  
 Address: 20 North Wayne Street  
 Lewistown, PA 17044

**Respondent Mercer County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Mercer County Board of Elections  
 Address: 130 North Pitt Street  
 Suite B  
 Mercer, PA 16137

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 29 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent**                    **McKean County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                            Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                        McKean County Board of Elections  
     Address:                        McKean County Courthouse  
     500 West Main Street  
     Smethport, PA 16749

**Respondent**                    **Mathis, Jessica**  
 Pro Se:                            No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                        Kotula, Kathleen Marie  
     Law Firm:                        Pennsylvania Department of State  
     Address:                         Pa Dept Of State  
     306 N Ofc Bldg 401 North St  
     Harrisburg, PA 17120-0500  
     Phone No:                        (717) 783-1657                        Fax No:

    Attorney:                        Tucker, Joe H., Jr.  
     Law Firm:                        Tucker Law Group, LLC  
     Address:                         Tucker Law Group LLC  
     1801 Market Ste Ste 2500  
     Philadelphia, PA 19103  
     Phone No:                        (215) 875-0609                        Fax No:

    Attorney:                        Wiygul, Robert Andrew  
     Law Firm:                        Hangle, Aronchick, Segal, Pudlin & Schiller  
     Address:                         Hangle Aronchick Et Al  
     18TH Cherry Sts Fl 27  
     Philadelphia, PA 19103  
     Phone No:                        (215) 496-7042                        Fax No:

    Attorney:                        Mavroudis, Dimitrios  
     Address:                         Tucker Law Group  
     1801 Market St Ste 2500  
     Philadelphia, PA 19103  
     Phone No:                        (215) 982-2280                        Fax No:

    Attorney:                        Boyer, Jacob Biehl  
     Law Firm:                        Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General, 16th Floor , Strawberry Square, Harrisburg, PA  
     Address:                         Pa Office Of Attorney General  
     1600 Arch St Ste 300  
     Philadelphia, PA 19103  
     Phone No:                        (267) 768-3968                        Fax No:

    Attorney:                        Hill, John Brent  
     Law Firm:                        Hangle, Aronchick, Segal, Pudlin & Schiller  
     Address:                         Hangle Aronchick Segal  
     1 Logan Sq Fl 27  
     Philadelphia, PA 19103  
     Phone No:                        (215) 496-7049                        Fax No:

**Miscellaneous Docket Sheet****Docket Number: 447 MD 2022****Page 30 of 79****September 30, 2022****COUNSEL INFORMATION**

**Respondent**                    **Lycoming County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                         Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                         Lycoming County Board of Elections  
     Address:                        48 West Third Street  
    Williamsport, PA 17701

**Respondent**                    **Luzerne County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                         No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                       Cosgrove, Joseph Matthias  
     Law Firm:                       Selingo Guagliardo, LLC  
     Address:                         Selingo Guagliardo  
    345 Market St  
    Kingston, PA 18704  
     Phone No:                       (570) 287-2400                       Fax No:

**Respondent**                    **Lehigh County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                         No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                       Roseberry, Catharine Meade  
     Law Firm:                       Lehigh County  
     Address:                         Lehigh County Dept Of Law  
    17 S 7TH St  
    Allentown, PA 18101-2401  
     Phone No:                       (610) 782-3180                       Fax No:

**Respondent**                    **Lebanon County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                         No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                       Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
     Law Firm:                       Babst Calland  
     Address:                         Babst Calland Et Al  
    330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
    State College, PA 16803  
     Phone No:                       (814) 867-8055                       Fax No:

    Attorney:                       Coyle, Casey Alan  
     Law Firm:                       Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
     Address:                         Two Gateway Center  
    603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
     Phone No:                       (267) 939-5832                       Fax No:

    Attorney:                       Jewart, Anna Skipper  
     Address:                         603 Stanwix Street  
    Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Miscellaneous Docket Sheet****Docket Number: 447 MD 2022****Page 31 of 79****September 30, 2022****COUNSEL INFORMATION****Respondent Lawrence County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
 Law Firm: Babst Calland  
 Address: Babst Calland Et Al  
 330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
 State College, PA 16803  
 Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan  
 Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
 Address: Two Gateway Center  
 603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper  
 Address: 603 Stanwix Street  
 Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent Lancaster County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Newcomer, Melvin Eugene  
 Law Firm: Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach  
 Address: 2221 Dutch Gold Dr  
 Lancaster, PA 17601  
 Phone No: (717) 393-7885 Fax No:

**Respondent Lackawanna County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Lackawanna County Board of Elections  
 Address: 123 Wyoming Avenue  
 Second Floor  
 Scranton, PA 18503

**Respondent Juniata County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Zagurskie, Donald Kenneth  
 Address: 117 Main Street  
 PO Box O  
 Mifflintown, PA 17059-0915  
 Phone No: (717) 436-8044 Fax No:

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 32 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

Respondent Jefferson County Board of Elections

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.
Law Firm: Babst Calland
Address: Babst Calland Et Al
330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302
State College, PA 16803
Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan
Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC
Address: Two Gateway Center
603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15222
Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper
Address: 603 Stanwix Street
Two Gateway, 6th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Respondent Indiana County Board of Elections

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.
Law Firm: Babst Calland
Address: Babst Calland Et Al
330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302
State College, PA 16803
Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan
Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC
Address: Two Gateway Center
603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15222
Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper
Address: 603 Stanwix Street
Two Gateway, 6th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 33 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent**      **Huntingdon County Board of Elections**

Pro Se:                      No

IFP Status:

Attorney:                      Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
 Law Firm:                      Babst Calland  
 Address:                        Babst Calland Et Al  
    330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
    State College, PA 16803  
 Phone No:                      (814) 867-8055                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      Coyle, Casey Alan  
 Law Firm:                      Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
 Address:                        Two Gateway Center  
    603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No:                      (267) 939-5832                      Fax No:

Attorney:                      Jewart, Anna Skipper  
 Address:                        603 Stanwix Street  
    Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent**      **Greene County Board of Elections**

Pro Se:                      Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se:                        Greene County Board of Elections  
 Address:                        Room 102 - County Office Building  
    93 East High Street  
    Waynesburg, PA 15370

**Respondent**      **Fulton County Board of Elections**

Pro Se:                      Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se:                        Fulton County Board of Elections  
 Address:                        116 West Market Street  
    Suite 205  
    McConnellsburg, PA 17233

**Respondent**      **Franklin County Board of Elections**

Pro Se:                      Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se:                        Franklin County Board of Elections  
 Address:                        272 North Second Street  
    Chambersburg, PA 17201

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 34 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent**                    **Forest County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                            Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                        Forest County Board of Elections  
     Address:                      526 Elm Street  
    Unit #3  
    Tionesta, PA 16353

**Respondent**                    **Fayette County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                            No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                    Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
     Law Firm:                    Babst Calland  
     Address:                      Babst Calland Et Al  
    330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
    State College, PA 16803  
     Phone No:                    (814) 867-8055                    Fax No:

    Attorney:                    Coyle, Casey Alan  
     Law Firm:                    Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
     Address:                      Two Gateway Center  
    603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
     Phone No:                    (267) 939-5832                    Fax No:

    Attorney:                    Jewart, Anna Skipper  
     Address:                      603 Stanwix Street  
    Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
    Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent**                    **Erie County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                            No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                    Talarico, Thomas S.  
     Law Firm:                    Talarico & Associates  
     Address:                      230 W 6TH St Ste 202  
    Erie, PA 16507-1077  
     Phone No:                    (814) 459-4472                    Fax No:

**Respondent**                    **Elk County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                            Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                        Elk County Board of Elections  
     Address:                      300 Centre Street  
    Ridgway, PA 15853-0448

**Miscellaneous Docket Sheet****Docket Number: 447 MD 2022****Page 35 of 79****September 30, 2022****COUNSEL INFORMATION****Respondent Delaware County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Parks, James Manly  
 Law Firm: Duane Morris LLP  
 Address: 30 South 17th Street  
 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4196  
 Phone No: (215) 979-1342 Fax No:

Attorney: Centrella, Nicholas Michael, Jr.  
 Law Firm: Duane Morris LLP  
 Address: 30 S 17TH St  
 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 Phone No: (215) 979-1850 Fax No:

**Respondent Dauphin County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
 Law Firm: Babst Calland  
 Address: Babst Calland Et Al  
 330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
 State College, PA 16803  
 Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan  
 Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
 Address: Two Gateway Center  
 603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper  
 Address: 603 Stanwix Street  
 Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent Cumberland County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Cumberland County Board of Elections  
 Address: 1601 Ritner Highway  
 Carlisle, PA 17013

**Respondent Crawford County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Crawford County Board of Elections  
 Address: 903 Diamond Park  
 Meadville, PA 16335



Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 36 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent Columbia County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
Law Firm: Babst Calland  
Address: Babst Calland Et Al  
330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
State College, PA 16803  
Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan  
Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
Address: Two Gateway Center  
603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper  
Address: 603 Stanwix Street  
Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent Clinton County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Clinton County Board of Elections  
Address: 2 Piper Way  
Suite 309  
Lock Haven, PA 17745-0928

**Respondent Clearfield County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Bozovich, Heather Lynn  
Address: 114 South Second Street  
Clearfield, PA 16830  
Phone No: (814) 290-0566 Fax No:

**Respondent Clarion County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Furman, Christopher P.  
Address: 1010 Western Avenue, Suite 200  
Pittsburgh, PA 15233

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 37 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

Respondent **Chester County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Frens, Colleen Mary  
 Law Firm: County of Chester  
 Address: 313 W Market St  
 Ste 6702  
 West Chester, PA 19382  
 Phone No: (610) 344-6195

Fax No:

Attorney: Stevens, Nicholas J.  
 Law Firm: Chester County  
 Address: 313 W Market St  
 Ste 6702  
 West Chester, PA 19380  
 Phone No: (610) 451-3166

Fax No:

Attorney: Mattox-Baldini, Faith Anne  
 Law Firm: Chester County Solicitor's Office  
 Address: 313 W Market St  
 Ste 6702  
 West Chester, PA 19380  
 Phone No: (610) 344-6195

Fax No:

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 38 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

Respondent Chapman, Leigh M.

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Kotula, Kathleen Marie
Law Firm: Pennsylvania Department of State
Address: Pa Dept Of State
306 N Ofc Bldg 401 North St
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0500
Phone No: (717) 783-1657 Fax No:

Attorney: Tucker, Joe H., Jr.
Law Firm: Tucker Law Group, LLC
Address: Tucker Law Group LLC
1801 Market Ste Ste 2500
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone No: (215) 875-0609 Fax No:

Attorney: Wiygul, Robert Andrew
Law Firm: Hangle, Aronchick, Segal, Pudlin & Schiller
Address: Hangle Aronchick Et Al
18TH Cherry Sts Fl 27
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone No: (215) 496-7042 Fax No:

Attorney: Mavroudis, Dimitrios
Address: Tucker Law Group
1801 Market St Ste 2500
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone No: (215) 982-2280 Fax No:

Attorney: Boyer, Jacob Biehl
Law Firm: Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General, 16th Floor , Strawberry Square, Harrisburg, PA
Address: Pa Office Of Attorney General
1600 Arch St Ste 300
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone No: (267) 768-3968 Fax No:

Attorney: Hill, John Brent
Law Firm: Hangle, Aronchick, Segal, Pudlin & Schiller
Address: Hangle Aronchick Segal
1 Logan Sq Fl 27
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone No: (215) 496-7049 Fax No:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 39 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent Centre County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
 Law Firm: Babst Calland  
 Address: Babst Calland Et Al  
 330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
 State College, PA 16803  
 Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan  
 Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
 Address: Two Gateway Center  
 603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper  
 Address: 603 Stanwix Street  
 Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent Carbon County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
 Law Firm: Babst Calland  
 Address: Babst Calland Et Al  
 330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
 State College, PA 16803  
 Phone No: (814) 867-8055 Fax No:

Attorney: Coyle, Casey Alan  
 Law Firm: Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
 Address: Two Gateway Center  
 603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
 Phone No: (267) 939-5832 Fax No:

Attorney: Jewart, Anna Skipper  
 Address: 603 Stanwix Street  
 Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent Cameron County Board of Elections**

Pro Se: Yes

IFP Status:

Pro Se: Cameron County Board of Elections  
 Address: 20 East 5th Street  
 Emporium, PA 15834

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 40 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent**                    **Cambria County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                            No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                    Barbin, William Gleason  
     Law Firm:                    Gleason Barbin & Markovitz LLP  
     Address:                      206 Main St  
   Johnstown, PA 15901-1509  
     Phone No:                    (814) 535-5561                    Fax No:

**Respondent**                    **Butler County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                            No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                    White, H. William, III  
     Law Firm:                    Butler County Solicitor's Office  
     Address:                      Po Box 1208  
   Butler, PA 16003-1208  
     Phone No:                    (724) 284-5381                    Fax No:

**Respondent**                    **Bucks County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                            No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                    Grieser, Daniel Donovan  
     Law Firm:                    Bucks County  
     Address:                      55 E Court St Fl 5  
   Doylestown, PA 18901  
     Phone No:                    (215) 348-6548                    Fax No:

    Attorney:                    VanderKam, Jessica L.  
     Law Firm:                    Stuckert and Yates  
     Address:                      2 N State St  
   Newtown, PA 18940-2027  
     Phone No:                    (215) 968-4700                    Fax No:

    Attorney:                    Fitzpatrick, Amy Melaugh  
     Law Firm:                    The County of Bucks  
     Address:                      The County Of Bucks-Law Department  
   55 E Court St  
   Doylestown, PA 18901  
     Phone No:                    (215) 348-6464                    Fax No:

**Respondent**                    **Bradford County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                            Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                        Bradford County Board of Elections  
     Address:                      6 Court Street  
   Suite 2  
   Towanda, PA 18848

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 41 of 79

September 30, 2022



## COUNSEL INFORMATION

**Respondent**            **Blair County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                    Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                    Blair County Board of Elections  
     Address:                   Blair County Courthouse  
                                     279A Loop Road  
                                     Hollidaysburg, PA 16648

**Respondent**            **Berks County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                    No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                Kauffman, Cody Lee  
     Law Firm:                Berks County Solicitor's Office  
     Address:                   Solicitor's Office  
                                     633 Court St 13th Fl  
                                     Reading, PA 19601  
     Phone No:                (610) 478-6105                    Fax No:

**Respondent**            **Bedford County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                    No  
 IFP Status:  
     Attorney:                Dupuis, Elizabeth A.  
     Law Firm:                Babst Calland  
     Address:                   Babst Calland Et Al  
                                     330 Innovation Blvd Ste 302  
                                     State College, PA 16803  
     Phone No:                (814) 867-8055                    Fax No:

    Attorney:                Coyle, Casey Alan  
     Law Firm:                Babst, Calland, Clements and Zomnir, PC  
     Address:                   Two Gateway Center  
                                     603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor  
                                     Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
     Phone No:                (267) 939-5832                    Fax No:

    Attorney:                Jewart, Anna Skipper  
     Address:                   603 Stanwix Street  
                                     Two Gateway, 6th Floor  
                                     Pittsburgh, PA 15222

**Respondent**            **Beaver County Board of Elections**  
 Pro Se:                    Yes  
 IFP Status:  
     Pro Se:                    Beaver County Board of Elections  
     Address:                   810 Third Street  
                                     Beaver, PA 15009

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 42 of 79

September 30, 2022



COUNSEL INFORMATION

Respondent Armstrong County Board of Elections
Pro Se: Yes
IFP Status:
Pro Se: Armstrong County Board of Elections
Address: 450 East Market Street
Kittanning, PA 16201

Respondent Allegheny County Board of Elections
Pro Se: No
IFP Status:
Attorney: Janocsko, George M.
Law Firm: Allegheny County Law Department
Address: 300 Fort Pitt Cmns
445 Fort Pitt Blvd
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone No: (412) 350-1132 Fax No:

Attorney: Michel, Lisa G.
Address: 445 Ft Piit Commons
Ste 300
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-2909
Phone No: (412) 350-1167 Fax No:

Attorney: Opsitnick, Allan Joseph
Law Firm: Opsitnick and Associates
Address: 564 Forbes Ave Ste 1201
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-2910
Phone No: (412) 391-3299 Fax No:

Respondent Adams County Board of Elections
Pro Se: No
IFP Status:
Attorney: Mudd, Molly Ruth
Address: Adams County Courthouse
117 Baltimore St 2nd Fl
Gettysburg, PA 17325-2367
Phone No: (717) 337-5911 Fax No:

FEE INFORMATION

Table with 6 columns: Fee Dt, Fee Name, Fee Amt, Receipt Dt, Receipt No, Receipt Amt. Row 1: 09/01/2022, Miscellaneous Docket Filing Fee, 70.25, 09/02/2022, 2022-CMW-H-001698, 70.25

AGENCY/TRIAL COURT INFORMATION

Order Appealed From: Notice of Appeal Filed:
Order Type:
Documents Received: September 1, 2022

Court Below:
County: Division:
Judge: OTN:
Docket Number: Judicial District:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 43 of 79

September 30, 2022



## ORIGINAL RECORD CONTENT

Original Record Item	Filed Date	Content Description
----------------------	------------	---------------------

## Date of Remand of Record:

## BRIEFING SCHEDULE

**Amicus Curiae****Lawyers Democracy Fund****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Petitioner****Ball, David****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 21, 2022

**Bee, James D.****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 21, 2022

**Biro, Debra A.****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 21, 2022

**Daniel, Jesse D.****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 21, 2022

**Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 21, 2022

**Farber, Ross M.****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 21, 2022

**Gallagher, Connor R.****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 21, 2022

**Kalcevic, Lynn Marie****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 21, 2022

**Kozlovich, Linda S.****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 21, 2022

**Kozlovich, William P.****Intervenor****Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC)****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Democratic National Committee****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC)****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Pennsylvania Democratic Party****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Respondent****Adams County Board of Elections****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:

**Allegheny County Board of Elections****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Armstrong County Board of Elections****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:

**Beaver County Board of Elections****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:

**Bedford County Board of Elections****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Berks County Board of Elections****Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 16, 2022

**Blair County Board of Elections**



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 44 of 79

September 30, 2022



## BRIEFING SCHEDULE

<b>Petitioner</b>	<b>Respondent</b>
<b>Kozlovich, William P.</b>	<b>Blair County Board of Elections</b>
<b>Brief</b>	<b>Brief</b>
Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 21, 2022	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:
<b>National Republican Congressional Committee</b>	<b>Bradford County Board of Elections</b>
<b>Brief</b>	<b>Brief</b>
Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:
<b>National Republican Senatorial Committee</b>	<b>Bucks County Board of Elections</b>
<b>Brief</b>	<b>Brief</b>
Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022
<b>Republican National Committee</b>	<b>Butler County Board of Elections</b>
<b>Brief</b>	<b>Brief</b>
Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:
<b>Republican Party of Pennsylvania</b>	<b>Cambria County Board of Elections</b>
<b>Brief</b>	<b>Brief</b>
Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:
<b>Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie</b>	<b>Cameron County Board of Elections</b>
<b>Brief</b>	<b>Brief</b>
Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 21, 2022	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:
<b>Streib, S. Michael</b>	<b>Carbon County Board of Elections</b>
<b>Brief</b>	<b>Brief</b>
Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 21, 2022	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022
	<b>Centre County Board of Elections</b>
	<b>Brief</b>
	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022
	<b>Chapman, Leigh M.</b>
	<b>Brief</b>
	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022
	<b>Chester County Board of Elections</b>
	<b>Brief</b>
	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:
	<b>Clarion County Board of Elections</b>
	<b>Brief</b>
	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:
	<b>Clearfield County Board of Elections</b>
	<b>Brief</b>
	Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:



### BRIEFING SCHEDULE

#### Respondent

##### Clinton County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

##### Columbia County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed: September 26, 2022

##### Crawford County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

##### Cumberland County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

##### Dauphin County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed: September 26, 2022

##### Delaware County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed: September 26, 2022

##### Elk County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

##### Erie County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

##### Fayette County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed: September 26, 2022

##### Forest County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

##### Franklin County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

##### Fulton County Board of Elections

##### Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:


**BRIEFING SCHEDULE**
**Respondent**
**Greene County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:

**Huntingdon County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022

**Indiana County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022

**Jefferson County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022

**Juniata County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:

**Lackawanna County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:

**Lancaster County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:

**Lawrence County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022

**Lebanon County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022

**Lehigh County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022

**Luzerne County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed: September 26, 2022

**Lycoming County Board of Elections**
**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022      Filed:



### BRIEFING SCHEDULE

#### Respondent

**Mathis, Jessica**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**McKean County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:

**Mercer County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:

**Mifflin County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:

**Monroe County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:

**Montgomery County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Montour County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:

**Northampton County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Northumberland County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Perry County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:

**Philadelphia County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed: September 26, 2022

**Pike County Board of Elections**

**Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022

Filed:


**BRIEFING SCHEDULE**
**Respondent**
**Potter County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Schuylkill County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Snyder County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Somerset County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Sullivan County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Susquehanna County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Tioga County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Union County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Venango County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed: September 26, 2022

**Warren County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Washington County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

**Wayne County Board of Elections  
Brief**

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 49 of 79

September 30, 2022



## BRIEFING SCHEDULE

## Respondent

Westmoreland County Board of Elections

## Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

Wyoming County Board of Elections

## Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed:

York County Board of Elections

## Brief

Due: September 26, 2022 Filed: September 26, 2022

## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 1, 2022</b>	Petition for Review Filed			
	Republican National Committee		Petitioner	
	National Republican Senatorial Committee		Petitioner	
	National Republican Congressional Committee		Petitioner	
	Republican Party of Pennsylvania		Petitioner	
	Ball, David		Petitioner	
	Bee, James D.		Petitioner	
	Biro, Debra A.		Petitioner	
	Daniel, Jesse D.		Petitioner	
	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae		Petitioner	
	Farber, Ross M.		Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Connor R.		Petitioner	
	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie		Petitioner	
	Kozlovich, Linda S.		Petitioner	
	Kozlovich, William P.		Petitioner	
Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie		Petitioner		
Streib, S. Michael		Petitioner		
<b>September 2, 2022</b>	Notice Exited			
	Commonwealth Court Filing Office			
<b>September 7, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Herron, Maureen E. Marlatt, John Amos	Montgomery County Board of Electic Respondent Montgomery County Board of Electic Respondent		
	Document Name: Maureen E. Calder & John Marlatt for Montgomery County Board of Elections.			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 50 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 7, 2022</b>	Petition for Preliminary Injunction			
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 51 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
	Giancola, Russell David	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	

Document Name: Pet. Appl. for Special Relief in the form of a Preliminary Injunction Under PA.R.A.P. 1532.

