

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL,	⋮	No. 3194 Disciplinary Docket No. 3
Petitioner	⋮	No. 138 DB 2025
v.	⋮	(Allegheny County)
JUSTIN R. PRZEZDZIECKI,	⋮	
Respondent	⋮	

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 15<sup>th</sup> of June, 2026, the Verified Statement of Resignation is accepted. See Pa.R.D.E. 215. Respondent shall comply with the provisions of Pa.R.D.E. 217 and pay costs to the Disciplinary Board. See Pa.R.D.E. 208(g).

Respondent shall not apply for admission to the Bar of this Commonwealth for at least five years from the date of this Order. *Cf.* Pa.R.D.E. 218(b) (providing that a disbarred attorney cannot apply for reinstatement in Pennsylvania until the expiration of at least five years from the disbarment order). Moreover, if Respondent seeks admission to the Pennsylvania Bar in the future, he must include a copy of this Order with any application.

The Prothonotary is directed to provide a copy of this Order to the Pennsylvania Board of Law Examiners.

A True Copy Nicole Traini  
As Of 06/15/2026

Attest:   
Chief Clerk  
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE  
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL, : No. 138 DB 2025  
: :  
Petitioner : :  
v. : :  
JUSTIN R. PRZEZDZIECKI, : No PA Attorney Registration No.  
: :  
Respondent : (Allegheny County)


RESIGNATION  
UNDER Pa.R.D.E. 215

Justin R. Przewdziecki, hereby tenders his unconditional resignation from the practice of law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in conformity with Pa.R.D.E. 215 ("Enforcement Rules") and further states as follows:

1. He is an attorney admitted in the State of Ohio, having been admitted on or about November 13, 2018. He is not now, nor has he ever been, admitted to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

2. He desires to submit his resignation.

3. His resignation is freely and voluntarily rendered; he is not being subjected to coercion or duress and he is fully aware of the implications of submitting this resignation.

4. He acknowledges that he is fully aware of his right to consult and employ counsel to represent him in the instant proceeding. He has/~~has not~~ 

**FILED**  
**05/21/2026**  
**The Disciplinary Board of the**  
**Supreme Court of Pennsylvania**

retained, consulted with and acted upon the advice of counsel in connection with his decision to execute the within resignation.

5. He is aware that there is presently pending a prosecution of allegations that he has been guilty of misconduct, as set forth in the Petition for Discipline filed in the above-captioned matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

6. He acknowledges that the material facts which form the basis of this Petition for Discipline are true.

7. He submits the within resignation because he knows that he could not successfully defend himself against the charges of professional misconduct set forth in the attached exhibit.

8. He is fully aware that the submission of this Resignation Statement is irrevocable and that, because he has never been admitted to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, he cannot seek reinstatement pursuant to Enforcement Rule 218(b) and (c).

9. He is aware that, pursuant to Enforcement Rule 215(c), the fact that he has tendered his resignation shall become a matter of public record immediately upon delivery of the resignation statement to Disciplinary Counsel or the Board Prothonotary.

10. Upon entry of the order disbaring him on consent, he will promptly comply with the notice, withdrawal, resignation, trust account, and cease-and-desist provisions of Enforcement Rule 217 (a), (b), (c) and (d).

11. After entry of the order disbaring him on consent, he will file a verified statement of compliance as required by Enforcement Rule 217(e)(1).

It is understood that the statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. Section 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

Signed this 20th day of May, 2026.

  
Justin R. Przedziecki

WITNESS:   
\_\_\_\_\_

# **EXHIBIT A**

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE  
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL, : No. **138** DB 2025  
: :  
Petitioner : :  
v. : :  
JUSTIN R. PRZEZDZIECKI, : No PA Attorney Registration No.  
: :  
Respondent : (Allegheny County)

PETITION FOR DISCIPLINE

Petitioner, Office of Disciplinary Counsel (hereinafter "ODC"), by Thomas J. Farrell, Chief Disciplinary Counsel, and Daniel S. White, Disciplinary Counsel, files the within Petition for Discipline, and charges Respondent, Justin R. Przewdziecki, with professional misconduct in violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct and the Pennsylvania Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement as follows:

1. ODC, whose principal office is located at Pennsylvania Judicial Center, Suite 2700, 601 Commonwealth Avenue, P.O. Box 62485, Harrisburg, PA 17106-2485, is invested, pursuant to Rule 207 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement (hereafter "Pa.R.D.E."), with the power and the duty to investigate all matters involving alleged misconduct of an attorney admitted to practice law in the Commonwealth of

**FILED**  
**10/20/2025**  
**The Disciplinary Board of the**  
**Supreme Court of Pennsylvania**

Pennsylvania and to prosecute all disciplinary proceedings brought in accordance with the various provisions of the aforesaid Rules.

