NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT O.P. 65.37

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA	:	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
v.	:	
AARON BRESSI	:	
Appellant	:	No. 1064 MDA 2025

Appeal from the Judgment of Sentence Entered May 16, 2025
In the Court of Common Pleas of Northumberland County Criminal Division at No(s): CP-49-MD-0000211-2025

BEFORE: BOWES, J., DUBOW, J., and SULLIVAN, J.

JUDGMENT ORDER BY DUBOW, J.: **FILED NOVEMBER 18, 2026**

Appellant, Aaron Bressi, appeals from the May 16, 2025 judgment of sentence entered in the Northumberland County Court of Common Pleas following his conviction of Indirect Criminal Contempt("ICC").[1] After careful review, we affirm the judgment of sentence.

A recitation of the facts is unnecessary to our disposition. On May 16, 2025, the trial court convicted Appellant after a hearing of ICC and sentenced him to one to six months of incarceration. Appellant did not file a post-trial motion.

---

[1] 23 Pa.C.S. § 6114(a).

This timely *pro se* appeal followed.[2] On October 22, 2025, the trial court ordered Appellant to file a Pa.R.A.P. 1925(b) statement within 21 days. The court's order notified Appellant that "[a]ny issue not properly included in the [s]tatement timely filed and served pursuant to [Pa.]R.A.P. 1925(b)(1), shall be deemed waived for purposes of the appeal. Order, 10/22/25. On December 24, 2025, the trial court entered an identical order again directing Appellant to file a Rule 1925(b) statement.

This Court's review of the certified record reveals that Appellant failed to comply with either of the court's orders directing Appellant to file a Rule 1925(b) statement. The only document filed by Appellant subsequent to the notice of appeal is a December 15, 2025 correspondence addressed to the clerk of courts wherein Appellant requested copies of all documents that he had mailed from Northumberland County Jail during his incarceration where he indicated he was a victim of major corruption in Northumberland County. ***See*** Correspondence, 12/15/25; Trial Ct. Op., 4/28/26, at 3. The correspondence does not address his ICC hearing, conviction, or sentencing, or assert any allegation of trial court error.

---

[2] On September 12, 2025, this Court remanded this matter to the trial court to determine whether Appellant wished to proceed *pro se* and, if so, whether his waiver of counsel was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary pursuant to ***Commonwealth v. Grazier***, 713 A.2d 81 (Pa. 1988). On December 9, 2025, the trial court filed an order indicating that it found that Appellant wanted to proceed *pro se* and had knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived his right to counsel.

"Appellants must comply whenever the trial court orders them to file a Statement of [Errors] Complained of on Appeal pursuant to Rule 1925. Any issues not raised in a 1925(b) statement will be deemed waived." ***Commonwealth v. Lord***, 719 A.2d 306, 309 (Pa. 1998); ***see also*** Pa.R.A.P. 1925(b)(4)(vii).

Here, Appellant failed to file a Rule 1925(b) statement as ordered. The trial court notified him twice that "[a]ny issue not properly included in the [s]tatement timely filed and served pursuant to [Pa.]R.A.P. 1925(b)(1), shall be deemed waived for purposes of the appeal." Order, 10/22/26; Order 12/24/25. Because Appellant failed to file a Rule 1925(b) statement, he has waived a merits review of his claims. Accordingly, we affirm Appellant's judgment of sentence.

Judgement of sentence affirmed.

Judgment Entered.



Benjamin D. Kohler, Esq.
Prothonotary

Date: 11/18/2026