**September 7, 2022** Memorandum of Law Filed

Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner
Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner

Document Name: Memo. of Law in Support of Pet. Appl for Special Relief in the form of a Prel. Injunction.



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 52 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 8, 2022</b>	Amended Certificate of Service			
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
<b>September 8, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Kauffman, Cody Lee	Berks County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Cody Lee Kauffman Esq. - Berks Co. Board.			
<b>September 8, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Grieser, Daniel Donovan	Bucks County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Fitzpatrick, Amy Melaugh	Bucks County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Amy M. Fitzpatrick Esq. & Daniel D. Grieser Esq.			
<b>September 9, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Wiygul, Robert Andrew	Chapman, Leigh M.	Respondent	
	Wiygul, Robert Andrew	Mathis, Jessica	Respondent	
	Document Name: Robert A. Wiygul Esq.			
<b>September 9, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Hill, John Brent	Chapman, Leigh M.	Respondent	
	Hill, John Brent	Mathis, Jessica	Respondent	
	Document Name: John B. Hill Esq.			
<b>September 9, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Roseberry, Catharine Meade	Lehigh County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Catharine Meade Roseberry Esq.			
<b>September 9, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Tucker, Joe H., Jr.	Chapman, Leigh M.	Respondent	
	Tucker, Joe H., Jr.	Mathis, Jessica	Respondent	
	Document Name: Joe H. Tucker Jr. Esq.			
<b>September 9, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Mavroudis, Dimitrios	Chapman, Leigh M.	Respondent	
	Mavroudis, Dimitrios	Mathis, Jessica	Respondent	
	Document Name: Dimitrios Mavroudis Esq.			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 53 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
September 9, 2022	Hearing Scheduled Per Curiam			09/09/2022
	Document Name: Hearing Scheduled for 9-28-22 on App for Preliminary Injunction			
	Comment: NOW, September 9, 2022, upon consideration of Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction under Pa.R.A.P. 1532 (Application for Preliminary Injunction), and the Memorandum of Law in Support of the Application for Preliminary Injunction, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:			
	1. Hearing on Petitioners' Application for Preliminary Injunction is scheduled for Wednesday, September 28, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 3001, Pennsylvania Judicial Center, Third Floor, 601 Commonwealth Avenue, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, and will continue daily thereafter until concluded.			
	2. Petitioners are directed to secure the services of a court stenographer for the hearing.			
	3. Any party who opposes the pending Application for Preliminary Injunction shall file and serve an answer in opposition thereto no later than 12:00 noon on Friday, September 16, 2022. Any party who fails to file an answer by 12:00 noon on Friday, September 16, 2022, will be considered by the Court to be unopposed to the Application for Preliminary Injunction.			
	4. The parties shall file a joint stipulation of facts no later than 12:00 noon on Monday, September 19, 2022, indicating which county boards of elections have implemented, or plan to implement, notice and opportunity to cure procedures with respect to absentee and/or mail-in ballots.			
	5. A status conference is scheduled for Thursday, September 22, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., via WebEx videoconferencing, for the purpose of discussing the hearing, including the anticipated number of witnesses and exhibits, estimated duration of the hearing, and logistics.			
	6. Each party shall email the name, email address, and mobile telephone number of all counsel who intend to participate in the status conference to the following email address: CommCourtRemote@pacourts.us by no later than 4:00 p.m. on Monday, September 19, 2022. The Court will provide counsel with the information for connecting to the WebEx conference.			
	7. To facilitate participation, various WebEx applications are available for download at pacourts.webex.com. Please see the Protocol for WebEx Video Proceedings attached to this Order. The parties are directed to connect to the WebEx video conference 15 minutes before the starting time. In the event of technical difficulties, please contact the Court's IT staff at 717-255-1626.			
September 9, 2022	Petition to Intervene (Pa.R.C.P. 2328)			
	Bonin, Adam Craig	Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co	Intervenor	
	Bonin, Adam Craig	Democratic Congressional Campaign	Intervenor	
	Document Name: Application of DSCC and DCCC for Leave to Intervene			
September 9, 2022	Application for Intervention (Pa.R.A.P. 1531b)			
	Levine, Clifford B.	Democratic National Committee	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Document Name: DNC and PDP Application for Leave to Intervene			
September 9, 2022	Memorandum of Law Filed			
	Levine, Clifford B.	Democratic National Committee	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Document Name: In Support of DNC and PDP Application for Leave to Intervene			
September 12, 2022	Entry of Appearance			
	Lavery, Frank J., Jr.	Perry County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Norfleet, Andrew W.	Perry County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Attys. Lavery, Jr. and Norfleet for Perry Co. Bd. of Elections			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 54 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 12, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Talarico, Thomas S.	Erie County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Atty. Talarico for Erie Co. Bd. of Elections			
<b>September 12, 2022</b>	Letter Lavery, Frank J., Jr.	Perry County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: No Answer to Petition for Review to be Filed by Perry Co. Bd. of Elections			
<b>September 12, 2022</b>	Certificate of Service Filed Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancanello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Document Name: of Petition for Review			
<b>September 12, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Mathews, Lauren Lynn	Washington County Board of Electio	Respondent	
	Grimm, Jana Phillis	Washington County Board of Electio	Respondent	
	Document Name: Attys. Grimm and Mathews for Washington Cop. Bd. of Elections			
<b>September 12, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Boyer, Jacob Biehl	Chapman, Leigh M.	Respondent	
	Boyer, Jacob Biehl	Mathis, Jessica	Respondent	
	Document Name: Jacob Biehl Boyer Esq.			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 55 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
September 13, 2022	Order Filed Per Curiam			09/13/2022
	Document Name: Regarding the status conference and Hearing			
	Comment: NOW, September 13, 2022, upon consideration of the Application for Leave to Intervene filed by the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee and the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DSCC and DCCC), and the Application for Leave to Intervene and Memorandum of Law in Support thereof filed by the Democratic National Committee and the Pennsylvania Democratic Party (DNC and PDP) (collectively, Proposed Intervenors), and in light of the status conference scheduled for Thursday, September 22, 2022, via WebEx videoconferencing, and the in-person hearing scheduled for Wednesday, September 28, 2022, in this matter, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:			
	1. Any party who opposes the pending Applications for Leave to Intervene, filed by the DSCC and DCCC, and the DNC and PDP, respectively, shall file and serve an answer in opposition thereto no later than 12:00 noon on Monday, September 19, 2022. Any party who fails to file an answer by 12:00 noon on Monday, September 19, 2022, will be considered by the Court to be unopposed to the Applications for Leave to Intervene.			
	2. Proposed Intervenors are granted leave to participate in the aforementioned status conference scheduled for Thursday, September 22, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., via WebEx videoconferencing, subject to the Court's future disposition of their respective Applications for Leave to Intervene.			
	3. Proposed Intervenors shall email the name, email address, and mobile telephone number of all counsel who intend to participate in the status conference to the following email address: CommCourtRemote@pacourts.us by no later than 4:00 p.m. on Monday, September 19, 2022, pursuant to Paragraph 6. of this Court's September 9, 2022 Order. The Court will provide counsel with the information for connecting to the WebEx conference.			
	4. To facilitate participation, various WebEx applications are available for download at pacourts.webex.com. Please see the Protocol for WebEx Video Proceedings attached to this Order. The parties are directed to connect to the WebEx video conference 15 minutes before the starting time. In the event of technical difficulties, please contact the Court's IT staff at 717-255-1626.			
	5. The Court will confirm the schedule, sequence, and procedures for the in-person hearing presently scheduled for Wednesday, September 28, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., in this matter, as well as any intervention hearing(s), by separate order following the status conference. The parties and Proposed Intervenors shall be prepared to discuss the Applications for Leave to Intervene during the status conference.			
	6. All provisions of this Court's September 9, 2022 Order remain in effect			
September 13, 2022	Entry of Appearance DeWald, Jonathan Lee	Union County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Jonathan Lee DeWald Esq.			
September 13, 2022	Entry of Appearance Opsitnick, Allan Joseph Janocsko, George M.	Allegheny County Board of Elections Allegheny County Board of Elections	Respondent Respondent	
	Document Name: George M. Janocsko Esq. / Allan J. Opsitnick Esq.			
September 13, 2022	Entry of Appearance Ford, Timothy James Ford, Timothy James	Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Democratic Congressional Campaign	Intervenor Intervenor	
	Document Name: Timothy J. Ford Esq.			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 56 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 13, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Ghormoz, Claire Blewitt Ghormoz, Claire Blewitt Document Name: Claire B. Ghormoz, Esq.	Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Democratic Congressional Campaign	Intervenor Intervenor	
<b>September 13, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Santee, Richard Eugene Document Name: Richard E. Santee Esq.	Northampton County Board of Electi	Respondent	
<b>September 13, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Mudd, Molly Ruth Document Name: Molly Ruth Mudd Esq.	Adams County Board of Elections	Respondent	

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 57 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 14, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Bedford County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Centre County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Columbia County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Dauphin County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Huntingdon County Board of Electior	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Indiana County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Jefferson County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Lawrence County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Lebanon County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Northumberland County Board of El	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Venango County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	York County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Bedford County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Bedford County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Centre County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Centre County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Columbia County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Columbia County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Dauphin County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Dauphin County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Huntingdon County Board of Electior	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Huntingdon County Board of Electior	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Indiana County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Indiana County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Jefferson County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Jefferson County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Lawrence County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Lawrence County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Lebanon County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Lebanon County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Northumberland County Board of El	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Northumberland County Board of El	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Venango County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Venango County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Coyle, Casey Alan	York County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	York County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Casey Alan Coyle Esq.			
<b>September 15, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Giddy, Melissa Ann	Westmoreland County Board of Elec	Respondent	
	Document Name: Atty. Giddy for Westmorelan Co. Bd. of Elections			
<b>September 15, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	VanderKam, Jessica L.	Bucks County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Jessica L. VanderKam Esq.			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 58 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 15, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance White, H. William, III Document Name: William H. White III Esq.	Butler County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 15, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Smith, Ryan Blake Field, Benjamin Hirsch Pfautz, Michael Wu-Kung Document Name: Benjamin H. Field Esq., Michael Wu-Kung Esq., Ryan B. Smith Esq.	Philadelphia County Board of Electio Philadelphia County Board of Electio Philadelphia County Board of Electio	Respondent Respondent Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Letter Mathews, Lauren Lynn Document Name: Washington Co. Bd. of Elections takes no Position on Request for Injunctive Relief	Washington County Board of Electio	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Frens, Colleen Mary Document Name: of Colleen Mary Frens Esq. and Chester Co. BOE response to Pet. Appl for P.I.	Chester County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer to Petition for Preliminary Injunction Wiygul, Robert Andrew Wiygul, Robert Andrew Document Name: Commonwealth Respondent's Answer	Chapman, Leigh M. Mathis, Jessica	Respondent Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed Berks County Board of Elections Document Name: Answer to Petitioners' PFR and Petition for Preliminary Injunction		Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Dupuis, Elizabeth A. Coyle, Casey Alan Jewart, Anna Skipper Document Name: Attys. Coyle, Dupuis and Jewart for Fayette Co. Bd. of Elections	Fayette County Board of Elections Fayette County Board of Elections Fayette County Board of Elections	Respondent Respondent Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Memorandum of Law Filed Democratic National Committee Pennsylvania Democratic Party Document Name: Answer to Application in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction		Intervenor Intervenor	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer to Petition for Preliminary Injunction Roseberry, Catharine Meade Document Name: Lehigh Co. Bd. of Election's Answer	Lehigh County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Filed - Other Roseberry, Catharine Meade Document Name: Proposed Order	Lehigh County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer to Petition for Preliminary Injunction Opsitnick, Allan Joseph Document Name: Allegheny Co. Bd. of Elections' Answer	Allegheny County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer to Petition for Preliminary Injunction Smith, Ryan Blake Document Name: Philadelphia Co. Bd. of Elections' Answer	Philadelphia County Board of Electio	Respondent	



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 59 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Cosgrove, Joseph Matthias	Luzerne County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Atty. Cosgrove for Luzerne Co. Bd. of Elections			
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer to Petition for Preliminary Injunction Herron, Maureen E.	Montgomery County Board of Electic	Respondent	
	Document Name: Montgomery Co. Bd. of Elections' Answer			
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer Filed Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Bedford County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Centre County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Columbia County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Dauphin County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Fayette County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Huntingdon County Board of Electior	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Indiana County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Jefferson County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Lawrence County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Lebanon County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Northumberland County Board of Elk	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Venango County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	York County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Joint Answer of Resp. Bedored Co., Centre Co., Columbia County In Opp. to Pet. Appl for Prem. Inj.			
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer Filed Santee, Richard Eugene	Northampton County Board of Electic	Respondent	
	Document Name: Respondent Northampton Co. BOE answer to Appl for special relief in the form of Prel. Inj.			
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer Filed VanderKam, Jessica L.	Bucks County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Answer of Bucks Co. BOE to Appl. for Special Relief in the form of Prel. Inj.			
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed Chapman, Leigh M.		Respondent	
	Mathis, Jessica		Respondent	
	Document Name: Commonwealth Respondents' Brief in Opposition to Application for Preliminary Injunction			
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Memorandum of Law Filed Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC)		Intervenor	
	Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC)		Intervenor	
	Document Name: DSCC and DCCC's Response in Opposition to Application for Preliminary Injunction			
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer Filed Herron, Maureen E.	Montgomery County Board of Electic	Respondent	
	Document Name: Preliminary Objections of Montgomery Co. Bd. of Elections			
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Fareri, James V.	Monroe County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Attys. Fareri and Dunn for Monroe Co. Bd. of Elections			



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 60 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer to Petition for Preliminary Injunction Fareri, James V. Document Name: Monroe Co. Bd. of Elections' Answer	Monroe County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer to Petition for Preliminary Injunction Mudd, Molly Ruth Document Name: Answer to Adams County Board of Elections Oppo. Pet.'s Appl for Special Relief.	Adams County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Taylor, Brian J. Document Name: Brian J. Taylor Esq.	Northampton County Board of Electi	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Answer to Petition for Preliminary Injunction Cosgrove, Joseph Matthias Document Name: Luzerne Co. Bd. of Elections Answer	Luzerne County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Letter Fareri, James V. Document Name: Monroe Co. Bd. of Elections Re: Further Participation	Monroe County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Vargo, Michael John Document Name: Atty. Vargo for Northampton Co. Bd. of Elections	Northampton County Board of Electi	Respondent	
<b>September 16, 2022</b>	Filed - Other Cosgrove, Joseph Matthias Document Name: Submission of Luzerne Co. Bd. of Elections Re: Stipulated Facts	Luzerne County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Zagurskie, Donald Kenneth Document Name: Donald K. Zagurskie Esq.	Juniata County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Michel, Lisa G. Document Name: Lisa G. Michel Esq.	Allegheny County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Filed - Other DeWald, Jonathan Lee Document Name: Submission of Respondent Union County Board of Elections Regarding Stipulation of Facts	Union County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Parks, James Manly Document Name: James M. Parks Esq.	Delaware County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Centrella, Nicholas Michael, Jr. Document Name: Nicholas M. Centrella Jr. Esq.	Delaware County Board of Elections	Respondent	

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 61 of 79

September 30, 2022



DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
September 19, 2022	Answer Filed			
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 62 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
	Giancola, Russell David	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	

Document Name: Pet.'s Response & Answer to Dem. Nat. Committee & PA Demo. Party's Appl. for Intervention.

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 63 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Answer Filed			
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancanello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Siciliano-Biancanello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 64 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
	Giancola, Russell David	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	

Document Name: Petitioners' Response &amp; Answer to the DSCC's &amp; DCCC'S Application for Intervention.

<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Application for Extension of Time to File			
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	

Document Name: Letter request for extension of time to file joint stipulation of facts.

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 65 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Order Granting Application for Extension of Time to File Per Curiam Document Name: Ext. Request Granted Comment: NOW, September 19, 2022, upon consideration of Petitioners' request for extension of the deadline set by this Court's September 9, 2022 Order for the filing of a joint stipulation of facts in this matter, which was due no later than noon today, the request is GRANTED. The deadline by which the parties shall file a joint stipulation of facts, as set forth in Paragraph 4. of this Court's September 9, 2022 Order, is now extended to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 20, 2022.			09/19/2022
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Furman, Christopher P. Furman, Christopher P. Furman, Christopher P. Document Name: Christopher P. Furman Esq.	Clarion County Board of Elections Susquehanna County Board of Elect Tioga County Board of Elections	Respondent Respondent Respondent	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Mattox-Baldini, Faith Anne Document Name: Faith Anne Mattox-Baldini Esq.	Chester County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Filed Bonin, Adam Craig Bonin, Adam Craig Document Name: of Uzoma N. Nkwonta, Esq.	Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Democratic Congressional Campaign	Intervenor Intervenor	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Filed Bonin, Adam Craig Bonin, Adam Craig Document Name: of Alexander F. Atkins, Esq.	Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Democratic Congressional Campaign	Intervenor Intervenor	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Answer and New Matter VanderKam, Jessica L. Fitzpatrick, Amy Melaugh Document Name: Answer & New Matter of Bucks Co. Board of Elections to PFR Seeking Declaratory & Injunctive Relief.	Bucks County Board of Elections Bucks County Board of Elections	Respondent Respondent	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Filed Bonin, Adam Craig Bonin, Adam Craig Document Name: of Daniela Lorenzo, Esq.	Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Democratic Congressional Campaign	Intervenor Intervenor	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Application for Relief Centrella, Nicholas Michael, Jr. Parks, James Manly Document Name: Appl. to Submit Answer of Resp. Delaware Co. BOE to Pet Appl for Special Relief In the form of a PI.	Delaware County Board of Elections Delaware County Board of Elections	Respondent Respondent	
<b>September 19, 2022</b>	Answer to Application for Relief Parks, James Manly Document Name: Answer of Respondent Del. Co. BOE to Pet. Appl. for Special Relief in the form of a PI.	Delaware County Board of Elections	Respondent	
<b>September 20, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Stevens, Nicholas J. Document Name: Nicholas J. Stevens Esq.	Chester County Board of Elections	Respondent	

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 66 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 20, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Barbin, William Gleason	Cambria County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: William Gleason Barbin Esq.			
<b>September 20, 2022</b>	Order Granting Application for Relief Per Curiam			09/20/2022
	Document Name: Answer of Del. Co. Bd. of Elections is accepted			
	Comment: NOW, September 20, 2022, upon consideration of the Application to Submit Answer of Respondent Delaware County Board of Elections to Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction Nunc Pro Tunc (Application to Submit Answer), filed on September 19, 2022, in which the Delaware County Board of Elections seeks leave to file, nunc pro tunc, its Answer to Petitioners' Application for Special Relief that was due by noon on September 16, 2022, the Application to Submit Answer is GRANTED. The Prothonotary is directed to docket Delaware County Board of Elections' Answer to Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction, which is attached to the Application to Submit Answer as Exhibit A. It is further ORDERED that, upon consideration of the Answer of Respondent Luzerne County Board of Elections to Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction and Application to Submit Same Nunc Pro Tunc (Answer and/or Application), filed on September 16, 2022, in which the Luzerne County Board of Elections also seeks leave to file, nunc pro tunc, its Answer to Petitioners' Application for Special Relief that was due by noon on September 16, 2022, it appears that Luzerne County Board of Elections' Answer was already docketed as such on that date. Accordingly, to the extent the Answer and Application request nunc pro tunc relief, the Application portion is DISMISSED as moot.			
<b>September 20, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Greenberg, Kevin Michael Greenberg, Kevin Michael Elliot, Peter Poggi Roseman, Adam R. Elliot, Peter Poggi Roseman, Adam R.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party Pennsylvania Democratic Party Pennsylvania Democratic Party Pennsylvania Democratic Party Pennsylvania Democratic Party Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor Intervenor Intervenor Intervenor Intervenor Intervenor	
	Document Name: Attys Elliot, Roseman and Greenberg			
<b>September 20, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Bozovich, Heather Lynn	Clearfield County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: of Heather Bozovich, Esq. on behalf of Respondent			