2. Respondent, Justin R. Przezdziecki, was born in 1992. He was admitted to practice law in the State of Ohio on November 13, 2018. Respondent's registered address with the Supreme Court of Ohio is 300 East Broad Street, 3rd Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.<sup>1</sup> Respondent is subject to the disciplinary jurisdiction of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania pursuant to Rule 201(a)(6), Pa.R.D.E.

#### CHARGE

3. Respondent is not now, nor has he ever been, admitted to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

4. Respondent has never submitted an application for admission to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

5. Respondent has not sought admission by reciprocity to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, as contemplated by Pa.B.A.R. 204.

---

<sup>1</sup> Correspondence that ODC has transmitted to Respondent at this address has been returned to sender (attempted – not known).

6. Respondent has not been granted admission by reciprocity to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, as contemplated by Pa.B.A.R. 204.

7. Respondent has never been sworn in as a member of the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

8. In or about December of 2023, Respondent was hired as an associate in the Pittsburgh office of Pietragallo Gordon Alfano Bosick & Raspanti, LLP (hereinafter "Pietragallo").

9. During the hiring process, Respondent advised Pietragallo that:

(a) he was seeking admission by reciprocity to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania based on his admission in Ohio;  
and

(b) he had submitted an application to be admitted by reciprocity to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

10. These representations were false. As set forth in paragraphs 4-5 *supra*, Respondent has neither sought admission by reciprocity to the Bar of

the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania nor submitted an application in that regard.

11. During Respondent's employment with Pietragallo, he advised Pietragallo that:

(a) his application for admission by reciprocity to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania had been approved;

(b) he had been sworn in as a member of the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania;

(c) he had been issued a Pennsylvania Attorney License;

(d) the Disciplinary Board's website had not yet been updated to populate his information on the "Look Up an Attorney" webpage; and

(e) he had spoken with the Attorney Registration Office who had confirmed that the Disciplinary Board's website had not yet been updated to populate his information on the "Look Up an Attorney" webpage.

12. These representations were false. As set forth in paragraphs 3-7 *supra*, Respondent has neither sought nor been granted admission to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

13. By email to Respondent dated March 26, 2025, at 1:25 p.m., Richard A. Neville, a paralegal with Pietragallo, requested Respondent's "bar ID number" to include on a Praecipe for Appearance.

14. By email to Mr. Neville dated March 26, 2025, at 2:17 p.m., Respondent said:

PA Bar 336850. My information is still not populating on the PA attorney look up, but 336851 and 336849 have recently licensed attorneys coming up for those.

Since they still haven't put my information up, I've asked them to send a signed statement stating I'm able to submit filing and make an appearance using my number. I'll circulate once I receive that too.

15. These representations were false. Respondent has never been assigned a Pennsylvania Attorney ID Number, nor did he request "a signed statement stating [he is] able to submit filing and make an appearance."

16. In or before January of 2025, Pennsylvania Attorney ID number 336850 had been assigned to attorney Kenyatta Bolden. Mr. Bolden was admitted to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in June of 2025.

17. In or before April of 2025, Respondent forged a 2024-2025 Pennsylvania Attorney's License that purported to evidence his admission to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

(a) Respondent placed "336850" on this purported 2024-2025 Pennsylvania Attorney's License under "ID NO."

(b) Respondent placed "12/31/2025" on this purported 2024-2025 Pennsylvania Attorney's License under "EXPIRES."

18. Respondent's fabrication of a fraudulent 2024-2025 Pennsylvania Attorney's License violated 18 Pa.C.S. § 4101 (Forgery).

19. On or about April 2, 2025, in an effort to resolve whatever issues were purportedly preventing Respondent's information from populating on the Disciplinary Board's website, attorney James Marrion, a partner with Pietragallo, arranged for Justice David N. Wecht of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania to administer to Respondent the oath associated with admission to the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on April 7, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.

20. On April 7, 2025, Respondent advised Mr. Marrion that he could not appear for the administration of this oath because he had fallen and suffered a wrist injury.

21. By text message to Respondent dated April 7, 2025, at 12:54 p.m., Mr. Marrion said, "Send me your Bar ID photo asap please."

