COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

IN RE:

RECEIVED AND FILED

JUL 23 2025

Judge Scott DiClaudio Court of Common Pleas First Judicial District Philadelphia County

1 JD 2025

COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE
OF PENNSYLVANIA

JUDICIAL CONDUCT BOARD REPLY TO JUDGE DICLAUDIO'S SECOND ADDENDUM TO OMNIBUS MOTION

AND NOW, this 23rd day of July, 2025, comes the Judicial Conduct Board of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (Board) by and through undersigned counsel, and files this Reply to Judge DiClaudio's Addendum to Respondent's Omnibus Motion.

As an introductory matter, Board counsel feels constrained to point out the procedural deficiencies in Respondent's filings thus far. First, Respondent was given an additional twenty (20) days to file his initial Omnibus Motion, which allowed for the receipt of discovery prior to its filing and should have obviated the need for the sort of piecemeal litigation in which Respondent is presently engaged. Second, Respondent's filings have not conformed in any way to the Rules of this Court. For example, none of Respondent's filings thus far have been signed or certified. None of these filings have been served on the Board, leaving the Court to ensure that service has been perfected, and they have not included a Certificate of Service. Finally, none of Respondent's filings have included the required Unified Judicial System Certificate of Compliance.

Third, Respondent, in his second full paragraph, cites to numerous statements that have been "codified" that purport to support his position. Counsel is unable to respond to any of these statements, as none cite to a case or code. Furthermore,

these statements all pertain to jurists who have authored books and are irrelevant to the charged violations.

Lastly, as to the remainder of Respondent's arguments, the Board once again cites to the introductory portion of the Board's Operating Procedures (hereinafter, OPs), which clearly list their limitations, but which Respondent points to as justification for his meritless argument that the Board Chief Counsel is somehow precluded by the OPs from initiating an investigation, complaint, or other Board action against him. The OPs do not provide the justification for Respondent (or any other litigant) to make substantive legal arguments, let alone the solipsistic and nonsensical claims now propounded by Respondent, and they should not be interpreted as such by this Court. Instead, as stated directly in Section I, they are "a compendium of the policies, practices and procedures" of the Board and may be modified by the Board at any time. Further, it is explicitly stated in this section that the OPs "do not have the force of law and do not confer any substantive or procedural due process rights upon any person or entity[.]"

Finally, to the extent that Respondent is requesting the identity of complainant(s) in closed investigations, this information, if it exists, is confidential. The Board's obligations here are governed by the Pennsylvania Constitution, which states that "[c]omplaints filed with the board or initiated by the board *shall not* be public information." Pa. Const. art. V, § 18 (emphasis added). As such, the Board is constitutionally prohibited from supplying the requested information to Respondent.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, as well as the Board's Response to Respondent's Omnibus Motion filed on July 18, 2025, and the Board's Response to Respondent's Omnibus Addendum, also filed on July 18, 2025, incorporated herein

by reference as though set forth in full, the Board respectfully requests that this Honorable Court deny Judge DiClaudio's Second Omnibus Addendum, and in consideration of Respondent's continued and flagrant violation of its Rules, to grant any other relief that it may deem appropriate.

Respectfully submitted, MELISSA L. NORTON Chief Counsel

Date: July 23, 2025

By:

Elizabeth A. Hoffheins

Deputy Counsel

Attorney ID No. 209623 Judicial Conduct Board

Pennsylvania Judicial Center

601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 3500

Harrisburg, PA 17106

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

IN RE:

Judge Scott DiClaudio

Court of Common Pleas

First Judicial District

: 1 JD 2025

Philadelphia County

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Case Records Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by:

Judicial Conduct Board of Pennsylvania

Signature:

Name:

ELIZABETH A. HOFFHEINS

Deputy Counsel

Attorney No.:

209623

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

IN RE:

Judge Scott DiClaudio Court of Common Pleas First Judicial District Philadelphia County

1 JD 2025

PROOF OF SERVICE

In compliance with Rule 122 of the Court of Judicial Discipline Rules of Procedure, a copy of the foregoing JUDICIAL CONDUCT BOARD REPLY TO JUDGE DICLAUDIO'S SECOND ADDENDUM TO OMNIBUS MOTION was served on Respondent via first-class mail and electronic mail at the following address(es) on the date below:

The Honorable Scott DiClaudio Court of Common Pleas Criminal Justice Center 1301 Filbert St., Ste. 1415 Philadelphia, PA 19107 and Email: scott.diclaudio@courts.phila.qov

The Honorable Scott DiClaudio 200 N 16th St Apt 223 Philadelphia, PA 19102 and Email: sdiclaudio13@gmail.com

Respectfully submitted,

DATE: July 23, 2025

Elizabeth A. Hoffheins
Deputy Counsel
Pa. Supreme Court ID No. 209623
Judicial Conduct Board
Pennsylvania Judicial Center
601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 3500
P.O. Box 62525
Harrisburg, PA 17106
(717) 234-7911