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 67 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
September 20, 2022	Stipulation Filed			
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancanello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Siciliano-Biancanello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Centrella, Nicholas Michael, Jr.	Delaware County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Cosgrove, Joseph Matthias	Luzerne County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	DeWald, Jonathan Lee	Union County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Field, Benjamin Hirsch	Philadelphia County Board of Electio	Respondent	
	Furman, Christopher P.	Tioga County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Furman, Christopher P.	Clarion County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Furman, Christopher P.	Susquehanna County Board of Elect	Respondent	
	Guiddy, Melissa Ann	Westmoreland County Board of Elec	Respondent	
	Herron, Maureen E.	Montgomery County Board of Electic	Respondent	
	Marlatt, John Amos	Montgomery County Board of Electic	Respondent	
	Pfautz, Michael Wu-Kung	Philadelphia County Board of Electic	Respondent	
	Roseberry, Catharine Meade	Lehigh County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Roseman, Adam R.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Roseman, Adam R.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Santee, Richard Eugene	Northampton County Board of Electi	Respondent	
	Smith, Ryan Blake	Philadelphia County Board of Electic	Respondent	
	Talarico, Thomas S.	Erie County Board of Elections	Respondent	



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 68 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
	Taylor, Brian J.	Northampton County Board of Electi	Respondent	
	Vargo, Michael John	Northampton County Board of Electi	Respondent	
	White, H. William, III	Butler County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Zagurskie, Donald Kenneth	Juniata County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Cameron County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Cumberland County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Lycoming County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Snyder County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Wyoming County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Document Name: Joint Stipulation of Facts			
<b>September 20, 2022</b>	Application for Relief			
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Document Name: Application for Leave to File Reply in Support of Application for Special Relief in the form of a PI			
<b>September 21, 2022</b>	Answer to Application for Relief			
	Centrella, Nicholas Michael, Jr.	Delaware County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Opposition of Resp Delaware Co Bd of Elections to Pets' App for Leave to File Reply			
<b>September 21, 2022</b>	Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Filed			
	Bonin, Adam Craig	Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co	Intervenor	
	Bonin, Adam Craig	Democratic Congressional Campaign	Intervenor	
	Document Name: of Justin Baxenberg, Esq.			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 69 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
September 21, 2022	Order Granting Application for Relief Per Curiam			09/21/2022
	<p>Document Name: Application for Leave to File a Reply is Granted/ Petitioners' Reply is Due Setp. 21, 2022 4:00pm</p> <p>Comment: NOW, September 21, 2022, upon consideration of Petitioners' Application for Leave to File Reply in Support of Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction (Application to File Reply), and Respondent Delaware County Board of Elections' answer in opposition thereto (Answer), the Application to File Reply is GRANTED, in part.</p> <p>Petitioners reply (4 copies) shall be filed and served no later than 4:00 p.m. today, September 21, 2022, and shall include Petitioners' response(s) to the potential bars to relief asserted by Respondents in their respective answers in opposition to the Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction (Application for Preliminary Injunction). All parties and Proposed Intervenors shall be prepared to discuss and present oral argument on laches as a potential bar to the relief sought in the Application for Preliminary Injunction at the status conference currently scheduled for tomorrow, at 10:00 a.m., via WebEx videoconferencing.<sup>1</sup></p> <p>To the extent Respondent Delaware County Board of Elections' Answer requests leave on behalf of it and all other Respondents to file sur-replies to Petitioners' reply, the Court will hold that request in abeyance until after the September 22, 2022 conference.</p> <p><sup>1</sup> The status conference will be live streamed via a link posted on the Court's website.</p>			
September 21, 2022	Answer Filed Talarico, Thomas S.	Erie County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Erie Co. Bd. of Elections Joinder in Commonwealth's Answer to Application for Prelim. Injctn.			
September 21, 2022	Order Granting Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Per Curiam			09/21/2022
	<p>Document Name: Uzoma N. Nkwonta, Esq. for DSCC and DCCC</p> <p>Comment: NOW, September 21, 2022, upon consideration of the application of Adam C. Bonin, Esq., for admission pro hac vice of Uzoma N. Nkwonta, Esq., on behalf of Proposed Intervenors Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC) and Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), it is hereby ordered:</p> <p>(1) Uzoma N. Nkwonta, Esq. is admitted pro hac vice to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania under Pennsylvania Bar Admission Rule 301, as co-counsel on behalf of said Proposed Intervenors in this matter;</p> <p>(2) Uzoma N. Nkwonta, Esq. shall abide by the rules of this Court including all disciplinary rules;</p> <p>(3) Uzoma N. Nkwonta, Esq. shall immediately notify this Court of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any other court where he may be admitted to practice; and</p> <p>(4) Adam C. Bonin, Esq., the moving attorney herein, shall continue to be responsible as counsel of record for the conduct of this matter on behalf of said Proposed Intervenors.</p>			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 70 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 21, 2022</b>	Order Granting Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Per Curiam			09/21/2022
	Document Name: Atty. Alexander F. Atkins, Esq. for DSCC and DCCC			
	Comment: NOW, September 21, 2022, upon consideration of the application of Adam C. Bonin, Esq., for admission pro hac vice of Alexander F. Atkins, Esq., on behalf of Proposed Intervenors Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC) and Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), it is hereby ordered: (1) Alexander F. Atkins, Esq. is admitted pro hac vice to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania under Pennsylvania Bar Admission Rule 301, as co-counsel on behalf of said Proposed Intervenors in this matter; (2) Alexander F. Atkins, Esq. shall abide by the rules of this Court including all disciplinary rules; (3) Alexander F. Atkins, Esq. shall immediately notify this Court of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any other court where he may be admitted to practice; and (4) Adam C. Bonin, Esq., the moving attorney herein, shall continue to be responsible as counsel of record for the conduct of this matter on behalf of said Proposed Intervenors.			
<b>September 21, 2022</b>	Order Granting Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Per Curiam			09/21/2022
	Document Name: Daniela Lorenzo, Esq. for DSCC and DCCC			
	Comment: NOW, September 21, 2022, upon consideration of the application of Adam C. Bonin, Esq., for admission pro hac vice of Daniela Lorenzo, Esq., on behalf of Proposed Intervenors Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC) and Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), it is hereby ordered: (1) Daniela Lorenzo, Esq. is admitted pro hac vice to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania under Pennsylvania Bar Admission Rule 301, as co-counsel on behalf of said Proposed Intervenors in this matter; (2) Daniela Lorenzo, Esq. shall abide by the rules of this Court including all disciplinary rules; (3) Daniela Lorenzo, Esq. shall immediately notify this Court of any matter affecting her standing at the bar of any other court where she may be admitted to practice; and (4) Adam C. Bonin, Esq., the moving attorney herein, shall continue to be responsible as counsel of record for the conduct of this matter on behalf of said Proposed Intervenors.			
<b>September 21, 2022</b>	Order Granting Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Per Curiam			09/21/2022
	Document Name: Justin Baxenberg, Esq. for DSCC and DCCC			
	Comment: NOW, September 21, 2022, upon consideration of the application of Adam C. Bonin, Esq., for admission pro hac vice of Justin Baxenberg, Esq., on behalf of Proposed Intervenors Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC) and Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), it is hereby ordered: (1) Justin Baxenberg, Esq. is admitted pro hac vice to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania under Pennsylvania Bar Admission Rule 301, as co-counsel on behalf of said Proposed Intervenors in this matter; (2) Justin Baxenberg, Esq. shall abide by the rules of this Court including all disciplinary rules; (3) Justin Baxenberg, Esq. shall immediately notify this Court of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any other court where he may be admitted to practice; and (4) Adam C. Bonin, Esq., the moving attorney herein, shall continue to be responsible as counsel of record for the conduct of this matter on behalf of said Proposed Intervenors.			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 71 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 21, 2022</b>	Application for Extension of Time to File			
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
<b>September 21, 2022</b>	Order Granting Application for Extension of Time to File Per Curiam			09/21/2022
	Document Name: Petitioners' Reply Deadline is now extended to 10:00pm today, Sept. 21, 2022			
	Comment: NOW, September 21, 2022, upon consideration of Petitioners' request for extension of the deadline set by this Court's September 21, 2022 Order for the filing of a reply in support of the Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction, which was due no later than 4:00 p.m. today, the request is GRANTED. The deadline by which Petitioners shall file their reply, as set forth in in this Court's September 21, 2022 Order, is now extended to 10:00 p.m. today, September 21, 2022.			
<b>September 21, 2022</b>	Certificate of Service Filed			
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Document Name: Proof of Service of PFR on Adams Cty BOE and Phila. Cty. BOE			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 72 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 21, 2022</b>	Petitioner's Reply Brief Filed			
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Kathleen A.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican National Committee	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Breth, Thomas E.	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 73 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
	Giancola, Russell David	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	Giancola, Russell David	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Ball, David	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Bee, James D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Biro, Debra A.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Farber, Ross M.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Daniel, Jesse D.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Streib, S. Michael	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, Linda S.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Gallagher, Connor R.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Kozlovich, William P.	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Republican Party of Pennsylvania	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Senatorial Com	Petitioner	
	King, Thomas W., III	National Republican Congressional (	Petitioner	
	Republican National Committee		Petitioner	
	National Republican Senatorial Committee		Petitioner	
	National Republican Congressional Committee		Petitioner	
	Republican Party of Pennsylvania		Petitioner	
	Ball, David		Petitioner	
	Bee, James D.		Petitioner	
	Biro, Debra A.		Petitioner	
	Daniel, Jesse D.		Petitioner	
	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae		Petitioner	
	Farber, Ross M.		Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Connor R.		Petitioner	
	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie		Petitioner	
	Kozlovich, Linda S.		Petitioner	
	Kozlovich, William P.		Petitioner	
	Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie		Petitioner	
	Streib, S. Michael		Petitioner	

Document Name: Pet. Omnibus Reply in Support of App. for Special Relief in the Form of a PI

Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 74 of 79

September 30, 2022



DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
------------	----------------------	--------------	------------------	-----------

September 22, 2022	Order Granting Application for Intervention Ceisler, Ellen			09/22/2022
--------------------	---	--	--	------------

Document Name: Intervention Granted/ Hearing of Sept. 28, 2022 Cancelled/ Briefing Set

Comment: AND NOW, this 22nd day of September 2022, upon consideration of the Applications for Leave to Intervene filed by the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee and the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DSCC and DCCC), and the Democratic National Committee and the Pennsylvania Democratic Party (DNC and PDP) (collectively, Applications to Intervene), and following a status conference during which the parties agreed there is no objection to the proposed intervention, the Applications to Intervene are GRANTED.

The Court directs the Prothonotary to enter DSCC, DCCC, DNC, and PDP (collectively, Intervenors) on the docket in this matter as Intervenor-Respondents. The Prothonotary is further directed to docket DSCC and DCCC's and DNC and PDP's preliminary objections, which are attached to the respective Applications to Intervene.

It is further ORDERED as follows:

1. The hearing on Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction Under Pa.R.A.P. 1532 (Application for Preliminary Injunction), scheduled for Wednesday, September 28, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 3001, Third Floor, Pennsylvania Judicial Center, 601 Commonwealth Avenue, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, is CANCELLED.
2. The parties and Intervenors shall file and serve briefs (4 copies) no later than 5:00 p.m. on Monday, September 26, 2022, which shall address laches as a potential bar to the relief requested in the Application for Preliminary Injunction, and any remaining arguments pertaining to the six preliminary injunction criteria.
3. The parties and Intervenors shall also file a joint stipulation of exhibits no later than 5:00 p.m. on Monday, September 26, 2022.
4. As discussed at the status conference held on this date, and there being no objection thereto, the Court will rule on the Application for Preliminary Injunction on the papers following the Court's receipt of the above briefs and joint stipulation of exhibits, unless otherwise ordered.

September 22, 2022	Preliminary Objections Atkins, Alexander F. Atkins, Alexander F. Baxenberg, Justin Baxenberg, Justin Bonin, Adam Craig Bonin, Adam Craig Ford, Timothy James Ford, Timothy James Ghormoz, Claire Blewitt Ghormoz, Claire Blewitt	Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Intervenor Democratic Congressional Campaign Intervenor Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Intervenor Democratic Congressional Campaign Intervenor Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Intervenor Democratic Congressional Campaign Intervenor Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Intervenor Democratic Congressional Campaign Intervenor Democratic Senatorial Campaign Co Intervenor Democratic Congressional Campaign Intervenor		
--------------------	--	--	--	--

Document Name: of Respondent Intervenors DSCC and DCCC

September 22, 2022	Preliminary Objections Democratic National Committee Pennsylvania Democratic Party		Intervenor Intervenor	
--------------------	--	--	--------------------------	--

Document Name: of Respondent Intervenors DNC and PDP



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 75 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 23, 2022</b>	Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Filed			
	Levine, Clifford B.	Democratic National Committee	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Democratic National Committee	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Document Name: Clifford B. Levine Esq. on behalf of Seth P. Waxman Esq.			
<b>September 23, 2022</b>	Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Filed			
	Levine, Clifford B.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Democratic National Committee	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Democratic National Committee	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Document Name: Clifford B. Levine Esq. on behalf of Daniel S. Volchok Esq.			
<b>September 23, 2022</b>	Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Filed			
	Levine, Clifford B.	Democratic National Committee	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Democratic National Committee	Intervenor	
	Levine, Clifford B.	Pennsylvania Democratic Party	Intervenor	
	Document Name: Clifford B. Livine Esq. on behalf of Christopher E. Babbitt Esq.			
<b>September 23, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Thomson, Aimee Diane	Philadelphia County Board of Electio	Respondent	
	Document Name: Atty. Thomson for Philadelphia Co. Bd. of Elections			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance			
	Coyle, Casey Alan	Carbon County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Jewart, Anna Skipper	Carbon County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Dupuis, Elizabeth A.	Carbon County Board of Elections	Respondent	
		Document Name: Attys. Dupuis, Coyle and Jewart for Carbon Co. Bd. of Elections		
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Order Granting Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice			09/26/2022
	Per Curiam			
	Document Name: Atty. Waxman for DNC and PDP			
	Comment: NOW, September 26, 2022, upon consideration of the application of Clifford B. Levine, Esq., for admission pro hac vice of Seth P. Waxman, Esq., on behalf of Intervenor Democratic National Committee and Pennsylvania Democratic Party, it is hereby ordered:			
	(1) Seth P. Waxman, Esq. is admitted pro hac vice to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania under Pennsylvania Bar Admission Rule 301, as co-counsel on behalf of said Intervenor in this matter;			
	(2) Seth P. Waxman, Esq. shall abide by the rules of this Court including all disciplinary rules;			
	(3) Seth P. Waxman, Esq. shall immediately notify this Court of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any other court where he may be admitted to practice; and			
	(4) Clifford B. Levine, Esq., the moving attorney herein, shall continue to be responsible as counsel of record for the conduct of this matter on behalf of said Intervenor.			



## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 76 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Order Granting Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Per Curiam			09/26/2022
	Document Name: Atty. Volchok for DNC and PDP			
	Comment: NOW, September 26, 2022, upon consideration of the application of Clifford B. Levine, Esq., for admission pro hac vice of Daniel S. Volchok, Esq., on behalf of Intervenors Democratic National Committee and Pennsylvania Democratic Party, it is hereby ordered: (1) Daniel S. Volchok, Esq. is admitted pro hac vice to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania under Pennsylvania Bar Admission Rule 301, as co-counsel on behalf of said Intervenors in this matter; (2) Daniel S. Volchok, Esq. shall abide by the rules of this Court including all disciplinary rules; (3) Daniel S. Volchok, Esq. shall immediately notify this Court of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any other court where he may be admitted to practice; and (4) Clifford B. Levine, Esq., the moving attorney herein, shall continue to be responsible as counsel of record for the conduct of this matter on behalf of said Intervenors.			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Order Granting Application to be Admitted Pro Hac Vice Per Curiam			09/26/2022
	Document Name: Atty. Babbitt for DNC and PDP			
	Comment: NOW, September 26, 2022, upon consideration of the application of Clifford B. Levine, Esq., for admission pro hac vice of Christopher E. Babbitt, Esq., on behalf of Intervenors Democratic National Committee and Pennsylvania Democratic Party, it is hereby ordered: (1) Christopher E. Babbitt, Esq. is admitted pro hac vice to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania under Pennsylvania Bar Admission Rule 301, as co-counsel on behalf of said Intervenors in this matter; (2) Christopher E. Babbitt, Esq. shall abide by the rules of this Court including all disciplinary rules; (3) Christopher E. Babbitt, Esq. shall immediately notify this Court of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any other court where he may be admitted to practice; and (4) Clifford B. Levine, Esq., the moving attorney herein, shall continue to be responsible as counsel of record for the conduct of this matter on behalf of said Intervenors.			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance McGrath, Sean James	Philadelphia County Board of Electic Respondent		
	Document Name: Sean James McGrath Esq. - Philadelphia County Board of Elections - Respondent.			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Letter Kauffman, Cody Lee	Berks County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: In Response to 9/22/22 Court Order.			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Entry of Appearance Newcomer, Melvin Eugene	Lancaster County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: Atty. Newcomer for Lancaster Co. Bd. of Elections			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Answer to Petition for Review Newcomer, Melvin Eugene	Lancaster County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Document Name: of Lancaster Co. Bd. of Elections			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed Northampton County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Document Name: In Support of Response to Petitioners' Application for P.I.			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 77 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed			
	Bedford County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Centre County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Columbia County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Dauphin County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Fayette County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Huntingdon County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Indiana County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Jefferson County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Lawrence County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Lebanon County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Northumberland County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Venango County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	York County Board of Elections		Respondent	
Document Name: In Opposition to Petitioners' Application for P.I.				
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed			
	Allegheny County Board of Elections		Respondent	
Document Name: In Opposition to Application for P.I.				
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed			
	Montgomery County Board of Elections		Respondent	
Document Name: In Opposition to Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the form of P.I.				
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Intervenor's Brief			
	Democratic National Committee		Intervenor	
	Pennsylvania Democratic Party		Intervenor	
Document Name: In Opposition to Petitioners' Application for P.I.				
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed			
	Bucks County Board of Elections		Respondent	
Document Name: In Opposition to Application for Special Relief in the form of P.I.				

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 78 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Intervenor's Brief			
	Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC)		Intervenor	
	Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC)		Intervenor	
	Document Name: Surreply in Opposition to Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the form of P.I.			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Amicus Curiae Brief			
	Lawyers Democracy Fund		Amicus Curiae	
	Document Name: In Support of Petitioners			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed			
	Cosgrove, Joseph Matthias	Luzerne County Board of Elections	Respondent	
	Luzerne County Board of Elections		Respondent	
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed			
	Chapman, Leigh M.		Respondent	
	Mathis, Jessica		Respondent	
	Document Name: In Opposition to Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the form of P.I.			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed			
	Philadelphia County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Document Name: In Opposition to Petitioners' Application for P.I.			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Joinder in Brief			
	Delaware County Board of Elections		Respondent	
	Document Name: Joins in Brief filed by Allegheny Co. Board of Elections			
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Petitioner's Brief Filed			
	Republican National Committee		Petitioner	
	National Republican Senatorial Committee		Petitioner	
	National Republican Congressional Committee		Petitioner	
	Republican Party of Pennsylvania		Petitioner	
	Document Name: In Support of Application for Special Relief in the form of P.I.			

## Miscellaneous Docket Sheet

Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 447 MD 2022

Page 79 of 79

September 30, 2022



## DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Filer	Representing	Participant Type	Exit Date
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Exhibit			
	Republican National Committee		Petitioner	
	National Republican Senatorial Committee		Petitioner	
	National Republican Congressional Committee		Petitioner	
	Republican Party of Pennsylvania		Petitioner	
	Ball, David		Petitioner	
	Bee, James D.		Petitioner	
	Biro, Debra A.		Petitioner	
	Daniel, Jesse D.		Petitioner	
	Deluca, Gwendolyn Mae		Petitioner	
	Farber, Ross M.		Petitioner	
	Gallagher, Connor R.		Petitioner	
	Kalcevic, Lynn Marie		Petitioner	
	Kozlovich, Linda S.		Petitioner	
	Kozlovich, William P.		Petitioner	
Siciliano-Biancaniello, Vallerie		Petitioner		
Streib, S. Michael		Petitioner		
Document Name: Joint Stipulation of Exhibits				
<b>September 26, 2022</b>	Respondent's Brief Filed			
	Lehigh County Board of Elections		Respondent	
Document Name: Memorandum in Opposition to P.I.				
<b>September 27, 2022</b>	Application for Relief			
	Wiygul, Robert Andrew	Chapman, Leigh M.	Respondent	
	Wiygul, Robert Andrew	Mathis, Jessica	Respondent	
Document Name: Commonwealth Respondents' Application for Leave to file a Sur Reply				
<b>September 28, 2022</b>	Order Denying Application for Relief Per Curiam			09/28/2022
	Document Name: Commonwealth Respondents' Application to File a Sur Reply is Denied			
Comment: NOW, September 28, 2022, upon consideration of Commonwealth Respondents' Application for Leave to File a Sur-Reply addressing Petitioners' New Argument Regarding Subject Matter Jurisdiction (Application), the Application is DENIED.				
<b>September 29, 2022</b>	Memorandum Opinion Filed			09/29/2022
	Ceisler, Ellen			
Document Name: Memorandum Opinion : App. for Special Relief is Denied.				
Comment: AND NOW, this 29th day of September, 2022, the Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction Under Pa.R.A.P. 1532, filed by Petitioners, is DENIED.				
<b>September 30, 2022</b>	Letter			
	Mathews, Lauren Lynn	Washington County Board of Elections	Respondent	
Document Name: Re: Wash. Cnty Bd of Elections - No answer will be filed to PFR.				