22. By text message to Respondent dated April 7, 2025, at 1:06 p.m., Mr. Marrion said, "Now please."

23. By text message to Mr. Marrion dated April 7, 2025, at 1:15 p.m., Respondent sent a picture of the purported 2024-2025 Pennsylvania Attorney's License set forth in paragraph 17 *supra*.

24. Mr. Marrion appeared at Justice Wecht's chambers on April 7, 2025, at which time, *inter alia*:

(a) Mr. Marrion advised that Respondent would not appear because he had suffered a wrist injury; and

(b) Mr. Marrion produced the picture of the purported 2024-2025 Pennsylvania Attorney's License set forth in paragraph 23 *supra*.

25. On April 7, 2025, Mr. Marrion and Linda Alt, Justice Wecht's Chief Judicial Administrative Assistant, called Respondent, at which time, *inter alia*, Respondent claimed that he had been sworn in as a member of the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on January 10, 2025.

26. This representation was false. As set forth in paragraph 7 *supra*, Respondent has never been sworn in as a member of the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

27. Ms. Alt forwarded the picture set forth in paragraph 23 *supra* to Nicole Traini, Chief Clerk of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, who requested the assistance of Disciplinary Board Prothonotary Marcee Sloan and Matt Chiara with the Pennsylvania Board of Law Examiners.

28. By email to Ms. Traini dated April 7, 2025, Ms. Sloan said, "We do not have him admitted in PA, and the text on the card does not match what we print, and the expiration date is wrong. It would be July 1. It appears to be a fraud."

29. By email to Ms. Traini dated April 7, 2025, Mr. Chiara said, *inter alia*:

this is a real mystery. I can find no trace of that person in our database. We have no one with that last name or similar spellings, as a current name or former name.

I agree that 336850 belongs to Bolden, whom we certified on 1/30/2025, and that it is a unique number in our database.

30. On or about April 9, 2025, Respondent's employment with Pietragallo was terminated.

31. By letter to Respondent dated July 29, 2025, ODC requested Respondent's Statement of Position regarding allegations that he, *inter alia*, forged a 2024-2025 Pennsylvania Attorney's License.

32. Respondent failed to respond to this letter in any way.

33. By letter to Respondent dated September 17, 2025, ODC advised Respondent that his failure to respond to the allegations of misconduct contained in its July 29, 2025 letter "is an independent ground for discipline pursuant to Pennsylvania Rule of Disciplinary Enforcement 203(b)(7)."

34. Respondent failed to respond to this letter in any way.

35. By his conduct as alleged in Paragraphs 3 through 34 above, Respondent violated the following Rules of Professional Conduct and Rule of Disciplinary Enforcement:

(a) RPC 5.5(b)(1), which provides that, “[a] lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction shall not, except as authorized by these Rules, Pa.B.A.R. 302 or other law, establish an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction for the practice of law”;

(b) RPC 5.5(b)(2), which provides that, “[a] lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction shall not hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in this jurisdiction”;

(c) RPC 8.4(a), which provides, in pertinent part, that, “[i]t is professional misconduct for a lawyer to violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct”;

(d) RPC 8.4(b), which provides that, “[i]t is professional misconduct for a lawyer to commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects”;

(e) RPC 8.4(c), which provides, in pertinent part, that, “[i]t is professional misconduct for a lawyer to engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation”; and

(f) Rule 203(b)(7), Pa.R.D.E., which provides that, “[t]he following shall also be grounds for discipline:...(7) Failure by a respondent-attorney without good cause to respond to Disciplinary Counsel’s request or supplemental request under Disciplinary Board Rules § 87.7(b) for a statement of the respondent-attorney’s position.”

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that your Honorable Board appoint, pursuant to Rule 205, Pa.R.D.E., a Hearing Committee to hear testimony and receive evidence in support of the foregoing charge and upon completion of said hearing to make such findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disciplinary action as it may deem appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

THOMAS J. FARRELL  
CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL



By \_\_\_\_\_

Daniel S. White  
Disciplinary Counsel  
Attorney Registration No. 322574  
The Disciplinary Board of the  
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania  
Suite 1300, Frick Building  
437 Grant Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Telephone: (412) 565-3173

**VERIFICATION**

The statements contained in the foregoing Petition for Discipline are true and correct to the best of my knowledge or information and belief and are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

10/20/24  
Date




\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel S. White  
Disciplinary Counsel

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: Office of Disciplinary Counsel

Signature: 

Name: Daniel S White

Attorney No. (if applicable): 322574