

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	No. 395 MAL 2025
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	
v.	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal
	:	from the Order of the Superior Court
	:	
	:	
KAREEM MOHAMMED WILLIAMS JR.,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 6th day of January, 2026, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED, LIMITED TO** the issues set forth below. Allocatur is **DENIED** as to the remaining issue. The issues, as stated by petitioner and rephrased for clarity, are:

- (1) Did the trial court and the Superior Court relieve the Commonwealth of its burden under *New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass’n v. Bruen*, 597 U.S. 1 (2022), to show that applying 18 Pa.C.S. §§ 6106, 6109 to Petitioner and his conduct comports with a valid principle distillable from our Nation’s history and tradition of firearm regulations?
- (2) Was the Superior Court’s finding that Petitioner waived the issue of the Commonwealth’s failure to meet its burden under *Bruen*’s history-and-tradition test manifestly unreasonable when the Commonwealth presented no evidence to support its burden?
- (3) Did the Superior Court err in denying Petitioner’s as-applied challenge to Pennsylvania’s prohibition against 18-to-20-year-olds from obtaining a license to carry a handgun in a vehicle?
 - (a) Are the historical laws relied on by the Superior Court panel to find the age requirement in 18 Pa.C.S. § 6109 constitutional

proper analogues under the *Bruen* test?

- (b) Did the Superior Court incorrectly find a historical tradition under the *Bruen* test of prohibiting the carrying of a firearm in a vehicle without a license, as required by 18 Pa.C.S. § 6106?

The Prothonotary is DIRECTED to list this matter for oral argument at the same session as, and consecutive to, *Commonwealth v. Farmer*, 41 MAP 2025 and *Commonwealth v. Jenkins*, 42 MAP 2025.