Exhibit B  
to Notice of Appeal  
(Request for Transcript)

**IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<p>REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, <i>et al.</i>,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioners,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>LEIGH M. CHAPMAN, in her official capacity as Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth, <i>et al.</i>,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents.</p>	<p>No. 447 MD 2022</p>
--	------------------------

**REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT**

A Notice of Appeal having been filed in this matter, the official court reporter is hereby requested to produce, certify, and file the transcript in this matter in conformity with Rule 1922 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 30, 2022

/s/ Kathleen A. Gallagher  
Kathleen A. Gallagher  
PA I.D. #37950  
Russell D. Giancola  
PA. I.D. #200058  
GALLAGHER GIANCOLA LLC  
436 Seventh Avenue, 31st Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Phone: (412) 717-1900  
[kag@glawfirm.com](mailto:kag@glawfirm.com)  
[rdg@glawfirm.com](mailto:rdg@glawfirm.com)

Thomas W. King, III  
PA #21580  
Thomas E. Breth  
PA #66350  
DILLON, McCANDLESS, KING,  
COULTER & GRAHAM, LLP  
128 W. Cunningham St.  
Butler, PA 16001  
Phone: (724) 283.2200  
[tking@dmkcg.com](mailto:tking@dmkcg.com)  
[tbreth@dmkcg.com](mailto:tbreth@dmkcg.com)

*Counsel for Petitioners*

Exhibit C  
to Notice of Appeal  
(Jurisdictional Statement)



**IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

---

**No. 447 MD 2022**

---

Republican National Committee, National Republican Senatorial Committee,  
National Republican Congressional Committee, Republican Party of Pennsylvania,  
David Ball, James D. Bee, Debra A. Biro, Jesse D. Daniel,  
Gwendolyn Mae DeLuca, Ross M. Farber, Connor R. Gallagher,  
Lynn Marie Kalcevic, Linda S. Kozlovich, William P. Kozlovich,  
Vallerie Siciliano-Biancaniello, and S. Michael Streib,

Petitioners,

v.

Leigh M. Chapman, in her official capacity as Acting Secretary of the  
Commonwealth; Jessica Mathis, in her official capacity as Director of the  
Pennsylvania Bureau of Election Services and Notaries;  
and All 67 County Boards of Elections  
(See back of cover for list of County Respondents),

Respondents.

---

**PETITIONERS' JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT**

---

**GALLAGHER GIANCOLA LLC**

Kathleen A. Gallagher  
PA #37950  
Russell D. Giancola  
PA #200058  
436 Seventh Avenue, 31st Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
412.717.1900 (Phone)

**DILLON, MCCANDLESS, KING,  
COULTER & GRAHAM, LLP**

Thomas W. King, III  
PA #21580  
Thomas E. Breth  
PA #66350  
128 W. Cunningham Street  
Butler, PA 16001  
724.283.2200 (Phone)

*Counsel for Petitioners*

Adams County Board of Elections; Allegheny County Board of Elections;  
Armstrong County Board of Elections; Beaver County Board of Elections;  
Bedford County Board of Elections; Berks County Board of Elections;  
Blair County Board of Elections; Bradford County Board of Elections;  
Bucks County Board of Elections; Butler County Board of Elections;  
Cambria County Board of Elections; Cameron County Board of Elections;  
Carbon County Board of Elections; Centre County Board of Elections;  
Chester County Board of Elections; Clarion County Board of Elections;  
Clearfield County Board of Elections; Clinton County Board of Elections;  
Columbia County Board of Elections; Crawford County Board of Elections;  
Cumberland County Board of Elections; Dauphin County Board of Elections;  
Delaware County Board of Elections; Elk County Board of Elections;  
Erie County Board of Elections; Fayette County Board of Elections;  
Forest County Board of Elections; Franklin County Board of Elections;  
Fulton County Board of Elections; Greene County Board of Elections;  
Huntingdon County Board of Elections; Indiana County Board of Elections;  
Jefferson County Board of Elections; Juniata County Board of Elections;  
Lackawanna County Board of Elections; Lancaster County Board of Elections;  
Lawrence County Board of Elections; Lebanon County Board of Elections;  
Lehigh County Board of Elections; Luzerne County Board of Elections;  
Lycoming County Board of Elections; McKean County Board of Elections;  
Mercer County Board of Elections; Mifflin County Board of Elections;  
Monroe County Board of Elections; Montgomery County Board of Elections;  
Montour County Board of Elections; Northampton County Board of Elections;  
Northumberland County Board of Elections; Perry County Board of Elections;  
Philadelphia County Board of Elections; Pike County Board of Elections;  
Potter County Board of Elections; Schuylkill County Board of Elections;  
Snyder County Board of Elections; Somerset County Board of Elections;  
Sullivan County Board of Elections; Susquehanna County Board of Elections;  
Tioga County Board of Elections; Union County Board of Elections;  
Venango County Board of Elections; Warren County Board of Elections;  
Washington County Board of Elections; Wayne County Board of Elections;  
Westmoreland County Board of Elections; Wyoming County Board of Elections;  
and York County Board of Elections,

Respondents.

## **JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Rules 909 and 910 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure, Petitioners file this Jurisdictional Statement in support of their Notice of Appeal of the September 29, 2022 memorandum opinion and order of the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, which denied Petitioners' Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction Under Pa. R.A.P. 1532.

### **I. Opinion of the Court Below**

Petitioners appeal from the memorandum opinion and order entered by the Honorable Ellen Ceisler of the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania on September 29, 2022. True and correct copies of the Commonwealth Court's September 29, 2022 Memorandum Opinion and Order are collectively attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

### **II. Basis for Jurisdiction of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court**

Pursuant to Rule 1101(a)(1) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure, an order of the Commonwealth Court entered in "any matter which was originally commenced in the Commonwealth Court and which does not constitute an appeal of the Commonwealth Court from another court, a district justice or another government unit" may be appealed as of right to the Supreme Court. Pa. R.A.P. 1101(a)(1). This action was commenced in the Commonwealth Court pursuant to its original jurisdiction. *See* 42 Pa. C.S. § 761(a)(1). Accordingly, the Supreme Court has exclusive jurisdiction over this matter. *See* 42 Pa. C.S. § 723(a).

The Memorandum Opinion and Order are immediately appealable because they deny an injunction. *See* Pa. R.A.P. 311(a)(4); *see also SEIU Healthcare Pennsylvania v. Com.*, 104 A.3d 495, 501 n.6 (Pa. 2014) (holding that “[t]he Commonwealth Court’s order denying SEIU’s preliminary injunction is appealable to this Court as of right pursuant to Pa.R.A.P. 311(a)(4) (providing that an appeal may generally be taken as of right from an order that grants or denies an injunction); *see also* 42 Pa. C.S. §723(a) (providing that this Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction of appeals from final orders of the Commonwealth Court entered in any matter originally commenced in that Court).”).

### **III. Text of the Order in Question**

AND NOW, this 29<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2022, the Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction Under Pa.R.A.P. 1532, filed by Petitioners, is DENIED.

*/s/ Ellen Ceisler*

\_\_\_\_\_  
ELLEN CEISLER, Judge

### **IV. Concise Statement of the Procedural History**

On September 1, 2022, Petitioners, Republican National Committee, National Republican Senatorial Committee, National Republican Congressional Committee, Republican Party of Pennsylvania, David Ball, James D. Bee, Debra A. Biro, Jesse D. Daniel, Gwendolyn Mae DeLuca, Ross M. Farber, Connor R. Gallagher, Lynn

Marie Kalcevic, Linda S. Kozlovich, William P. Kozlovich, Vallerie Siciliano-Biancaniello, and S. Michael Streib (“Petitioners”) filed a Petition for Review in the Commonwealth Court pursuant to its original jurisdiction. Petitioners’ Petition for Review seeks an Order declaring that the County Boards of Election are not authorized to adopt or enact procedures for the curing of absentee and mail-in ballots that fail to comply with the Pennsylvania Election Code’s signature and secrecy envelope requirements.

On September 7, 2022, Petitioners filed an Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction Under Pa. R.A.P. 1532 (“Application for Preliminary Injunction”) and a memorandum of law in support. In the Application for Preliminary Injunction, Petitioners seek to enjoin the county boards of elections from developing or implementing cure procedures to address voters’ failures to comply with the Election Code’s signature and secrecy envelope requirements for mail-in and absentee ballots.

On September 9, 2022, the Commonwealth Court scheduled a hearing on the Application for Preliminary Injunction to take place on September 28, 2022, directing the filing of answers in opposition to the Application for Preliminary Injunction by September 16, 2022, and a joint stipulation of facts, indicating which county boards of elections have implemented, or plan to implement, notice and opportunity to cure

procedures with respect to mail-in and absentee ballots, and scheduled a status conference to take place on September 22, 2022.

On September 20, 2022, Petitioners filed a joint stipulation of facts, signed by Petitioners and 42 county boards of elections. The joint stipulation of facts reveals that at least 15 county boards of elections have implemented some form of a cure procedure for absentee and mail-in ballots for a voter's failure to comply with signature or secrecy envelope requirements.

At the status conference on September 22, 2022, the Commonwealth Court decided to hold a hearing. Following the status conference and hearing, the Commonwealth Court entered an order canceling the September 28, 2022 hearing and directing the parties to file supplemental briefs. Petitioners and several Respondents filed supplemental briefs on September 26, 2022.

On September 29, 2022, the Commonwealth Court entered its memorandum opinion and order, from which Petitioners appeal.

**V. Question Presented for Review**

Whether the Commonwealth Court erred by denying the Petitioners' Application for Preliminary Injunction?

**ANSWER: Yes.**

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 30, 2022

/s/ Kathleen A. Gallagher

Kathleen A. Gallagher

PA I.D. #37950

Russell D. Giancola

PA. I.D. #200058

GALLAGHER GIANCOLA LLC

436 Seventh Avenue, 31st Floor

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Phone: (412) 717-1900

[kag@glawfirm.com](mailto:kag@glawfirm.com)

[rdg@glawfirm.com](mailto:rdg@glawfirm.com)

Thomas W. King, III

PA #21580

Thomas E. Breth

PA #66350

DILLON, McCANDLESS, KING,

COULTER & GRAHAM, LLP

128 W. Cunningham St.

Butler, PA 16001

Phone: (724) 283.2200

[tking@dmkcg.com](mailto:tking@dmkcg.com)

[tbreth@dmkcg.com](mailto:tbreth@dmkcg.com)

*Counsel for Petitioners*

Exhibit 1  
to Jurisdictional Statement  
  
(Memorandum Opinion  
and Order)



IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Republican National Committee; :  
National Republican Senatorial :  
Committee; National Republican :  
Congressional Committee; Republican :  
Party of Pennsylvania; David Ball; :  
James D. Bee; Debra A. Biro; Jesse D. :  
Daniel; Gwendolyn Mae Deluca; Ross :  
M. Farber; Connor R. Gallagher; Lynn :  
Marie Kalcevic; Linda S. Kozlovich; :  
William P. Kozlovich; Vallerie :  
Siciliano-Biancaniello; S. Michael :  
Streib, :

Petitioners :

v. :

No. 447 M.D. 2022

Leigh M. Chapman, in her official :  
capacity as Acting Secretary of the :  
Commonwealth; Jessica Mathis, in :  
her official capacity as Director of the :  
Pennsylvania Bureau of Election :  
Services and Notaries; Adams County :  
Board of Elections; Allegheny County :  
Board of Elections; Armstrong County :  
Board of Elections; Beaver County :  
Board of Elections; Bedford County :  
Board of Elections; Berks County Board :  
of Elections; Blair County Board of :  
Elections; Bradford County Board of :  
Elections; Bucks County Board of :  
Elections; Butler County Board of :  
Elections; Cambria County Board of :  
Elections; Cameron County Board of :  
Elections; Carbon County Board of :  
Elections; Centre County Board of :  
Elections; Chester County Board of :  
Elections; Clarion County Board of :  
Elections; Clearfield County Board of :  
Elections; Clinton County Board of :  
Elections; Columbia County Board of :

Elections; Crawford County Board of :  
Elections; Cumberland County Board :  
of Elections; Dauphin County Board of :  
Elections; Delaware County Board of :  
Elections; Elk County Board of :  
Elections; Erie County Board of :  
Elections; Fayette County Board of :  
Elections; Forest County Board of :  
Elections; Franklin County Board of :  
Elections; Fulton County Board of :  
Elections; Greene County Board of :  
Elections; Huntingdon County Board :  
of Elections; Indiana County Board of :  
Elections; Jefferson County Board of :  
Elections; Juniata County Board of :  
Elections; Lackawanna County Board :  
of Elections; Lancaster County Board :  
of Elections; Lawrence County Board :  
of Elections; Lebanon County Board :  
of Elections; Lehigh County Board of :  
Elections; Luzerne County Board of :  
Elections; Lycoming County Board of :  
Elections; McKean County Board of :  
Elections; Mercer County Board of :  
Elections; Mifflin County Board of :  
Elections; Monroe County Board of :  
Elections; Montgomery County Board :  
of Elections; Montour County Board of :  
Elections; Northampton County Board :  
of Elections; Northumberland County :  
Board of Elections; Perry County :  
Board of Elections; Philadelphia County:  
Board of Elections; Pike County Board :  
of Elections; Potter County Board of :  
Elections; Schuylkill County Board of :  
Elections; Snyder County Board of :  
Elections; Somerset County Board of :  
Elections; Sullivan County Board of :  
Elections; Susquehanna County Board :  
of Elections; Tioga County Board of :  
Elections; Union County Board of :  
Elections; Venango County Board of :

Elections; Warren County Board of :  
Elections; Wayne County Board of :  
Elections; Westmoreland County Board :  
of Elections; Wyoming County Board of:  
Elections; and York County Board of :  
Elections, :  
Respondents :

BEFORE: HONORABLE ELLEN CEISLER, Judge

OPINION NOT REPORTED

MEMORANDUM OPINION BY  
JUDGE CEISLER

FILED: September 29, 2022

On September 1, 2022, the Republican National Committee (RNC), the National Republican Congressional Committee (NRCC), the National Republican Senatorial Committee (NRSC), and the Republican Party of Pennsylvania (RPP) (collectively, Republican Committee Petitioners), and David Ball, James D. Bee, Debra A. Biro, Jesse D. Daniel, Gwendolyn Mae DeLuca, Ross M. Farber, Connor R. Gallagher, Lynn Marie Kalcevic, Linda S. Kozlovich, William P. Kozlovich, Vallerie Siciliano-Biancaniello, and S. Michael Streib (collectively, Voter Petitioners)<sup>1</sup> (all collectively referred to as Petitioners), filed a Petition for Review Directed to this Court’s Original Jurisdiction Seeking Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (Petition for Review) against Leigh M. Chapman, in her official capacity as Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth (Acting Secretary), and Jessica Mathis, in her official capacity as Director of the Pennsylvania Bureau of Election Services and

---

<sup>1</sup> Voter Petitioners are 12 registered voters who reside in Washington County, Cambria County, Northampton County, Indiana County, Beaver County, Westmoreland County, Allegheny County, Fayette County, Delaware County, and Butler County, who regularly vote in both primary and general elections, and who intend to vote for candidates in all races, including for federal and statewide offices, that will be on the ballot in the upcoming General Election. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 20-32.)

Notaries (collectively, Commonwealth Respondents), and the Commonwealth's 67 county boards of elections (County Boards).<sup>2</sup> Petitioners allege that several County Boards have taken it upon themselves to develop and implement notice and opportunity to cure procedures with respect to absentee and mail-in ballots that fail to comply with the Pennsylvania Election Code's (Election Code)<sup>3</sup> signature and ballot secrecy requirements, for the November 8, 2022 General Election and beyond, in direct contravention of the Election Code and our Supreme Court's holding in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party v. Boockvar*, 238 A.3d 345 (Pa. 2020). (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 2-12.) On September 7, 2022, 62 days away from the 2022 General Election scheduled for November 8, 2022, Petitioners also filed an Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction Under Pa.R.A.P. 1532 (Application for Preliminary Injunction), along with a Memorandum of Law in Support thereof, asking this Court to preliminarily enjoin the County Boards from developing and implementing notice and opportunity to cure procedures, and the Acting Secretary from taking any action inconsistent with such order enjoining the County Boards. The Application for Preliminary Injunction is currently before the Court for disposition.

## **I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

Initially, the Court notes that, because Petitioners' claims, as set forth in the Petition for Review and Application for Preliminary Injunction bear directly on

---

<sup>2</sup> The Court notes that only 66 of the Commonwealth's 67 county boards of elections (County Boards) are actually named in the caption in this matter. It appears that the Washington County Board of Elections was inadvertently omitted from the caption, as the allegations of the Petition for Review clearly refer to all 67 County Boards. Moreover, the Petition for Review and other filings were served on the Washington County Board of Elections. The Court will therefore consider the Washington County Board of Elections to be a Respondent in this matter notwithstanding its omission from the caption.

<sup>3</sup> Act of June 3, 1937, P.L. 1333, *as amended*, 25 P.S. §§ 2600-3591.

future elections, including the November 8, 2022 General Election, which is only **39 days** from the date of this filing, this Court made every effort to expeditiously conduct factfinding, obtain all of the parties' positions, and consider the applicable law in this case. The Court will therefore first explain the procedural history of this case in depth for purposes of transparency.

By Order dated September 9, 2022, the Court scheduled a hearing on the Application for Preliminary Injunction for Wednesday, September 28, 2022; directed the filing of answers in opposition to the Application for Preliminary Injunction by noon on Friday, September 16, 2022, and a joint stipulation of facts by noon on Monday, September 19, 2022, indicating which County Boards have implemented, or plan to implement, notice and opportunity to cure procedures with respect to absentee and/or mail-in ballots; and scheduled a status conference for Thursday, September 22, 2022, for purposes of discussing, among other things, the logistics of the hearing. The Court's Order also provided, *inter alia*, that any party who failed to file an answer to the Application for Preliminary Injunction will be considered by the Court to be unopposed to the Application.

Also on September 9, 2022, two Applications for Leave to Intervene (Applications to Intervene) were filed by: (1) the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee and the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DSCC and DCCC), and (2) the Democratic National Committee and the Pennsylvania Democratic Party (DNC and PDP) (collectively, Intervenors). In light of the Applications to Intervene and the status conference scheduled for September 22, 2022, the Court issued an Order on September 13, 2022, directing answers in opposition to the Applications to Intervene by noon on Monday, September 19, 2022; granting Intervenors (then-proposed intervenors) leave to participate in the

status conference subject to the Court's future disposition of their respective Applications to Intervene; and further directed the parties and Intervenors to be prepared to discuss the Applications to Intervene at the status conference. The Court's Order also provided, among other things, that any party who failed to file an answer to the Applications to Intervene will be considered by the Court to be unopposed to the Applications. Only Petitioners opposed the Applications to Intervene.

Pursuant to the Court's September 9, 2022 Order, Commonwealth Respondents filed an answer and a brief in opposition to the Application for Preliminary Injunction. Twenty-five County Boards<sup>4</sup> (25 County Boards) filed answers in opposition to the Application for Preliminary Injunction, generally all of which deny that injunctive relief is warranted in this case. The Washington County Board of Elections filed a letter, indicating it takes no position on the Application for Preliminary Injunction or the joint stipulation of facts ordered by the Court, and

---

<sup>4</sup> These include: Berks County; Lehigh County; Allegheny County; Philadelphia County (also filed Memorandum of Law in Opposition); Montgomery County (also filed preliminary objections to the Petition for Review); Bedford County, Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Fayette County, Jefferson County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Northumberland County, Venango County, York County (filed Joint Answer); Northampton County; Bucks County; Monroe County; Adams County; Luzerne County; Delaware County; and Erie County.

The Court notes that Erie County filed an answer to the Application for Preliminary Injunction past the deadline for doing so, joining in Commonwealth Respondents' answer in opposition. In addition to filing an answer opposing the Application, Bucks County also filed an answer and new matter to the Petition for Review. Monroe County also filed a letter separate from its answer in opposition to the Application, indicating that it takes no position on the joint stipulation of facts ordered by the Court and that it will not be participating in the filing of the joint stipulation or in the status conference. Luzerne County also filed a Submission separate from its answer in opposition to the Application, explaining Luzerne County's notice and cure procedure and indicating that it takes no position on the other proposed stipulations submitted by the other parties. Erie, Bucks, Monroe, and Luzerne Counties are nevertheless included in the above list of County Boards that oppose the Application for Preliminary Injunction.

