## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	: No. 391 WAL 2018
Respondent	<ul> <li>Petition for Allowance of Appeal from</li> <li>the Order of the Superior Court</li> </ul>
V.	:
JAMES CALVIN HAMLETT, JR.,	
Petitioner	:

## <u>ORDER</u>

## PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 13th day of February, 2019, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal

is **GRANTED**. The issue, rephrased for clarity is:

Can the tension between the well-settled rule that the Commonwealth bears the burden of demonstrating harmless error beyond a reasonable doubt and the contradictory principle that an appellate court has the ability to affirm a valid judgment or verdict for any reason appearing as of record be reconciled? If these conflicting principles must be reconciled in favor of the Commonwealth proving harmlessness beyond a reasonable doubt, did the Superior Court err in finding harmless error *sua sponte*?