

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
WESTERN DISTRICT**

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ,	:	No. 423 WAL 2018
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	
v.	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from
	:	the Order of the Superior Court
	:	
	:	
ROD L. JONES, JR.,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 30th day of April, 2019, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED, LIMITED TO** the issues set forth below. Allocatur is **DENIED** as to all remaining issues. The issues, slightly rephrased for clarity, are:

- (1) Whether testimony from a detective about victim responses and behaviors, when based on that detective's training, experience, and specialized knowledge, constitutes expert testimony and whether permitting such testimony from a lay witness is inconsistent with the plain language of Pa.R.E. 701, Pa.R.E. 702, and *Commonwealth v. Huggins*, 68 A.3d 962 (Pa. Super. 2013)?
- (2) Whether the General Assembly's enactment of 42 Pa.C.S. § 5920 (relating to expert testimony in certain criminal proceedings) legislatively overruled this Honorable Court's decision in *Commonwealth v. Dunkle*, 602 A.2d 830 (Pa. 1992), which held that specific types of victim responses and behaviors are within the range of common experience, easily understood by lay people, and for which expert analysis is inappropriate?