41 County Boards<sup>5</sup> failed to file answers to the Application for Preliminary Injunction and, thus, are considered by the Court to be unopposed to the relief sought therein. Intervenors filed separate answers in opposition to the Application for Preliminary Injunction setting forth their respective positions on why the relief sought by Petitioners should be denied.

By Order dated September 19, 2022, the Court granted Petitioners' request for an extension to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 20, 2022, for the filing of the joint stipulation of facts. In accordance with that extension Order, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation of Facts on September 20, 2022, which is signed by Petitioners and 42 County Boards<sup>6</sup> and includes 8 exhibits (Exhibits A through H). Exhibit A is the

---

<sup>5</sup> These include: Armstrong County; Beaver County; Blair County; Bradford County; Butler County; Cambria County; Cameron County; Carbon County; Chester County; Clarion County; Clearfield County; Clinton County; Crawford County; Cumberland County; Elk County; Forest County; Franklin County; Fulton County; Greene County; Juniata County; Lackawanna County; Lancaster County; Lycoming County; McKean County; Mercer County; Mifflin County; Montour County; Perry County; Pike County; Potter County; Schuylkill County; Snyder County; Somerset County; Sullivan County; Susquehanna County; Tioga County; Warren County; Wayne County; Westmoreland County; Wyoming County; and Union County.

Perry County filed a no answer letter, indicating it would not be filing an answer to the Petition for Review in this matter. Union County filed a Submission, similar to Luzerne County's Submission, explaining Union County's notice and cure procedure and indicating that it takes no position on the other proposed stipulations submitted by the other parties. Lancaster County filed an answer to the Petition for Review, indicating that it does not have a notice and cure procedure. Perry, Union, and Lancaster Counties did not address their positions on the Application for Preliminary Injunction and are thus considered to be unopposed to the Application.

<sup>6</sup> These include: Adams County; Allegheny County; Beaver County; Bedford County, Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Fayette County, Jefferson County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Lawrence County, Northumberland County, Venango County, and York County; Berks County; Blair County; Bradford County; Bucks County; Butler County; Cameron County; Chester County; Clarion County, Susquehanna County, and Tioga County; Cumberland County; Delaware County; Erie County; Franklin County; Juniata County; Lehigh County; Luzerne County; Lycoming County; Montgomery County; Northampton County; Philadelphia County; Union County; Westmoreland County; Sullivan County and Wyoming County; Snyder County; and Somerset County.

letter Petitioners sent to all County Boards requesting information regarding, *inter alia*, whether they have implemented, or plan to implement, notice and opportunity to cure procedures with respect to absentee and/or mail-in ballots. Exhibits B through H contain separate stipulations regarding the above information from 18 County Boards<sup>7</sup> that signed the Joint Stipulation of Facts. For the sake of brevity, the Court will not reproduce the Joint Stipulation of Facts in its entirety in this opinion. However, the Court notes the Joint Stipulation of Facts reveals that there are a number of County Boards that have implemented notice and opportunity to cure procedures, both before pre-canvassing begins and on Election Day, with respect to absentee and mail-in ballots that lack either a date or signature on the outer ballot envelope, or that lack a secrecy envelope. There are other County Boards that do not have any notice and opportunity to cure procedures.

The Court held the status conference on Thursday, September 22, 2022, via WebEx videoconferencing. For purposes of transparency and given the exigency of this matter in light of the looming General Election, the Court permitted the status conference to be livestreamed to the public and had a stenographer present for purposes of creating a record in the event any appeal is taken from this Court's final order. During the status conference, which was essentially turned into a hearing without objection of the parties, the Court first considered Intervenors' Applications to Intervene. There being no objection by any of the parties, including Petitioners who initially opposed the Applications, the Court granted the Applications to

---

<sup>7</sup> These include: Bedford County, Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Fayette County, Jefferson County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Lawrence County, Northumberland County, Venango County, and York County (Exhibit B – also indicates Lebanon County's response not yet received); Westmoreland County (Exhibit C); Chester County (Exhibit D); Bucks County (Exhibit E); Luzerne County (Exhibit F); Philadelphia County (Exhibit G); and Union County (Exhibit H).



Intervene on the record, which was confirmed by subsequent order.<sup>8</sup> The Court then heard argument on laches as a potential bar to the relief sought in the Application for Preliminary Injunction and the six criteria for a preliminary injunction. Following argument, and observing that the issue in this case is really a legal one, the Court asked the parties if an evidentiary hearing was necessary. The parties ultimately agreed to dispense with the hearing on the Application for Preliminary Injunction that was scheduled for Wednesday, September 28, 2022, and for the Court to decide the Application on the papers, with the caveat that the Court permit additional briefing. Following the status conference, the Court issued an Order on September 22, 2022, granting intervention; directing the parties and Intervenors to file briefs and a joint stipulation of exhibits; cancelling the hearing; and indicating that the Application for Preliminary Injunction would be decided on the papers following the Court's receipt of the aforementioned filings, unless otherwise ordered.

The parties<sup>9</sup> have complied with the Court's September 22, 2022 Order by filing comprehensive briefs addressing their respective positions and the applicable

---

<sup>8</sup> The Court's order also directed the Prothonotary to docket Intervenors' respective sets of preliminary objections to the Petition for Review. *See* Cmwlth. Ct. Order dated Sept. 22, 2022.

<sup>9</sup> The following parties filed briefs pursuant to this Court's September 22, 2022 Order: Northampton County; Bedford County, Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Fayette County, Jefferson County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Lawrence County, Northumberland County, Venango County, and York County (joint answer, in which Carbon County now joins); Allegheny County; Montgomery County; Intervenors DNC and PDP; Bucks County; Intervenors DSCC and DCCC; Luzerne County; Commonwealth Respondents; Petitioners; Philadelphia County; and Lehigh County. Delaware County joined in the brief filed by Allegheny County. Berks County filed a letter in response to the September 22, 2022 Order, indicating, among other things, that it takes no position on either laches as a potential bar to the relief sought herein or on the Application for Preliminary Injunction.

The Court also notes that the Lawyers Democracy Fund filed an *amicus curiae* brief in support of Petitioners' requested relief.

law, and a comprehensive Joint Stipulation of Exhibits, which includes, *inter alia*, the Joint Stipulation of Facts previously filed by the parties. At this juncture, the Court is satisfied that everyone in this case had a full and fair opportunity to be heard, that a sufficient record has been created given the time constraints, and that the proceedings were conducted with transparency.

Having considered the argument, pleadings, evidence, and law, the Court **DENIES** Petitioners' Application for Preliminary Injunction, as Petitioners did not meet their heavy burden of proving the following criteria:

1. **Petitioners' have not proven that they are likely to succeed on the merits or that their right to relief is clear.**

- A review of relevant and recent case law indicates that notice and opportunity to cure procedures implemented by County Boards have *generally* been accepted in order to fulfill the longstanding and overriding policy in this Commonwealth to protect the elective franchise. The courts have held that any doubt about whether the Election Code authorizes County Boards to implement notice and cure procedures must be resolved in favor of preventing the inadvertent forfeiture of electors' right to vote.
- The Election Code does not specifically prohibit County Boards from implementing notice and cure procedures. Rather, County Boards enjoy broad authority under Section 302(f) of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 2642(f), to implement such procedures at their discretion to ensure that the electoral franchise is protected. While Section 302(f) of the Election Code requires that only procedures that comply with the

law are permitted, Petitioners themselves do not allege any fraud is taking place with respect to such procedures.

- In *Pennsylvania Democratic Party*, 238 A.3d 345, the Supreme Court specifically held that adoption of statewide notice and opportunity to cure procedures are within the province of the legislature and not the judiciary.

2. **The relief requested by Petitioners will disrupt the status quo and is not narrowly tailored to abate the offending activity.**

- Such sweeping relief against the 67 County Boards would clearly cause greater injury than refusing the injunction, precisely because it would seriously harm the public interest and orderly administration of the 2022 General Election, **which is already well underway**. Enjoining the various County Boards' procedures at this point in time would further deprive voters in counties who have been privy to such procedures for the past two years since the enactment of Act 77 the opportunities to have their votes counted, thus resulting in almost certain disenfranchisement of voters. If this Court were to grant the injunctive relief Petitioners seek, the County Boards would then have to modify their practices and procedures in response to the injunction **when absentee and mail-in voting is already underway**.

3. **Petitioners have not presented concrete or sufficient evidence that the injunction is necessary to prevent immediate and irreparable harm.**

- There is no violation of the Election Code which would constitute *per se* immediate and irreparable harm, and the cases cited by Petitioners to support this claim are inapposite. Importantly, as stated earlier,

Respondents also agree that there is no assertion, or evidence, of fraud by the County Boards in any county in Pennsylvania.

- Petitioners claims of immediate and irreparable harm are speculative in nature.

Having summarized the Court's findings and conclusions with respect to the denial of the Application for Preliminary Injunction above, the Court turns to averments of the Petition for Review, the Application for Preliminary Injunction, and the parties' arguments, and finally, explains its reasoning for denying the Application for Preliminary Injunction.

### **PETITION FOR REVIEW**

The Petition for Review in this matter sets forth Petitioners' concern that various County Boards have developed and implemented unauthorized notice and opportunity to cure procedures with respect to absentee and mail-in ballots that fail to comply with the Election Code's signature and ballot secrecy requirements. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 7-8.) Petitioners claim these cure procedures are unauthorized, because the Election Code does not specifically provide for them, and our Supreme Court has already held in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* that the decision to provide a notice and opportunity to cure procedure is one that is best suited for the legislature. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 2-4, 43-47.) Petitioners point out that the Election Code provides only one cure procedure in a very limited circumstance with respect to those absentee or mail-in ballots for which proof of identification has not been received or could not be verified. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 5-6, 48-51); *see also* Section 1308(h) of the Election

Code, added by the Act of March 6, 1951, P.L. 3, 25 P.S. § 3146.8(h).<sup>10</sup> Petitioners claim that the Acting Secretary has also acknowledged the absence of any other cure procedures in the Election Code on the Department of State’s website. (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 55 (stating, in response to the frequently asked question, “How do I know if my ballot was accepted or counted?” that “if there’s a problem with your mail-in ballot, **you won’t have the opportunity to correct it before the election.**” (emphasis added)); *see also* Pa. Dep’t of State, Mail-in and Absentee Ballot, Frequently Asked Questions, *available at* <https://www.vote.pa.gov/Voting-in-PA/Pages/Mail-and-Absentee-Ballot.aspx> (last visited Sept. 27, 2022); Jt. Stip. of Exs., Pet’rs’ Ex. 11. Petitioners further point out that Governor Wolf recently vetoed the legislature’s attempt to implement a broad notice and cure procedure in the Election Code. *See* Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 52-53; *see also* House Bill 1300 (vetoed by the Governor on June 30, 2021), *available at* <https://www.legis.state.pa.us/CFDOCS/Legis/PN/Public/btCheck.cfm?txtType=PD>

---

<sup>10</sup> Section 1308(h) provides:

(h) For those absentee ballots or mail-in ballots for which proof of identification has not been received or could not be verified:

(1) Deleted by [the Act of October 31, 2019, P.L. 552, No. 77 (Act 77), effective immediately] . . . .

(2) If the proof of identification is received and verified prior to the sixth calendar day following the election, then the county board of elections shall canvass the absentee ballots and mail-in ballots under this subsection in accordance with subsection (g)(2).

(3) If an elector fails to provide proof of identification that can be verified by the county board of elections by the sixth calendar day following the election, then the absentee ballot or mail-in ballot shall not be counted.

25 P.S. § 3146.8(h).

(last visited Sept. 27, 2022); Jt. Stip. of Exs., Pet’rs’ Ex. 9. Thus, according to Petitioners, the only cure procedure available that the County Boards may provide, as was the case in 2020, is that set forth in Section 1308(h) of the Election Code, (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 6, 54), and any attempt to adopt cure procedures at the county level constitutes a usurpation of the exclusive legislative authority of the General Assembly and a violation of the authority granted to the General Assembly to regulate the manner of federal elections under Article I, Section 4 of the United States Constitution, U.S. Const. art. I, § 4,<sup>11</sup> (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 8-9).

Petitioners further assert that the County Boards’ unlawful actions in adopting cure procedures have resulted and/or will result in “a lack of transparency, unequal treatment of otherwise identical ballots based upon the county in which the voter resides, and an erosion of public trust and confidence in the integrity of Pennsylvania’s elections at a vital moment in the Nation’s and the Commonwealth’s history.” (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 1.) Specifically, Petitioners contend that not all County Boards have publicly disclosed whether they have adopted cure procedures or the particulars of those procedures, resulting in confusion and a lack of transparency in election administration; and that those County Boards that have adopted cure procedures have not uniformly adopted **the same** procedures, resulting in a lack of statewide uniformity in both the existence and particulars of such cure procedures. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 10-11, 83-85.) Petitioners thus request that this Court “restore transparency, fundamental fairness, and integrity to Pennsylvania’s elections by

---

<sup>11</sup> The Elections Clause provides: “The Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof; but the Congress may at any time by Law make or alter such Regulations, except as to the Places of ch[oo]sing Senators.” U.S. Const. art. I, § 4, cl.1.

upholding the plain text of the Election Code and the clear holding of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court and declaring that [the County Boards] may not adopt cure procedures other than as the General Assembly has expressly provided in the Election Code.” (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 12.)

Republican Committee Petitioners, specifically, assert that they have each made significant contributions and expenditures in support of Republican candidates for various federal, state, and local offices and in mobilizing and educating voters in Pennsylvania in past election cycles and again in 2022. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 15-18.) According to Republican Committee Petitioners, such education includes devoting substantial time and resources toward monitoring the voting and vote counting processes in Pennsylvania and ensuring that such processes are lawfully conducted, and further ensuring that voters understand the rules governing the election process, including applicable dates, deadlines, and requirements for voting by mail or absentee. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 15-18.) Republican Committee Petitioners further assert that their “efforts require a uniform application of the law and a clear and transparent understanding of mail voting requirements, including any allowances for notice and opportunity to cure procedures.” (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 15-18.) Republican Committee Petitioners thus contend that they each have “a substantial and particularized interest in ensuring that Pennsylvania carries out free and fair elections.” (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 15-18.) However, because the various approaches taken by the County Boards regarding notice and opportunity to cure procedures are not published and are also not readily known to Republican Committee Petitioners, or voters for that matter, Republican Committee Petitioners argue that their ability to educate voters in this regard is thwarted. (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 19.)

For their own part, Voter Petitioners assert that the implementation of cure procedures by some County Boards, absent any directive to do so under the Election Code, has interfered with Voter Petitioners' right to "equal elections." (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 33.) Further, "the unauthorized cure procedures implemented by some [of the County] Boards have had and will have the result of counting votes that should not have been counted due to the voter's failure to comply with signature and secrecy ballot requirements for mail-in and absentee ballots[,]” which will result in Voter Petitioners' validly cast votes being "cancelled and diluted by the counting of ballots in violation of the Election Code." (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 34.)

Petitioners thus observe that this case involves essentially the same factual scenario that existed in 2020 when the *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* decision was issued, which they describe as "an election landscape where [County] Boards throughout the state operate under different rules, particularly with respect to whether to implement cure procedures, and if so, how." (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 35.) In light of the Supreme Court's holding and Governor Wolf's recent veto of the General Assembly's attempt to implement a uniform cure procedure, Petitioners claim they "seek the mirror-image form of relief: the Court should enjoin the [County] Boards from using any cure procedures that are not expressly set forth in the Election Code." (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 36.)

Petitioners readily acknowledge that Section 302 of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 2642, imbues the County Boards with authority to exercise all powers granted to them, provides that the County Boards "shall perform all the duties imposed upon them by th[e Election Code,]" and lists several duties the County Boards must perform. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 57-58.) Petitioners also concede the County Boards' authority in that section to, among other things, "make and issue such rules,



regulations and instructions, **not inconsistent with law**, as they may deem necessary for the guidance of voting machine custodians, elections officers and electors.” (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 63); Section 302(f) of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 2642(f) (emphasis added). Petitioners claim, however, that absent from that section is any indication that the County Boards have authority to develop and implement notice and opportunity to cure procedures; as such, Petitioners assert, such cure procedures are “inconsistent with law,” i.e., the Election Code. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 56, 59-62, 64.)

Petitioners further assert that publicly available information and investigation has revealed that some County Boards, including Bucks, Montgomery, Philadelphia, Northampton, and Lehigh Counties, have developed and intend to implement cure procedures, or have agreed to begin the process of implementing cure procedures in future elections. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 65-76.) According to Petitioners, Northampton and Lehigh Counties, specifically, have each also entered into Stipulated Settlement Agreements in federal court that would permit them to, among other things, utilize certain cure procedures. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 72-76.) Other counties have expressed, however, that they are not allowing any cure procedures, including, among others, Lancaster, Franklin, Mifflin, Wyoming, and Allegheny. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 77-81.) Thus, Petitioners assert, whether voters will be permitted to fix their noncompliant absentee or mail-in ballots “depends entirely on the county in which they reside.” (Pet. for Rev. ¶ 82.) Stated otherwise, “ballots with identical defects are receiving unequal treatment based solely on the voter’s residency.” (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 82.)

Count I of the Petition for Review therefore requests a declaratory judgment that the County Boards are prohibited under Pennsylvania law from developing and implementing cure procedures not expressly created by the legislature. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 86-92.) Count II requests a declaratory judgment that adoption of any cure

procedures for federal elections not expressly authorized by the General Assembly violates the Elections Clause of the United States Constitution, U.S. Const. art. I, § 4, cl. 1, in that it is the legislature, not the County Boards, that has authority to regulate the manner of holding federal elections. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 93-96.) Count III requests a statewide injunction prohibiting the 67 County Boards from developing or implementing cure procedures and directing the Acting Secretary to take no action inconsistent with such injunction order. (Pet. for Rev. ¶¶ 97-103.)

## **II. APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Petitioners' Application for Preliminary Injunction seeks the same relief as that sought in the Petition for Review. In addition, Petitioners claim that they have satisfied each element for injunctive relief. They assert, first, that the County Boards' unlawful conduct in implementing, or continuing to implement, cure procedures per se constitutes immediate and irreparable harm. (Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 13; Memo. of Law in Support at 14.) Further, an injunction is needed to prevent immediate and irreparable harm in the form of Voter Petitioners' votes being treated unequally in violation of article VII, section 6 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, Pa. Const. art. VII, § 6,<sup>12</sup> and Republican Committee Petitioners not being able to properly educate their members regarding the rules applicable to absentee and mail-in ballots. (Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 13; Memo. of Law in Support at 14-15.) Petitioners contend that the Supreme Court has spoken when it ruled that notice and cure procedures must come from the General Assembly. (Memo. of Law in Support at 14.) Petitioners claim there is no question that per se immediate and irreparable harm will occur without an injunction, as ballots are expected to go out

---

<sup>12</sup> It provides: "All laws regulating the holding of elections by the citizens, or for the registration of electors, shall be uniform throughout the State," with certain exceptions not applicable to this case. Pa. Const. art. VII, § 6.

as soon as September 19, 2022, and Northampton and Lehigh Counties have agreed as recently as June 2022 to begin implementing cure procedures for upcoming elections, none of which are authorized under the Election Code. (Memo. of Law in Support at 16.) Moreover, Petitioners claim that there is no adequate damages remedy for voters who are denied equal access to the electoral process. (Memo. of Law in Support at 17.)

Second, Petitioners assert that greater injury would result from refusing rather than granting the injunction, because the County Boards “will collectively engage in a mishmash of cure procedures, allowing some voters to cure signature or secrecy envelope defects for some Pennsylvania voters (in violation of the Election Code) while preventing others from doing so.” (Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 13; Memo. of Law in Support at 17.) Because the County Boards’ continued unlawful conduct cannot be considered a benefit to the public, Petitioners argue that the need for a preliminary injunction is clear. (Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 13; Memo. of Law in Support at 17-18.) Petitioners also repeat their claims regarding the harms to Republican Committee Petitioners and Voter Petitioners, respectively. Petitioners thus claim that by granting the injunction, the Court will reaffirm the *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* Court’s holding that the County Boards cannot implement cure procedures that are not set forth in the Election Code, thus eliminating the harms to Petitioners. (Memo. of Law in Support at 19.)

Third, Petitioners claim that the requested prohibitory injunction—i.e., one that enjoins the doing of an act that will change the status quo—seeks only to preserve the state of the law as set forth by the Election Code and as established by the Supreme Court’s decision in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party*, i.e., prior to the County Boards’ unlawful conduct in implementing notice and cure procedures.

(Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 14; Memo. of Law in Support at 19-20.) Petitioners further request “an explicit recognition that only the Legislature can authorize a cure procedure to address voters’ failure to comply with the Election Code’s signature and [ballot secrecy] requirements.” (Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 13; Memo. of Law in Support at 20.)

