IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
MIDDLE DISTRICT

LAUREN GARAVELAS,	:	No. 221 MM 2026
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
	:	
CHRISTO GARAVELAS,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 3rd day of November, 2026, the "Application for Exercise of Extraordinary Jurisdiction," the "Emergency Application for Limited Interim Relief," the "Verified Application under Pa.R.A.P. 123 for Leave to File a Narrow Supplemental Source Record," the "Verified Application for Leave to File Limited Supplement and Limited Supplement for Record-Correction/Candor Relief, Costs, and Referral If Warranted," the "Verified Application under Pa.R.A.P. 123 for Leave to File a Targeted Reply," and the "Emergency Verified Application under Pa.R.A.P. 123 to Expedite" are DENIED.

Petitioner recently and unsuccessfully submitted a similar action on our Miscellaneous Docket. *See Garavelas v. Garavelas*, 178 MM 2026 (*per curiam* order dated Sept. 2, 2025) (denying the "Emergency Application for Extraordinary Relief"); *see also id.* (*per curiam* order dated Sept. 24, 2026) (denying Petitioner's "Application for Reargument"). Petitioner is cautioned against submitting any similar filings on this Court's

Miscellaneous Docket, at the risk of being prohibited from engaging in such further litigation in this tribunal.

To the extent Respondent's first "Answer" and second "Answer" request relief per Pa.R.A.P. 2744, those requests are DENIED. *Cf.* Pa.R.A.P. 2744 (noting that a court "may award," *inter alia*, counsel fees in certain contexts). This denial is WITHOUT PREJUDICE to Respondent's right to seek relief in the trial court for conduct before that tribunal. Furthermore, this denial is WITHOUT PREJUDICE to Respondent's right to advance an application in this Court seeking similar relief in the event that Petitioner fails to abide by the caution this Court has now issued. Finally, this denial of Respondent's requests should not be viewed as a finding that Petitioner's instant miscellaneous filings were not "frivolous or taken solely for delay" or that his conduct was not "dilatory, obdurate or vexatious." Pa.R.A.P. 2744.