Fourth, Petitioners assert they are likely to prevail on the merits of their underlying claims in this matter because the notice and cure procedures implemented by some, but not all, County Boards are unlawful under both the Election Code and the Supreme Court’s holding in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party*, and they violate the Elections Clause of the United States Constitution because they infringe on the legislature’s exclusive authority to regulate the manner of holding federal elections. (Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 15; Memo. of Law in Support at 21-22.) Petitioners again highlight the Supreme Court’s prior holding that County Boards are not required to implement cure procedures, which they contend forecloses the notion that County Boards are permitted to implement their own notice and cure procedures, because such procedures would reflect policy decisions reserved for the legislature. (Memo. of Law in Support at 23-24.) Petitioners repeat their claim that Section 302 of the Election Code contains nothing authorizing County Boards to implement these procedures, and, moreover, that section requires that County Boards ensure that elections are honestly, efficiently, and **uniformly** conducted. (Memo. of Law in Support at 24 (quoting Section 302(g) of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 2642(g)). Petitioners again highlight that these cure procedures are “inconsistent with law” under Section 302(f) of the Election Code, “because the Election Code spells out the

limited availability of such procedures and does not authorize Boards to expand them.” (Memo. of Law in Support at 25.)<sup>13</sup>

Fifth, Petitioners contend the requested injunction is narrowly tailored and, thus, reasonably suited to abate the offending activity because it seeks only to enforce the Supreme Court’s prior holding in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* that the Election Code does not provide any cure procedures for absentee and mail-in ballots and that only the legislature can enact such procedures. (Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 16; Memo. of Law in Support at 32-33.) Sixth, and finally, Petitioners argue that “the public interest is best served by a consistent application of the rule of law established by the General Assembly and the maintenance of the separation of powers in Pennsylvania. Conversely, the public interest is not served by allowing Boards to act as quasi-legislatures, resolving ‘the open policy questions’ attendant [to] the development of cure procedures on their own, let alone cure procedures whose existence and particulars vary from county to county.” (Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 17; Memo. of Law in Support at 33-34.) In this regard, Petitioners claim that any “ruling to the contrary would only further diminish Pennsylvania voters’ confidence in the election system as a result of the secretive and inconsistent application of election procedures across the state.” (Memo. of Law in Support at 34.) For these reasons, Petitioners assert they are entitled to injunctive relief.

---

<sup>13</sup> Petitioners further contend that Respondents, who all were parties in the *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* case, are collaterally estopped from relitigating whether the Election Code provides for cure procedures aside from missing proof of identification. (Memo. of Law in Support at 26-27.) Moreover, the Acting Secretary should be barred, through judicial estoppel, from advocating for a different result in this case, when she previously took the position in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* that the Election Code does not provide for cure procedures to address voters’ failure to comply with the signature and ballot secrecy requirements. (Memo. of Law in Support at 27-28.) Given the Court’s disposition on the Application for Preliminary Injunction, the Court will not address these issues further.

### **III. PARTIES' & INTERVENORS' ARGUMENTS**

Commonwealth Respondents, and various County Boards, oppose the relief sought in the Application for Preliminary Injunction and argue that Petitioners cannot establish a clear right to relief for various reasons. First, Commonwealth Respondents contend that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the matter because Commonwealth Respondents are not indispensable parties. (Cmwlth. Resps.' Br. in Opp. at 10-15.) Commonwealth Respondents point out that Petitioners' challenges to the "varied exercise of discretionary power" are made in relation to the 67 County Boards, which are not considered "the Commonwealth government" for purposes of Section 761 of the Judicial Code, 42 Pa.C.S. § 761, but rather, are "local agencies." (Cmwlth. Resps.' Br. in Opp. at 12.) According to Commonwealth Respondents, Petitioners are not challenging any decision or exercise of authority of the Acting Secretary, the Department of State, or otherwise, and nowhere do Petitioners allege any unlawful act committed by any Commonwealth official. (Cmwlth. Resps.' Br. in Opp. at 13.) Moreover, the relief sought is an injunction against the County Boards, prohibiting them from developing and implementing cure procedures; as such, the participation of Commonwealth officials is not necessary for Petitioners to obtain the relief they seek. (Cmwlth. Resps.' Br. in Opp. at 13-14.) Petitioners opine, in footnotes, that Petitioners must instead assert their claims separately against each County Board in the respective county court of common pleas. (Cmwlth. Resps.' Br. in Opp. at 14-15, nn. 2-3.)

Commonwealth Respondents further argue that Petitioners lack standing, as they have not pled a cognizable injury. (Cmwlth. Resps.' Br. in Opp. at 16-21.) Commonwealth Respondents contend specifically as to Voter Petitioners that courts have repeatedly rejected the "vote dilution" theory of standing, which has been held

to assert only a generalized grievance as opposed to any particularized injury. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 17-18.) Moreover, Voter Petitioners have not been prevented from voting; they are not otherwise disadvantaged in terms of voting relative to other Pennsylvanians; and there is no indication the implementation of cure procedures by some County Boards has otherwise interfered with Petitioners’ right to equal elections. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 17-18.) According to Commonwealth Respondents, to the extent any Voter Petitioners live in counties with cure procedures, those procedures actually lift the burden on their right to vote; conversely, to the extent any Voter Petitioners live in counties without cure procedures, there is no injury. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 18-19.)

To the extent Republican Committee Petitioners have alleged a cognizable injury with respect to their “thwarted” ability to educate voters about absentee and mail-in voting due to a lack of notice of County Boards’ procedures, Commonwealth Respondents contend that they fail to prove the causal connection between the alleged injury and the County Boards’ notice and cure procedures. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 20-21.) Moreover, Republican Committee Petitioners have not alleged that the County Boards’ notice and cure procedures put Republicans at a competitive disadvantage or otherwise impair their ability to win votes. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 21.) Commonwealth Respondents further contend that Petitioners have failed to make out an Elections Clause claim, as “case law makes clear that individual voters, candidates, and political party organizations have no particularized interest in alleged violations of the Elections Clause[.]” and also have no interest in a state legislature’s authority under the Election Code. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 21-22 (citing various federal cases).) Rather, the only entity

who may assert such a claim is the General Assembly itself. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 22.)

Finally, Commonwealth Respondents argue that Petitioners’ claims simply fail as a matter of law, as they have not identified any provision of the Election Code prohibiting the County Boards from developing and implementing notice and cure procedures; the County Boards have rulemaking authority under Section 2642(f) of the Election Code delegated to them by the General Assembly; and, in *In Re Canvassing Observation*, 241 A.3d 339 (Pa. 2020), our Supreme Court specifically recognized that the County Boards may fill gaps in the Election Code under such discretionary rulemaking authority. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 23-26.) Commonwealth Respondents also point to the statutory requirement that County Boards make lists of voters who have received and voted absentee and/or mail-in ballots, which requirement presupposes that County Boards will review absentee and mail-in ballots before pre-canvassing and canvassing begin and identify any deficiencies with those ballots. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 27 (citing Sections 1306(b)(1) and 1306-D(b)(1) of the Election Code,<sup>14</sup> 25 P.S. §§ 3146.6(b)(1) and 3150.16(b)(1)).) Commonwealth Respondents further observe that the other purported “cure procedure” identified by Petitioners in Section 1308(h) of the Election Code does not go “hand in hand” with the cure procedures implemented by certain County Boards, thus defeating Petitioners’ reliance on that section to support its case. (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 29-30.) Commonwealth Respondents further contend that the Election Code must be read to enfranchise, not disenfranchise, voters (*id.* at 31-33); Petitioners distort the Supreme Court’s holding in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party*, and thus, collateral and judicial estoppel do not

---

<sup>14</sup> Section 1306-D was added to the Election Code by Act 77.



apply (*id.* at 34-37); and Petitioners waived their uniformity and equal protection arguments based on their failure to plead them in the Petition for Review (*id.* at 37-40).<sup>15</sup>

With respect to the Application for Preliminary Injunction, Commonwealth Respondents assert that Petitioners cannot meet their burden on the preliminary injunction criteria. Specifically, they contend that the injunction would run counter to the public interest of enfranchising voters and would substantially harm voters by disenfranchising them. (Cmwth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 40-42.) Moreover, according to Commonwealth Respondents, any order prohibiting notice and cure procedure for the upcoming General Election would likely result in the invalidation of ballots already cast, confuse and upset electors, and disrupt the ongoing administration of the election. (Cmwth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 43.) Further, the injunction is “vastly overbroad.” (Cmwth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 45-47.)

---

<sup>15</sup> Federal courts have previously rejected the notion that variations in notice and opportunity to cure procedures from county to county violate equal protection principles. For example, in *Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Boockvar*, 502 F. Supp. 3d 899 (M.D. Pa. 2020) (*Trump II*), the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania rejected such a claim made on behalf of the Trump Campaign, holding that it is consistent with equal protection principles for some but not all counties to implement notice and opportunity to cure procedures. The District Court stated: “[t]hat some counties may have chosen to implement the [Secretary’s] guidance [on notice and opportunity to cure procedures] (or not), or to implement it differently, does not constitute an equal [ ]protection violation. ‘[M]any courts [ ] have recognized that counties may, consistent with equal protection, employ entirely different election procedures and voting systems within a single state.’ . . . Requiring that every single county administer elections in exactly the same way would impose untenable burdens on counties, whether because of population, resources, or a myriad of other reasonable considerations.” *Trump II*, 502 F. Supp. 3d at 922-23 (quoting *Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Boockvar*, 493 F. Supp. 3d 331, 389-90 (W.D. Pa. 2020) (*Trump I*)). The United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit affirmed the District Court’s decision in *Trump II*. See *Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Secretary of Pennsylvania*, 830 F. App’x 377, 388 (3d Cir. 2020) (*Trump III*). **Thus, even if Petitioners had brought an election uniformity or equal protection claim, it would plainly fail, just as the equal protection claim in *Trump I* and *Trump II* failed.**

Commonwealth Respondents finally contend that Petitioners must post a substantial bond to obtain the relief sought, pursuant to Pa.R.Civ.P. 1531(b). (Cmwlth. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 47-48.)

In their answers in opposition, mostly all of the 25 County Boards generally deny that Petitioners are entitled to the relief they seek in the Application for Preliminary Injunction and assert reasons therefor that are similar to those of Commonwealth Respondents. Generally speaking, these County Boards claim that Petitioners misunderstand and misstate the Supreme Court’s holding in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party*, which was not that County Boards are prohibited from implementing notice and cure procedures, but only that County Boards are not **required** to implement notice and cure procedures. To the contrary, County Boards enjoy broad authority under Section 2642(f) of the Election Code to implement such procedures at their discretion. Further, Petitioners cannot meet their burden of establishing the six essential prerequisites for the grant of a preliminary injunction because (1) they cannot show immediate and irreparable harm setting Petitioners apart from other voters in Pennsylvania and, further, with respect to the County Boards continuing any notice and cure procedures; (2) greater injury to voters would result from granting the injunction rather than refusing it; (3) the injunction would substantially disrupt the status quo by changing current procedures in various counties, some of which have been in place since 2020; (4) Petitioners have not shown a clear right to the relief they seek, as they have pointed to neither any provision of the Election Code, nor any case law, prohibiting the curing of minor defects on absentee and mail-in ballots; (5) the injunction is overbroad, as some County Boards have no cure procedures in place; (6) and the public interest will be severely harmed if the injunction is granted, as it will result in the

disenfranchisement of voters whose ballots will be set aside based on readily apparent and easily correctible defects, general confusion amongst voters, and County Boards having to expend additional funds to educate voters, as well as County Board staff, about new procedures on the eve of an election that is already underway.

Northampton County also generally opposes the relief sought by Petitioners for the above reasons but adds that Petitioners misrepresent the Stipulated Settlement Agreement to which it is a party, which provides only that it may provide notice to a voter who returns a ballot lacking a secrecy envelope in relation to its pre-canvass duties, which is compliant with the Election Code.

Lehigh County, which is a party to a separate Stipulated Settlement Agreement, explains that it has entered in the agreement to perform certain actions, including informing voters of the importance of providing contact information, notifying all voters whose naked ballots are discovered prior to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, providing those names to the party or candidate representatives who are onsite, and pursuing other actions in good faith to allow Lehigh County officials to identify naked ballots prior to pre-canvassing by virtue of the weight and/or thickness of the envelope and possibly utilizing a secrecy envelope of a strong color so it is more readily identifiable compared to other absentee or mail-in ballot materials that are provided to voters.

Monroe County additionally asserts, in relevant part, that Petitioners have not stated with specificity what is and is not considered a “cure” procedure. Adams County adds, similar to Commonwealth Respondents, that Section 1308(h) is not actually a “cure” concerning ballot defects but rather addresses the identity of the voter, and further highlights that it is impossible to know what the General Assembly

might consider a “cure procedure” without that term being statutorily defined or appearing elsewhere in the Election Code.

Philadelphia County, Delaware County, and Intervenors DNC and PDP assert that Petitioners’ claims are foreclosed by laches, as they waited nearly two years to assert the same claims that were rejected in 2020 and have not offered any justification for waiting to file this action when they knew or should have known that County Boards had these notice and cure procedures. Like Commonwealth Respondents, Philadelphia County also vehemently argues that Petitioners, i.e., party organizations and individual voters from counties that do not include Philadelphia, lack standing to pursue their claims and, on that basis, cannot show a probability of success on the merits. (Phila. Cnty. Memo. of Law in Opp. to Pet’rs’ Appl. for Prelim. Inj. at 6-7.) The Philadelphia Board claims that Petitioners have failed to show they have an interest surpassing that of every other citizen in having ballots counted properly and in having County Boards obey the law. (*Id.* at 6.) Further, citing a federal district court decision in *Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Boockvar*, 493 F. Supp. 3d 331 (W.D. Pa. 2020), the Philadelphia Board asserts that “[p]arty organizations cannot show any particularized injury given that it is pure speculation at this time what parties’ candidates any cured ballots will favor.” (*Id.* at 6.)

Philadelphia County and Intervenors further assert that Petitioners cannot satisfy the other preliminary injunction factors, as the requested injunction would upset the status quo, confuse county officials and voters alike regarding an already complex system of absentee and mail-in voting, and risk unnecessarily and unjustifiably disenfranchising Pennsylvanians, which is not in the public interest. Moreover, Petitioners have not asserted any irreparable harm, and the injunction is

not narrowly tailored to address the challenged conduct during the pendency of this litigation.

Petitioners rejoin that their claims are not barred by the doctrine of laches, as asserted by Philadelphia County, Delaware County, and Intervenors. Petitioners inform that it was not until after the Governor vetoed House Bill 1300 in June of 2021 that Petitioner RNC began seeking information about County Boards' various ballot curing procedures under the Right-to-Know Law (RTKL).<sup>16</sup> Further, the most recent settlement agreement addressing cure procedures did not occur until June of 2022. Petitioners thus contend that Respondents have alleged only vague and speculative harms that may occur if a preliminary injunction is granted; however, even if the County Boards would experience some harm in the form of incurring costs to adjust their practices and train staff, such harm is not the type of prejudice that the laches defense is intended to prevent. Petitioners also contend that this Court does have subject matter jurisdiction over this matter and dispute Commonwealth Respondents' assertion that the County Boards are not included as part of the "Commonwealth government" under 42 Pa.C.S. § 761. Petitioners submit that this Court also has exclusive original jurisdiction over election matters under 42 Pa.C.S. § 764. Petitioners argue that the County Boards are creatures of statute, i.e., the Election Code, and, thus, are government agencies. For these reasons, Petitioners assert that this Court has original jurisdiction over this matter. Petitioners finally assert that all of the named Respondents are indispensable parties in this matter.

#### **IV. DISCUSSION**

As extensively set forth above, Petitioners seek an order from this Court, preliminarily enjoining the County Boards from developing and implementing

---

<sup>16</sup> Act of February 14, 2008, P.L. 6, 65 P.S. §§ 67.101-67.3104.

notice and opportunity to cure procedures with respect to absentee and mail-in ballots that fail to comply with the Election Code's signature and ballot secrecy requirements, as well as enjoining the Acting Secretary from taking any action inconsistent with such order enjoining the County Boards, and Respondents generally deny that injunctive relief is warranted in this case. Commonwealth Respondents, some County Boards, and one set of Intervenor also assert several arguments as to why the Application for Preliminary Injunction should be denied and the Petition for Review dismissed, including challenges based on laches, lack of subject matter jurisdiction, and lack of standing. Because the Court heard argument on the parties' positions regarding laches at the status conference/hearing, the Court will address that issue herein. However, because the Court does not find laches to be a bar to Petitioners' action, the Court will first address the Application for Preliminary Injunction, followed by an analysis of why laches does not apply in this case.

### **Application for Preliminary Injunction**

“The sole object of a preliminary injunction is to preserve the subject of the controversy in the condition in which it is when the order was made, it is not to subvert, but to maintain the existing status until the merits of the controversy can be fully heard and determined.” *Appeal of Little Britain Twp. From Dec. of Zoning Hearing Bd. of Little Britain Twp.*, 651 A.2d 606, 611 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1994). A preliminary injunction is a temporary remedy granted until the parties' dispute can be fully resolved. *Id.* The party seeking a preliminary injunction bears a heavy burden of proof and must establish all of the following criteria:

- (1) the injunction is necessary to prevent immediate and irreparable harm that cannot be compensated adequately by damages;
- (2) greater injury would result from refusing the injunction than from granting it,

and, concomitantly, the issuance of an injunction will not substantially harm other interested parties in the proceedings; (3) the preliminary injunction will properly restore the parties to their status as it existed immediately prior to the alleged wrongful conduct; (4) the party seeking injunctive relief has a clear right to relief and is likely to prevail on the merits; (5) the injunction is reasonably suited to abate the offending activity; and[] (6) the preliminary injunction will not adversely affect the public interest.

*SEIU Healthcare Pa. v. Commonwealth*, 104 A.3d 495, 502 (Pa. 2014) (citing, *inter alia*, *Summit Towne Centre, Inc. v. Shoe Show of Rocky Mount, Inc.*, 828 A.2d 995, 1001 (Pa. 2003)). “Because the grant of a preliminary injunction is a harsh and extraordinary remedy, it is to be granted only when and if **each** [factor] has been fully and completely established.” *Pa. AFL-CIO by George v. Commonwealth*, 683 A.2d 691, 694 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1996) (emphasis in original). However, “if the petitioner[s] fail[] to establish any one of them, there is no need to address the others.” *Lee Pub’n, Inc. v. Dickinson Sch. of Law*, 848 A.2d 178, 189 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2004) (en banc) (emphasis in original) (quoting *City of Allegheny v. Commonwealth*, 544 A.2d 1305, 1307 (Pa. 1988)).

Before addressing each of the preliminary injunction criteria, this Court notes that “[t]he longstanding and overriding policy in this Commonwealth [is] to protect the elective franchise.” *Pa. Democratic Party v. Boockvar*, 238 A.3d 345, 360-61 (Pa. 2020) (quoting *Shambach v. Bickhart*, 845 A.2d 793, 798 (Pa. 2004)). Further, any doubt about whether the Election Code authorizes County Boards to implement notice and cure procedures must be resolved in favor of preventing inadvertent forfeiture of electors’ right to vote. In interpreting the Election Code, the Court applies “interpretive principles” of statutory construction specific to “election matters.” *Pa. Democratic Party*, 238 A.3d at 360. “[T]he overarching principle guiding the interpretation of the Election Code is that it should be liberally construed

so as not to deprive electors of the right to elect a candidate of their choice.” *Chapman v. Berks Cnty. Bd. of Elections* (Pa. Cmwlth., No. 355 M.D. 2022, filed Aug. 19, 2022), 2022 WL 4100998, at \*13 (Cohn Jubelirer, P.J.) (single-Judge op.) (citing *Pa. Democratic Party*, 238 A.3d at 356); accord *In re Major*, 248 A.3d 445, 450 (Pa. 2021), *reargument denied* (Apr. 12, 2021). The “goal must be to enfranchise and not to disenfranchise the electorate,” *Pa. Democratic Party*, 238 A.3d at 361 (quoting *In re Luzerne Cnty. Return Bd.*, 290 A.2d 108, 109 (Pa. 1972)), in accordance with the “longstanding and overriding policy in this Commonwealth to protect the elective franchise,” *id.* (quoting *Shambach v. Bickhart*, 845 A.2d 793, 798 (Pa. 2004)).

With the above principles in mind, the Court turns to the Application for Preliminary Injunction before it.

### **Success on the Merits**

Because it is dispositive, the Court will first address whether Petitioners are likely to prevail on the merits of their claims. At the status conference/hearing in this matter, all parties agreed that a hearing was not necessary in this case because the issue is purely a legal one requiring both statutory construction and interpretation of relevant case law. The Petition for Review essentially asks this Court to decide whether County Boards are prohibited under Pennsylvania law from developing and implementing notice and opportunity to cure procedures with respect to absentee and mail-in ballots that fail to comply with the Election Code’s signature and ballot secrecy requirements. The Court will thus begin with the relevant sections of the Election Code pertaining to those requirements.

Section 1306(a) of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 3146.6(a), explains the process for voting by absentee ballot as follows:



(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), at any time after receiving an official absentee ballot, but on or before eight o'clock P.M. the day of the primary or election, **the elector shall, in secret, proceed to mark the ballot . . . and then fold the ballot, enclose and securely seal the same in the envelope on which is printed, stamped or endorsed "Official Election Ballot."** This envelope shall then be placed in the second one, on which is printed the form of declaration of the elector, and the address of the elector's county board of election and the local election district of the elector. **The elector shall then fill out, date and sign the declaration printed on such envelope.** Such envelope shall then be securely sealed and the elector shall send same by mail, postage prepaid, except where franked, or deliver it in person to said county board of election.

(Emphasis added.) Section 1306-D(a) of the Election Code, added by Act 77, 25 P.S. § 3150.16(a), explains the same process for voting by mail-in ballot:

(a) General rule.--At any time after receiving an official mail-in ballot, but on or before eight o'clock P.M. the day of the primary or election, the mail-in elector **shall, in secret, proceed to mark the ballot . . . and then fold the ballot, enclose and securely seal the same in the envelope on which is printed, stamped or endorsed "Official Election Ballot."** This envelope shall then be placed in the second one, on which is printed the form of declaration of the elector, and the address of the elector's county board of election and the local election district of the elector. **The elector shall then fill out, date and sign the declaration printed on such envelope.** Such envelope shall then be securely sealed and the elector shall send same by mail, postage prepaid, except where franked, or deliver it in person to said county board of election.

(Emphasis added.) In summary, after absentee and mail-in voters mark their respective ballots, they must secure them in a secrecy envelope, and then place that envelope into the return envelope on which is printed the declaration of the elector, which the elector must "fill out, date and sign." Electors can then either send the return envelope to their County Boards by mail, postage prepaid, or deliver it in person to their County Boards. Notably, neither Section 1306 nor Section 1306-D,

governing voting by absentee and mail-in ballots, provides any language regarding the consequence for failing to comply with either the “fill out, date<sup>17</sup> and sign” requirement as to the declaration or the secrecy envelope requirement.

Section 1308(a) of the Election Code governs what happens when County Boards receive voted absentee and mail-in ballots:

(a) **The county boards of election**, upon receipt of official absentee ballots in sealed official absentee ballot envelopes as provided under this article and mail-in ballots as in sealed official mail-in ballot envelopes as provided under Article XIII-D, **shall safely keep the ballots in sealed or locked containers until they are to be canvassed by the county board of elections.** An absentee ballot, whether issued to a civilian, military or other voter during the regular or emergency application period, shall be canvassed in accordance with subsection (g). A mail-in ballot shall be canvassed in accordance with subsection (g).

25 P.S. § 3146.8(a) (emphasis added). Thus, upon receipt of voted ballots, County Boards must safely keep and secure the ballots until they are to be canvassed.

---

<sup>17</sup> Although the date requirement does not appear to be at issue in this case, the Court notes that in *In Re: Canvass of Absentee and Mail-in Ballots of November 3, 2020 General Election (Appeal of: Donald J. Trump for President, Inc.)*, 241 A.3d 1058, 1061-62 (Pa. 2020), a plurality of our Supreme Court held that Election Code does not require County Boards to disqualify absentee or mail-in ballots submitted by qualified electors who signed the declaration on their ballots’ outer envelopes but did not handwrite their name, address, and/or date in voter declaration on outer envelope, where no fraud or irregularity has been alleged. *See also McCormick v. Chapman* (Pa. Cmwlth., No. 286 M.D. 2022, filed June 2, 2022) (in granting motion for special injunction, Court concluded a substantial question was raised as to whether voters are being disenfranchised based on a date requirement that is immaterial to a voter’s qualification in violation of Section 10101(a)(2)(B) of the Civil Rights Act and/or without a compelling reason in violation of state law), and *Chapman v. Berks Cnty. Bd. of Election* (Pa. Cmwlth., No. 355 M.D. 2022, filed Aug. 19, 2022) (in granting summary relief, Court held the lack of a handwritten date on the declaration on the return envelope of a timely received absentee or mail-in ballot does not support excluding those ballots from the three county boards’ certified results under both Pennsylvania law and Section 10101(a)(2)(B) of the Civil Rights Act).

The County Boards may begin pre-canvassing ballots no earlier than 7:00 a.m. on Election Day per Section 1308(g)(1.1) of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 3146.8(g)(1.1). Section 1308(g)(1.1) further provides that “[n]o person observing, attending or participating in a pre-canvass meeting may disclose the results of any portion of any pre-canvass meeting prior to the close of the polls.”

Following the pre-canvass, County Boards are required to “canvass,” or count, the votes reflected in the absentee and mail-in ballots that are received no later than 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. Section 1308(g)(2) of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 3146.8(g)(2); Section 102 of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 2602 (defining “canvass”). Each County Board is to examine the declaration of the absentee and mail-in ballots, which includes comparing the information thereon with the information the county board has in its files, verifying the proof of identification and the right to vote of the elector, and determining whether the elector’s declaration is sufficient. 25 P.S. § 3146.8(g)(3). Where no challenge to the absentee or mail-in ballot has been made, and the elector is not deceased, “[a]ll absentee ballots . . . and all mail-in ballots . . . that have been verified under paragraph (3) shall be counted and included with the returns of the applicable election district.” 25 P.S. § 3146.8(d), (g)(4). However, “[i]f any of the envelopes on which are printed, stamped or endorsed the words “Official Election Ballot” [(i.e., the secrecy envelope)] contain any text, mark or symbol which reveals the identity of the elector, the elector’s political affiliation or the elector’s candidate preference, the envelopes and the ballots contained therein shall be set aside and declared void.” 25 P.S. § 3146.8(g)(4)(ii).

In support of their argument that they have a likelihood of success on the merits, Petitioners cite Section 1308(h), which they claim provides the only “cure”

procedure in the Election Code relating to the proof of identification required when applying for and obtaining absentee and mail-in ballots:<sup>18</sup>

---

<sup>18</sup> In order to vote by absentee or mail-in ballot, electors

must submit applications to county boards of elections, and in connection therewith must provide the address at which they are registered to vote. They must also sign a declaration affirming, among other things, that they are “eligible to vote by mail-in [or absentee] ballot at the forthcoming primary or election,” and that “all of the information” supplied in the mail-in or absentee ballot application is “true and correct.” 25 P.S. §§ 3150.12, 3146.2. Upon receipt of the application, the county board of elections must confirm the elector’s qualifications and verify that the elector’s address on the application matches the elector’s registration. Upon the county board of elections’ approval of the application, the elector is provided with a ballot, an inner “secrecy envelope” into which the ballot is to be placed, and an outer envelope into which the secrecy envelope is to be placed and returned to the board.

*See In Re: Canvass of Absentee and Mail-in Ballots of November 3, 2020 General Election (Appeal of: Donald J. Trump for President, Inc.)*, 241 A.3d 1058, 1067 (Pa. 2020). Where, however, an absentee ballot is not approved by the County Board, Section 1302.2(d) of the Election Code, added by the Act of August 13, 1963, P.L. 707, 25 P.S. § 3146.2b(d), provides a type of cure procedure for applications for absentee ballots/proof of identification:

(d) In the event that any application for an official absentee ballot is not approved by the county board of elections, the elector shall be notified immediately to that effect with a statement by the county board of the reasons for the disapproval. For those applicants whose proof of identification was not provided with the application or could not be verified by the board, the board shall send notice to the elector with the absentee ballot requiring the elector to provide proof of identification with the absentee ballot or the ballot will not be counted.

*See also* Section 1305 of the Election Code, added by the Act of March 6, 1951, P.L. 3, 25 P.S. § 3146.5, which states that, “For those applicants whose proof of identification was not provided with the application or could not be verified by the board, the board shall send the notice required under section 1302.2(d) with the absentee ballot.”

For mail-in ballots, Section 1302.2-D(c) of the Election Code, added by Act 77, 25 P.S. § 3150.12b(c), provides as follows:

(c) Notice.--In the event that an application for an official mail-in ballot is not approved by the county board of elections, the elector shall be notified immediately

(h) For those absentee ballots or mail-in ballots for which proof of identification has not been received or could not be verified:

(1) Deleted by [the Act of October 31, 2019, P.L. 552, No. 77 (Act 77), effective immediately] . . . .

(2) If the proof of identification is received and verified prior to the sixth calendar day following the election, then the county board of elections shall canvass the absentee ballots and mail-in ballots under this subsection in accordance with subsection (g)(2).

(3) If an elector fails to provide proof of identification that can be verified by the county board of elections by the sixth calendar day following the election, then the absentee ballot or mail-in ballot shall not be counted.

25 P.S. § 3146.8(h). Thus, those electors applying to vote by absentee or mail-in ballot have until six days following Election Day to verify their proof of identification, and, pursuant to subsection (h)(3), their failure to do so will result in their ballot not being counted.

Also pertinent to this dispute is Section 302 of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 2642, which enumerates the powers and duties of County Boards, in relevant part, as follows:

The county boards of elections, within their respective counties, shall exercise, **in the manner provided by this act**, all powers granted to

---

with a statement by the county board of the reasons for the disapproval. For applicants whose proof of identification was not provided with the application or could not be verified by the board, the board shall send notice to the elector with the mail-in ballot requiring the elector to provide proof of identification with the mail-in ballot or the ballot will not be counted.

*See also* Section 1305-D of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 3150.15, which states that, “For applicants whose proof of identification was not provided with the application or could not be verified by the board, the board shall send the notice required under section 1302.2-D(c) with the mail-in ballot.”

them by this act, and shall perform all the duties imposed upon them by this act, which shall include the following:

....

(f) To make and issue such rules, regulations and instructions, **not inconsistent with law**, as they may deem necessary for the guidance of voting machine custodians, elections officers and electors.

(Emphasis added.)

The case law interpreting these sections of the Election Code has been less than clear over recent years. As many of the Respondents, and even Petitioners, in this case point out, in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party*, the Supreme Court considered the specific question of whether County Boards were required to contact qualified electors whose absentee and mail-in ballots contained minor facial defects resulting from their failure to comply with the statutory requirements for voting by mail and provide them with an opportunity to cure those defects. *Pa. Democratic Party*, 238 A.3d at 372. In considering that question and ultimately concluding that the petitioner in that case, i.e., PDP, was not entitled to the relief it sought as to that question, the Supreme Court stated as follows, which we quote in full for accuracy:

Upon review, we conclude that the Boards are not required to implement a “notice and opportunity to cure” procedure for mail-in and absentee ballots that voters have filled out incompletely or incorrectly. Put simply, as argued by the parties in opposition to the requested relief, [the petitioner] has cited no constitutional or statutory basis that would countenance imposing the procedure [the petitioner] seeks to require (*i.e.*, having the Boards contact those individuals whose ballots the Boards have reviewed and identified as including “minor” or “facial” defects—and for whom the Boards have contact information—and then afford those individual the opportunity to cure defects until the . . . deadline [for uniform and overseas ballots].”

**While the Pennsylvania Constitution mandates that elections be “free and equal,” it leaves the task of effectuating that mandate to the Legislature.** *Winston*, 91 A. at 522. As noted herein, although the

Election Code provides the procedures for casting and counting a vote by mail, **it does not provide for the “notice and opportunity to cure” procedure sought by [p]etitioner.** To the extent that a voter is at risk for having his or her ballot rejected due to minor errors made in contravention of those requirements, **we agree that the decision to provide a “notice and opportunity to cure” procedure to alleviate that risk is one best suited for the Legislature.** We express this agreement particularly in light of the open policy questions attendant to that decision, including what the precise contours of the procedure would be, how the concomitant burdens would be addressed, and how the procedure would impact the confidentiality and counting of ballots, all of which are best left to the legislative branch of Pennsylvania’s government. Thus, for the reasons stated, the [p]etitioner is not entitled to the relief it seeks in Count III of its petition.

*Pa. Democratic Party*, 238 A.3d at 374 (emphasis added).

As the above-quoted text indicates, the *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* Court held that the decision of whether to provide a notice and cure procedure is one best suited for the legislature in light of the policy considerations attendant to that decision. However, this Court does not read that decision, and specifically, the above text, to stand for the much broader proposition asserted by Petitioners that County Boards are necessarily prohibited from developing and implementing notice and opportunity to cure procedures. Notably, the Supreme Court did not explicitly decide whether County Boards’ implementation of notice and opportunity to cure procedures were **forbidden** under the Election Code, but only whether the Election Code **required** County Boards to implement such procedures. Those are separate and distinct issues. **Therefore, the Court disagrees with Petitioners’ argument that *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* was the final word on this subject.**

The *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* Court also considered whether the Election Code required that absentee or mail-in ballots, which are otherwise without error, be invalidated based solely on voters’ failure to place such ballots in the

secrecy envelope (labeled “Official Election Ballot”). The Court ultimately concluded that the legislature intended for the secrecy envelope provision of 25 P.S. § 3150.16(a) to be mandatory, stating: “We respectfully reject the contentions of [the petitioner] and the Secretary that because the General Assembly did not delineate a remedy narrowly linked to the mail-in elector’s failure to utilize a secrecy envelope, the language of the Election Code is directory, and an elector’s violation of the command inconsequential.” *Pa. Democratic Party*, 238 A.3d at 378. The Court further noted “the inescapable conclusion that a mail-in ballot that is not enclosed in the statutorily-mandated secrecy envelope must be disqualified.” *Id.* at 380. In *In Re: Canvass of Absentee and Mail-in Ballots of November 3, 2020 General Election (Appeal of: Donald J. Trump for President, Inc.)*, 241 A.3d 1058, 1061-62 (Pa. 2020), a plurality of the Supreme Court reaffirmed its holding in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* that the secrecy ballot requirement was mandatory, noting it implicated a “weighty interest,” i.e., secrecy in voting protected by article VII, section 4 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, but distinguished that case from the dispute before it, which involved what it found to be “minor irregularities.” *In re Canvass*, 241 A.3d at 1071-73. There is no question these cases stand for the proposition that the secrecy envelope requirement is mandatory.

The Supreme Court’s holding in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party*, and later in *In re Canvass*, specifically with respect to the mandatory nature of the ballot secrecy requirement, leads this Court to conclude that any procedure developed and implemented to cure such deficiency **may be** contrary to the Supreme Court’s observations that (1) the Election Code contains no notice and cure procedures for defective absentee or mail-in ballots, and (2) the implementation of any such cure procedures is one best suited for the legislature in light of the policy decisions



attendant thereto. However, notably absent from the Supreme Court’s discussions in both those cases is whether County Boards’ are prohibited from offering a notice and opportunity to cure procedure to remedy such mandatory defect. Also absent from those cases, as well as the parties’ filings in this case, is any discussion of whether the signature requirement with respect to absentee or mail-in ballots is a mandatory requirement of the Election Code, or merely directory, and whether such defect may be remedied prior to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day.

With respect to Section 302(f) of the Election Code, upon which Respondents rely for the proposition that the County Boards do in fact have authority to develop and implement notice and cure procedures at their discretion, our Supreme Court has held that the absence of any provisions in the Election Code relating to proximity parameters for representatives viewing the pre-canvassing meeting reflected “the legislature’s deliberate choice to leave such matters to the informed discretion of [County Boards], who are empowered by Section 2642(f) of the Election Code ‘[t]o make and issue such rules, regulations and instructions, not inconsistent with law, as they may deem necessary for the guidance of . . . elections officers.’” *In re Canvassing Observation*, 241 A.3d 339, 350 (Pa. 2020). As the Supreme Court further stated in that case, “[t]he General Assembly, had it so desired, could have easily established such [proximity] parameters; however, it did not. It would be improper for this Court to judicially rewrite the statute by imposing distance requirements where the legislature has, in the exercise of its policy judgment, seen fit not to do so.” *See Sivick v. State Ethics Commission*, — Pa. —, 238 A.3d 1250, —, 2020 WL 5823822, at \*10 (2020) (“It is axiomatic that we may not add statutory language where we find the extant language somehow lacking.”) Here, in light of *In re Canvassing Observation*, this Court cannot say for certain whether

the legislature intended to omit a notice and opportunity to cure procedure from the Election Code, or whether the lack thereof imbues the County Boards with authority under their discretionary rulemaking authority delegated to them by the General Assembly in Section 302(f).

Because it is not clear based on either the text of the Election Code, or the subsequent cases interpreting it, whether notice and cure procedures are permitted and/or prohibited by the Election Code, the Court concludes that Petitioners have failed to show a strong likelihood of success at this early stage of the litigation.

**Greater Injury by Refusing the Injunction; Maintaining the Status Quo; Injunction Reasonably Suited to Abate Offending Activity; Public Interest**

Although the Court technically need not continue further in light of its conclusion that Petitioners have not established a likelihood of success on the merits in this case, the Court will address the other prongs of the preliminary injunction test for the sake of completeness.

As stated earlier, in order to grant a preliminary injunction, Petitioners must also prove each of the following:

(2) greater injury would result from refusing the injunction than from granting it, and, concomitantly, the issuance of an injunction will not substantially harm other interested parties in the proceedings;

(3) the preliminary injunction will properly restore the parties to their status as it existed immediately prior to the alleged wrongful conduct;

....

(5) the injunction is reasonably suited to abate the offending activity; and[]

(6) the preliminary injunction will not adversely affect the public interest.

*SEIU Healthcare Pa.*, 104 A.3d at 582. Because these four prongs are closely interrelated and involve similar issues and analysis, they will be addressed together.

The injunction requested by Petitioners does not satisfy these four prongs or effectively address the concerns raised by the parties to this action. Specifically, greater harm will clearly result from granting the injunction, rather than denying it; granting the injunction will not maintain the status quo; the injunction is not reasonably suited to abate the offending conduct; and the injunction will adversely affect the public interest.

Petitioners argue that greater harm will result if the injunction is denied, rather than if the injunction is granted, because it will prevent the disparate treatment of noncompliant mail-in and absentee ballots throughout the Commonwealth and eliminate uncertainty regarding how mail-in and absentee ballots will be counted. Further, absent the injunction, the County Boards “will collectively engage in a[n unlawful] mishmash of cure procedures.” (Pet’rs’ Suppl. Memo. of Law at 14.) Petitioners also contend that the burden imposed on the County Boards is “*de minimis*” because all that is required is for them to **stop** implementing cure procedures, which would save the County Boards money; the requested injunction would merely bring all County Boards into a uniform application of the Election Code; the injunction would not cause “disenfranchisement” as alleged by Respondents, because no Pennsylvania voter has a right to notice and an opportunity to cure their ballot; and without an injunction, voter confidence will be harmed due to the disparate procedures employed by various County Boards. (Pet’rs’ Suppl. Memo. of Law at 15-18 (emphasis in original).) Petitioners further submit that the injunction only seeks to preserve the status quo, which it claims is the time when no such cure procedures existed; the injunction is narrowly tailored because it seeks

only to enforce the Supreme Court’s decision in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* that the Election Code fails to provide a cure procedure and only the legislature can enact one; and, finally, the injunction will not adversely affect the public interest, which is best served by consistent application of the rule of law established by the General Assembly and the maintenance of the separation of powers in Pennsylvania. (Pet’rs’ Suppl. Memo. of Law at 18-19, 33-35.)

Petitioners’ arguments as to greater harm in refusing the injunction, preserving the status quo, and adverse effect on the public interest all hinge on their belief that the notice and cure procedures used by various County Boards are “unlawful.” However, as will be discussed below in the context of immediate and irreparable harm, Petitioners have failed to establish a clear violation of the Election Code or the law interpreting the Election Code, such that the County Boards’ continuing implementation of these procedures cannot, therefore, be considered “unlawful” at this point in the litigation such that it needs to be enjoined.

Such sweeping relief against the 67 County Boards would clearly cause greater injury than refusing the injunction, precisely because it would seriously harm the public interest and orderly administration of elections, namely the 2022 General Election, which is already well underway. Enjoining the various County Boards’ procedures at this point in time would further deprive voters in counties who have been privy to such procedures for the past two years since the enactment of Act 77 the opportunities to have their votes counted, thus resulting in almost certain disenfranchisement of voters. If this Court were to grant the injunctive relief Petitioners seek, the County Boards would then have to modify their practices and procedures in response to the injunction **and would notably have to do so when absentee and mail-in voting is already underway**. Simply put, Petitioners ignore

the actual harms that will almost certainly occur if the injunction is granted, which all participating Respondents have laid out in their comprehensive filings in this matter.

As it further relates to the greater harm inquiry, the status quo,<sup>19</sup> and an adverse effect on the public interest, the Court quotes the following passage from Commonwealth Respondents' Brief in Opposition to Petitioners' Application for Preliminary Injunction:

Beyond disenfranchising electors directly, entering an injunction now will [] cause confusion and uncertainty, altering election administration procedures in many counties. As the Petition for Review reflects, [County Boards] with notice-and-cure procedures have, at least in some cases, had them in past years, *see* Pet.[] ¶¶ 65-70, and communicated them to the public. *See, e.g., id.* ¶¶ 66-67, 70; *see also* Angela Coulombis and Jamie Martines, *Republicans Seek to Sideline Pa. Mail Ballots that Voters Were Allowed to Fix*, Spotlight PA (November 3, 2020), <https://www.spotlightpa.org/news/2020/11/pennsylvania-mail-ballots-republican-legal-challenge-naked-ballots-fixed-cured/>.

Further, by the time the Court hears argument on Petitioners' [Application for Preliminary Injunction] on September 28, mail-in and absentee voting pursuant to Act 77 will likely already be well underway. Counties are statutorily authorized to begin processing mail-in ballot applications and mailing ballots to electors on the permanent mail-in voting list on September 19. *See* 25 P.S. § 3150.12a (application processing may begin 50 days before Election Day); 25 P.S. § 3150.15 (mailing of ballots). Ballot mailings will speed up in the last two weeks of September. By the end of September, counties will likely have mailed out tens of thousands of ballots; in many places, voters will be streaming to election offices to request mail-in ballots in person, fill them out, and hand them in.

---

<sup>19</sup> The status quo for a preliminary injunction is “the last peaceable and lawful uncontested status preceding the underlying controversy.” *Hatfield Twp. v. Lexon Ins. Co.*, 15 A.3d 547, 555 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2011) (quoting *In re Milton Hershey Sch. Tr.*, 807 A.2d 324, 333 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2002)). One purpose of a preliminary injunction is to keep the parties in the same positions they had when the case began in order to preserve the Court's ability to decide the issues before it.

Accordingly, an order prohibiting notice-and-cure procedures in the November 2022 election would likely invalidate ballots already cast, confuse and upset electors, and disrupt the ongoing administration of the election. In that way, this case is like *Kelly v. Commonwealth*, 240 A.3d 1255, 1256 (Pa. 2020) (per curiam). There, the petitioners filed a suit asking that mail-in votes already cast in the 2020 general election be disqualified, **387 days and two elections** after the Governor signed Act 77 into law. Here, Petitioners filed suit on September 1, 2022, 667 days after the 2020 election, the latest date by which Petitioners knew about [County Boards'] notice-and-cure procedures. *See* Pet. ¶¶ 66-67 (discussing 2020 notice-and-cure procedures about which political parties were notified).

Consequently, . . . , fundamental principles of equity would preclude this Court from granting the relief Petitioners seek prior to the November 2022 general election. *See* . . . *McLinko v. Degraffenreid* [Pa. Cwmlth., No. 244 M.D. 2021, order dated Sept. 24, 2021] [] (“prospective relief, as requested by petitioners, is not available for the November 2021 election because it is already underway”); *see also Kuznik v. Westmoreland Cnty. Bd. of Com[m]’rs*, 902 A.2d 476, 489 (Pa. 2006) (injunctive relief is unavailable where greater injury would result from granting the injunction than from denying it).

(Cmwlt. Resps.’ Br. in Opp. at 42-44 (emphasis in original).) This Court agrees.

Petitioners have also not shown that the injunction is reasonably suited to abate the offending activity. Petitioners seek a statewide injunction enjoining all 67 County Boards from developing and implementing “unlawful” notice and opportunity to cure procedures, as well as the Acting Secretary from taking any action inconsistent with such injunction. **Again, Petitioners have not alleged a clear violation of the Election Code or the law interpreting it.** Further, not all 67 County Boards have notice and opportunity to cure procedures. *See* Jt. Stip. of Exs., Jt. Stip. of Facts at 2-6 & Exs. B-D. Moreover, Petitioners have not sufficiently alleged what, if any, type of action the Acting Secretary might take in the event this Court granted the requested relief in this case. Accordingly, this Court concludes

that Petitioners failed to meet their burden as to these four prongs of the preliminary injunction test.

### **Immediate & Irreparable Harm**

The Court will now address the remaining prong of the preliminary injunction criteria: that the party seeking a preliminary injunction must establish that “the injunction is necessary to prevent immediate and irreparable harm that cannot be compensated adequately by damages.” To meet this burden, Petitioners must present “concrete evidence” demonstrating “actual proof of irreparable harm.” *Greenmoor, Inc. v. Burchick Constr. Co., Inc.*, 908 A.2d 310, 314 (Pa. Super. 2006). A claim of irreparable harm cannot be based on speculation and hypothesis, and for purposes of a preliminary injunction, the harm must be irreversible before it is deemed irreparable. *Id.* at 314; *see also Kiddo v. Am. Fed’n of State*, 239 A.3d 1141 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2020) (not reported), 2020 WL 4431793 (stating that “the alleged harm or consequences must not be speculative in nature and [that] ‘speculative considerations . . . cannot form the basis for issuing [a preliminary injunction]’”).

Petitioners argue that the preliminary injunction is necessary to prevent immediate and irreparable harm to the uniform and equal administration of elections in Pennsylvania and that, absent a preliminary injunction, some County Boards will continue developing and implementing in secrecy disparate and unlawful cure procedures in all elections, including in the upcoming 2022 General Election. In support of their argument that there would be immediate and irreparable harm if the injunction is not granted, Petitioners cite *Hempfield School District v. Election Board of Lancaster County*, 574 A.2d 1190, 1191 (Pa. Cmwlth.), *appeal denied*, 581 A.2d 575 (Pa. 1990). In doing so, Petitioners allege that this case stands for the proposition that unlawful action by a County Board “per se constitutes immediate

and irreparable harm.” (Appl. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 13, Memo. of Law in Support at 14; Pet’rs’ Suppl. Memo. of Law at 11.) However, this case is not on point.

In *Hempfield*, the county board of elections (election board) planned to include on the local May 1990 primary election ballot a nonbinding referendum asking voters if they supported the school board’s plan to construct a new high school. *Hempfield*, 574 A.2d at 1190-91. The school board petitioned a trial court for an injunction enjoining the election board from placing the referendum on the ballot, arguing that the election board had no legal authority to place the referendum on a ballot on its own initiative. The trial court denied injunctive relief, and the school district appealed. *Id.* at 1191. On appeal, the election board argued that the school district was not entitled to injunctive relief because the referendum would not subject the school board to “**great** and irreparable harm.” *Id.* at 1193 (emphasis added). Noting that the Election Code gave the school board, not the election board, “the option as to the means for obtaining public review of the construction or leasing of a new school building . . . [,]” this Court disagreed with the election board and reversed the trial court, holding that “unlawful action by the [e]lection [b]oard per se constitutes immediate and irreparable harm.” *Id.* at 1193.

Here, Petitioners have not proven that there is a clear violation of the Election Code or the law interpreting the Election Code, such that it per se constitutes immediate and irreparable harm. First, Petitioners argue that notice and opportunity to cure procedures are not authorized under the Election Code, but they have not cited to any Election Code provision that **prohibits** County Boards from developing and implementing such notice and opportunity to cure procedures. Second, Petitioners’ strained reliance on the Supreme Court’s decision in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* for the proposition that the Court has already spoken on the



subject and held that a cure procedure to address signature and secrecy ballot defects in absentee and mail-in ballots must come from the legislature, such that the continued implementation of such cure procedures by County Boards constitutes a “violation of law” that *per se* constitutes immediate and irreparable harm, is also unavailing.

As mentioned above, *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* considered, *inter alia*, the specific question of whether County Boards were **required** to contact qualified electors whose absentee and mail-in ballots contained minor facial defects resulting from their failure to comply with the statutory requirements for voting by mail and provide them with an opportunity to cure those defects. *Pa. Democratic Party*, 238 A.3d at 372. In considering that question and ultimately concluding that the petitioner in that case, i.e., PDP, was not entitled to the relief it sought as to that question, the Supreme Court determined that the Election Code does not provide for the notice and cure procedure the petitioner requested in that case. In so deciding, the Court recognized that while voters may be at risk of having their ballots rejected based on minor defects in contravention of the Election Code’s requirements, it agreed that the decision to provide such a procedure was one best suited for the legislature. Thus, while this Court agrees with Petitioners that *Pennsylvania Democratic Party* held that implementation of any notice and cure procedure is best suited for the legislature, this Court does not read that decision to stand for the much broader proposition asserted by Petitioners that County Boards are necessarily prohibited from developing and implementing notice and opportunity to cure procedures and, consequently, that any violation of such holding constitutes *per se* immediate and irreparable harm. As discussed above in the context of whether Petitioners are likely to succeed on the merits of their claims, the question of whether

County Boards are **forbidden** from allowing electors to cure deficient absentee or mail-in ballots is separate and distinct from the issue of whether counties are **required** to adopt notice and opportunity to cure procedures under the Election Code. Accordingly, the Court concludes that Petitioners have failed to establish that the County Boards are clearly violating this case law interpreting the Election Code, such that it constitutes per se immediate and irreparable harm.

To the extent Petitioners allege that, without an injunction, the continuing implementation of such notice and cure procedures will harm Voter Petitioners because they will suffer the risk of having their votes being treated unequally, and thus diluted, and Republican Committee Petitioners because they will be unable to properly educate their members regarding the rules applicable to absentee and mail-in voting, the Court disagrees that these things constitute immediate and irreparable harm. In support of their claim of harm in these regards, Petitioners point to the nearly 15 County Boards identified in the Joint Stipulation of Facts in this matter and the lack of uniformity in cure procedures amongst those counties. *See generally* Jt. Stip. of Exs., Jt. Stip. of Facts at 2-3 (Beaver County); Ex. G (Philadelphia County); Jt. Stip. of Exs., Allegheny-2 and Allegheny-3; Pet'rs' Ex. 7 (Lehigh County Settlement). Petitioners also rely on the declarations of four named Voter Petitioners, all of whom allege that their respective County Boards do not have notice and opportunity to cure procedures; as such, if there is a mistake on their ballots, they will not have an opportunity to correct them and their votes will not count. *See* Jt. Stip. of Exs., Pet'rs' Exs. 17-20 (Declarations of Ross M. Farber (Pet-17), Vallerie Siciliano-Biancaniello (Pet-18), S. Michael Streib (Pet-19), and Jesse D. Daniel (Pet-20)). While it appears true from the Joint Stipulation of Facts that some County Boards are implementing different cure procedures, the Court does not

believe such lack of uniformity constitutes “concrete evidence” demonstrating “**actual proof** of irreparable harm” that is irreversible. Moreover, with respect to Voter Petitioners, such matters are, at best, **speculative** considerations, which cannot form the basis for issuing the extraordinary relief sought. *See Kiddo*, at \*11 (stating that “claims that something may happen in the future if the injunctive relief is denied is speculative and insufficient to support the grant of a preliminary injunction”). As such, Petitioners have not met their burden of proving immediate and irreparable harm for purposes of the preliminary injunction.

### **Laches**

Respondents and Intervenors essentially allege that the Application for Preliminary Injunction should be denied, and the Petition for Review dismissed, because Petitioners waited too long to file this action, which has prejudiced voters who reasonably rely on notice and opportunity to cure procedures when casting their absentee or mail-in ballots. In support of their argument, Respondents and Intervenors rely primarily on *Kelly v. Commonwealth*, 240 A.3d 1255 (Pa. 2020). Petitioners respond that *Kelly* is distinguishable from this matter, and that laches does not apply here because they have neither unduly delayed instituting this action due to a lack of due diligence, nor has there been any prejudice to any Respondents or Intervenors. Petitioners cite various exhibits in the Joint Stipulation of Exhibits as support for their contentions.

The Court first addresses Respondents’ and Intervenors’ reliance on *Kelly*. The *Kelly* action was commenced several weeks after the 2020 General Election and set forth a facial challenge to the constitutionality of Act 77. The petitioners in that case “sought to invalidate the ballots of millions of Pennsylvania voters who utilized the mail-in voting procedures established by Act 77 and count only those ballots that

[the petitioners] deem to be ‘legal votes.’” *Kelly*, 240 A.3d at 1256. The petitioners further sought “injunctive relief prohibiting the certification of the results of the General Election held on November 3, 2020.” *Id.* Notably, in addition to advocating the “proposition that the court disenfranchise al 6.9 million Pennsylvanians’ who voted in the General Election[,]” the petitioners also requested that the court “direct[ ] the General Assembly to choose Pennsylvania’s electors.” *Id.* The Supreme Court ultimately dismissed the petition for review on the basis of laches, holding that the petitioners failed to act with due diligence in commencing their facial challenge nearly a year after the enactment of Act 77 and on the eve of the County Boards’ certification of the results of the election when the results were “becoming seemingly apparent.” *Id.* at 1256-57. The Supreme Court also noted the substantial prejudice in the form of disenfranchisement of voters who had already voted in both the primary and general elections that year that would arise from the failure to institute a timely facial challenge. *Id.*

The Court agrees with Petitioners that *Kelly* is distinguishable from the instant matter. The petitioners in *Kelly* filed their challenge to Act 77 nearly 3 weeks **after** the 2020 General Election and a year after the enactment of Act 77, whereas Petitioners here filed this action on September 1, 2022, nearly two months **prior to** the upcoming General Election. That absentee and mail-in voting has already begun in relation to the 2022 General Election does not mean that laches is a complete bar to Petitioners’ action as a whole, which also seeks a declaration regarding the lawfulness of notice and opportunity to cure procedures in future elections. The Court therefore holds that *Kelly* is not controlling in this case and will instead consider whether laches applies under the applicable standards.

Laches is an equitable doctrine that “bars relief when the complaining party is guilty of want of due diligence in failing to promptly institute [an] action to the prejudice of another.” *Sprague v. Casey*, 550 A.2d 184, 187 (Pa. 1988). To prevail on the assertion of laches, it must be established that there was an inexcusable delay arising from Petitioners’ failure to exercise due diligence, and prejudice to the party asserting laches resulting from the delay. *Id.*; *Meier v. Maleski*, 648 A.2d 595, 603 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1994). “[T]he question of laches is factual and is determined by examining the circumstances of each case.” *Sprague*, 550 A.2d at 187.

After reviewing the evidence offered and the circumstances of this case, the Court concludes that Respondents and Intervenors have not established that laches is a bar to Petitioners’ claims. Based on the evidence presented in this case, the delay was not inexcusable or for want of due diligence. Petitioners explained in their filings, as well as at the status conference/hearing in this matter, that following the Supreme Court’s decision in *Pennsylvania Democratic Party*, and the failed legislative attempt to enact such procedures in accordance with that decision (i.e., House Bill 1300), Petitioner RNC began seeking information about County Boards’ various ballot curing procedures under the RTKL but was met with numerous extensions and delays. *See* Jt. Stip. of Exs., Pet’rs’ Exs. 9 (House Bill 1300); 10 (Governor Wolf’s Letter dated June 30, 2021, indicating he was withholding his signature); 16 (Declaration of Brian M. Adrian, explaining, *inter alia*, that RTKL requests served on Philadelphia County in October 2021 and March 2022, and on Bucks County in October 2021, and that responses not received from either County Board until August 2022). Petitioners further explained that the earliest indication they had that some County Boards planned to utilize cure procedures for the upcoming 2022 General Election came to light in the wake of the Stipulated

Settlement Agreements entered into by Northampton and Lehigh Counties in the federal case in *Dondiego v. Lehigh County Board of Elections*, No. 5:22-cv-02111 (E.D. Pa. 2022), on June 15, 2022. See Jt. Stip. of Exs., Pet'rs' Exs. 6 (Northampton County Settlement dated June 15, 2022) & 7 (Lehigh County Settlement dated June 15, 2022). Petitioners, RNC and RPP of which were intervenors in the federal action, have also produced a June 15, 2022 letter from one of their counsel addressed to the federal court Judge in that case, placing Northampton and Lehigh Counties on notice that the Settlement Agreements reached were illegal. Jt. Stip. of Exs., Pet'rs' Ex. 21 (June 15, 2022 letter from Thomas W. King to Judge Schmehl in *Dondiego* case). Petitioners further highlight, as they did at the status conference/hearing, that the Acting Secretary did not sign the Settlement Agreements, purportedly because her doing so would have been contrary to the guidance she has on the Department of State's website stating that absentee and mail-in ballots will not be counted if they fail to comply with the Election Code's outer envelope declaration and ballot secrecy requirements. Jt. Stip. of Exs., Pet'rs Ex. 11 (print-out of Acting Secretary's Guidance on Department of State's website). The Court finds Petitioners' explanation and evidence in this regard credible and that its decision to actively seek out information from County Boards regarding what they were doing with respect to ballot curing following the legislature's failed attempt to enact the same, rather than immediately file a lawsuit, reflects that Petitioners acted with due diligence and provides an excuse for any delay in filing the Petition for Review.

The Court is also not convinced that Respondents and Intervenors established that they were prejudiced in any way by the delay in filing the Petition for Review. The party asserting laches "must establish prejudice from some changed condition of the parties which occurs during the period of, and in reliance on, the delay."

*Meier*, 648 A.2d at 604-05 (citing *Sprague*, 550 A.2d at 188) (emphasis omitted). Such prejudice has been found where “records have become lost or unavailable, witnesses die or cannot be located, and where the party asserting laches has changed its position in anticipation that a party will not pursue a particular claim.” *Id.* The evidence in this case does not establish that Philadelphia County, Delaware County, or Intervenor DNC and PDP changed their positions based on the delay in filing the Petition for Review. While the County Boards and Intervenor DNC and PDP claim that, if Petitioners prevail, voters, the County Boards, and DNC and PDP will be prejudiced because voters will no longer be able to rely on longstanding notice and cure procedures in their respective counties, County Boards that have employed these procedures will have to, among other things, retrain their staff, and DNC and PDP will have to reeducate voters on mail voting – this is not prejudice, but rather “this would be a natural consequence of a legal determination that” such notice and cure procedures violate the law. *Chapman v. Berks Cnty. Bd. of Elections* (Pa. Cmwlth., No. 355 M.D. 2022, filed Aug. 19, 2022), slip op. at 22 (Cohn Jubelirer, P.J.) (single-Judge op.), 2022 WL 4100998. Thus, under the circumstances in this case, the Court cannot say that laches applies here.

Accordingly, for all of the foregoing reasons, Petitioners’ Application for Preliminary Injunction is **DENIED**.



---

ELLEN CEISLER, Judge

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Republican National Committee; :  
National Republican Senatorial :  
Committee; National Republican :  
Congressional Committee; Republican :  
Party of Pennsylvania; David Ball; :  
James D. Bee; Debra A. Biro; Jesse D. :  
Daniel; Gwendolyn Mae Deluca; Ross :  
M. Farber; Connor R. Gallagher; Lynn :  
Marie Kalcevic; Linda S. Kozlovich; :  
William P. Kozlovich; Vallerie :  
Siciliano-Biancaniello; S. Michael :  
Streib, :

Petitioners :

v. :

No. 447 M.D. 2022

Leigh M. Chapman, in her official :  
capacity as Acting Secretary of the :  
Commonwealth; Jessica Mathis, in :  
her official capacity as Director of the :  
Pennsylvania Bureau of Election :  
Services and Notaries; Adams County :  
Board of Elections; Allegheny County :  
Board of Elections; Armstrong County :  
Board of Elections; Beaver County :  
Board of Elections; Bedford County :  
Board of Elections; Berks County Board :  
of Elections; Blair County Board of :  
Elections; Bradford County Board of :  
Elections; Bucks County Board of :  
Elections; Butler County Board of :  
Elections; Cambria County Board of :  
Elections; Cameron County Board of :  
Elections; Carbon County Board of :  
Elections; Centre County Board of :  
Elections; Chester County Board of :  
Elections; Clarion County Board of :  
Elections; Clearfield County Board of :  
Elections; Clinton County Board of :  
Elections; Columbia County Board of :  
Elections; Crawford County Board of :



Elections; Cumberland County Board :  
of Elections; Dauphin County Board of :  
Elections; Delaware County Board of :  
Elections; Elk County Board of :  
Elections; Erie County Board of :  
Elections; Fayette County Board of :  
Elections; Forest County Board of :  
Elections; Franklin County Board of :  
Elections; Fulton County Board of :  
Elections; Greene County Board of :  
Elections; Huntingdon County Board :  
of Elections; Indiana County Board of :  
Elections; Jefferson County Board of :  
Elections; Juniata County Board of :  
Elections; Lackawanna County Board :  
of Elections; Lancaster County Board :  
of Elections; Lawrence County Board :  
of Elections; Lebanon County Board :  
of Elections; Lehigh County Board of :  
Elections; Luzerne County Board of :  
Elections; Lycoming County Board of :  
Elections; McKean County Board of :  
Elections; Mercer County Board of :  
Elections; Mifflin County Board of :  
Elections; Monroe County Board of :  
Elections; Montgomery County Board :  
of Elections; Montour County Board of :  
Elections; Northampton County Board :  
of Elections; Northumberland County :  
Board of Elections; Perry County :  
Board of Elections; Philadelphia County :  
Board of Elections; Pike County Board :  
of Elections; Potter County Board of :  
Elections; Schuylkill County Board of :  
Elections; Snyder County Board of :  
Elections; Somerset County Board of :  
Elections; Sullivan County Board of :  
Elections; Susquehanna County Board :  
of Elections; Tioga County Board of :  
Elections; Union County Board of :  
Elections; Venango County Board of :  
Elections; Warren County Board of :

Elections; Wayne County Board of :  
Elections; Westmoreland County Board :  
of Elections; Wyoming County Board of:  
Elections; and York County Board of :  
Elections, :  
Respondents :

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 29<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2022, the Application for Special Relief in the Form of a Preliminary Injunction Under Pa.R.A.P. 1532, filed by Petitioners, is DENIED.



---

ELLEN CEISLER, Judge

**CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE  
WITH CASE RECORDS PUBLIC ACCESS POLICY**

I, Kathleen A. Gallagher, certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the Case Records Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Dated: September 30, 2022

GALLAGHER GIANCOLA LLC

*/s/ Kathleen A. Gallagher*

Kathleen A. Gallagher

*Counsel for Petitioners*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on September 30, 2022, I caused a true and correct copy of this document to be served on all counsel of record via PACFile.

GALLAGHER GIANCOLA LLC

*/s/ Kathleen A. Gallagher*

Kathleen A. Gallagher  
*Counsel for Petitioners*